


## PREFACE.

The great body of Hindú Philosophy is based upon nix sets of very concise Apborisms. Without a commentary the Aphorioms are scarcely intelligible, they being designed not so much to communicate the doctrine of the particular school, as to sid, by the briefent possihle suggestions, the memory of him to whom the doctrine shall have been already communicated. To this and they are admirably adapted; and, this being their end, the obscurity, which must needs attach to them in the eyes of the uninstructed, is not chargeable upon them as a fault.

For various reasons it in desirable that there should be an accarate tranalation of the Aphoriams, with so mbeh of glow a may be required to render them intelligible. A class of pandita, in the Benares Sanskrit College, having been induced to learn English, it is contemplated that a version of the Aphorimes, brought out in succeassive portions, ahall be submitted to the criticism of these men, and, through them, of other learned Brahmana, so that any errors in the version may have the beat chance of being discovered and rectified. The employment of sucb a veraion as a clast-book in deaigned to cubuerve further the sttempt to determine accurately the aspect of the philosophical terminology of the East as regarda that of the Weat.
J. R. B.


## THE MÍMÁNSÁ APHORISMS.

## INTRODCCTION.

a. Salutation to the feet of the venerable preceptor l*
b. Veneration nnceasingly be to Jaimini, who removes the defect of vision of the simple by the collyrium-needle of hia Institutes which pat an end to doubt an to the sense of scriptare. $\dagger$
c. Now a doabt being started as to whether or not Jaiminei ought to have undertaken this work, which consists of twelve lectures,--and the prind facic solution of the dount being as fol-lows-viz.- auch a work of disquisition ought not to be undertaken, because a work of disquisition in of no use when Heaven (noarga) is obtained by the mere taking of the letters [of the Veda without regard to its sense], Heaven alone being [in this case] to be supposed the frivit, as it is in the case of the sacrifice called visivajiu [-mentioned in the 4tb canto of the Raghevon-isa-l, it being to be expected that there is some fruit attached

* ची गुरूष्येग्ये नसः ।
 छेचे नमो गैनिनये डनिए्यम्।

THE MPMANSA APHORLSMS.
to the percasal enjoined in the direction 'The Veda in to be perused' [-and Heaven being moat probably the fruit when no other fruit is specifled;-well, thio primd facie solation of the doabt having presented itself,] he declarea the eatablished tenot* [in regard to the point, as followa].

## BOOK I. CHAPTER I.

## SECTION I.

Or Dutr.

## ख्यासो धर्मझिश्रासा । २।

Tie anbject propowd

Aph. 1.-Next, therefore, [ 0 itudent that hait attained thas far] a desire to know Daty (dharmma) [is to be entertained by thee].
a. 'Next':-i. e. after peruing the acriptures whilst residing with the family of a preceptor. $\dagger$
b. 'Therefore':-i. e. because the fruit of the percanal of the scripture is the knowledge of the sense [of its ieveral panages,

* घय हाद्याज्यायातकं घाएनारकलोयं नवेति संखये। खत्यायो ड्येतव्य क्ति किद्धित्ययमस्य फणाका रयों विन्मजिज्यायेन खर्ग एब फसं कर्प। तथाषाबरमझ्यमानेय सर्गसित्रा विषार थाजस्साकिम्चिकावात् विषारयासं नारगएर्यनिनित पूर्व पने सिद्यलाए।
† घयेति। घय गुक्जखवासपूर्ष कवेदाध्यनानकरम्त।
without comprehensive apprehension of tho import of its teach ings-see Lecture on the Vedanta § 8 ]; therefore, in respect of Duty, the definition of which will be stated [in Aph. 2.], "a dosire to know"-i. e. an investigation originating in a desire of knowledge-is to be made;--such is the remainder* [required to supply the ellipsis in the aphorism].
c. The state of the case is thie, that assoredly the work of disquisition ought to he undertaken, because such a work of diequisition is juat suhserved by [or has a foundation laid for it in] that knowledge of the sense [of the several pasasages of scripture, that may be attained hy a perual thereof], and becanse it is fit that what is effected hy a perusal thereof should be a correct understanding of the sense thereof [-instead of Heaven's being attained therehy, as supposed by the speculater in Intro. c.] secing that it is improper to imagine an unseen reward [of this or that .ection] when a visible reward in possible ; + [-and the underatanding of the sense of scriptore is a manifest reward of its pernssl, whilst the attainment of Heaven by the perusal of scripture in what no one can deciare that he has ever found manifested hy the senses].
d. As the question will occur-" What is the Duty spoken of, - in the expreasion 'a desire to know Duty', in the preceding
* बतः। यते ड्ययनस्स फसमर्थच्चानं। छतो धर्मस्स
 कर्चत्येति शेष:।
 नमिवध्यनेन तहर्थनिब्यययम्पान नसोषिलाइर्ष्यानस कि-


THE MTMANSA APHORISM8.
aphorimm ? -in order to acquaint us with the nature of Daty, he mentions its characteristio mark as followa*

## 

How Daty it to be reecogrioned

Aph 2.-A matter that is a Doty in recogasge of scriptare in which it is mentioned].
a. 'Insigatory charseter' [of the paosagc]:-this means [that the criterion of Duty in] an expression that moves [or inetigatea] onet [to do 10 and so].
8. 'Is recognised'-i. en is known thereby;-at fire is recognised by moke, so that the smoke is the mark wherehy fire [though onnom] in known [to be present where the smoke takes itn rise]; in like manner an instigatory form of expression in the marl by which we recognise Daty. So Doty is that, the criterion, or the instrument [in the determination], of which, is an instigatory form of expression. $f$

Wide ementiany cometifater Duty.
c. And what constitute any thing wuch a matter [i. e. a matter that is fit to be urged in

* पूर्षस्बने धर्मजिश्याबेयन को धर्म रति जिञ्रासाया ४मेसरूपश्रानाय च च्रषमाइ।
$\dagger$ चेदनेति प्रवर्त्रकघल्ध्नाम।

 चेद्रा। इस्थम्ब चेदनैष ब चलयं कराषं चस्स।
scripture es a Daty]is the fact of its not producing more pain than pleasure* [-i. e. its being calculated to produce more plearare than paint].
d. And by this [mention, Aph. 2., of the mark تhereby Duty is to be recognised], it is moreover suggeated that a Duty is not to be apprebended by the senses or by any thing else besides the instigatory character! [of a passage in scripture].
e. But now [some one may ask], "Since, sccording to [the loxicon called] the Medini Kofa, 'The word dharmma should be mas. culine when it means merit, but when it means ascrifices, \&c., it is beld to be neuter,' bow is the word dharmma masculine in the aphorism where it means the Agnihotra sacrifice and othere [which are recognised ns matters of Duty by the instigating promises attached to their performance] ?"-if you ask this,-then take [and be content with] as the reason thereof the fact that he [Jamini] is a great sanctified sage $\$$ [-and therefore entitled to give the word what gender he pleases. Conf. the Vaiseshika Aphorisms No. 7. b].
$f$. In the foregoing aphorism [\$2.] it ves intimsted that the cause of [our correctly recognising] a Duty was simply an insti:-


## * बर्थलन्ध भुख्यषिषहु:खाजनकलम्।

$\dagger$ Thin definition of dharama may be compared with the Benthamite defaltion of the Useful.
$\ddagger$ एतेग चोटनातिरित्तेम्द्रियादिगम्यलं धर्मेस्स नेल्यपि ज्रणिन।
§ ननु। ब्बहष्टे पुंसि धर्म: स्यात् कीवे यागादिक्षे मत क्रि मेदिनीकोएात्। बमिछेखादिबाचकधर्षश्दन्य सने पुं $\boldsymbol{x}$ लं कर्थमिति चेदार्षत्वमेब बीजमिति तृष्षाए।
gation [in the chape of a passage of scriptere holding out a reward for its performance], but not eny otber evidence such as that of the sences, \&c. Since it in impossibe that the doubt, as to whether other evidences do enter into the case or not, should be romoved without examination, he proposea the examination thereor* [as follows].

## तस्य निमिन्तपरीष्टि: । ह ।

The difinition of * Dafy dixcuesed.

Aph. 3.-An examination of the cause of [our recognising] it [一vis. Duty, $\rightarrow$ is to be mado.]
a. 'Of it',-i. e. of a knowledge of the truth-the object [in reapect of which that knowledge is wanted] being Duty. 'The cause',-i. e. the means. 'An examination' thereof,-i. e. a dis, quisition, a discussion, through decisive confatations [of the opposite opinion-see the Nyaya Aphoriaina No. 89-] preceded by argoments [in support of the position laid down:- such an examination] is to be made:-ach is the remaindert [required to supply the ellipsis in the aphorism].
b. [In the following aphorism] be explains the ausertion that he made* [in Aph. 2].

* धर्मे चोदनेष निमिन्ं नतु पत्यक्तादीसरप्रमाषानीति
 संख्य्स परीचामकरा निटृस्यषम्भवात् तत्यरीचा पति. जानीते।
$\dagger$ तस्षेति। तस धर्मविषयकतषष्ञामस। निमिन। करषं। तस्स परीधिए। परीचा। युक्तिपूर्बक्वाधकतकेंबि षार:। कर्मब्येति शेष:।


## सत्मम्मयोगे पुरुस्येन्द्रियाएं बुद्विजन्म सत्मत्यन्ममनि

मित्र विद्यानानेपलम्भात् 18 ।
Duty not aco ojicet aresce:precopion.

Aph. 4. When a man's organs of eense are rightly applied to something extant, that birth of knowledge. [which then takes place] is Per-ception,-[and this Perception is] not the cause [of our recogniaing Duty-see 88 -] because [the organs of sense are adapted only to] the apprebension of what is [then and there] existent [-which an act of Duty is not.]
a. 'When rightly applied to something extant' \&c. [That is to say]-when a man's organs of sense are 'rightly applied to', or brought into contact with, 'something extant', i. e. some object [then and there] exiating, what 'birth of knowledge', or of intellection, takes place, is [what we call] Perception :-and acch Perception is 'not the cansa', i. e. not the producer, of a knowledge of Duty:-that is to say-the organs of sense, which are the means of arriving at the truths of perception, do not [of themselves] enable us to artive at the truth in respect of Duty. $\dagger$
6. Of this [-vis. that the senses are not the means of our discerning Daty--] he mentions the reason [-when he says-in \&4-] 'becsuse the apprehension of what is existent'; that is to

## - प्रतिज्ञातमेवार्यं विशद्यति।

$\dagger$ सत्मम्पयेग ₹ति। पुकरेक्र्र्रयाणीं। सति। बिद्यमाने विषम्रे। सम्प्रयेगे। संयोगे सति। यत् तुच्यतः
 मिने। नेात्पादकं। पत्यच्चमासाधनौभूतानी ्रिंयाषि धर्म. प्रमां न साधयन्नोति भाव: ।
nay-because, by means of the organs of scnse there in the apprehension of ' what is existent', i. e. of some thing [then and there] present;-and aince Dufy [-in the shape, suppose, of the performance of sacrifice-] is not present at the time of our knoving it [to be a Duty to perform the ascrifica,--] it in not adapted to the organs of sense.*

Not being en object of unde-perception, Duty is not to be recogaised by in. frower from anch percep. tion. ens also diaproved that Inference, or Ans-
trome men percop.
logy, or Conjecture [seo Wilson's Sankiya Kdrikd p. 21.-] which owe their birth thereto-having their root in Perception-can be the cause thereof. Therefore it in a settled point that the characteristic of Duty [ - or that whereby we are to recognive itas declared in Aph. 2.-~] is the instigationt [of the pasagge in acripture where some act in mentioned as being calculated to lead to such and such consequences].

The dowte whether the evidenct in favour of a $D$ ty may zod be fallecious as co that of the sencer.
c. From its being disproved that the senses are the cause thereaf [i. e. of our discerning Daty-] it is to be understood
 the conventiona which man han devised,-therefore, ates of the conventiona which man ham devised,-therefore, an sense
knowledge wandere away from truth in respect of mother ${ }^{\circ}$ - तम रेमुमाए। विबनानेपषन्तात्। विधमानख।



 ब्बतन्वेदनामाउगम्यखं धर्मे च्चलमिति सित्। । -"after worde and meaninga have pre-
pesit or the like [when it mistakea them for silver or the like], so since language, as it is dependent on man inafmuch as it has reference to the innowledge of a connection which wa devised by man, is lisble to part company with veracity in matters of docleration, the instigatory nature [of a passage which, being in words, is lishle to be misunderstood,] cannot be the instrument of correct knowledge in reapect of Duty":-well, this promed facte view of the matter haring presented itsalf, be declarea the eats. blighed doctrine as follows.*

## बतर्पभिक्षणु घन्दसाथंन सम्बम्बस धनुप्टेये।  <br> षखात् 14

Aph. 5.-Bnt the natural connection of a word

Roply-the this domer dow not of. fat the coidenet of Seriptores. with its sense is [the instrument of the knowledge thereof, [L. 0. of Duty], and the intimation [of Scripture which in) unerring though given in respect of something imperceptiblo. Thin [scoording to our opinion as well as that] of Bidalifysu [the author of the Vedinta Aphorisma] is the evidence [by means of which wo recognive Daty], for it hes no respect [to any other evidenco-auch as that of sense].
a. ' Of a word,'i. a. of an expreasion that in a conntituent

 ₹्स्य धथा प्रत्यब्रान गुलिषाषादो सत्यलव व्यभिषरति तथा पुषषाषीनतेन थान्द्रेपि सतयव्वर्यभिषारसमावात्र ४मे चोटना प्रमार्निति पूर्वपष्षे सिद्राजमाए।
 redise should solemnise the Agmihotra sacrifice," tac.中
b. 'With it sepse'-i. e. with the meaning vhirit is to be conveyed by this or that $\dagger$ [word].
c. 'Connection'—in tbe shape of powert [or of God's will that this or that word should convey tbis or that meaping].
d. 'Natural'-i. e. inherent,-in short, efernal. \$
e. Thence [i. e. from the eternal connection of a word with its sense] is the knowledge' thereof'i. e. of Daty. Here [i. e. in the word jndma] the affix lym conveys the force of the 'instrament', - $\rightarrow$ that the word signifies the instrument of knowledge or of right understanding.||

As objection, fouseddom the imporibitity of enritcation in the case averruled.
f. But then [some ode may ask]-" since it is notorious in the world that, after hearing the expression 'It [-e. g. a monutain-] ia. fiery,-having seen, with the organ of sense, the fire [aserted to be, e. g., in the mountain], one then admits [-What one was not prepared to admit before subjecting the matter, on some occasion or other, to the test of the senses] that matter of testimony is [or may be] matter of right knowledge
 काल हलादे।
$\dagger$ बर्येत। नभव्मतिपाबार्येंत।
$\ddagger$ बम्नस:। चर्तिक्व: 1
§ बैरार्युजिक:। सभाविक:। निख्य द्सति घबत्।
1 घतब्य। भर्मस। ज्ञारं। बान करषे ख्युट। करोंर्यार्ष्षानस करखम्।
( -0 , in other words, that Tertimony many be relied on, tociods that we havo found it corroborated by the evidence of the son-sea-] ; since [we asy] what is conveyed by Words [or Tentimoगy] han need of other evidence, such as the senses, how cap it be this to which we owe our right knowledge of Dnty ?"-It in with an eye to this [antioipated objection] that be asyn, [in the Aphorism, that the teatimony is bere the evidence] 'in respect of womething inperceptible', meaning thereby [that Duty in] something not to be apprebended by means of the senues or any other eridence* [apart from that specified in §2].
g. 'Intimation'-i, e, declaration of a fact. $\dagger$
h. 'Unerring'-i. e. which is not seen to diverge therefrom? [i. e. from the fact].
i. 'For it bas no reapect'-i. e. because it bas no reference to sense-perception, \&o.§
j. 'This'-i. e. a sentenee eonsiating of an injunction-is the evidence [on which rests our knowledge] of Duty; -uch-is thd consentaneous opinion of afDarifaña.|| The drift [of what in

\# The mention of the name of aidaniyaya (ar vydsa) is the Aphotism goes to prove that Jatmini'n work, the pirora-mimdnd, wat sot antecedant in time to vYasa the author of the effara-mindadd. Mr. Colebrooke's rea. dering of the terms pircoe and eftara by 'prior' and 'later' ( - wee Eonyo. rol. 1. Pp. 227 and 295-) would seem to bare led Dr. Ritter to mppore that
have been argaing] is this, The eentence "The mocantain is ferg", when employed by a man who is defective [in come of hin orgene of sense], wanders away trom the fact [-for the moamtain may ment to such is one to be fery when it really in not-]; therefore we require to make une of our rensee to acertrin whether crodence it due [to the tentimony of fillible man] :-bat the injunetion "Ho that deciroth Paradise abould solemnico the AF whotra macrifices" never at any time peot present or fatore is lisble thun to wander from the troth; therefare is it, independently of any thing ales, the clear evidence of a duty.*

## SECTION II.

## On fee Bthanity or Sodxd.

$7 \times$ mility

k. It wha stated in the foregoing Aphorim [ Na .5 ] that the connection between a woed and its menso in eternal [-we $\$ 5 \mathrm{~d}$.]; and sinco thin is dependent on the eternity of Sowad [-meeing that if Sound were nok eternul, then words formed of sound could not
 IV. of tie Butcory of Philoocphy, -Morimon'h verrioe-) then " cocorting te

 tan : -bat in fact the terme 'prior and leter' refer to the drivion of aro



* तत्। विधिषडितबायं। धर्मे फसाबं बादराबता

 निष्षये मवयर्वादिकमपेषते। तथा डमिशेक्य गुछोतीति पाषं काष्यसे डवर्यं न व्यभिषरति। बत त्तर्रनिरपे

be etarnal, nor consequently the relation of auch to their significu-tions-lo he, reeling to demonstrate this, setn forth, in the first plece, the prima facie view of the queation in the ahape of the opinion of those who issert that Sound is not eternal.*


Frow objection to the ceraity of Somed, that it is a product.

Aph. 6.--Some say that it [ris.Sound] is a product, for in the case of it we wee [what constitutea it such].
a. 'Some say that it is a product, \&e' :-'Some'-i. e. the followers of the Nyaya-say that Sound is s 'product',-i. e. something mat etermal ; 'for ve see'-i. e. ve see an affort made; ' in the case of it'-i. e. in the case of Sound; and it is a rule without exception that that is not eteral whiah effort is concerned in [the existence of ]. $\dagger$
b. Moreover [the Naifayikas contend thet Sound it not eternal for the following reason]t.

घंड़ानात् 1 •

Seoond abjection, thet it is tramitory.

Aph. 7.-Because of its transitorinem.

 पूर्वपषसपपदर्यति।
 तन्न। घन्द्विषये। दश्घनात्। म्रयन्नर्शनात्। यक्तिष:

$\ddagger$ किज्न !
a. 'Becane of ita tranitorinem'-i. e. becanme it in not per-manent;-or in other worde, becanse, bejond a mornent, it is no longer perceived.*
b. Mortovert [the Naiyfikik contend that Sonad is not eternal for the following reason).


Aph. 8.-Becaus [we employ, when

Third oficetion, that Soured is aferped as factition by the cerge of lerguage. speaking of Sound,] the expression 'meking.'
a. That is to asy-because we treat it an comething not eternal, ínasmach at we talk of making a sound, just an we talk of making a jar. $\$$
b. And for the following reason also, he mentions, they hald it to be not eternal.\$

Fondt objection, that the tlliged ofrmify of Somen is inconparible rifl ifs nedsEiable mitrity.

नालारे बेगपषाता।
Aph. 9.-From ite simultaneousneas in another perion.
a. [To complete the sentence] it is necessary to supply 'in another place', when we speak of 'another perma':-w then, we observe 'simultancounes', i. e. the fact of belonging to one and the came time,-'in another being'-i. e. in the perception of [Sound by] another liring creature occupping a different place. ll

$\dagger$ 年気 1
$\ddagger$ यथा घटं करोतीति तथा घन्दं करोगीीर्थानित्यालव्यलबारात् 1
§ होो डर्यनित्य क्याए।
1 सक्वाफ्तर हति। देगान्तर श्यदि पूरणीय। यया।
$b$. The scope [of the objection] is this, viz., the enidy of Sound will follow from the very same argoment hy which, for shortness, its eternity will he established [by the followers of the Mimansa, -supposing the argument to be admitted]; and thus one [numerically single] thing would be simultaneonsly perceived by [and hence aimultaneously in immediate contact -see Ny Aphorisms, No. 4-with the sense-organs of] those both near and far; -and this could not be if it were [numerically] one and oternal;-therefore [the Naiysyikas conclude] Sound is not etertoa and it is plural.*
c. And for the following reason too [aceording to the Naiyayikas] it is so [-that Sound is not eternal-], so the author mentions it $\dagger$ :

## पद्हतितिक्तार्येग्य । २ ।

Finh objection, that sounds are liable to grammatical inflection.

Aph. 10.-And [the Naiyáyikas infer that Sound is not eternal, from the observation] of the original and altered forms [of sounds].
a. What is meant is this,-because it holds universally that that is not eternal the previous condition of which undergoes a change; and in the example dadhyatra [i. e. 'milk-here'] देशान्तर । क्यन्यदेश़स्यक्वान्मरे। पाएयन्तरपप्यचे। थैगपब्यमेककालिकलंवं दष्टम्।

* क्ययमाययः। यथा लाघषराम्वित्यवं तथा तेनेव शेतुना

 डनित्य: घब्दो नानाचेति।
$\dagger$ क्रता डपि तथेयाष्य।
where the original form was cedki atre, there is i change in the ahape of the letter $y$ in the room of the ariginal letter i.:

Sirth objectios, thet Somad
 marequis meting is.

## 

Aph. 11.-And, by a maltitude of makern, there is an angmentation of it.
a. For this reamen too, it [ris. Scond] is not eternal, that "an engmentation', i. e. an increaso, 'of it', i. e. of Scond, is oberred [to be cansed], 'by a multitude of makerd', i. a. by the nomeronnese of those who make it. On the other hand, if you atsamo that homan effort in [not the maker but ouly] the manifater of Sound, [-a a lamp is not the mater of a jur but the manifeoter of it-making cognixable the jar which previonaly existed unperceived-then we reply, that,] what is manifosted is not aeon to be made greater even by a thousend manifestert, a a jar is not made larger by a thoneand lampe, [and Sound it made greater by a multitude], therefore [my the Naiyfyikas] the alternative sopposition of 'menifeatation' [instead of production] will not answer :-unch is the import.t :

## The refitatice of these object tions in medertaker.

b. The several objections thus alleged against the 'manifestation' view [of Sound's coming to be perceived], he proceeds to refute in their order.*

## 

Aph. 12.-But alike [according to both opini-
How fare bolh parties agree. ons-that of these objectora and of ourselven] is the perception thereof-bboth agreeing thet this in only for a moment, whatever difference of opinion there may be as to Sound itself: being so.]
a. 'But alike \&c.' There requires to be supplied [to complete the aphorism] 'for a moment' and 'according to both opinions.' According to both opinions,-i. es eccording to the opinion that it is produced and the opinion that it in marifested,-_' alike,' i. e. without dispute, 'the perception,' i. e. sensation of Soand, is for a moment, i. e. only for a moment. $\dagger$
6. Though [so far an thie point is concerned] they are alikg, yet which of the viewn is the best? To this question the reply in that the 'manifestation' view is the proper one; so he proceed to say as follows. $\ddagger$
 संगुपपकाते।
$\dagger$ बमर्मनिति। चर्पर्मिति मतह्र्य सतिष पूर्बीये। मतखये। उत्यदते ख्यज्यत कति मतखये। चखं। चषाबं। दर्शनं। घन्दपयचां। बमें। बविबादम्।
 युक्न हलाइ।

## कल: परसद्यंनं विषयानागसात् । शै।

How Sound criat corr chen eot manifouth

Aph. 13.-Of this [Sound] while it really exists, the non-perception at another time [than that when the round is perceived] arises from the non-arrival of the manifester at the object.
a. 'Of this while it really exista' acc. 'While it really existe,' -i. e. which is at all times extant [whether perceived or not]; 'st another time,' i. e. at a time before or after; 'the non-per. ception,' i. e. the sbrence of perception, [arises] from non-arrival of the efficient manifester at 'the object,' $i$ e. at the Sound.'
b. The import is as follows. Sound is eterna), [as we are con. atruined to admit] by force of the recognition that 'This is that asme letter $\mathbf{K}^{\prime}$ [-vis. the came Sound that I heard yesterday or fifty yeare ago-, and I recognise it an I might recognise a peak of the perennial Himflaya which I do not suppose to cease to exist when I turn away my eges from it-], and in virtue of the law of parsimonyt [one of the fundamental laws of philosophising scknowledged by philosophera both of the East and of the Weat, and implying that we must never assume more causcs of a given effect than are sufficient to account for it $\ddagger$ ].

 घबं पर्यागनाइ।

## $\dagger$ घय भाव:। ष पवायं ककार हति प्रत्यभिश्वाइसात्।

 बाषवाघ। घन्दे नित्य: 1In opposition to the Mimanaken, the Naigtyikat contend that the form of expromion 'Than is that mame leter $K$.' is grounded merely on the fart that the thiog referred to are of the rame tind,-just is is the case with the ex.
c. The conjunctions and disjunctions

What preounts it: being aloays peretived. [-or undulations-] of the air issuing from the mouth [of him who epents or shouts] remove the still air* which was the obslacle to the pereeption of Sound, and thence it becomea perceptible :-such is the reply to the objection [recorded in Aph. 7] of its 'transitoriness. $\dagger$ '
d. He next replies to the objection [recorded in Aph. 8] that we use the expression 'making' [in regard to Sound]. $\ddagger$

## मयोगस्स परम् । २४ ।

Wher we say we 'make' a soted, we onght to mean that we 'emplog' a somend.

Aph. 14.-This [ezpression 'making'] means [merely] employing.
a. In regard to Sound, when we speak of 'making,' the word 'making' mcans, or imports, 'ewploying;'i. e, uttering. $\$$
preasion 'He has taken the same medicine that I did'' $8 \infty 0$ the Siddranta Maktfoali p. 103. Compare abo the remark of Whately on the ambigaity of the word 'Same,' quoted at p. 39 of our 'Introduction to the Indoctive Philonophy.'

- Europeans hold that Sound is due to vibration. Jeimini admita that it is not perceived when there is wo vibration; hut be argues that the abeence of vihrition, or the otilness of the sir, it what preventa un from perceiving the mound which never eeases to ecist, whether perceived or not.

 ल्येन्नस्
+ कर्तिसम्टादियस्योत्तराह।
§ पतिगन्दं करेतातीयक करेगिपद्ं मयोगस। उबारसस्स। परं। तात्पर्यकम्।
b. He next replies to the objection [recorded in Aph. 9] that Sound is heard aimultaneously by different individuale.e


## चाहियवव्योगप्धम् । रू।

 ay meat, so in one round hoord. in the case of the sun.
a. 'An in the case of the sun:'-that in to may, - en the Son, which is but one, is seen simultaneously by those rationed in different pinces, wo, like the mon, Sound in a great object, not a minute onet [such as cannot come at once under the inspection of persons at any distance from one another.]
b. He next replies to the objection [recorded in Aph. 10] reopecting the ariginal and altered forms of sounds. $\ddagger$

## वर्याग्नरमषिकार: । ? ।

Intter ere exianged, sot Aph. 16.—This (vis the letter y-retrenomaded ferred to in Aph. 10. -when it comes in the room of the letter i] is another letter, not a modification [of that whooe place it takes].
a. 'Another letter' \&ec. That is to say,-in tha room of the letter $i$ is another letter-another sound-a different sound in ghort. It in not a modification of the letter $i$ as a mat is a modification of the straws [out of which it is formed]. If it soere so, thon, as the maker of a mat is onder the necesaity of providing himealf with straw, the man that employa the letter $y$ would be undor the necessity of taking the letter i§ [to make the $y$ out

- बसाकरे योगपबादिवस्सोत्ररमाइ।
+ कादिलवरिति। यथा एक: सर्य्या मानादे यब्बैयुग. पदीच्यते तथा चारिवयवक्महान् घन्दो म सूस्म कति भाब:। $\ddagger$ मद्धतिविद्धायेखे़े क्सेत्ररमाए।
§ वर्याक्तरमिति इकारघाने थकार: बर्षाफरं। घन्तायरं
of;-which is not the casc, for one can use the letter y without any refereace to the letter $i$ ].
b. He next replies to the objection [recorded in Aph. 11] that there is an angmentation* [of the sound when the makers of it are numeroas].


## मादर्चा: परा । १७।

Increces of noise mot in. Aph. 17.-It is the increase of moise [not crease of Soasd. of sound ] that is [in that case] angmented.
a. 'It is of noise,' \&c. It is an error to nay [as in Aph. 11] that it is an increase of sound that in 'augmented'-i e. rendered greater. $\dagger$
6. 'Increase of noise.' From many beatere of drumb, or pronouncera of articulate sounds, it in recognised [by the bearert] that 'There is a great sound.' In such a case it is impossible, according to the opinion of our opponents [the Naiykyiker] to say that portions of Sound being produced by each of the men [concerned in the making of the noise], produce a great bolk of Sound, like a bulk of cotton [formed out of portions of cotton aggregated], because Sound, according to these opponents, instmuch as it is a qualify, has so parts $\ddagger$ [or portions].
 खव। तथा षति कटकर्षा नियमेन तृएसम्पादभवत् यकारं प्रयुण्न्नघियमेनेकारमादघ्यादिसि भाब:।

- हृत्रेश्येस्सोत्तरमाइ।
$\dagger$ नादेति। परा। ब्रतिययिता। यन्दर्टर्टिति अमविषया।

c. Therefore,-sa there in no anriving [at an explanation otherwise], Then the conjanctions and disjunctions [occacioned by the vibration of the air] take place continually without inter. miasion, aniving from all quarter at the entrance to the hollow of the ear, it [the Sound] seemes to be great, and to be made up of parts. What is meant [in Aph. 17] by 'noise' is these conjunctions and disjunctiona, and it is just of these that an augmentation taker place [wben s multitude of persona in engaged in readering Sound manifeat].
d. Haring thus remored the objections offered by others, he proceede to state what will catahlish his own theory. $\dagger$


Sonsid Arract, rim it mold nos mead for commanicufion.

Aph. 18.-But it mast be eterav, because ita exhibition is [available-which it else vowld not be-ffor the aake of another.

वर्षिषा मश्राम् मन्द क्त्युपस्यक्यते। तन्न परमते प्रतिपुष्षं
 खणीति बक्तुमघक्यं। परमते घन्हस गुणलेन निरबयबलात् I

 निवष प्रतीयते। संयोगविभागा नादप्टेम बाच्चलेषालेष हरिरिति भाष:।
† एवं परप्रतिपादितद्रूषपान्य हुत्य समते साधकं बकंतु म्रामते ।
a. 'Eternal'-i. c. sound must be eternal. Its 'exhibition'i. e. its utterance-[herc denoted by the term] darsana [from dris to see'] because Sound is perceived or becomea manifest therehy [i. e. by means of utterance]. 'Because for the sake of another-i. e. because it is [available] to the end that anotber may underatand one's meaning. If it were not eternal, then, an it would not continue till the hearer had understood our meaning [-the perceived sound ceasing on tho instant that it reaches the ear-], the understanding [of what was uttered] would not take pluce because of the absence of the canse :-such is the import.* [The understanding of what is uttered must follono-at however short an interval-the perception of the sound uttered; and if the sound uttered perish on the hearing, then, being no longer in existence, it cannot be the cause of any thing. If, on the other hand, it continue to exist, for any period however short, after ceasing to be perccived,-it is impossible to assign any other instant at whicb there is any evidence of the discontinuance of its existence,-wbence its eternity is inferred.]
b. Moreovert [as Sound is prospectively eterna], so was it an-tccedently-for]:-

## सर्वन्न येगपद्यात् | श

Aph. 19.-[Sound is proved to be

Sownd is eternal, becatse hundrede simultaneossly recognise a sound, which cansot therefore be a neve production.
eternal] by there being everywhere simultaneousness [in the recognition of it by ever so many hearers].

- निय कति घल्को नियस्सात्। उम्सते ब्वज्यते घन्दो डनेनेति दर्शनममचारणं। तस्स परार्थतात्। ख्यन्यसार्थपति-
 परिपन्तिर्न स्यात कारणाभावादिति भाष:।
† कि解 !
a. Every where :'any in the word go, timply, [- single example of a word bere muficing te the representative of any or every word-]. 'By thert being aimultaneoneneas'-i. e. because there erise imultaneoualy [in the minds of a handred or more persons, on bearing the ward go-"s cow'] — correct recognition. That "This [letter $G$, of the word 90 ,] is that anme letter $G$ [that I have heard on an indefinite number of former oceaions, $\}^{\prime \prime}$ in the recognition, imultancounly, of many persons; and a maltitude of persons do not imoltaneously fall into as error [-thin being an unlikely as it is that a hondred arrove discherged amaltaneoualy by a bondred archere should all by mistake bit the atme object-]; such is the import."
b. When the word go "cow" has been pronounced ten times, one caya "The word go has been prononnced ten times" but not "Ten word of the form 90 bave been pronounced;" and he nert decleres that Sound is proved to be cternal by this fact also.t


## षंजाभावात्। $९^{\circ} \cdot ।$

 sond is mot enarically diffro enfirm innef repented.

Aph. 20.-[Sound is proved to be eteran] by the absence of Number.
a. 'Of Number:'-i. a because Number [which belong' to that which, being transitory, in succeeded by another of the aame kind,] does not belong to Sound [-for the word go, heard ten

- घंव्त। गोग्रन्माते। धोगपषात्। बवाधितमतिशाब पुगपदुतनेः। ष एवाय गकार रति युगवदनेकेषा पर्वनिस्त भर्बति नछ्षनेके युगप्राफा भबनीतित भाष:। $\dagger$ दगतलो गो यन्दोला
 यदो निल सर्याइ।
times over, in just the same word go,-an remarked under Aph. 19. 6.] This is plain.*
b. And for the following reason too it is eternal, at he states : $\dagger$ 一


## छनपे षत्वात्। PQ

Sound is eternal beecuipr isdimerptible.

Aph. 21.-[Sound is proved to be eternal] by there being no ground for anticipation [of ita deatruction].
a. That is to say, becauso we do not know any cane that should deatroy Sound. To explain :-ar, on the mere inspection of a web, for instance, one feeln certain that-"'Thin web wat produced by the conjunction of thread, and it will be deatroyed by the destruction of the conjonction of the threada,"- $\rightarrow$, from our having no such certainty as to any cause that should lead to the destruction of Sound, we conclade that it is eternal $\ddagger$ [-on the rame principle that the immortality of the Soul hat beon argued from its indiscerptibility].
b. But then [-some one may any-] "Sound is a modification of the air, since [-at you admit onder Aph. 17.-]] it

- संश्नेति। चल्दे घंधाभावात्। सष्ट्।
$\dagger$ हतो डपि निल क्रत्याइ।
$\ddagger$ घन्दनायकारबस्साश्रतस्वादित्यर्य:। थथा पटादिद. र्घनमाबेष पटोडयन्तन्तुसंयोगजम्यब्बनुखंयोगना खार्दिमंध्यतीति निस्चिनेगति तथा घन्दनाइकारणनिस्त्ययाभावाश्पिख्व सति भाख:।
arises from the conjunctions thereof [with the orgsin of hear-ing];-and so too the Sikshd [-that appendage to the Vedas which treats of pronunciation-] tells us 'Air arrives at the state of being Sound;'-and thus being a product of Air, it is not eterpal." This doubt having presented itself, he declarea* as follows:-


## पस्याभाषाध्ध योग्मस्स १९९ ।

Sound nor dae to Air, because not tangible.

Aph. 22.-And ]the case is not as tbe doubter under Aph. 21.b. suggests], because [if it were so] there would be no perception [by the organ of Hearing] of any ohject appropriate to it.
a. 'Appropriste to it;'-i. e. an object of the sensation that arises from the organ of Hearing-riz., Sound:--' because there would be no perception;'-i. e. by reason of our finding the absence of any perception;-because modifications of the Sir are not what the organ of Hearing takes cognizance of,Sound not being something tangible [as the Air is beld by the Naiydyikas to be, while Sound they admit has an altogether different substratum,-vis., the Ether-]; such is the import. $\dagger$
> - ननु 凶न्देर वार्युषिकार:। तद्दीयसंयोगेषत्पघ्मसात् । तथाष शिच्ता वायुरपव्यसे चब्हतामिति वायुजन्यत्वाह नित्य हत्याशक्यासाः

$\dagger$ योग्यस्य। श्रोनेश्र्यंम्यम्रत्यव्बविषयस्स। एक्हस्स। प्यसाभाबात्। पत्यच्चकावप्रस त्रात्। वायुविकारस्स श्नेते.

the [aggregate of the separate] sensea of ite worde. There is [-we will admit-] a connection between a word and ita sense [-a connection, as remarked under Aph. 5. c., in the shape of power, or of God's will that this or that word should convey this or that meaning-]; but it is not the fact that the connection between a collection of words in the shape of a sentence, and the sense of that sentence, is in like manner that of posper [-or of God's having pre-arranged that such and such groupen of words sbould convey such and such a sense]; but the connection is quite a different one, and it is devised by man, and in artificial : -how then can such be our evidence for [-or the cause of an absolutely correct knowledge of ] Duty? Such is the import of the aphorism conveying the primd facie viem.*
c. He now declares the estahlished view. $\dagger$

## नद्रूतानां कियार्थैन समाग्नाये डर्थस्स सर्चिमित्र-

## 

Aph. 25.-[In each injunction of Scripture

Amalyais of a Senterce. there is seen] the mention, slong with a verb, of those [words] that are in it, becanse it is this [ris., the knowledge of the sense of the words-] that in

* नहि पहर्य एस वाक्यार्यः । पदस पहार्यन सद्
 सम्बन्व: किन्वन्म एव। सच पुरूषकल्यित: वृत्विंस: करं

$\dagger$ सिद्रान्नाए।
the producer of [the knowledge of] the meaning [of the centence].
a. 'Of those that are in it';-i. e. of those several words that are extant in these [sentences]; 'along with what signifiea action',-i. e., along with a term that expresses power [-in other worde, along with a verb-]; 'the mention', i. e. the reading [or the employment] is observed, in [the lexts which enjoin] the Agnihotra sacrifice, \&c. Hence the knowledge of the sense of a sentence-[knowledge] which we had not before [hearing or reading it]-comes only from a collection of worda which involves a verb:- because it is this that is the producer',-i. e. because of the fact that 'this'-riz. the knowledge of the meaning of the words, is the producer', or canse, thereof.*
b. To explain :-In the injunction "He that desireth Paradiee should celebrate the Agnihotra sacrifice" [see Aph. ©. j.] the knowledge of the meaning of the sentence-riz., that it is hy the sacrifice called the Agnihotra that one may secure Paradise,does not take place unleas there be present the meaning of the words [-vis., the words Agnihotra and Paradise-]; but the knowledge of the meaning of the sentence just consists in the knowledge of the mutual relation, couristently, of the meanings
* तमूनानां। सेषु ब्तुमानां प्रयेकपपदानां। कियार्यंन। यक्तिबाषिना पद्रेम सह। समाम्नायः। पठसं। हछ्समे। खसिरेश्याबयेयुष। बात: कियाबाषकपद्धटितपद्यमूपा-
 मिभलात्। तता। पदार्थष्वां। निमिणं। कारषं बख्य। तसात्
that arise before us from the zoords:*-[so that if it be agreed that the meaning of the separate words is not dependent on man's contrivence, so neither is that of sentences formed out of such words;-and such additional difficulties an may seem to grow out of this view will be grappled with in the sequel].


## ऐोके मश्भियमात्मयोगर्सिकर्ष: स्यात्: P₹।

Aph. 26.-Since, in [the secu-

As in cerelur mattert, 80 in Scrip: ture, the formation of mencencer not capricious. Jar language of ] the world, thero is a regular order,-[ 50 in the Veda also] let there take place the employment [of language according to a fixed system to be learned througb traditional instruction].
a. 'In the world';-i. e. in secular speech;-having discerned what is meant by the word,-or, with a previous knowledge of what is meant by the word-, 'since there is a regular order' i. e. since there is an employment [of words according to a fixed nyatem,-men not devising phrases capricionaly-so] in the Feda also, let there be-founded on knowledge derived from the traditional instruction of teachers,-the 'occurrence'-i. e. the taking place-of an employment of language [according to a fixed system]. $\dagger$






Again be [the author] ironically states that thir [the Veda] is no authority in regard to duty, becanse [forsooth] it is not eternal, and it has heen made by men, and men are liable to err. ${ }^{\text {© }}$

## 

Objection to the eternity of the Vedas Aph. 27.-And the Vedas that they comatain the names of werk. some declare to be something recent, [because] there are the names of men [in it].
c. 'The Veds,' \&ec.:-i. e. since there are the nsmes Kaithaka, and Kauma, \&ce., therefore it is 'recent'-a matter of mow-that is to say having had a beginaing. 'The Veds'-i. e. the scriptores. 'Some'-i. e. the followers of the Nyiya These [followern of the Nyaya] bave asserted this, -soch is the remsindert [which requirea to be supplied in order to complete the Aphorimm].
सघियमात्। प्रयोगात्। बेद्देडि गुरूपरम्परया घानपूर्षक:। पयेगस्स सद्रिकर्ष:। हत्पनिः। स्यात्।

- वेदस्यानित्याव्वात् पोरुषेयख्वात् धमें न प्रमाखं पुरुषदोष्षम्भवर्दिति पुनराच्विपति।
$\dagger$ बेदार्गिति। यत: पुरुषास्या। काउक्रों कोममिति नामानि। क्यत: सहिकर्षे। बार्धुनिकं। साटीनित्यर्य:। बेदान्। खाम्नायान्। एके। नियायिका:। बाहुरिरित घेष: 1
b. And for the following reason also [-if we are to listen to such objectors as the Naiyayikn-] it [the Veds] is nu-eternal -3s the anthor [ironically] statea,*


## 

Objection to the efernity of the $V_{0}$ dat tirat tiney make mention of perroms who mast anterodeath hove bete born.

Aph. 28.-Because of our seeing un-eternal persons [mentioned in the Vedas].
c. 'Un-eternal,' \&c. Becanse, in such scriptures as "Baharn, the son of Prahani, desired,"-" Kusurubinda, the son of Udd\}laki, desired,"-we see mention of 'un-eternal persons,' i. e. of persons to whom belonged birth and death; and these sentences did not exiat before the birth of these persons; 50 that the noeternity and the haman origin [of the Veda] is eatablished by the fact that it had a beginning. $\dagger$
a. The author now mentions the established tenet in regard to thin. $\ddagger$

उक्तनु घंन्हपूर्षत्वम् I Re I
Aph. 29.-But there hen beed declared

The eterity of the Veda rr-abserted. [already] the priority of Sound [to any point in time].

* ूतोड़्यनित्य रूप्या
† क्रनियेति। ब्बनियानां। जननसरएबतां। बतर:
廿ेदेषु दर्र्यात्। तेषाम्ननता्मागिसानि बक्वानि गाष-

$\ddagger$ रिताभमाए।
a. 'But there has been declared,' \&cc. To supply the ellipai,the priority [to any point in time], i. e. the eternity, of Sonnd hee been entahlished. The eternity of Sound being estahlished, the eternity of the Veda also is declared in the sphorism:--such is the meaning.*


## बास्या प्रषचनात् ॥ है।

How sections of the Vodas come to be manel aftr morial.

Aph. 30.-The name [-derived from that of some mortal-was given, to thil or that section of the Veds, ] because of his reading it.
a. "The name,' i. e. the name of "the Kdihaka section," [we Aph. 27. a.], or the like, is suitable 'because of hit reading' or etudying it,-the 'Kdfhaks section' being that which was perused by Kata. $\dagger$

## परन्नु स्रुतिस्याभाम्यम् । है।

Aph. 81.-But the terms in the text [whiol

What meem to be Veate of and in the Vodes ood really auch. scem to be namea of men] are common [to other objects, ind do not there designate men].

Although there is the name "Bahara" or "Právahipi" [in the Veda,-see Aph. 28. a.], yet tbe text-the word 'Pravahapi' or the like-is 'common'-, i. e. is expressive also of some other

[^0]thing [than it may appear at first ight to denote]. Fror armm. plo-[in the word Prfoahaji--] the prefix pra implien 'excess,'the word vah signifies 'motion,'-the final $i$ represents the agent; and thus the word signifies the $W$ ind which moves very fant; and this is without beginning; and [moreover] the word "Babara" in 2 ward imitative of the sound of the Wind,-so that there is not even a mell of inconsistency.*

Objection that the Vedas contaia parmges of aher momene.
b. But then how can it be instrumental in producing right knowledge in regard to Duty, when the Veda contains such incoherent prattle as the following-; vix. "Jaradgava, in cloth alippers, standing at the door, is singing benedictions:-of him, a Brab-man-woman, desirous of a son, enquires,-' O Sir 1 -what is the meaning of this [which I hear you declaring] ebout intercourso on dags of fastingt ""-or the following; -ris. "The cown aleo attend this eacrifice"-? To this he replies an followif:-

* यर्दपि बबर प्रावश़षिरिर्यि परन्तु ग्रुतित प्रावर्० एयदियम्द्: सासाव्यं। क्यमार्थस्सापि बाषक। तथारि।
 तयाषेक्षृष्टगयाअयः। वायुपरसचानादि:। बबर शति बायु घम्ट्रनुकरणनिति नानुपपत्तिग्वेड़ि।
$\dagger$ Or, aceording to another reading, "What is the meaning of garlic an regards the godden Und ${ }^{\prime \prime}$
$\ddagger$ मनु जरह्नव: कम्बसपाटुकाभ्यां छारि खितो गायति समुणनि ते त्राह्मयो पूष्बति पुचकामा राजबनायं खन-
 मखापानां बेने स्वास् कर्य स धर्न प्रमाणनिय्या़्र।


## हते वा विमियोग: सात् कर्मरणस्मम्बस्बात् है है

Pasages at frat right wimocrieg, may be foumd, on tearination, to hare a meaning.

Aph. 32.-It [-the exprestion just charged with being incoherent-] may have an application to the action, through its really standing in relation to the action [which it aerves suggestively to inculcate as a daty].
a. 'To the action,' \&c.:-that is to say :-even such an expression so "The cows also attend this sacrifice" [Aph. 31. 8.],'through ith standing in relation'-i. e. through its really involving a matual reference to-‘ the action'-i. e. the passage enjoining come action,-has 'an application'-vis. through the praise* [which it suggesto as sttending the performance of the action].
b. The import of the argument is this, ris.-Did the very bratea -the com-engage in the sacrifice, or ought wise men to engage in itm performance? -the hinting of the doubs serves to commend $\dagger$ [that duty which even things senseless would engage in if men neglected it;-as we say, "The very stones would cry out" if men were to keep silence when under an imperative obligation to speak out].
c. So mach for the firet section of the firat Book of the commentary on the Aphorisms of Jaimini. $\%$

[^1]


[^0]:    * उक्तमिति 1 घन्दे पूर्षत्वं नित्यत्वं साधितं प्रर्गिति घेष:। घन्दे नित्यखे सिटे षेदेडपि नितयवं सने उक्तामिवर्य: I
    $t$ घास्ता। काठकाहिखमाष्ता। पबषनात्। बच्ययमात्। कठेनार्षोतं काउकमित्युपपत्रा।

[^1]:    * सत सति। कस्सष: कर्मयतिपादकवाक्यस। सक्य मात्।। परस्सराकाकाएवरितलात्। गाषो बा ह्रयादीनामपि। बते। कर्मोषि। खुलिहारा किनियोग:।
    $t$ बयनागय:। गबादयो जरा घयि कर्म्मानुष्ठानं जकु:
     अुव्षर्तमिति
    

