THE

PRINCIPLES

0 F

MORAL AND POLITICAL

PHILOSOPHY.

By WILLIAM PALEY, D. D.

THE TWELFTH EDITION,

CORRECTED BY THE AUTHOR.

VOL. I.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR R. FAULDER, NEW BOND-STREET.

M, DCC.XCIX.

THE RIGHT REVEREND

ΤO

EDMUND LAW, D.D.

LORD BISHOP OF CARLISLE.

MY LORD,

018. 1450

HAD the obligations which I owe to your Lordship's kindness been much less, or much fewer, than they are; had perfonal gratitude less any place in my mind for deliberation or for enquiry; in felecting a name which every reader might confess to be prefixed with propriety to a work, that, in many of its parts, bears no obscure relation to the general principles of natural and revealed religion, I should

have

A 3

[vi]

have found myfelf directed by many confiderations to that of the Bifhop of Carlifle. A long life fpent in the most interesting of all human pursuits, the inveftigation of moral and religious truth, in conftant and unwearied endeavours to advance their difcovery, communication and fuccess of both: a life fo occupied, and arrived at that period which renders every life venerable, commands refpect by a title which no virtuous mind will dispute, which no mind fenfible of the importance of these studies to the supreme concernments of mankind will not rejoice to fee acknowledged. Whatever difference, or whatever opposition, fome who peruse your Lordship's writings may perceive between your conclutions

clusions and their own, the good and wife of all perfuafions will revere that industry, which has for its object the illustration or defence of our common Christianity. Your Lordship's refearches have never loft fight of one purpofe, namely, to recover the fimplicity of the gofpel from beneath that load of unauthorized additions, which the ignorance of fome ages, and . the learning of others, the fuperflition of weak, and the craft of defigning men, have (unhappily for its intereft) heaped upon it. And this purpofe, I am convinced, was dictated by the purest motive; by a firm, and I think a just opinion, that whatever renders religion more rational, renders it more credible; that he who, by a dili-A 4 gent

Γ vii]

[viii]

gent and faithful examination of the original records, difmiffes from the fystem one article which contradicts the apprehension, the experience, or the reasoning of mankind, does more towards recommending the belief, and, with the belief, the influence of Christianity, to the understandings and confciences of ferious enquirers, and through them to univerfal reception and authority, than can be effected by a thousand contenders for creeds and ordinances of human eftablifhment

When the doctrine of Transubstantiation had taken possible of the Christian world, it was not without the industry of learned men that it came at length to be discovered, that no

no fuch doctrine was contained in the New Teffament. But had those excellent perfons done nothing more by their difcovery, than abolifhed an innocent fuperstition, or changed fome directions in the ceremonial of public worship, they had merited little of that veneration, with which the gratitude of Protestant churches remembers their fervices. What they did for mankind was this: they exonerated Christianity of a weight which funk it. If indolence or timidity had checked thefe exertions, or fuppreffed the fruit and publication of these enquiries, is it too much to affirm, that infidelity would at this day have been univerfal?

Γ ix]

I do not mean, my Lord, by the mention

mention of this example, to infinuate, that any popular opinion which your Lordship may have encountered, ought to be compared with transubflantiation, or that the affurance with which we reject that extravagant abfurdity is attainable in the controverfies in which your Lordship has been engaged: but I mean, by calling to mind those great reformers of the public faith, to observe, or rather to express my own perfuasion, that to reftore the purity, is most effectually to promote the progrefs of Chriftianity; and that the fame virtuous motive which hath fanctified their labours, fuggested yours. At a time when fome men appear not to perceive any good, and others to fuspect

an

F x 7

an evil tendency, in that fpirit of examination and refearch which is gone forth in Christian countries, this teftimony is become due not only to the probity of your Lordship's views, but to the general cause of intellectual and religious liberty.

T xi 7

That your Lordship's life may be prolonged in health and honour, that it may continue to afford an inftructive proof how ferene and eafy old age can be made by the memory of important and well-intended labours, by the poffeffion of public and deferved efteem, by the prefence of many grateful relatives; above all, by the refources of religion, by an unfhaken confidence in the defigns of a "faithful Creator," and a fettled truff

[xii]

trust in the truth and in the promises of Christianity, is the fervent prayer of, my Lord,

Your Lordship's dutiful, Most obliged, And most devoted fervant, WILLIAM PALEY.

Carlifle, Feb. 10, 1785.

R E F A C E. P

N the treatifes that I have met with upon the fubject of morals, I appear to myfelf to have remarked the following imperfections-either that the principle was erroneous, or that it was indiffinctly explained, or that the rules deduced from it were not fufficiently adapted to real life and to actual fituations. The writings of Grotius, and the larger work of Puffendorff, are of too forenfic a caft, too much mixed up with civil law and with the jurifprudence of Germany, to answer precifely the defign of a system of ethics-the direction of private confciences iŋ

[xiv]

in the general conduct of human life. Perhaps, indeed, they are not to be regarded as inflitutes of morality calculated to inftruct an individual in his duty, fo much as a fpecies of law books and law authorities, fuited to the practice of those courts of justice. whofe decifions are regulated by general principles of natural equity, in conjunction with the maxims of the Roman code: of which kind, I understand, there are many upon the Continent. To which may be added, concerning both these authors, that they are more occupied in defcribing the rights and usages of independent communities, than is neceffary in a work which profeffes, not to adjust the correspondence of nations, but to delineate the offices of domestic life. The profusion also of classical quotations with which many of their pages abound, feems to me a fault from which it will not be eafy to excufe them. If thefe extracts be intended as decorations of ftyle, the composition is overloaded with ornaments

ments of one kind. To any thing more than ornament they can make no claim. To propofe them as ferious arguments; gravely to attempt to eftablish or fortify a moral duty by the testimony of a Greek or Roman poet, is to trifle with the attention of the reader, or rather to take it off from all just principles of reasoning in morals.

[xv]

Of our own writers in this branch of philofophy, I find none that I think perfectly free from the three objections which I have ftated. There is likewife a fourth property obfervable almost in all of them, namely, that they divide too much of the law of nature from the precepts of revelation; fome authors industriously declining the mention of fcripture authorities, as belonging to a different province; and others referving them for a feparate volume: which appears to me much the fame defect, as if a commentator on the laws of England should content himfelf with stating upon each head the common law of the land, without taking any no-

7

tice

tice of acts of parliament; or fhould choofe to give his readers the common law in one book, and the ftatute law in another. "When "the obligations of morality are taught," fays a pious and celebrated writer, "let the "fanctions of Chriftianity never be forgotten: "by which it will be fhewn that they give "ftrength and luftre to each other : religion "will appear to be the voice of reafon, and "morality will be the will of God*."

The manner also in which modern writers have treated of fubjects of morality, is in my judgment liable to much exception. It has become of late a fashion to deliver moral inftitutes in strings or feries of detached propositions, without subjoining a continued argument or regular differtation to any of them. This fententious, apothegmatizing style by crowding propositions and paragraphs too fast upon the mind, and by carrying the eye of the reader from subject to subject in too quick a succession, gains not a sufficient hold

* Preface to The Preceptor, by Dr. Johnson.

upon

I xvii]

upon the attention, to leave either the memory furnished, or the understanding fatisfied. However useful a fyllabus of topics or a feries of propositions may be in the hands of a lecturer, or as a guide to a ftudent, who is fuppofed to confult other books. or to inftitute upon each fubject refearches of his own, the method is by no means convenient for ordinary readers; becaufe few readers are fuch thinkers as to want only a hint to fet their thoughts at work upon; or fuch as will paule and tarry at every propofition, till they have traced out its dependency, proof, relation, and confequences, before they permit themfelves to flep on to another. A refpectable writer of this class * has comprised his doctrine of flavery in the the three following propositions:

" No one is born a flave, becaufe every one " is born with all his original rights."

" No one can become a flave, becaufe no

VOL. I.

" one

[•] Dr. Ferguson, author of "Institutes of Moral Philo-" fophy," r767.

[xariii]

"one from being a perfon can, in the land "guage of the Roman law, become a thing, " or fubject of property."

" The supposed property of the master in " the slave, therefore, is matter of usurpation, " not of right."

It may be possible to deduce from these few adages such a theory of the primitive rights of human nature, as will evince the illegality of flavery; but surely an author requires too much of his reader, when he expects him to make these deductions for himself; or to supply, perhaps from some remote chapter of the same treatife, the several proofs and explanations which are necessary to render the meaning and truth of these affertions intelligible.

There is a fault, the opposite of this, which fome moralists who have adopted a different, and I think a better plan of composition, have not always been careful to avoid; namely, the dwelling upon verbal and elementary diffinctions with a labour and prolixity

T xix 1

lixity proportioned much more to the fubtlety of the question, than to sits value and importance in the profecution of the fubject. A writer upon the law of nature*, whole explications in every part of philosophy, though always diffuse, are often very successful, has employed three long fections in endeavouring to prove that " permissions are not laws." The discussion of this controversy, however effential it might be to dialectic precision, was certainly not necessary to the progress of a work defigned to defcribe the duties and obligations of civil life. The reader becomes impatient when he is detained by difquifitions which have no other object than the fettling of terms and phrafes; and, what is worfe, they for whole use such books are chiefly intended, will not be perfuaded to tead them at all.

I am led to propole these firidures, not by any propenfity to depreciate the labours

201 Le 10 1 1. 1

22

^{*} Dr. Rutherforth, author of "Inffinees of Natural (" Law." o£

[xx]

of my predeceffors, much lefs to invite a comparifon between the merits of their performances and my own; but folely by the confideration, that when a writer offers a book to the public, upon a fubject on which the public are already in poffeffion of many others, he is bound by a kind of literary juftice to inform his readers, diftinctly and fpecifically, what it is he profeffes to fupply, and what he expects to improve. The imperfections above enumerated are those which I have endeavoured to avoid or remedy. Of the execution the reader mult judge: but this was the defign.

Concerning the *principle* of morals it would be premature to fpeak; but concerning the manner of unfolding and explaining that principle, I have fomewhat which I wifh to be remarked. An experience of nine years in the office of a public tutor in one of the universities, and in that department of education to which these chapters relate, afforded me frequent occasions to observe, that, in 7

difcourfing to young minds upon topics of morality, it required much more pains to make them perceive the difficulty, than to understand the folution; that, unless the fubject was fo drawn up to a point, as to exhibit the full force of an objection, or the exact place of a doubt, before any explanation was entered upon-in other words, unlefs fome curiofity was excited before it was attempted to be fatisfied, the labour of the teacher was loft. When information was not defired, it: was feldom, I found, retained. I have made this observation my guide in the following work: that is, upon each occafion I have: endeavoured, before I fuffered myfelf to proceed in the disquisition, to put the reader in complete poffession of the question; and to do it in the way that I thought most likely. to ftir up his own doubts and folicitude. about it.

In purfuing the principle of morals through the detail of cafes to which it is applicable, I have had in view to accommodate both the a 3 choice

[xxii]

choice of the fubjects, and the manner of handling them, to the fituations which arife in the life of an inhabitant of this country, in thefe times. This is the thing that I think to be principally wanting in former treatifes; and perhaps the chief advantage which will be found in mine. I have examined no doubts, I have discussed no obscurities, I have encountered no errors, I have adverted to no controverfies, but what I have feen actually to exift. If fome of the questions treated of appear to a more inftructed reader minute or puerile, I defire fuch reader to be affured that I have found them occasions of difficulty to young minds; and what I have observed in young minds, I should expect to meet with in all who approach these subjects for the first time. Upon each article of hur man duty. I have combined with the conclufions of reason the declarations of scripture, when they are to be had, as of co-ordinate authority, and as both terminating in the fame fanctions,

In

[xxiii]

In the manner of the work, I have endeavoured fo to attemper the oppolite plans above animadverted upon, as that the reader may not accuse me either of too much hafte, or too much delay. I have beftowed upon each fubject enough of differtation to give a body and fubftance to the chapter in which it is treated of, as well as coherence and perfpicuity: on the other hand, I have feldom, I hope, exercifed the patience of the reader by the length and prolixity of my effays, or difappointed that patience at laft by the tenuity and unimportance of the conclusion.

There are two particulars in the following work for which it may be thought neceffary that I fhould offer fome excufe. The first of which is, that I have fearcely ever referred to any other book, or mentioned the name of the author whole thoughts, and fometimes; possibly, whole very expressions I shave adopted. My method of writing has possible the extract what I could from my own stores and my own reflections

in

[xxiv] `

in the first place; to put down that; and afterwards to confult upon each fubject fuch readings as fell in my way : which order, I am convinced, is the only one whereby any perfon can keep his thoughts from fliding into other men's trains. The effect of fuch a plan upon the production itself will be, that, whill fome parts in matter or manner may be new, others will be little elfe than a repetition of the old. I make no pretensions to perfect originality : I claim to be fomething more than a mere compiler. Much no doubt is borrowed; but the fact is, that the notes for this work having been prepared for fome years, and fuch things having been from time to time inferted in them as appeared to me worth preferving, and fuch infertions made commonly without the name of the author from whom they were taken, I fhould, at this time, have found a difficulty in recovering thele names with fufficient exactness to be able to render to every man his own. Nor, to speak the truth, did it appear to me worth

[**x**x**v**^{*}]

worth while to repeat the fearch merely for this purpose. When authorities are relied upon, names must be produced ; when a discovery has been made in science, it may be unjust to borrow the invention without acknowledging the author. But in an argumentative treatife, and upon a fubject which allows no place for difcovery or invention, properly fo called; and in which all that can belong to a writer is his mode of reafoning, or his judgment of probabilities; I fhould have thought it fuperfluous, had it been eafier to me than it was, to have interrupted my text, or crowded my margin, with references to every author whole fentiments I have made use of. There is, however, one work to which I owe fo much, that it would be ungrateful not to confess the obligation: I mean the writings of the late Abraham Tucker, Efq. part of which were published by himfelf; and the remainder fince his death, under the title of Harbe Light of Nature purfued, by Edward Search, Efq." I have found in this

[xxvi]

this writer more original thinking and obfervation upon the feveral fubjects that he has taken in hand, than in any other, not to fay, than in all others put together. His talent alfo for illuftration is unrivalled. But his thoughts are diffufed through a long, various, and irregular work. I fhall account it no mean praife, if I have been fometimes able to difpofe into method, to collect into heads and articles, or to exhibit in more compact and tangible maffes, what, in that otherwife excellent performance, is foread over too much furface.

The next circumstance for which some apology may be expected, is the joining of moral and political philosophy together, or the addition of a book of politics to a system of ethics. Against this objection, if it be made one, I might defend myself by the example of many approved writers, who have treated *de officiis bominis et civis*, or, as fome choose to express it, "of the rights and "obligations of man, in his individual and "focial

" focial capacity," in the fame book. I might allege, alfo, that the part a member of the commonwealth shall take in political contentions, the vote he shall give, the counfels he shall approve, the support he shall afford, or the opposition he shall make, to ny fystem of public measures-is as much a queftion of perfonal duty, as much concerns the confcience of the individual who deliberates, as the determination of any doubt which relates to the conduct of private life; that confequently political philosophy is, properly speaking, a continuation of moral philofophy; or rather indeed a part of it, fuppofing moral philosophy to have for its aim the information of the human confcience in every deliberation that is likely to come before it. I might avail myfelf of these excufes, if I wanted them; but the vindication upon which I rely is the following. In ftating the principle of morals, the reader will obferve that I have employed fome induftry in explaining the theory, and fhew-

ing

[xxvin]

ing the necessity of general rules; without the full and conftant confideration of which, I am perfuaded that no fystem of moral philofophy can be fatisfactory or confiftent. This foundation being laid, or rather this habit being formed, the difcuffion of political fubjects, to which, more than to almost any other, general rules are applicable, became clear and eafy. Whereas, had thefe topics been affigned to a diffinct work, it would have been necessary to have repeated the fame rudiments, to have eftablished over again the fame principles, as those which we had already exemplified, and rendered familiar to the reader, in the former parts of this. In a word, if there appear to any one too great a diversity, or too wide a distance, between the fubjects treated of in the courfé of the prefent volume, let him be reminded, that the doctrine of general rules pervades and connects the whole.

It may not be improper, however, to admonifh the reader, that, under the name of politics, politics, he is not to look for those occasional controverfies, which the occurrences of the prefent day, or any temporary fituation of public affairs, may excite; and most of which, if not beneath the dignity, it is befide the purpose of a philosophical inflitution to advert to. He will perceive that the feveral disquisitions are framed with a reference to the condition of this country, and of this government : but it feemed to me to belong to the defign of a work like the following, not fo much to difcufs each altercated point with the particularity of a political pamphlet upon the fubject, as to deliver those universal principles, and to exhibit that mode and train of reasoning in politics, by the due application of which every man might be enabled to attain to just conclusions of his own.

I am not ignorant of an objection that has been advanced against all abstract speculations concerning, the origin, principle, or limitation limitation of civil authority; namely, that fuch speculations posses little or no influence upon the conduct either of the state or of the fubject, of the governors of the governed; nor are attended with any useful confequences to either: that in times of tranquillity they are not wanted; in times of confusion they are never heard. This reprefentation however, in my opinion, is not juft. Times of tumult, it is true, are not the times to learn: but the choice which men make of their fide and party, in the most critical occafions of the commonwealth, may neverthelefs depend upon the leffons they have received, the books they have read, and the opinions they have imbibed, in feafons of leifure and quietness. Some judicious perfons, who were prefent at Geneva during the troubles which lately convulfed that city, thought they perceived, in the contentions there carrying on, the operation of that political theory, which the writings of Rouffeau, and the unbounded effeem in which

which these writings are held by his countrymen, had diffused amongst the people. Throughout the political difputes that have within these few years taken place in Great Britain, in her fifter kingdom, and in her foreign dependencies, it was impossible not to obferve, in the language of party, in the refolutions of popular meetings, in debate, in conversation, in the general strain of those fugitive and diurnal address to the public which fuch occasions call forth, the prevalency of those ideas of civil authority which are difplayed in the works of Mr. Locke. The credit of that great name, the courage and liberality of his principles, the skill and clearnefs with which his arguments are proposed, no less than the weight of the arguments themfelves, have given a reputation and currency to his opinions, of which I am perfuaded, in any unfettled flate of public affairs, the influence would be felt. As this is not a place for examining the truth or tendency of these doctrines, I would not be

ľ

[xxxii]

be understood, by what I have faid, to exprefs any judgment concerning either. Ι only mean to remark, that fuch doctrines are not without effect; and that it is of practical importance to have the principles from which the obligations of focial union, and the extent of civil obedience are derived. rightly explained and well underftood. Indeed, as far as I have obferved, in political, beyond all other fubjects, where men are without fome fundamental and fcientific principles to refort to, they are liable to have their understandings played upon by cant phrases and unmeaning terms, of which every party in every country poffefs a vocabulary. We appear aftonifhed when we fee the multitude led away by founds; but we fhould remember that, if founds work miracles, it is always upon ignorance. The influence of names is in exact proportion to the want of knowledge.

These are the observations with which .L have

1

[xxxiii]

have judged it expedient to prepare the attention of my reader. Concerning the perfonal motives which engaged me in the following attempt, it is not neceffary that I fay much; the nature of my academical fituation, a great deal of leifure fince my retirement from it, the recommendation of an honoured and excellent friend, the authority of the venerable prelate to whom thefe labours are infcribed, the not perceiving in what way I could employ my time or talents better, and my difapprobation in literary men of that fastidious indolence, which fits ftill becaufe it difdains to do little, were the confiderations that directed my thoughts to this defign. Nor have I repented of the undertaking. Whatever be the fate or reception of this work, it owes its author nothing. In fickness and in health I have found in it that which can alone alleviate the one, or give enjoyment to the other-occupation and engagement.

VOL. I.

CONTENTS

OF THE

FIRST VOLUME.

BOOK I.

PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.

снл	P.		P.	AGE
I., '	Definition and Use of the	Science	-	I
II.	The Law of Honour	-	-	2
Ш.	The Law of the Land	· –	-	3
	The Scriptures -	•	-	5
	The Moral Sense -		-	9
VL.	Human Happines	-	-	20
	Virtue -	-	-	4 I

BOOK IL

MORAL OBLIGATION.

I.	The Question, Why am I obliged to keep				
	my Word?	confidered	-	-	54
II:	What we mea	n, when we fa	iy a Ma	ın is	
	obliged to a	lo a Thing	•	-	57
٦	VOL. I.	. e		III.	The

CONTENTS.

CHAP.	PAGE
III. The Question, Why am I obliged	to keep
my Word? refumed -	- 59
IV. The Will of God -	- 62
V. The Divine Benevolence -	- 66
VI. Utility	- 70
VII. The Necessity of General Rules	73
VIII. The Consideration of General	· Conse-
quences pursued -	77
IX. Of Right	- 82
X. The Division of Rights	85
XI. The General Rights of Mankin.	d - 94

BOOK III.

RELATIVE DUTIES.

PART I.

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH ARE DETER-MINATE.

J.	Of Property	-	-	- 105
II.	The Use of th	e Institution	of Prop	erty 107
III.	The Hiftory	of Property	-	, 111
IV.	In what the R	ight of Prop	erty is fo	unded 114
V.	Promises	-	-	- 123
VI.	Contracts	-	+	- 142
VII.	Contracts of S	Sale	-	- 144
VHI	.Contracts of 1	Hazard	.	- 150
	•	-		IX. Gon-

CONTENTS.

CHAP.				PAGE
IX.	Contracts	of lending of	f inconsumab	le
	Proper	iy	-	- 154
Х.	Cont ra Ets	concerning	the lending	of
•	Money	•	-	- 158
XI.	Contracts	of Labour-	-Service	- 166
XII.			- Commiffion	172
XIII.			· Partnership	5 176
XIV.	······		Offices	179
XV.	Lies	•	-	- i84
XVI.	Oaths	-	÷	- 190
XVII.	Oaths in	Evidence	-) <u>-</u>	- 200
XVIII	Oaths of	Allegiance	• –	- 203
XIX.	Oath aga	inst Bribery	in the Election	071
·	of Mer	nbers of Pa	rliament	- 208
XX.	Oath aga	inst Simony	-	- 210
XXI.	Oaths to a	observe local	Statutes	- 215
XXII.	Subscript	ion of the A	rticles of Reli	gion 218
XXÌII		÷		- 221

BOOK III.

PART II.

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH ARE INDETER-MINATE, AND OF THE CRIMES OPPOSED TO THESE.

I. Charity - - - 231 II. Charity—The Treatment of our Domeflics and Dependents - 233 III. Slavery CONTENTS.

CHAP.			PAGE
III. Slavery	•	-	- 236
IV. Charity-P	rofessional 2	Assistance	- 2 4I
V. Charity-Pe	cuniary Bo	ounty	- 245
VI. Resentment	· -	. 2	- 260
VII. Anger	•	-	- 261
VIII. Revenge		<u> </u>	- 265
IX. Duelling	.	-	- 272
X. Litigation	-	-	- 277
XI. Gratitude	-	-	- 283
XII. Slander	- .	-	- 286

BOOK III.

PART III.

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH RESULT FROM THE CONSTITUTION OF THE SEXES, AND OF THE CRIMES OPPOSITE TO THESE.

].	Of the public	Use of Marri	ag e Instit u	tions 293
II.	Fornication	7	-	- 295
III.	Seduction	•	,	- 304
1V.	Adultery	-	-	- 309
v.	Inceft	-	-	- 316
`VI.	Polygam y	-	•	- 319
VII.	Divorce	-	- ``	- 326
VļII	. Marriage	-	-	- 339
1X.	Of the Duty	of Parents	-	- 345
X.	The Rights	of Parents	.	- 3 68
XI.	The Duty of	^r Children	.	- 371

MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

BOOK I.

PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.

CHAP. I.

DEFINITION AND USE OF THE SCIENCE.

ORAL PHILOSOPHY, Morality, Ethics, Cafuiftry, Natural Law, mean all the fame thing; namely, That fcience which teaches men their duty and the reafons of it.

The use of such a study depends upon this, that, without it, the rules of life, by which men are ordinarily governed, oftentimes mislead them, through a defect either in the rule, or in the application.

These rules are, the Law of Honour, the Law of the Land, and the Scriptures.

VOL. I.

B

CHAP.

THE LAW OF HONOUR.

CHAP. II.

THE LAW OF HONOUR.

THE Law of Honour is a fystem of rules constructed by people of fashion, and calculated to facilitate their intercourse with one another; and for no other purpose.

Confequently, nothing is adverted to by the Law of Honour, but what tends to incommode this intercourfe.

Hence this law only prefcribes and regulates the duties *betwixt equals*; omitting fuch as relate to the Supreme Being, as well as those which we owe to our inferiors.

For which reason, profanenels, neglect of public worthip or private devotion, cruelty to fervants, rigorous treatment of tenants or other dependants, want of charity to the poor, injuries done to tradesimen by infolvency or delay of payment, with numberless examples of the same kind, are accounted no breaches of honour; because a man is not a less agreeable companion for these vices, nor the worse to deal with, in those

THE LAW OF THE LAND.

those concerns which are usually transacted between one gentleman and another.

Again, the Law of Honour being conflituted by men occupied in the purfuit of pleafure, and for the mutual conveniency of fuch men, will be found, as might be expected from the character and defign of the law-makers, to be, in most inflances, favourable to the licentious indulgence of the natural passions.

Thus it allows of fornication, adultery, drunkennefs, prodigality, duciling, and of revenge in the extreme; and lays no ftrefs upon the virtues opposite to thefe.

CHAP. III.

THE LAW OF THE LAND.

THAT part of mankind, who are beneath the Law of Honour, often make the Law of the Land their rule of life; that is, they are fatisfied with themfelves, fo long as they do or omit nothing, for the doing or omitting of which the law can punifh them.

B 2

Whereas

2

Whereas every fystem of human laws, confidered as a rule of 1 fe, labours under the two following defects.

I. Human laws omit many duties, as not objects of compulsion; such as piety to God, bounty to the poor, forgiveness of injuries, education of children, gratitude to benefactors.

The law never fpeaks but to command, nor commands but where it can compel; confequently those duties, which by their nature must be *voluntary*, are left out of the statute-book, as lying beyond the reach of its operation and authority.

II. Human laws permit, or, which is the fame thing, fuffer to go unpunched, many crimes, becaufe they are incapable of being defined by any previous defcription — Of which nature is luxury, prodigality, partiality in voting at those elections in which the qualifications of the candidate ought to determine the fucces, caprice in the dispofition of men's fortunes at their death, disresset to parents, and a multitude of fimilar examples.

For this is the alternative: either the law muft define beforehand and with precifion the offences which it punifhes, or it must be left to he *difcretion* of the magistrate to determine upon each particular accusation, whether it constitute

that

that offence which the law defigned to punifh, or not; which is in effect leaving to the magiftrate to punifh or not to punifh, at his pleafure, the individual who is brought before him: which is just fo much tyranny. Where, therefore, as in the inflances above-mentioned, the distinction between right and wrong is of too subtile or of too fecret a nature to be afcertained by any preconcerted language, the law of most countries, especially of free states, rather than commit the liberty of the subject to the discretion of the magistrate, leaves men in such cases to themselves.

CHAP. IV.

THE SCRIPTURES.

WHOEVER expects to find in the Scriptures a fpecific direction for every moral doubt that arifes, looks for more than he will meet with. And to what a magnitude fuch a detail of particular precepts would have enlarged the facred volume, may be partly underflood from the following confideration. The laws of this country, including the acts of the legifla-B 3 ture, ture, and the decifions of our fupreme courts of juftice, are not contained in fewer than fifty folio volumes; and yet it is not once in ten attempts that you can find the cafe you look for, in any law-book whatever; to fay nothing of those numerous points of conduct, concerning which the law profess not to prescribe or deterfine any thing. Had then the fame particularity, which obtains in human laws fo far as they go, been attempted in the Scriptures, throughout the whole extent of morality, it is manifest they would have been by much too bulky to be either read or circulated; or rather, as St. John fays, " even the world itself could " not contain the books that should be written."

Morality is taught in Scripture in this wife. General rules are laid down of piety, juftice, benevolence, and purity: fuch as worfhipping. God in fpirit and in truth; doing as we would be done by; loving our neighbout as ourfelf; forgiving others, as we expect forgiveness from God; that mercy is better than facrifice; that not that which entereth into a man (nor, by parity of reason, any ceremonial pollutions), but that which proceedeth from the heart, defileth him. These rules are occasionally illustrated, either by fillutious examples, as in the parable of the good

б

7

good Samaritan; and of the cruel fervant, who refused to his fellow-fervant that indulgence and compassion which his master had shewn to him : or in instances which actually presented themselves. as in Christ's reproof of his disciples at the Samaritan village; his praife of the poor widow, who caft in her last mite; his censure of the Pharifees, who chofe out the chief rooms-and of the tradition, whereby they evaded the command to fustain their indigent parents : or lafly. in the refolution of questions, which those who were about our Saviour proposed to bim; as in his anfwer to the young man who afked him, "What " lack I yet?" and to the honeft fcribe, who had found out, even in that age and country, that " to love God and his neighbour was more than " all whole burnt offerings and facrifice."

And this is in truth the way in which all practical fciences are taught, as Arithmetic, Grammar, Navigation, and the like-Rules are laid down, and examples are fubjoined; not that these examples are the cafes, much lefs all the cafes which will actually occur, but by way only of explaining the principle of the rule, and as fo many specimens of the method of applying it. The chief difference is, that the examples in Scripture are not annexed to the rules with the didactic

dactic regularity to which we are now-a-days accuftomed, but delivered difperfedly, as particular occafions fuggefted them; which gave them however, (efpecially to thofe who heard them, and were prefent to the occafions which produced them,) an energy and perfuafion, much beyond what the fame or any inflances would have appeared with, in their places in a fystem.

Befide this, the Scriptures commonly prefuppofe, in the perfons to whom they fpeak, a knowledge of the principles of natural juffice; and are employed not fo much to teach *new* rules of morality, as to enforce the practice of it by *new* fanctions, and by a greater certainty: which laft feems to be the proper bufinefs of a revelation from God, and what was moft wanted.

Thus the "unjuft, covenant-breakers and extortioners," are condemned in Scripture, fuppoling it known, or leaving it, where it admits of doubt, to moralifts to determine, what injuftice, extortion, or breach of covenant are.

The above confiderations are intended to prove that the Scriptures do not fuperfede the use of the fcience of which we profess to treat, and at the fame time to acquit them of any charge of imperfection or infufficiency on that account.

CHAP.

THE MORAL SENSE.

9

CHAP. V.

THE MORAL SENSE.

THE father of Caius Toranius had been proferibed by the triumvirate. Gaius " Toranius, coming over to the interests of that " party, discovered to the officers, who were in " pursuit of his father's life, the place where he " concealed himfelf, and gave them withal a de-5 feription, by which they might diffinguish " his perfon, when they found him. The old " man, more anxious for the fafety and for-" tunes of his fon, than about the little that " might remain of his own life, began imme-" diately to inquire of the officers who feized " him, whether his fon was well, whether he " had done his duty to the fatisfaction of his " generals. That fon, replied one of the of-" ficers, fo dear to thy affections, betrayed thee " to us; by his information thou art appre-" hended, and dieft. The officer with this " ftruck a poniard to his heart, and the un-" happy parent fell, not fo much affected by " his

THE MORAL SENSE.

10

" his fate, as by the means to which he owed " it*."

Now the queftion is, whether, if this ftory were related to the wild boy caught fome years ago in the woods of Hanover, or to a favage without experience, and without inftruction, cut off in his infancy from all intercourfe with his fpecies, and, confequently, under no poffible influence of example, authority, education, fympathy, or habit; whether, I fay, fuch a one would feel, upon the relation, any degree of that fentiment of di fapprobation of Toranius's conduct which we feel, or not.

They who maintain the existence of a moral fense; of innate maxims; of a natural confcience; that the love of virtue and hatred of vice are instinctive; or the perception of right and wrong intuitive (all which are only different " " Caius Toranius triumvirum partes securus, proscripti " patris sui prætorii et ornati viri latebras, ætatem, notasque " corporis, quibus agnosci posset, centurionibus edidit, qui " eum persecuti funt. Senex de filii magis vitâ, et incremen-" tis, quam de reliquo spiritu suo sollicitus; an incolumis " effet, et an imperatoribus satisfaceret, interrogare cos cœpit. " E quibus unus: ab illo, inquit, quem tantoperè diligis, de-" monstratus, nostro ministerio, filii indicio occideris: pro-" tinusque pectus ejus gladio trajecit. Collapsus itaque est " infelix, auctore cædis, quam ipsa cæde, miserior."

VALER. MAX. Lib. IX. Cap. 11. Ways ways of expressing the same opinion), affirm that he would.

They who deny the existence of a moral fense, &cc. affirm that he would not.

And upon this iffue is joined.

As the experiment has never been made, and, from the difficulty of procuring a fubject (not to mention the impoffibility of proposing the queltion to him, if we had one), is never likely to be made, what would be the event, can only be judged of from probable reasons.

They who contend for the affirmative obferve, that we approve examples of generofity, gratitude, fidelity, &c. and condemn the contrary, inftantly, without deliberation, without having any intereft of our own concerned in them, ofttimes without being confcious of, or able to give, any reafon for our approbation : that this approbation is uniform and univerfal; the fame forts of conduct being approved or difapproved in all ages and countries of the world—circumftances, fay they, which ftrongly indicate the operation of an inftinct or moral fenfe.

On the other hand, answers have been given to most of these arguments, by the patrons of the opposite system: and,

First, as to the uniformity above alleged, they con-

controvert the fact. They remark, from authentic accounts of historians and travellers, that there is fcarcely a fingle vice which in fome age or country of the world has not been countenanced by public opinion; that in one country it is efteemed an office of piety in children to fustain their aged parents, in another to dispatch them out of the way; that fuicide in one age, of the world has been heroifm, is in another felony; that theft, which is punished by most laws, by the laws of Sparta was not unfrequently rewarded; that the promiscuous commerce of the fexes, although condemned by the regulations and cenfure of all civilized nations, is practifed by the favages of the tropical regions without referve, compunction, or difgrace; that crimes, of which it is no longer permitted us even to fpeak, have had their advocates amongst the fages of very renowned times: that, if an inhabitant of the polished nations of Europe be delighted with the appearance, wherever he meets with it, of happinels, tranquillity, and comfort, a wild American is no lefs diverted with the writhings and contortions of a victim at the stake: that even amongst ourselves, and in the present improved state of moral knowledge, we are far from a perfect confent in our opinions or feelings; that

12

that you shall hear duelling alternately reprobated and applauded, according to the fex, age, or flation of the perfon you converse with; that the forgiveness of injuries and infults is accounted by one fort of people magnanimity, by another meannefs: that in the above inflances, and perhaps in most others, moral approbation follows the fashions and inflitutions of the country we live in; which fashions also and institutions themfelves have grown out of the exigencies, the climate, fituation, or local circumstances of the country; or have been fet up by the authority of an arbitrary chieftain, or the unaccountable caprice of the multitude-all which, they obferve, looks very little like the fleady hand and indelible characters of nature. But.

Secondly, becaufe, after thefe exceptions and abatements, it cannot be denied, but that fome forts of actions command and receive the efteem of mankind *more* than others; and that the approbation of them is general, though not univerfal: as to this they fay, that the general approbation of virtue, even in inftances where we have no intereft of our own to induce us to it, may be accounted for, without the affiftance of a moral-fenfe, thus:

" Having experienced, in some instance, a " parti-

** particular conduct to be beneficial to ourfelves, ** or obferved that it would be fo, a fentiment ** of approbation rifes up in our minds, which ** fentiment afterwards accompanies the idea or ** mention of the fame conduct, although the ** private advantage which first excited it no ** longer exist."

And this continuance of the paffion, after the reafon of it has ceafed, is nothing more, fay they, than what happens in other cafes; efpecially in the love of money, which is in no perfon fo eager, as it is oftentimes found to be in a rich old mifer, without family to provide for, or friend to oblige by it, and to whom confequently it is no longer (and he may be fenfible of it too) of any real use or value: yet is this man as much overjoyed with gain, and mortified by loss, as he was the first day he opened his shop, and when his very subsistence depended upon his success in it.

By these means the custom of approving certain actions commenced; and when once such a custom hath got footing in the world, it is no difficult thing to explain how it is transmitted and continued; for then the greatest part of those who approve of virtue, approve of it from authority, by imitation, and from a habit of approving

14

proving fuch and fuch actions, inculcated in early youth, and receiving, as men grow up, continual acceffions of ftrength and vigour, from cenfure and encouragement, from the books they read, the converfations they hear, the current application of epithets, the general turn of language, and the various other caufes, by which it univerfally comes to pafs, that a fociety of men, touched in the feebleft degree with the fame paffion, foon communicate to one another a great degree of it*. This is the cafe with most of us at prefent; and is the caufe alfo, that the *procefs of affociation*, defcribed in the last paragraph but one, is little now either perceived or wanted.

Amongst the causes affigned for the continuance and diffusion of the fame moral fentiments

* " From inflances of popular tumults, feditions, factions, panies, and of all paffions, which are fhared with a multitude, we may learn the influence of fociety, in exciting and fupporting any emotion; while the moft ungovernable diforders are raifed, we find, by that means, from the flighteft and moft frivolous occafions.—He muft be more or lefs than man, who kindles not in the common blaze. What wonder then, that moral fentiments are found of fuch influence in life, though fpringing from principles, which may appear, at firft fight, fomewhat fmall and delicate !"

> Hume's Enquiry concerning the Principles of Morals, Sra. 1X. p. 326.

> > amongft

amongst mankind, we have mentioned imitation. The efficacy of this principle is most observable. in children; indeed, if there be any thing in them, which deferves the name of an instinct, it is their propenfity to imitation. Now there is nothing which children imitate or apply more readily than expressions of affection and aversion, of approbation, hatred, refentment, and the like; and when these passions and expressions are once connected, which they foon will be by the fame affociation which unites words with their ideas, the paffion will follow the expression, and attach upon the object to which the child has been accustomed to apply the epithet. In a word, when almost every thing elfe is learned by imitation, can we wonder to find the fame caufe concerned in the generation of our moral fentiments?

Another confiderable objection to the fystem. of moral inflincts is this, that there are no maxims in the fcience, which can well be deemed innate, as none perhaps can be affigned, which are abfolutely and univerfally *true*; in other words, which do not *bend* to circumstances. Veracity, which seems, if any be, a natural duty, is *excufed* in many cases towards an enemy, a thief, or a madman. The obligation of promises, which is a first principle in morality, depends pends upon the circumstances under which they were made: they may have been unlawful, or become so fince, or inconsistent with former promises, or erroneous, or extorted; under all which cases, instances may be suggested, where the obligation to perform the promise would be very dubious, and so of most other general rules, when they come to be actually applied.

An argument has been also proposed on the fame fide of the question of this kind. Together with the inflinct, there must have been implanted, it is faid, a clear and precise idea of the object upon which it was to attach. The inflinct and the idea of the object are infeparable even in imagination, and as necessfarily accompany each other as any correlative ideas whatever: that is, in plainer terms, if we be prompted by nature to the approbation of particular actions, we must have received also from nature a distinct conception of the action we are thus prompted to approve ; which we certainly have not received.

But as this argument bears alike against all inflincts, and against their existence in brutes as well as in men, it will hardly, I suppose, produce conviction, though it may be difficult to find an answer to it.

VOL. I.

C

Upon

Upon the whole, it feems to me, either that there exift no fuch inftincts as compose what is called the moral fense, or that they are not now to be diffinguished from prejudices and habits; on which account they cannot be depended upon in moral reasoning: I mean that it is not a fase way of arguing, to assume certain principles as so many dictates, impulses, and instincts of nature, and then to draw conclusions from these principles, as to the rectitude or wrongness of actions, independent of the tendency of such actions, or of any other consideration whatever.

Ariflotle lays down, as a fundamental and felf-evident maxim, that nature intended barbarians to be flaves; and proceeds to deduce from this maxim a train of conclusions, calculated to justify the policy which then prevailed. And I question whether the fame maxim be not still felf-evident to the company of merchants tradingto the coast of Africa.

Nothing is fo foon made as a maxim; and it appears from the example of *Ariflotle*, that authority and convenience, education, prejudice, and general practice, have no fmall fhare in the making of them; and that the laws of cuftom are very apt to be miflaken for the order of nature.

- 18

For

For which reason, I suspect, that a system of morality, built upon instincts, will only find out reasons and excuses for opinions and practices already established—will seldom correct or reform either.

But farther, fuppole we admit the existence of these instincts, what, it may be asked, is their authority. No man, you say, can act in deliberate opposition to them, without a secret remorfe of conficience. But this remorfe may be borne with: and if the sinner choose to bear with it, for the sake of the pleasure or the profit which he expects from his wickedness; or finds the pleafure of the fin to exceed the remorfe of conscience, of which he alone is the judge, and concerning which, when he feels them both together, he can hardly be mistaken, the moralinstinct man, as far as I can understand, has nothing more to offer.

For if he allege that these inflincts are so many indications of the will of God, and confequently presages of what we are to look for hereaster, this, I answer, is to resort to a rule and a motive, ulterior to the inflincts themselves, and at which rule and motive we shall by and by arrive by a furer road—I fay *furer*, so long as there remains a controversy whether there be

C 2

any

any inflinctive maxims at all; or any difficulty in afcertaining what maxims are inflinctive.

This celebrated queftion therefore becomes in our fystem a queftion of pure curiofity; and as fuch we difmifs it to the determination of those who are more inquisitive, than we are concerned to be, about the natural history and constitution of the human species.

CHAP. VI.

HUMAN HAPPINESS.

THE word *bappy* is a relative term; that is, when we call a man happy, we mean that he is happier than fome others, with whom we compare him; than the generality of others; or than he himfelf was in fome other fituation: thus, fpeaking of one who has just compassed the object of a long purfuit, "now," we fay, "he is happy;" and in a like comparative fense, compared, that is, with the general lot of mankind, we call a man happy who posses health and competency.

In strictness, any condition may be denominated

20

nated happy, in which the amount or aggregate of pleafure exceeds that of pain; and the degree of happiness depends upon the quantity of this excels.

And the greatest quantity of it ordinarily attainable in human life, is what we mean by happinefs, when we inquire or pronounce what human happiness consists in *.

* If any palitive lignification, diftinct from what we mean by pleafure, can be affixed to the term ".happinefs," I should take it to denote a certain flate of the nervous fystem in that part of the human frame in which we feel joy and grief, paffions and affections. Whether this part be the heart, which the turn of most languages would lead us to believe, or the diaphragm, as Buffon, or the upper orifice of the Romach, as Van Helmont thought; or rather be a kind of fine network, lining the whole region of the præcordia, as others have imagined; it is possible, not only that each painful fenfation may violently shake and disturb the fibres at the time, but that a feries of fuch may at length to derange the very texture of the fystem, as to produce a perpetual irritation, which will fhew itfelf by fretfulnefs, impatience, and reftleffnefs. It is possible also, on the other hand, that a fuccession of pleafurable fentations may have fuch an effect upon this fubtile organization, as to caufe the fibres to relax, and retum into their place and order, and thereby to recover, or, if not loft, to preferve that harmonious conformation which gives to the mind its fenfe of complacency and fatisfaction. This flate may be denominated happiness, and is fo far diffinguishable from pleasure, that it does not refer to any particu-72[

Ĩn

C 3

In which inquiry I will omit much ufual declamation upon the dignity and capacity of our nature; the fuperiority of the foul to the body, of the rational to the animal part of our conflitution; upon the worthinefs, refinement, and delicacy of fome fatisfactions, or the meannefs, groffnefs, and fenfuality of others: becaufe I hold that pleafures differ in nothing, but in continuance and intenfity; from a just computation of which, confirmed by what we obferve of the apparent cheerfulnefs, tranquillity, and contentment, of men of different tastes, tempers, stations, and pursuits, every question concerning human happines must receive its decision.

It will be our bufinefs to fhew, if we can,

I. What Human Happiness does not confist in:

II. What it does confift in.

FIRST, then, Happiness does not confist in

lar object of enjoyment, or confift, like pleafure, in the gratification of one or more of the fenfes, but is rather the focondary effect which fuch objects and gratifications produce upon the netvous fystem, or the state in which they leave it. These conjectures belong not, however, to our province. The comparative fense, in which we have explained the term happinels, is more popular, and is fufficient for the purpose of the present chapter.

the

the pleafures of fenfe, in whatever profusion or variety they be enjoyed. By the pleafures of fenfe I mean, as well the animal gratifications of eating, drinking, and that by which the fpecies is continued, as the more refined pleafures of music, painting, architecture, gardening, fplendid shows, theatric exhibitions; and the pleafures, lastly, of active sports, as of hunting, shooting, fishing, &c. For,

1/l, These pleasures continue but a little while at a time. This is true of them all, especially of the groffer fort of them. Laying aside the preparation, and the expectation, and computing strictly the actual sensation, we shall be surprised to find how inconsiderable a portion of our time they occupy, how few hours in the four-and-twenty they are able to fill up,

2*dly*, Thefe pleafures, by repetition, lofe their relifh. It is a property of the machine, for which we know no remedy, that the organs, by which we perceive pleafure, are blunted and benumbed by being frequently exercised in the fame way. There is hardly any one who has not found the difference between a gratification, when new, and when familiar; or any pleafure which does not become indifferent as it grows habitual.

C 4

23

3dly,

3dly, The eagerness for high and intense delights takes away the relish from all others; and as such delights fall rarely in our way, the greater part of our time becomes from this cause empty and uneasy.

- There is hardly any delution by which men are greater fufferers in their happines, than by their expecting too much from what is called pleasure; that is, from those intense delights, which vulgarly engrofs the name of pleafure. The very expectation spoils them. When they do come, we are often engaged in taking pains to perfuade ourfelves how much we are pleafed. rather than enjoying any pleafure, which fprings naturally out of the object. And whenever we depend upon being vaftly delighted, we always go home fecretly grieved at miffing our aim. Likewife, as has been observed just now, when this humour of being prodigiously delighted has once taken hold of the imagination, it hinders us from providing for, or acquiefcing in, those gently foothing, engagements, the due variety and fucceffion of which, are the only things that supply a vein or continued stream of happines.

What I have been able to observe of that part of mankind, whose professed pursuit is pleasure, and who are withheld in the pursuit

~

þy

by no reftraints of fortune, or fcruples of conficience, corresponds fufficiently with this account. I have commonly remarked in fuch men, a reftlefs and inextinguishable passion for variety; a great part of their time to be vacant, and fo much of it irksome; and that, with whatever eagerness and expectation they set out, they become, by degrees, fastidious in their choice of pleasure, languid in the enjoyment, yet miserable under the want of it.

The truth feems to be that there is a limit, at which thefe pleafures foon arrive, and from which they ever afterwards decline. They are by necellity of fhort duration, as the organs cannot hold on their emotions beyond a certain length of time; and if you endeavour to compensate for this imperfection in their nature by the frequency with which you repeat them, you suffer more than you gain, by the fatigue of the fasulties, and the diminution of fensibility.

We have faid nothing in this account of the lois of opportunities, or the decay of faculties, which, whenever they happen, leave the voluptuary defitute and defiberate; teafed by defires that can never be gratified, and the memory of pleafures which must return no more.

It will also be allowed by those who have experienced,

perienced it, and perhaps by those alone, that pleafure which is purchased by the incumbrance of our fortune, is purchased too dear; the pleafure never compensating for the perpetual irritation of embarrassed circumstances.

There pleafures, after all, have their value; and, as the young are always too eager in their purfuit of them, the old: are fometimes too remifs; that is, too fludious of their eafe, to be at the pains for them, which they really de_{τ} ferve.

SECONDLY, Neither does happinels confift in an exemption from pain, labour, care, bufinels, fulpenfe, moleftation, and "thole evils which are without;" fuch a ftate being ufually attended, not with eafe, but with depression of fpirits, a tasteles in all our ideas, imaginary anxieties, and the whole train of hypochondriacal affections.

For which reason, the expectations of those, who retire from their shops and counting-houses, to enjoy the remainder of their days in leisure and tranquillity, are seldom answered by the effect; much less of such as, in a fit of chagrin, shut themselves up in cloissers and hermitages, or quit the world, and their stations in it, for folitude and repose.

Where

20

• Where there exifts a known external caufe of uneafinefs, the caufe may be removed, and the uneafinefs will ceafe. But those imaginary distress which men feel for want of real ones (and which are equally tormenting, and fo far equally real), as they depend upon no fingle or affignable subject of uneafiness, admit oftentimes of no application or relief.

Hence a moderate pain, upon which the attention may fasten and spend itself, is to many a refreshment; as a fit of the gout will sometimes cure the spleen. And the same of any less violent agitation of the mind, as a literary controvers, a law-fuit, a contested election, and, above all, gaming; the passion for which, in men of fortune, and liberal minds, is only to be accounted for on this principle.

THIRDLY, Neither does happiness confist in greatness, rank, or elevated station.

Were it true that all fuperiority afforded pleafure, it would follow, that, by how much we were the greater, that is, the more perfons we were fuperior to, in the fame proportion, fo far as depended upon this caufe, we fhould be the happier; but fo it is, that no fuperiority yields any fatisfaction, fave that which we posses or obtain over those with whom we immediately compare compare ourfelves. The fhepherd perceives no pleafure in his fuperiority over his dog; the farmer in his fuperiority over the fhepherd; the lord in his fuperiority over the farmer; nor the king, laftly, in his fuperiority over the lord. Superiority, where there is no competition, is feldom contemplated; what most men indeed are quite unconficious of.

But if the fame shepherd can run, fight, or . wreftle better than the peafants of his village; if the farmer can shew better cattle, if he keep a better horse, or be supposed to have a longer purse than any farmer in the hundred; if the lord have more interest in an election, greater favour at court, a better house, or larger estate than any nobleman in the county; if the king posses a more extensive territory, a more powerful fleet or army, a more splendid establishment, more loyal subjects, or more weight and authority in adjusting the affairs of nations, than any prince in Europe: in all these cases the parties feel an actual fatisfaction in their superiority.

Now the conclusion that follows from hence is this—that the pleasures of ambition, which are supposed to be peculiar to high stations, are in reality common to all conditions. The farrier rier who shoes a horse better, and who is in greater request for his skill than any man within ten miles of him, possesses, for all that I can see, the delight of distinction and of excelling, as truly and substantially as the states frame, the soldier, and the scholar, who have filled Europe with the reputation of their wisdom, their valour, or their knowledge.

No fuperiority appears to be of any account, but fuperiority over a rival. This, it is manifeft, may exift wherever rivalfhips do; and rivalfhips fall out amongft men of all ranks and degrees. The object of emulation, the dignity or magnitude of this object, makes no difference; as it is not what either poffeffes that conflitutes the pleafure, but what one poffeffes more than the other.

Philosophy smiles at the contempt with which the rich and great speak of the petty strifes and competitions of the poor; not reflecting that these strifes and competitions are just as reasonable as their own, and the pleasure, which success affords, the same.

Our polition is, that happinels does not confift in greatnels. And this polition we make out by shewing, that even what are supposed to be the peculiar advantages of greatnels, the pleafures

pleafures of ambition and superiority, are in reality common to all conditions. But whether the pursuits of ambition be ever wife, whether they contribute more to the happiness or misery of the pursuers, is a different question ; and a queftion concerning which we may be allowed to entertain great doubt. The pleafure of fuccels is exquisite; fo also is the anxiety of the purfuit, and the pain of disappointmentand what is the worst part of the account, the pleafure is fhort-lived. We foon ceafe to look back upon those whom we have left behind; new contells are engaged in, new prospects unfold themfelves; a fucceffion of ftruggles is kept up, whilft there is a rival left within the compass of our views and profession; and when there is none, the pleafure with the purfuit is at an end.

II. We have feen what happines does not confist in. We are next to confider in what it does confist.

In the conduct of life, the great matter is, to know beforehand, what will pleafe us, and what pleafure will hold out. So far as we know this, our choice will be justified by the event. And this knowledge is more fcarce and difficult than at first fight it may feem to be; for fometimes, times, pleafures, which are wonderfully alluring and flattering in the profpect, turn out in the poffeffion extremely infipid; or do not hold out as we expected: at other times pleafures ftart up, which never entered into our calculation; and which we might have miffed of by not forefeeing: from whence we have reafon to believe, that we actually do mifs of many pleafures from the fame caufe. I fay, to know " beforehand," for after the experiment is tried, it is commonly impracticable to retreat or change; befide that fhifting and changing is apt to generate a habit of reftleffnefs, which is deftructive of the happinefs of every condition.

By reafon of the original diverfity of tafte, capacity, and conftitution, obfervable in the human fpecies, and the ftill greater variety, which habit and fashion have introduced in these particulars, it is impossible to propose any plan of happines, which will succeed to all, or any method of life which is universally eligible or practicable.

All that can be faid is, that there remains a prefumption in favour of those conditions of life, in which men generally appear most cheerful and contented. For though the apparent happines happiness of mankind be not always a true meafure of their real happines, it is the best meafure we have.

Taking this for my guide, I am inclined to believe that happiness confists,

I. In the exercise of the focial affections.

Those perfons commonly posses good spirits, who have about them many objects of affection and endearment, as wife, children, kindred, friends. And to the want of these may be imputed the peevishness of monks, and of such as lead a monastic life.

Of the fame nature with the indulgence of our domeftic affections, and equally refreshing to the spirits, is the pleasure which results from acts of bounty and beneficence, exercised either in giving money, or in imparting to those who want it the affistance of our skill and profesfion.

Another main article of human happines is,

II. The exercise of our faculties, either of body or mind, in the pursuit of some engaging end.

It feems to be true, that no plenitude of prefent gratifications can make the possession happy for a continuance, unless he have something in referve

32.

referve—fomething to hope for, and look forward to. This I conclude to be the cafe, from comparing the alacrity and spirits of men, who are engaged in any pursuit which interests them, with the dejection and *ennui* of almost all, who are either born to so much that they want nothing more, or who have *ufed up* their statisfactions too soon, and drained the sources of them.

It is this intolerable vacuity of mind, which carries the rich and great to the horfe-courfe and the gaming-table; and often engages them in contefts and purfuits, of which the fuccefs bears no proportion to the folicitude and expence with which it is fought. An election for a difputed borough fhall coft the parties twenty or thirty thoufand pounds each, to fay nothing of the anxiety, humiliation, and fatigue of the canvafs; when a feat in the houfe of commons, of exactly the fame value, may be had for a tenth part of the money, and with no trouble. I do not mention this to blame the rich and great (perhaps they cannot do better), but in confirmation of what I have advanced.

Hope, which thus appears to be of fo much importance to our happines, is of two kinds; where there is fomething to be done towards attaining the object of our hope, and where there

VOL. I.

D

is

is nothing to be done. The first alone is of any value; the latter being apt to corrupt into impatience, having no power but to fit still and wait, which foon grows tireforme.

The doctrine delivered under this head may be readily admitted; but how to provide ourfelves with a fucceffion of pleafurable engagements, is the difficulty. This requires two things; judgment in the choice of *ends* adapted to our opportunities; and a command of imagination, fo as to be able, when the judgment has made choice of an end, to transfer a pleafure to the *means*: after which the end may be forgotten as foon as we will.

Hence those pleasures are most valuable, not which are most exquisite in the fruition, but which are most productive of engagement and activity in the pursuit.

A man who is in earnest in his endeavours after the happinels of a future state, has, in this respect, an advantage over all the world. For he has constantly before his eyes an object of supreme importance, productive of perpetual engagement and activity, and of which the purfuit (which can be faid of no pursuit besides) lasts him to his life's end. Yet even he must have many ends, beside the *far end*: but then they

34

they will conduct to that, be fubordinate, and in fome way or other capable of being referred to that, and derive their fatisfaction, or an addition of fatisfaction, from that.

Engagement is every thing : the more fignificant, however, our engagements are, the better; fuch as the planning of laws, inflitutions, manufactures, charities, improvements, public works; and the endeavouring, by our intereft, address, folicitations, and activity, to carry them into effect : or, upon a fmaller scale, the procuring of a maintenance and fortune for our families by a courfe of industry and application to our callings, which forms and gives motion to the common occupations of life; training up a child; profecuting a fcheme for his future eftablishment; making ourselves masters of a language or a fcience; improving or managing an estate; labouring after a piece of preferment; and laftly, any engagement, which is innocent, is better than none; as the writing of a book, the building of a house, the laying out of a garden, the digging of a fifh-pond-even the raising of a cucumber or a tulip.

Whilft our minds are taken up with the objects or bufinefs before us; we are commonly happy, whatever the object or bufinefs be : when the

D 2

mind

mind is *abfent*, and the thoughts are wandering to fomething elfe than what is paffing in the place in which we are, we are often miferable.

III. Happiness depends upon the prudent confitution of the habits.

The art in which the fecret of human happinefs in a great measure confist, is to fet the habits in fuch a manner, that every change may be a change for the better. The habits themfelves are much the fame; for, whatever is made habitual becomes fmooth, and eafy, and nearly indifferent. The return to an old habit is likewife eafy, whatever the habit be. Therefore the advantage is with those habits which allow of an in-'dulgence in the deviation from them. The luxurious receive no greater pleasure from their dainties, than the peafant does from his bread and cheefe : but the peafant, whenever he goes abroad, finds a feast, whereas the epicure must be well entertained to escape difgust. Those who fpend every day at cards, and those who go every day to plough, pais their time much alike; intent upon what they are about, wanting no thing, regretting nothing, they are both for the time in a state of ease; but then, whatever sufpends the occupation of the card-player, diftreffes him ; whereas to the labourer, every interruption

36

tion is a refreshment : and this appears in the different effect that Sunday produces upon the two, which proves a day of recreation to the one, but a lamentable burthen to the other. The man who has learned to live alone, feels his fpirits enlivened whenever he enters into company, and takes his leave without regret : another, who has long been accuftomed to a crowd or continual fucceffion of company, experiences in company no elevation of fpirits, nor any greater fatisfaction, than what the man of a retired life finds in his chimney-corner. So far their conditions are equal; but let a change of place, fortune, or fituation, separate the companion from his circle, his visitors, his club, common-room, or coffee-houfe, and the difference and advantage in the choice and conftitution of the two habits will thew itfelf. Solitude comes to the one clothed with melancholy; to the other it brings liberty and quiet. You will fee the one fretful and reftlefs, at a lofs how to dispose of his time, till the hour come round when he may forget himfelf in bed; the other eafy and fatisfied, taking up his book, or his pipe, as foon as he finds himfelf alone; ready to admit any little amufement that cafts up, or to turn his hands and attention to the first bufinefs

finels that prefents itself; or content without either to fit still, and let his train of thought glide indolently through his brain, without much use, perhaps, or pleasure, but without bankering after any thing better, and without irritation .---A reader, who has inured himfelf to books of fcience and argumentation, if a novel, a well written pamphlet, an article of news, a narrative of a curious voyage, or the journal of a traveller, fall in his way, fits down to the repart with relish; enjoys his entertainment while it lasts,' and can return, when it is over, to his graver reading, without diftafte. Another, with whom nothing will go down but works of humour and pleafantry, or whofe curiofity must be interested by perpetual novelty, will confume a bookfeller's window in half a forenoon : during which time he is rather in fearch of diverfion than diverted; and as books to his tafte are few, and fhort, and rapidly read over, the flock is foon exhausted, when he is left without refource from this principal fupply of harmles amusement.

So far as circumftances of fortune conduce to happinels, it is not the income which any man polfess, but the increase of income that affords the pleasure. Two persons, of whom one begins gins with an hundred, and advances his income to a thousand pounds a year; and the other fets off with a thousand, and dwindles down to an hundred, may, in the course of their time, have the receipt and spending of the same sum of money: yet their satisfaction, so far as fortune is concerned in it, will be very different; the feries and sum total of their incomes being the fame, it makes a wide difference at which end they begin.

IV. Happiness confists in health.

By health I understand, as well freedom from bodily diftempers, as that tranquillity, firmnefs, and alacrity of mind, which we call good fpirits; and which may properly enough be included in our notion of health, as depending commonly upon the fame causes, and yielding to the fame management, as our bodily constitution.

Health, in this fenfe, is the one thing needful. Therefore no pains, expence, felf-denial, or 'reftraint, to which we fubject ourfelves for the fake of health, is too much. Whether it require us to relinquish lucrative fituations, to abstain from favourite indulgences, to control intemperate passions, or undergo tedious regimens; whatever difficulties it lays us under, a

man.

man, who purfues his happiness rationally and refolutely, will be content to fubmit to.

When we are in perfect health and fpirits, we feel in ourfelves a happinels independent of any particular outward gratification whatever, and of which we can give no account. This is an enjoyment which the Deity has annexed to life; and probably conflitutes, in a great measure, the happinels of infants and brutes, especially of the lower and fedentary orders of animals, as of oysters, periwinkles, and the like; for which I have fometimes been at a loss to find out amusement.

The above account of human happiness will justify the two following conclusions, which, although found in most books of morality, have feldom, I think, been supported by any sufficient reasons.

FIRST, that happiness is pretty equally distributed amongst the different orders of civil society.

SECONDLY, that vice has no advantage over virtue, even with respect to this world's happiness.

CHAP.

VIRTUE.

41÷

CHAP. VII.

VIRTUE.

VIRTUE is, " the doing good to mankind, " in obedience to the will of God, and for the " fake of everlasting happines."

According to which definition, " the good of " mankind" is the fubject; the " will of God;" the rule; and " everlasting happiness" the motive of human virtue.

Virtue has been divided by fome moralifts into benevolence, prudence, fortitude, and temperance. Benevolence propofes good ends; prudence suggests the best means of attaining them; fortitude enables us to encounter the difficulties, dangers, and discouragements, which stand in our way in the pursuit of these ends; temperance repels and overcomes the passions that obstruct it. Benevolence, for instance, prompts us to undertake the cause of an oppressed orphan; prudence suggests the best means of going about it; fortitude enables us to confront the danger, and bear up against the the lofs, difgrace, or repulfe, that may attend our undertaking; and *temperance* keeps under the love of money, of eafe, or amufement, which might divert us from it.

Virtue is diffinguished by others into two branches only, *prudence* and *benevolence*; *prudence* attentive to our own interest; *benevolence* to that of our fellow-creatures: both directed to the fame end, the increase of happines in nature; and taking equal concern in the future as in the prefent.

The four CARDINAL virtues are prudence, fortitude, temperance, and justice.

But the division of Virtue, to which we are in modern times most accustomed, is into duties,

Towards God; as piety, reverence, refignation, gratitude, &c.

Towards other men (or relative duties); as justice, charity, fidelity, loyalty, &c.

Towards ourselves; as chastity, fobriety, temperance, prefervation of life, care of health, &c.

More of these distinctions have been proposed, which it is not worth while to set down.

I fhall proceed to ftate a few obfervations, which relate to the general regulation of human 3 conduct; conduct; unconnected indeed with each other, but very worthy of attention; and which fall as properly under the title of this chapter as of anyfuture one.

I. Mankind act more from habit than reflection.

It is on few only and great occasions that men deliberate at all; on fewer still, that they institute any thing like a regular inquiry into the moral rectitude or depravity of what they are about to do; or wait for the refult of it. We are for the most part determined at once; and by an impulse, which is the effect and energy of pre-established habits. And this constitution feems well adapted to the exigencies of human life, and to the imbecility of our moral principle. In the current occafions and rapid opportunities of life, there is oftentimes little leifure for reflection; and were there more, a man, who has to reafon about his duty, when the temptation to tranfgress it is upon him, is almost fure to reason himself into an error.

If we are in fo great a degree paffive under our habits, where, it is afked, is the exercise of virtue, the guilt of vice, or any use of moral and religious knowledge. I answer, in the forming end contracting of these habits.

And

And from hence refults a rule of life of confiderable importance, viz. that many things are to be done, and abstained from, folely for the fake of habit. We will explain ourfelves by an example or two. A beggar, with the appearance of extreme distress, asks our charity. If we come to argue the matter, whether the diffrefs be real, whether it be not brought upon himfelf, whether it be of public advantage to admit fuch applications, whether it be not to encourage idlenefs and vagrancy, whether it may not invite impostors to our doors, whether the money can be well spared, or might not be better applied : when these confiderations are put together, it may appear very doubtful, whether we ought or ought not to give any thing. But when we reflect, that the milery before our eyes excites our pity, whether we will or not; that it is of the utmost consequence to us to cultivate this tendernefs of mind; that it is a quality, cherifhed by indulgence, and foon stifled by opposition : when this, I fay, is confidered, a wife man will do that for his own fake, which he would have hefitated to do for the petitioner's; he will give way to his compassion, rather than offer violence to a habit of fo much general ufe.

A man

A man of confirmed good habits will act in the fame manner without any confideration at all.

This may ferve for one inftance: another is the following. A man has been brought up from his infancy with a dread of lying. An occafion prefents itfelf where, at the expence of a little veracity, he may divert his company, fet off his own wit with advantage, attract the notice and engage the partiality of all about him. This is not a small temptation. And when he looks at the other fide of the queftion, he fees no mischief that can ensue from this liberty, no flander of any man's reputation, no prejudice likely to arife to any man's intereft. Were there nothing farther to be confidered, it would be difficult to fhew why a man under fuch circumftances might not indulge his humour. But when he reflects that his fcruples about lying have hitherto preferved him free from this vice; that occafions like the prefent will return, where the inducement may be equally ftrong, but the indulgence much lefs innocent; that his fcruples will wear away by a few tranfgreffions, and leave him fubject to one of the meaneft and most pernicious of all bad habits, a habit of lying whenever it will ferve his turn: when all this, I fay, is confidered, a wife man will forego

forego the prefent, or a much greater pleafure, rather than lay the foundation of a character fo vicious and contemptible.

From what has been faid may be explained alfo the nature of *habitual* virtue. By the definition of Virtue, placed at the beginning of this chapter, it appears, that the good of mankind is the fubject, the will of God the rule, and everlasting happiness the motive and end of all virtue. Yet in fact a man shall perform many an act of virtue, without having either the good of mankind, the will of God, or everlasting happiness in his thoughts. How is this to be underftood? In the fame manner as that a man may be a very good fervant, without being confcious at every turn of a particular regard to his mafter's will, or of an express attention to his master's interest; indeed your best old fervants are of this fort : but then he must have ferved for a length of time under the actual direction of these motives to bring it to this: in which fervice his merit and virtue confift.

There are *habits*, not only of drinking, fwearing, and lying, and of fome other things, which are commonly acknowledged to be habits, and called fo; but of every modification of action, fpeech, and thought. Man is a bundle of habits.

bits. There are habits of industry, attention, vigilance, advertency; of a prompt obedience to the judgment occurring, or of yielding to the first impulse of passion; of extending our views to the future, or of resting upon the prefent; of apprehending, methodizing, reasoning; of indolence and dilatorines: of vanity, felfconceit, melancholy, partiality; of fretfulness, fuspicion, captiousness; of over-reaching, intriguing, projecting. In a word, there is not a quality, or function, either of body or mind, which does not feel the influence of this great law of animated nature.

II. The Christian religion hath not ascertained the precise quantity of virtue necessary to falvation.

This has been made an objection to Chriftianity; but without reafon. For, as all revelation, however imparted originally, must be transmitted by the ordinary vehicle of language, it behoves those who make the objection to shew that any form of words could be devised, which might express this quantity; or that it is possible to conflitute a standard of moral attainments, accommodated to the almost infinite diversity which VIRTUE.

which subliss in the capacities and opportunities of different men.

It feems most agreeable to our conceptions of justice, and is confonant enough to the language of fcripture*, to fuppose, that there are prepared for us rewards and punishments, of all possible degrees, from the most exalted happiness down to extreme misery; fo that " our labour " is never in vain;" whatever advancement we make in virtue, we procure a proportionable accession of future happiness; as, on the other hand, every accumulation of vice is the " trea-" furing up of fo much wrath against the day " of wrath." It has been faid, that it can never

*" He which foweth fparingly fhall reap alfo fparingly; " and he which foweth bountifully fhall reap alfo bounti-" fully." 2 Cor. ix. 6.—" And that fervant which knew his " Lord's will, and prepared not himfelf, neither did accord-" ing to his will, fhall be beaten with many ftripes; but he " that knew not, fhall be beaten with few ftripes." Luke xii. 47,48.—" Whofoever fhall give you a cup of water to " drink in my name, becaufe ye belong to Chrift, verily I " fay unto you, he fhall not lofe his reward ;" to wit, intimating that there is in referve a proportionable reward for even the fmalleft act of virtue. Mark ix. 41.—See alfo the parable of the pounds, Luke xix. 16, &cc. where he whofe pound had gained ten pounds was placed over ten cities ; and he whofe pound had gained five pounds, was placed over five sities.

48

be

VIRTUB.

be a just æconomy of Providence, to admit one part of mankind into heaven, and condemn the other to hell, fince there must be very little to choose, between the worst man who is received into heaven, and the best who is excluded. And how know we, it might be answered, but that there may be as little to choose in their conditions.

Without entering into a detail of scripture morality, which would anticipate our subject, the following general positions may be advanced, I think, with safety:

I. That a state of happines is not to be expected by those who are confcious of no moral or religious rule. I mean those who cannot with truth fay, that they have been prompted to one action, or withheld from one gratification, by any regard to virtue or religion, either immediate or habitual.

There needs no other proof of this, than the confideration, that a brute would be as proper an object of reward as fuch a man; and that, if the cafe were fo, the penal fanctions of religion could have no place. For, whom would you punish, if you make fuch a one as this happy ? or rather indeed religion itself, both natural and vol. 1. E revealed,

VIRTUE.

revealed, would cease to have either use or au, thority.

2. That a flate of happinels is not to be expected by those, who referve to themselves the habitual practice of any one fin, or neglect of one known duty.

Becaufe, no obedience can proceed upon proper motives which is not universal, that is, which is not directed to every command of God alike, as they all stand upon the same authority.

Because, such an allowance would in effect amount to a toleration of every vice in the world.

And becaufe, the strain of scripture language excludes any such hope. When our duties are recited, they are put collectively, that is, as all and every of them required in the Christian character. "Add to your faith virtue, and to vir-"tue knowledge, and to knowledge temperance, "and to temperance patience, and to patience "godlines, and to godlines brotherly kindness, "and to brotherly kindness charity"." On the other hand, when vices are enumerated, they are put disjunctively, that is, as separately and severally excluding the finner from heaven. "Nei-"ther fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, "nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with

* 2 Pet. i. 5, 6, 7.

" man-

YARTUE

"mankind, nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of heaven *."

Those texts of scripture, which seem to lean a contrary way, as that "charity shall cover the "multitude of fins †;" that "he which convert-"eth a finner from the error of his way shall "hide a multitude of fins ‡;" cannot, I think, for the reasons above mentioned, be extended to fins deliberately, habitually, and obstinately perfisted in.

3. That a state of mere unprofitableness will got go unpunished.

This is expressly laid down by Chrift in the parable of the talents, which superfedes all farther reasoning upon the subject. "Then he "which had received one talent, came and said, "Lord, I know thee that thou art an austere "man, reaping where thou hast not fown, and "gathering where thou hast not ftrawed; and I "was afraid, and hid thy talent in the earth; "lo, there thou hast that is thine. His lord "answered and faid unto him, Thou wicked "and *flothful* fervant, thou knewest (or knewest "thou?) that I reap where I fowed not, and

* 1 Cor. vi. 9, 10. + 1 Pet. iv. 8. ‡ James v. 20. E 2 "gather

52

"gather where I have not strawed; thou oughtest therefore to have put my money to the exchangers, and then at my coming I should have received mine own with usury." Take therefore the talent from him, and give it unto him which hath ten talents; for unto every one that hath shall be given, and he shall have abundance; but from him that hath not shall be taken away even that which he hath; and cast ye the unprostable servant into outer darkness, there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth*."

III. In every queftion of conduct where one fide is doubtful, and the other fide fafe, we are bound to take the fafe fide.

This is best explained by an instance, and I know of none more to our purpose than that of fuicide. Suppose, for example's fake, that it appear doubtful to a reasoner upon the fubject, whether he may lawfully destroy himself. He can have no doubt, but that it is lawful for him to let it alone. Here therefore is a case, in which one fide is doubtful, and the other fide set. By virtue therefore of our rule he is bound to purfue the set fide, that is, to forbear from offering violence to himself, whils a doubt remains upon his mind concerning the lawfulness of fuicide.

• Matt. xxv. 24, &c.

It is prudent, you allow, to take the fafe fide. But our observation means something more. We affert that the action, concerning which we doubt, whatever it may be in itfelf, or to another, would, in as, whilst this doubt remains upon our minds, be certainly finful. The cafe is expressly to adjudged by St. Paul, with whofe authority we will for the present reft contented. " I know and am perfuaded by the Lord Jefus, " that there is nothing unclean of itfelf, but to " him that effeemeth any thing to be unclean, to him " demneth not himself in that thing which he " alloweth; and he that doubteth is damned " (condemned) if he eat, for whatfoever is not of faith (i. e. not done with a full perfuasion " of the lawfulness of it) is fin"."

* Rom. xiv, 14. 22, 23.

E 3

MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

BOOK. II.

MORAL OBLIGATION.

CHAP. I.

THE QUESTION, WHY AM I OBLIGED TO KEEP MY WORD? CONSIDERED.

WHY am I obliged to keep my word? Becaufe it is right, fays one.—Becaufe it is agreeable to the fitness of things, fays another.— Becaufe it is conformable to reason and nature, fays a third.—Becaufe it is conformable to truth, fays a fourth.—Becaufe it promotes the public good, fays a fifth.—Becaufe it is required by the will of God, concludes a fixth.

Upon

MORAS OBLIGATION.

Upon which different accounts, two things are observable :

FIRST, that they all ultimately coincide.

The fitness of things, means their fitness to produce happines: the nature of things, means that actual conftitution of the world, by which fome things, as fuch and fuch actions, for example, produce happiness, and others misery: reason is the principle, by which we discover or judge of this conftitution: truth is this judgment expressed or drawn out into propositions. So that it neceffarily comes to pass, that what promotes the public happiness, or happiness upon the whole, is agreeable to the fitness of things, to nature, to reason, and to truth: and such (as will appear by and by) is the divine character, that what promotes the general happines is required by the will of God; and what has all the above properties must needs be right; for right means no more than conformity to the rule we go by, whatever that rule be.

And this is the reason that moralist, from whatever different principles they fet out, commonly meet in their conclusions; that is, they enjoin the same conduct, prescribe the same rules of duty, and, with a few exceptions, deliver

E 4

liver upon dubious cafes the fame determinations.

SECONDLY, it is to be observed, that these answers all leave the matter *fbort*; for the inquirer may turn round upon his teacher with a second question, in which he will expect to be fatisfied, namely, *why* am I obliged to do what is right; to act agreeably to the fitness of things; to conform to reason, nature or truth; to promote the public good, or to obey the will of God ?

The proper method of conducting the inquiry is, FIRST, to examine what we mean, when we fay a man is *obliged* to do any thing, and THEN to fhew *wby* he is obliged to do the thing which we have proposed as an example, namely, "to "keep his word."

MORAL OBLIGATION.

CHAP. II.

WHAT WE MEAN WHEN WE SAY A MAN IS OBLIGED TO DO A THING.

A MAN is faid to be obliged, "when he is "urged by a violent motive refulting from "the command of another."

I. "The motive must be violent." If a perfon, who has done me fome little fervice, or has a fmall place in his disposal, ask me upon fome occasion for my vote, I may possibly give it him, from a motive of gratitude or expectation: but I should hardly fay, that I was obliged to give it him, because the inducement does not rise high enough. Whereas, if a father or a master, any great benefactor, or one on whom my fortune depends, require my vote, I give it him of course; and my answer to all who ask me why I voted fo and fo, is, that my father or my master obliged me; that I had received fo many favours from, or had so great a dependence upon such a one, MORAL OBLIGATION.

58.

one, that I was obliged to vote as he directed me.

SECONDLY, "It must refult from the com-"mand of another." Offer a man a gratuity for doing any thing, for feizing, for example, an offender, he is not obliged by your offer to do it; nor would he fay he is; though he may be induced, perfuaded, prevailed upon, tempted. If a magistrate or the man's immediate fuperior command it, he confiders himfelf as obliged to comply, though poffibly he would lose less by a refusal in this case, than in the former.

I will not undertake to fay that the words obligation and obliged are ufed uniformly in this fenfe, or always with this diffinction; nor is it poffible to tie down popular phrafes to any conftant fignification: but wherever the motive is violent enough, and coupled with the idea of command, authority, law, or the will of a fuperior, there, I take it, we always reckon ourfelves to be obliged.

And from this account of obligation it follows, that we can be obliged to nothing, but what we ourfelves are to gain or lofe fomething by; for nothing elfe can be a "violent motive" to us. As we fhould not be obliged to obey the laws, or the magistrate, unless rewards or punishments,

MORAL OBLIGATION.

ments, pleasure or pain, some how or other depended upon our obedience; so neither should we, without the same reason, be obliged to do what is right, to practife virtue, or to obey the commands of God.

CHAP. III.

THE QUESTION, WHY AM I OBLIGED TO KEEP MY WORD? RESUMED.

L ET it be remembered, that to be obliged, "is to be urged by a violent motive, re-"fulting from the command of another."

And then let it be afked, Why am I obliged to keep my word? and the anfwer will be, Becaufe I am "urged to do fo by a violent motive". (namely, the expectation of being after this life rewarded, if I do, or punished for it, if I do not), "resulting from the command of another" (namely, of God).

This folution goes to the bottom of the fubject, as no farther question can reasonably be asked. ThereTherefore, private happinels is our motive, and the will of God our rule.

When I first turned my thoughts to moral fpeculations, an air of mystery feemed to hang over the whole fubject ; which arole, I believe, from hence-that I supposed, with many authors whom I had read, that to be obliged to do a thing, was very different from being induced only to do it; and that the obligation to practife virtue, to do what is right, just, &c. was quite , another thing, and of another kind, than the obligation which a foldier is under to obey officer, a servant his master, or any of the civit and ordinary obligations of human life. Whereas, from what has been faid it appears, that moral obligation is like all other obligations; and that obligation is nothing more than aninducement of fufficient ftrength, and refulting, in fome way, from the command of another.

There is always underftood to be a difference between an act of *prudence* and an act of *duty*. Thus, if I diffrusted a man who owed me a sum of money, I should reckon it an act of prudence to get another perfon bound with him; but I should hardly call it an act of duty. On the other hand, it would be thought a very unusual and loofe kind of language, to say, that,

8\$

as I had made fuch a promife, it was prudent to perform it; or that, as my friend, when he went abroad, placed a box of jewels in my hands, it would be *prudent* in me to preferve it for him till he returned.

Now, in what, you will alk, does the difference confift? inafmuch as, according to our account of the matter, both in the one cafe and the other, in acts of duty as well as acts of prudence, we confider folely what we ourfelves shall gain or lose by the act.

The difference, and the only difference, is this; that, in the one cafe we confider what we fhall gain or lofe in the prefent world; in the other cafe, we confider also what we shall gain or lofe in the world to come.

They who would establish a system of morality, independent of a future state, must look out for some different idea of moral obligation; unless they can shew that virtue conducts the possession to certain happines in this life, or to a much greater share of it than he could attain by a different behaviour.

To us there are two great queftions:

I. Will there be after this life any diffribution of rewards and punifhments at all ?

II. If

II. If there be, what actions will be rewarded, and what will be punished?

The first question comprises the credibility of the Christian religion, together with the prefumptive proofs of a future retribution from the light of nature. The fecond question comprises the province of morality. Both questions are too much for one work. The affirmative therefore of the first, although we confess that it is the foundation upon which the whole fabric refts, must in this treatife be taken for granted.

CHAP. IV.

THE WILL OF GOD.

A S the will of God is our rule, to inquire what is our duty, or what we are obliged to do, in any inftance, is, in effect, to inquire, what is the will of God in that inftance? which confequently becomes the whole bufiness of morality.

Now there are two methods of coming at the will of God on any point:

I. By

I. By his express declarations, when they are to be had, and which must be fought for in Scripture.

II. By what we can discover of his defigns and disposition from his works; or, as we usually call it, the light of nature.

And here we may observe the absurdity of feparating natural and revealed religion from each other. The object of both is the fame—to difcover the will of God—and, provided we do but discover it, it matters nothing by what means.

An ambaffador, judging by what he knows of his fovereign's disposition, and arguing from what he has observed of his conduct, or is acquainted with of his defigns, may take his meafures in many cafes with fafety, and prefume with great probability how his master would have him act on most occasions that arife; but if he have his commission and instructions in his pocket, it would be ftrange not to look into He will be directed by both rules: them. when his inftructions are clear and politive, there is an end of all further deliberation (unlefs indeed he fuspect their authenticity): where his inftructions are filent or dubious, he will will endeavour to fupply or explain them, by what he has been able to collect from other quarters of his mafter's general inclination or intentions.

Mr. HUME, in his fourth Appendix to his Principles of Morals, has been pleafed to complain of the modern scheme of uniting Ethics with the Christian Theology. They who find themselves disposed to join in this complaint will do well to observe what Mr. HUME himself has been able to make of morality without this union. And for that purpose, let them read the fecond part of the ninth fection of the above effay; which part contains the practical application of the whole treatife—a treatife, which Mr. HUME declares to be "incomparably the beft he ever "wrote," When they have read it over, let them confider, whether any motives there propoled are likely to be found fufficient to withhold men from the gratification of luft, revenge, envy, ambition, avarice; or to prevent the existence of these passions. Unless they rise up from this celebrated effay, with ftronger impreffions upon their minds than it ever left upon mine, they will acknowledge the necessity of additional fanctions. But the necessity of these fanctions is not now the question. If they be in fast chablifbed,

blifhed, if the rewards and punithments held forth in the gofpel will actually come to pafs, they must be confidered. Such as reject the Christian religion are to make the best shift they can to build up a fystem, and lay the foundation of morality without it. But it appears to me a great inconsistency in those who receive Christianity, and expect fomething to come of it, to endeavour to keep all such expectations out of fight in their reasonings concerning human duty.

The method of coming at the will of God, concerning any action, by the light of nature, is to inquire into " the tendency of the action " to promote or diminish the general happiness." This rule proceeds upon the presumption, that God Almighty wills and wishes the happiness of his creatures; and, confequently, that those actions, which promote that will and wish, must be agreeable to him; and the contrary.

As this prefumption is the foundation of our whole fystem, it becomes necessary to explain the reasons upon which it refts.

CHAP.

CHAP. V.

THE DIVINE BENEVOLENCE.

WHEN God created the human fpecies, either he wished their happiness or he wished their misery, or he was indifferent and unconcerned about both.

If he had withed our mifery, he might have made fure of his purpole, by forming our fenfes to be fo many fores and pains to us, as they are now inftruments of gratification and enjoyment; or by placing us amidft objects fo ill fuited to our perceptions, as to have continually offended us, inftead of ministering to our refrethment and delight. He might have made, for example, every thing we tafted bitter; every thing we faw loathfome; every thing we touched a fting; every fmell a ftench; and every found a difcord.

If he had been indifferent about our happinefs or mifery, we must impute to our good fortune (as all defign by this fuppolition is excluded) both the capacity of our fendes to receive ceive pleafure, and the fupply of external objects fitted to produce it.

67

But either of these (and still more both of them) being too much to be attributed to accident, nothing remains but the first supposition, that God, when he created the human species, wished their happines; and made for them the provision which he has made, with that view, and for that purpofe.

The fame argument may be proposed in different terms, thus: Contrivance proves defign; and the predominant tendency of the contrivance indicates the disposition of the designer. The world abounds with contrivances; and all the contrivances which we are acquainted with, are directed to beneficial purposes. Evil no doubt exists; but is never, that we can perceive, the object of contrivance. Teeth are contrived to eat, not to ache; their aching now and then is incidental to the contrivance, perhaps infeparable from it: or even, if you will, let it be called a defect in the contrivance ; but it is not the object of it. This is a diffinction which well deferves to be attended to. In deferibing implements of husbandry, you would hardly fay of the fickle, that it is made to cut the reaper's fingers, though, from the construction of the inftru-

F 2

inftrument, and the manner of using it, this mischief often happens. But if you had occafion to describe instruments of torture or execution, this engine, you would fay, is to extend the finews ; this to diflocate the joints ; this to break the bones; this to fcorch the foles of the feet. Here pain and milery are the very objects of the contrivance. Now nothing of this fort is to be found in the works of nature. We never difcover a train of contrivance to bring about an evil purpose. No anatomist ever discovered a fystem of organization calculated to produce pain and difeafe; or, in explaining the parts of the human body, ever faid, this is to irritate; this to inflame; this duct is to convey the gravel to the kidneys; this gland to fecrete the humour which forms the gout: if by chance he come at a part of which he knows not the use, the most he can fay is, that it is useles; no one ever fuspects that it is put there to incommode, to annoy, or to torment. Since then God hath called forth his confummate wildom to contrive and provide for our happinefs, and the world appears to have been conflituted with this defign at first, fo long as this constitution is upholden by him, we must in reason suppose the fame defign to continue.

The

THE DIVINE BENEVOLENCE.

The contemplation of universal nature rather bewilders the mind than affects it. There is always a bright fpot in the profpect, upon which the eye refts; a fingle example, perhaps, by which each man finds himfelf more convinced than by all others put together. I feem, for my own part, to fee the benevolence of the Deity more clearly in the pleafures of very young children, than in any thing in the world. The pleafures of grown perfons may be reckoned partly of their own procuring ; especially if there has been any industry, or contrivance, or pursuit, to come at them; or if they are founded, like mufic, painting, &c. upon any qualification of their own acquiring. But the pleafures of a healthy infant are fo manifeftly provided for it by another, and the benevolence of the provision is fo unquestionable, that every child I fee at its fport affords to my mind a kind of fenfible evidence of the finger of God, and of the difpofition which directs it.

But the example, which ftrikes each man most ftrongly, is the true example for him; and hardly two minds hit upon the fame; which shews the abundance of fuch examples about us.

We conclude, therefore, that God wills and F 3 withes

UTILITY.

wifhes the happiness of his creatures. And this conclusion being once established, we are at liberty to go on with the rule built upon it, namely, " that the method of coming at the " will of God, concerning any action, by the " light of nature, is to inquire into the ten-" dency of that action to promote or diminish " the general happiness."

CHAP. VI.

UTILITY.

SO then actions are to be effimated by their tendency*. Whatever is expedient is right. It is the utility of any moral rule alone which conflitutes the obligation of it.

* Actions in the abstract are right or wrong, according to their *tendency*; the agent is virtuous or vicious, according to his *defign*. Thus, if the question be, Whether relieving common beggars be right or wrong? we inquire into the *tendency* of such a conduct to the public advantage or inconvenience. If the question be, Whether a man remarkable for this fort of bounty is to be esteemed virtuous for that reafon? we inquire into his *defign*, whether his liberality fprung from charity or from oftentation. It is evident that our concern is with actions in the abstract,

70

But

But to all this there feems a plain objection, viz. that many actions are useful, which no man in his fenfes will allow to be right. There are occasions, in which the hand of the affaffin would be very useful. The prefent possessor of fome great eftate employs his influence and fortune, to annoy, corrupt, or oppress all about him. His estate would devolve, by his death, to a fucceffor of an opposite character. It is useful, therefore, to dispatch such a one as soon as possible out of the way; as the neighbourhood will exchange thereby a pernicious tyrant for a wife and generous benefactor. It might be useful to rob a miler, and give the money to the poor; as the money, no doubt, would produce more happiness, by being laid out in food and clothing for half a dozen distressed families, than by continuing locked up in a mifer's cheft. It may be useful to get possession of a place, a piece of preferment, or of a feat in parliament, by bribery or falle fwearing; as by means of them we may ferve the public more effectually than in our private station. What then shall we fay? Must we admit these actions to be right, which would be to justify affaffination, plunder, and perjury; or must we give up our principle, that the criterion of right is utility?

F 4

It

71[°]

It is not necessary to do either.

The true answer is this; that these actions, after all, are not useful, and for that reason, and that alone, are not right.

To fee this point perfectly, it must be observed that the bad confequences of actions are twofold, *particular* and *general*.

The particular bad confequence of an action is, the milchief which that fingle action directly and immediately occasions.

The general bad confequence is, the violation of fome neceffary or ufeful general rule.

Thus, the particular bad confequence of the affaffination above defcribed is the fright and pain which the deceased underwent; the loss he fuffered of life, which is as valuable to a bad man, as to a good one, or more so; the prejudice and affliction, of which his death was the occasion, to his family, friends, and dependants.

The general bad confequence is the violation of this neceffary general rule, that no man be put to death for his crimes, but by public authority.

Although, therefore, fuch an action have no particular bad confequence, or greater particular good confequences, yet it is not ufeful, by reaton of the general confequence, which is of more

THE NECESSITY OF GENERAL RULES. 73

more importance, and which is evil. And the fame of the other two inftances, and of a million more, which might be mentioned.

But as this folution supposes, that the moral government of the world must proceed by general rules, it remains that we shew the necesfity of this.

CHAP. VII.

THE NECESSITY OF GENERAL RULES.

YOU cannot permit one action and forbid another, without fhewing a difference between them. Confequently the fame fort of actions must be generally permitted or generally forbidden. Where, therefore, the general permission of them would be pernicious, it becomes necessary to lay down and support the rule which generally forbids them.

Thus, to return once more to the cafe of the affaffin. The affaffin knocked the rich villain on the head, becaufe he thought him better out of the way than in it. If you allow this excufe in the prefent inftance, you must allow it

3

tø

74 THE NECESSITY OF GENERAL RULES.

to all who act in the fame manner, and from the fame motive; that is, you must allow every man to kill any one he meets, whom he thinks noxious or useles; which, in the event, would be to commit every man's life and fastery to the spleen, fury, and fanaticism of his neighboura disposition of affairs which would soon fill the world with misery and confusion; and ere long put an end to human society, if not to the human species.

The neceffity of general rules in human governments is apparent: but whether the fame neceffity fubfift in the divine œconomy, in that distribution of rewards and punishments to which a moralist looks forward, may be doubted.

I answer, that general rules are neceffary to every moral government; and by moral government I mean any dispensation, whose object is to influence the conduct of reasonable creatures.

For if, of two actions perfectly fimilar, one be punished, and the other be rewarded or forgiven, which is the confequence of rejecting general rules, the subjects of such a dispensation would no longer know, either what to expect or how to act. Rewards and punishments would cease to be such—would become accidents. Like the floke of a thunderbolt, or the discovery of a mine,

THE NECESSITY OF GENERAL RULES. 75

a mine, like a blank or a benefit ticket in a lottery, they would occafion pain or pleafure when they happened; but, following in no known order, from any particular courfe of action, they could have no previous influence or effect upon the conduct.

An attention to general rules, therefore, is included in the very idea of reward and punifhment. Confequently whatever reafon there is to expect future reward and punifhment at the hand of God, there is the fame reafon to believe, that he will proceed in the diffribution of it by general rules.

Before we profecute the confideration of general confequences any farther, it may be proper to anticipate a reflection, which will be apt enough to fuggest itself in the progress of our argument.

As the general confequence of an action, upon which fo much of the guilt of a bad action depends, confifts in the *example*; it fhould feem, that, if the action be done with perfect fecrecy, fo as to furnish no bad example, that part of the guilt drops off. In the case of fuicide, for instance, if a man can fo manage matters, as to take

76 THE NECESSITY OF GENERAL RULES.

take away his own life, without being known or fufpected to have done fo, he is not chargeable with any milchief from the example; nor does his punifhment feem neceffary, in order to fave the authority of any general rule.

In the first place, those who reason in this manner do not observe, that they are setting up a general rule, of all others the least to be endured; namely, that secrecy, whenever secrecy is practicable, will justify any action.

Were fuch a rule admitted, for inftance, in the cafe above produced, is there not reason to fear that people would be *difappearing* perpetually?

In the next place, I would with them to be well fatisfied about the points proposed in the following queries:

1. Whether the fcriptures do not teach us to expect that, at the general judgment of the world, the most fecret actions will be brought to light*?

2. For what purpole can this be, but to make them the objects of reward and punishment?

3. Whether, being fo brought to light, they will not fall under the operation of those equal

• "In the day when God fhall judge the fecrets of men "by Jefus Chrift." Rom. xi. 16.—" Judge nothing before "the time until the Lord come, who will bring to light the "hidden things of darknefs, and will make manifest the coun-"fels of the heart." I Cor. iv. 5.

and

THE CONSIDERATION, ETC.

77

and impartial rules, by which God will deal with his creatures?

They will then become examples, whatever they be now; and require the fame treatment from the judge and governor of the moral world, as if they had been detected from the first.

CHAP. VIII.

THE CONSIDERATION OF GENERAL CONSE-QUENCES PURSUED.

THE general confequence of any action may be estimated, by asking what would be the confequence, if the same fort of actions were generally permitted.—But suppose they were, and a thousand such actions perpetrated under this permission; is it just to charge a single action with the collected guilt and mischief of the whole thousand? I answer, that the reason for prohibiting and punishing an action (and this reason may be called the *guilt* of the action, if you please) will always be in proportion to the whole mischief that would arise from from the general impunity and toleration of actions of the fame fort.

"Whatever is expedient is right." But then it must be expedient upon the whole, at the long run, in all its effects collateral and remote, as well as in those which are immediate and direct; as it is obvious, that, in computing confequences, it makes no difference in what way or at what distance they ensue.

To imprefs this doctrine upon the minds of young readers, and to teach them to extend their views beyond the immediate mifchief of a crime, I shall here subjoin a string of instances, in which the particular consequence is comparatively insignificant; and where the malignity of the crime, and the severity with which human laws pursue it, is almost entirely founded upon the general consequence.

The particular confequence of coining is, the loss of a guinea, or of half a guinea, to the perfon who receives the counterfeit money; the general confequence (by which I mean the confequence that would enfue, if the fame practice were generally permitted) is, to abolish the use of money.

The particular confequence of forgery is, a damage of twenty or thirty pounds to the man who

GENERAL CONSEQUENCES PURSUED. 79

who accepts the forged bill: the general confequence is, the ftoppage of paper currency.

The particular confequence of fheep-ftealing, or horfe-ftealing, is, a lofs to the owner, to the amount of the value of the fheep or horfe ftolen: the general confequence is, that the land could not be occupied, nor the market fupplied with this kind of ftock.

The particular confequence of breaking into a house empty of inhabitants is, the loss of a pair of filver candlesticks, or a few spoons: the general confequence is, that nobody could leave their house empty.

The particular confequence of fmuggling may be a deduction from the national fund too minute for computation: the general confequence is, the deftruction of one entire branch of public revenue; a proportionable increase of the burthen upon other branches; and the ruin of all fair and open trade in the article fmuggled.

The particular confequence of an officer's breaking his parole is, the lofs of a prifoner, who was poffibly not worth keeping: the general confequence is, that this mitigation of captivity would be refufed to all others.

And what proves incontestably the superior importance of general confequences is, that crimes

9

are

are the fame, and treated in the fame manner, though the particular confequence be very different. The crime and fate of the houfe-breaker is the fame, whether his booty be five pounds or fifty. And the reafon is, that the general confequence is the fame.

The want of this diffinction between particular and general confequences, or rather the not fufficiently attending to the latter, is the caufe of that perplexity which we meet with in ancient moralists. On the one hand, they were fenfible of the abfurdity of pronouncing actions good or evil, without regard to the good or evil they produced. On the other hand, they were fartled at the conclusions to which a steady adherence to confequences feemed fometimes to conduct them. To relieve this difficulty, they contrived the $\tau_0 = \omega_{p \in \pi_{0} v}$, or the *bone/tum*, by which terms they meant to conflitute a measure of right, diftinct from utility. Whilft the utile ferved them, that is, whilft it corresponded with their habitual notions of the rectitude of actions, they went by it. When they fell in with fuch cafes as those mentioned in the fixth chapter, they took leave of their guide, and reforted to the boneftum. The only account they could give of the matter was, that these actions might be useful; but, becaufe

-80

GENERAL CONSEQUENCES PURSUED. Sr

cause they were not at the same time *bonefla*, they were by no means to be deemed just or right.

From the principles delivered in this and the two preceding chapters, a maxim may be explained, which is in every man's mouth, and in most men's without meaning, viz. " not to do " evil, that good may come :" that is, let us not violate a general rule, for the fake of any particular good confequence we may expect. Which is for the most part a falutary caution, the advantage feldom compensating for the violation of the rule. Strictly speaking, that cannot be " evil," from which " good comes ;" but in this way, and with a view to the distinction between particular and general confequences, it may.

We will conclude this fubject of confequences with the following reflection. A man may imagine, that any action of his, with refpect to the public, must be inconfiderable: fo also is the agent. If his crime produce but a small effect upon the univerfal interest, his punishment or destruction bears a small proportion to the sum of happiness and misery in the creation.

VOL. I.

CHAP.

CHAP. IX.

OF RIGHT.

R IGHT and obligation are reciprocal; that is, wherever there is a right in one perfon, there is a corresponding obligation upon others. If one man has a "right" to an effate; others are "obliged" to abstain from it:—If parents have a "right" to reverence from their children; children are "obliged" to reverence their parents; and fo in all other inftances.

Now, because moral obligation depends, as we have seen, upon the will of God, right, which is correlative to it, must depend upon the fame. Right therefore fignifies, confishency with the will of God.

But if the divine will determine the diffinction of right and wrong, what elfe is it but an identical proposition, to fay of God, that he acts right? or how is it possible to conceive even that he should act wrong? Yet these affertions are intelligible and significant. The case is this: By By virtue of the two principles, that God wills the happiness of his creatures, and that the will of God is the measure of right and wrong, we arrive at certain conclusions; which conclusions become rules; and we soon learn to pronounce actions right or wrong, according as they agree or difagree with our rules, without looking any farther: and when the habit is once established of stopping at the rules, we can go back and compare with these rules even the divine conduct itself, and yet it may be true (only not observed by us at the time) that the rules themselves are deduced from the divine will.

Right is a quality of perfons or of actions.

Of perfons; as when we fay, fuch a one has a "right" to this effate : parents have a "right" to reverence from their children; the king to allegiance from his fubjects; mafters have a "right" to their fervants' labour; a man has not a "right" over his own life.

Of actions; as in fuch expressions as the following: it is "right" to punish murder with death; his behaviour on that occasion was "right;" it is not "right" to fend an unfortunate debtor to jail; he did or acted "right," who gave up his place, rather than vote against his judgment.

G 2

In

In this latter fet of expressions, you may subfitute the definition of right above given for the term itself, v. g. it is "confistent with the will "of God" to punish murder with death—his behaviour on that occasion was "confistent with "the will of God"—it is not "confistent with "the will of God"—it is not "confistent with "the will of God" to fend an unfortunate debtor to jail—he did, or acted, "confistently with the "will of God," who gave up his place rather than vote against his judgment.

In the former fet, you must vary the construction a little, when you introduce the definition inftead of the term. Such a one has a "right" to this estate, that is, it is " consistent with the "will of God," that such a one should have it—Parents have a "right" to reverence from their children, that is, it is " consistent with the " will of God," that children should reverence their parents; and the same of the rest.

CHAP.

85

GHAP.X.

DIVISION OF RIGHTS.

KIGHTS, when applied to perfons, are Natural or adventitious. Alienable or unalienable.

Perfect or imperfect.

I. Rights are natural or adventitious.

Natural rights are fuch as would belong to a man, although there fublisted in the world no civil government whatever.

Adventitious rights are fuch as would not.

Natural rights are, a man's right to his life, limbs, and liberty; his right to the produce of his perfonal labour; to the use, in common with others, of air, light, water. If a thousand different perfons, from a thousand different corners of the world, were cast together upon a defert iffand, they would from the first be every one entitled to these rights.

Adventitious rights are, the right of a King over hia G 3

his fubjects; of a General over his foldiers; of a Judge over the life and liberty of a prifoner; a right to elect or appoint magistrates, to impose taxes, decide disputes, direct the descent or disposition of property; a right, in a word, in any one man, or particular body of men, to make laws and regulations for the rest. For none of these rights would exist in the newly inhabited island.

And here it will be afked how adventitious rights are created; or, which is the fame thing, how any new rights can accrue from the effablifhment of civil fociety; as rights of all kinds, we remember, depend upon the will of God, and civil fociety is but the ordinance and inftitution of man. For the folution of this difficulty, we must return to our first principles. God wills the happiness of mankind, and the existence of civil fociety, as conducive to that happinefs. Confequently, many things, which are useful for the fupport of civil fociety in general, or for the conduct and confervation of particular focieties already established, are, for that reason, " confistent with the will of God," or " right," which, without that reason, i. e. without the establishment of civil fociety, would not have been fo.

86

From

From whence also it appears, that adventitious rights, though immediately derived from human appointment, are not; for that reason. lefs facred than natural rights, nor the obligation to refpect them lefs cogent. They both ultimately tely upon the fame authority, the will of God. Such a man claims a right to a particular effate. He can fhew, it is true, nothing for his right. but a rule of the civil community to which he belongs; and this rule may be arbitrary, capricious, and absurd. Notwithstanding all this. there would be the fame fin in difpoffeffing the man of his eftate by craft or violence, as if it had been affigned to him, like the partition of the country amongst the twelve tribes, by'the immediate defignation and appointment of Heaven. "II. Rights are alienable or unalienable.

Which terms explain themselves.

The right we have to most of those things which we call property, as houses, lands, money, &c. is alienable.

The right of a prince over his people, of a hufband over his wife, of a mafter over his fervant, is generally and naturally unalienable.

The diffinction depends upon the mode of acquiring the right. If the right originate from a contract, and be limited to the *perfon* by the

- G4

87

exprefs

express terms of the contract, or by the common interpretation of fuch contracts (which is equivalent to an express flipulation), or by a *perfonal condition* annexed to the right; then it is unalienable. In all other cafes it is alienable.

The right to civil liberty is alienable; though in the vehemence of men's zeal for it, and in the language of fome political remonftrances, it has often been pronounced to be an unalienable right. The true reafon why mankind hold in deteftation the memory of those who have fold their liberty to a tyrant, is, that, together with their own, they fold commonly, or endangered, the liberty of others; which certainly they had no right to dispose of.

III. Rights are perfect or imperfect.

Perfect rights may be afferted by force, or, what in civil fociety comes into the place of private force, by course of law.

Imperfect rights may not.

Examples of perfect rights. A man's sight to his life, perfon, houfe; for, if thefe be attacked, he may repel the attack by inftant violence, or punish the aggreffor by law: a man's right to his estate, furniture, clothes, money, and to all ordinary articles of property; for, if they be injuriously taken from him, he may compel the author

author of the injury to make reflictution or fatiffaction.

Examples of imperfect rights. In elections or appointments to offices, where the qualifications are prescribed, the best qualified candidate has a right to fucces; yet, if he be rejected, he has no remedy. He can neither feize the office by force, nor obtain redrefs at law; his right therefore is imperfect. A poor neighbour has a right to relief; yet, if it be refused him, he must not extort it. A benefactor has a right to returns of gratitude from the perion he has obliged; yet, if he meet with none, he must acquiesce. Children have a right to affection and education from their parents; and parents, on their part, to duty and reverence from their children; yet, if these rights be on either fide withhelden, there is no compulsion by which they can be enforced.

It may be at first view difficult to apprehead how a perfon should have a right to a thing, and yet have no right to use the means necessary to obtain it. This difficulty, like most others in morality, is resolvable into the necessity of general rules. The seader recollects, that a perfon is faid to have a "right" to a thing, when is is " confistent with the will of God" that he should

00

should posses it. So that the question is reduced to this; how it comes to pass that it should be confistent with the will of God that a perfon thould poffets a thing, and yet not be confiftent with the fame will that he should use force to obtain it? The answer is, that by reafon of the indeterminateness, either of the object, or of the circumstances of the right, the permission of force in this case would, in its confequence, lead to the permiffion of force in other cafes, where there exifted no right at all. The candidate above described has, no doubt, a right to fuccefs; but his right depends upon his qualifications, for inftance, upon his comparative virtue, learning, &c. there must be fomebody therefore to compare them. The existence, degree, and respective importance of these qualifications are all indeterminate : there muft, be fomebody therefore to determine them. .: To allow the candidate to demand fuccels by force, is to make him the judge of his own qualifications. You cannot do this, but you muft make all other candidates the fame; which would open a door to demands without number, reason, or right. In like manner, a poor man has a right to relief from the rich; but the mode, feation, and quantum of that relief, who finall contribute

to

to it, or how much, are not afcertained. Yet thefe points muft be afcertained, before a claim to relief can be profecuted by force. For, to allow the poor to afcertain them for themfelves, would be to expose property to fo many of thefe claims, that it would lose its value, or rather its nature, that is, cease indeed to be property. The fame observation holds of all other cases of imperfect rights; not to mention, that in the instances of gratitude, affection, reverence, and the like, force is excluded by the very idea of the duty, which must be voluntary, or cannot exist at all.

Wherever the right is imperfect, the correfponding obligation is fo too. I am obliged to prefer the best candidate, to relieve the poor, be grateful to my benefactors, take care of my children, and reverence my parents; but, in all these cases, my obligation, like their right, is imperfect.

I call these obligations "impersect," in conformity to the established language of writers upon the subject. The term however seems ill chosen on this account, that it leads many to imagine, that there is less guilt in the violation of an impersect obligation, than of a persect one. Which is a groundless notion. For an obligation being perfect or impersect, determines only whether 92

ther violence may or may not be employed to enforce it; and determines nothing elfe. The degree of guilt incurred by violating the obligation is a different thing, and is determined by circumstances altogether independent of this diffinction. A man who by a partial, prejudiced, or corrupt vote, difappoints a worthy candidate of a station in life, upon which his hopes, puffibly, or livelihood depended, and who thereby grievoully difcourages merit and emulation in others, commits, I am perfuaded, a much greater crime, than if he filched a book out of a library, or picked a pocket of a handkerchief; though in the one cafe he violates only an imperfect right, in the other a perfect one.

As politive precepts are often indeterminate in their extent, and as the indeterminateness of an obligation is that which makes it imperfect; it comes to pairs, that politive precepts commonly produce an imperfect obligation.

Negative precepts or prohibitions, being generally precife, conftitute accordingly perfect obfigations.

The fifth commandment is politive, and the duty which relults from it is imperfect.

The

The fixth commandment is negative, and impofes a perfect obligation.

Religion and virtue find their principal exercife amongst the imperfect obligations; the laws of civil fociety taking pretty good care of the rest.

CHAP.

THE GENERAL RIGHTS

CHAP. XI.

THE GENERAL RIGHTS OF MANKIND.

BY the general Rights of Mankind, I mean the rights which belong to the fpecies collectively; the original flock, as I may fay, which they have fince diffributed among themfelves.

Thefe are,

I. A right to the fruits or vegetable produce of the earth.

The infenfible parts of the creation are incapable of injury; and it is nugatory to enquire into the right, where the ufe can be attended with no injury. But it may be worth obferving, for the fake of an inference which will appear below, that, as God has created us with a want and defire of food, and provided things fuited by their nature to fultain and fatisfy us, we may fairly prefume, that he intended we fhould apply thefe things to that purpofe.

II. A right to the flesh of animals.

This

This is a very different claim from the former.' Some excuse feems necessary for the pain and loss which we occasion to brutes, by restraining them of their liberty, mutilating their bodies, and, at last, putting an end to their lives, (which we suppose to be the whole of their existence,) for our pleasure or conveniency.

The reafons alleged in vindication of this practice, are the following: that the feveral fpecies of brutes being created to prey upon one another, affords a kind of analogy to prove that the human fpecies were intended to feed upon them; that, if let alone, they would overrun the earth, and exclude mankind from the occupation of it; that they are requited for what they fuffer at our hands, by our care and protection.

Upon which reafons I would observe, that the analogy contended for is extremely lame; fince brutes have no power to fupport life by any other means, and fince we have; for the whole human species might subsist entirely upon fruit, pulse, herbs, and roots, as many tribes of Hindoos actually do. The two other reasons may be valid reasons, as far as they go; for, no doubt, if man had been supported entirely by vegetable food, a great part of those animals which

THE GENERAL RIGHTS

which die to furnish his table, would never have lived: but they by no means justify our right over the lives of brutes to the extent in which we exercise it. What danger is there, for instance, of fish interfering with us, in the occupation of their element? Or what do we contribute to their fupport or prefervation?

It feems to me, that it would be difficult to defend this right by any arguments which the light and order of nature afford; and that we are beholden for it to the permission recorded in scripture, Gen. ix. 1, 2, 3: "And God " bleffed Noah and his fons, and faid unto them, " Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the " earth; and the fear of you, and the dread " of you, shall be upon every beast of the " earth, and upon every fowl of the air, and " upon all that moveth upon the earth, and " upon all the fifnes of the fea; into your hand " are they delivered : every moving thing shall " be meat for you; even as the green herb, " have I given you all things." To Adam and his posterity had been granted at the creation, "every green herb for meat," and nothing In the last clause of the passage now more. produced, the old grant is recited, and extended to the fleth of animals, " even as the green herb, " have

96

" have I given you all things." But this was not till after the flood; the inhabitants of the antediluvian world therefore had no fuch permiffion, that we know of. Whether they actually refrained from the flefh of animals, is another queftion. Abel, we read, was a keeper of fheep, and for what purpofe he kept them, except for food, is difficult to fay (unlefs it were facrifices): might not, however, fome of the ftricter fects among the antediluvians be forupulous as to this point? and might not Noah and his family be of this defeription? for it is not probable that God would publifh a permiffion, to authorize a practice which had never been difputed.

Wanton, and, what is worfe, fludied cruelty to brutes, is certainly wrong, as coming within none of these reasons.

From reason then, or revelation, or from both together, it appears to be God Almighty's intention, that the productions of the earth should be applied to the suftentation of human dife. Confequently, all waste and misapplication of these productions, is contrary to the divine invol. 1. H tention

tention and will; and therefore wrong, for the fame reason that any other crime is fo. Such as, what is related of William the Conqueror, the converting of twenty manors into a forest for hunting, or, which is not much better, fuffering them to continue in that flate; or the letting of large tracts of land lie barren, because the owner cannot cultivate them, nor will part with them to those who can ; or destroying, or fuffering to perifh great part of an article of human. provifion, in order to enhance the price of the remainder, which is faid to have been, till lately, the cafe with fifh caught upon the English coaft; or diminishing the breed of animals, by a wanton, or improvident confumption of the young, as of the fpawn of shell-fish, or the fry of falmon, by the use of unlawful nets, or at improper feafons: to this head may also be referred, what is the fame evil in a fmaller way, the expending of human food on fuperfluous dogs or horfes; and laftly, the reducing of the quantity, in order to alter the quality, and to alter it generally for the worfe; as the distillation of spirits from bread corn, the boiling down of folid meat for fauces, effences, &c.

This feems to be the leffon which our Saviour, after his manner, inculcates, when he bids his difciples difciples "gather up the fragments, that no-"thing be loft." And it opens indeed a new field of duty. Schemes of wealth or profit, prompt the active part of mankind to caft about, how they may convert their property to the most advantage: and their own advantage, and that of the public, commonly concur. But it has not as yet entered into the minds of mankind, to reflect that it is a duty, to add what we can to the common flock of provision, by extracting out of our eftates the most they will yield; or that it is any fin to neglect this.

From the fame intention of God Almighty, we also deduce another conclusion, namely, " that nothing ought to be made exclusive pro-" perty, which can be conveniently enjoyed in " common."

It is the general intention of God Almighty, that the produce of the earth be applied to the use of man. This appears from the conftitution of nature, or, if you will, from his exprefs declaration; and this is all that appears at first. Under this general donation, one man has the fame right as another. You pluck an apple from a tree, or take a lamb out of a flock, for your immediate use and nourishment, and I do the fame; and we both plead for what we

do.

99

H 2

do, the general intention of the Supreme Proprietor. So far all is right; but you cannot claim the whole tree, or the whole flock, and exclude me from any share of them, and plead this general intention for what you do. The plea will not ferve you: you must shew fomething more. You must shew, by probable arguments at least, that it is God's intention, that thefe things should be parcelled out to individuals; and that the eftablished distribution, under which you claim, fhould be upheld. Shew me this, and I am fatisfied. But until this be shewn, the general intention, which has been made appear, and which is all that does appear, must prevail; and, under that, my title is as good as yours. Now there is no argument to induce fuch a prefumption, but one, that the thing cannot be enjoyed at all, or enjoyed with the fame, or with nearly the fame advantage, while it continues in common, as when appropriated. This is true, where there is not enough for all, or where the article in question requires care or labour in the production or prefervation : but where no fuch reafon obtains, and the thing is in its nature capable of being enjoyed by as many as will, it feems an arbitrary usurpation upon the rights of mankind, to confine the use of it to any.

100

lf

If a medicinal fpring were difcovered in a piece of ground which was private property, copious enough for every purpole to which it could be applied, I would award a compensation to the owner of the field, and a liberal profit to the author of the difcovery, especially if he had beftowed pains or expence upon the fearch; but I question whether any human laws would be justified, or would justify the owner, in prohibiting mankind from the use of the water, or fetting fuch a price upon it, as would almost amount to a prohibition.

If there be fisheries, which are inexhaustible, as the cod-fifhery upon the Banks of Newfoundland, and the herring-fifhery in the British feas are faid to be; then all those conventions, by which one or two nations claim to themfelves, and guarantee to each other, the exclusive enjoyment of these fisheries, are so many encroachments upon the general rights of mankind.

Upon the fame principle may be determined a question, which makes a great figure in books of natural law, utrum mare fit liberum ? that is, as I understand it, whether the exclusive right of navigating particular feas, or a control over the navigation of these feas, can be claimed, confistently with the law of nature, by any nation ? What

What is neceffary for each nation's fafety we allow; as their own bays, creeks, and harbours, the fea contiguous to, that is, within cannonfhot, or three leagues of their coaft: and upon this principle of fafety (if upon any principle) muft be defended, the claim of the Venetian ftate to the Adriatic, of Denmark to the Baltic fea, and of Great Britian to the feas which inveft the illand. But, when Spain afferts a right to the Pacific ocean, or Portugal to the Indian feas, or when any nation extends its pretentions much beyond the limits of its own territories, they erect a claim which interferes with the benevolent defigns of Providence, and which no human authority can juftify.

III. Another right, which may be called a general right, as it is incidental to every man who is in a fituation to claim it, is the right of extreme neceffity: by which is meant, a right to use or deftroy another's property, when it is neceffary for our own prefervation to do so; as a right to take, without or against the owner's leave, the first food, clothes, or shelter we meet with, when we are in danger of perishing through want of them; a right to throw goods overboard, to save the ship; or to pull down a house, in order to shop the progress of a fire; and and a few other inftances of the fame kind. Of which right the foundation feems to be this, that, when property was first instituted, the inflitution was not intended to operate to the deflruction of any; therefore, when such confequences would follow, all regard to it is superfeded. Or rather, perhaps, these are the few cases, where the particular confequence exceeds the general confequence; where the remote mischief resulting from the violation of the general rule, is over-balanced by the immediate advantage.

Reflitution however is due, when in our power; becaufe the laws of property are to be adhered to, fo far as confifts with fafety; and becaufe reflitution, which is one of those laws, fupposes the danger to be over. But what is to be reftored? Not the full value of the property deftroyed, but what it was worth at the time of destroying it, which, confidering the danger it was in of perifhing, might be very little.

н 4,

MORAL



MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

BOOK III. RELATIVE DUTIES.

5

PART I.

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH ARE DETER-

MINATE.

CHAP. I.

OF PROPERTY.

IF you should see a flock of pigeons in a field of corn; and if (instead of each picking where, and what it liked, taking just as much as it wanted, and no more) you should see ninety-nine of them gathering all they got into a heap; referving nothing for themselves, but the

. i .

the chaff and refuse; keeping this heap for one, and that the weakest perhaps and worst pigeon of the flock; fitting round, and looking on all the winter, whilft this one was devouring, throwing about and washing it; and, if a pigeon more hardy or hungry than the reft, touched a grain of the hord, all the others inftantly flying upon it, and tearing it to pieces: if you should fee this, you would fee nothing more than what is every day practifed and established among men. Among men you fee the ninety and nine, toiling and fcraping together a heap of fuperfluities for one; (and this one too, oftentimes the feeblest and worst of the whole set, a child, a woman, a madman, or a fool;) getting nothing for themfelves all the while, but a little of the coarfest of the provision, which their own induftry produces; looking quietly on, while they fee the fruits of all their labour fpent or fpoiled; and if one of the number take or touch a particle of the hord, the others joining against him, and hanging him for the theft.

CHAP.

[107]

CHAP. II.

THE USE OF THE INSTITUTION OF PROPERTY.

THERE must be fome very important advantages to account for an institution, which in the view of it above given is fo paradoxical and unnatural.

The principal of these advantages are the following:

I. It increases the produce of the earth.

The earth, in climates like ours, produces little without cultivation; and none would be found willing to cultivate the ground, if others were to be admitted to an equal fhare of the produce. The fame is true of the care of flocks and herds of tame animals.

Crabs and acorns, red deer, rabbits, game, and fifh, are all which we fhould have to fubfift upon in this country, if we trufted to the fpontaneous productions of the foil : and it fares not much better better with other countries. A nation of North American favages, confifting of two or three hundred, will take up, and be half-flarved upon a tract of land, which in Europe, and with European management, would be fufficient for the maintenance of as many thousands.

In fome fertile foils, together with great abundance of fifh upon their coafts, and in regions where clothe are unneceffary, a confiderable degree of population any fublift without property in land; which is the cafe in the iflands of Otaheite: but in lefs favoured fituations, as in the country of New Zealand, though this fort of property obtain in a finall degree, the inhabitants, for want of a more fecure and regular establishment of it, are driven ofttimes by the fearcity of provision to devour one another.

II. It preferves the produce of the earth to maturity.

We may judge what would be the effects of a community of right to the productions of the earth, from the trifling fpecimens which we fee of it at prefent. A cherry-tree in a hedge-row, nuts in a wood, the grafs of an unflinted pafture, are feldom of much advantage to any body, becaufe people do not wait for the proper feafon of reaping them. Corn, if any where fown, would never

never ripen; lambs and calves would never grow up to fheep and cows, becaufe the first perfon that met with them would reflect, that he had better take them as they are, than leave them for another.

III. It prevents contests.

War and walte, tumult and confusion, muft be unavoidable and eternal, where there is not enough for all, and where there are no rules to adjust the division.

IV. It improves the conveniency of living.

This it does two ways. It enables mankind to divide themfelves into diffinct profeffions; which is impoffible, unlefs a man can exchange the productions of his own art for what he wants from others; and exchange implies property. Much of the advantage of civilized over favage life depends upon this. When a man is from neceffity his own taylor, tent-maker, carpenter, cook, huntfman, and fifherman, it is not probable that he will be expert at any of his callings. Hence the rude habitations, furniture, clothing, and implements of favages; and the tedious length of time which all their operations require.

It likewife encourages those arts, by which the accommodations of human life are supplied, by by appropriating to the artift the benefit of his difcoveries and improvements; without which appropriation, ingenuity will never be exerted with effect.

Upon these feveral accounts we may venture, with a few exceptions, to pronounce, that even the poorest and the worst provided, in countries where property and the consequences of property prevail, are in a better situation, with respect to food, raiment, houses, and what are called the necessaries of life, than any are, in places where most things remain in common.

The balance, therefore, upon the whole, must preponderate in favour of property with a manifest and great excess.

Inequality of property in the degree in which it exifts in most countries of Europe, abstractedly confidered, is an evil: but it is an evil which flows from those rules concerning the acquisition and disposal of property, by which men are incited to industry, and by which the object of their industry is rendered secure and valuable. If there be any great inequality unconnected with this origin, it ought to be corrected.

CHAP.

THE HISTORY OF PROPERTY.

111

CHAP. III.

THE HISTORY OF PROPERTY.

HE first objects of property were the fruits which a man gathered, and the wild animals he caught; next to thefe, the tents or houfes which he built, the tools he made use of to catch or prepare his food ; and afterwards weapons of war and offence. Many of the favage tribes in North America have advanced no farther than this yet; for they are faid to reap their harvest and return the produce of their market with foreigners, into the common hord or treafury of the tribe. Flocks and herds of tame animals foon became property; Abel, the fecond from Adam, was a keeper of fheep; fheep and oxen, camels, and affes, composed the wealth of the Jewish patriarchs, as they do still of the modern Arabs. As the world was first peopled in the Eaft, where there exifted a great fcarcity of water, wells probably were next made property a as we learn from the frequent and ferious mention

112 THE HISTORY OF PROPERTY.

tion of them in the Old Testament; the contentions and treaties about them*; and from its being recorded, among the most memorable achievements of very eminent men, that they dug or difcovered a well. Land, which is now fo important a part of property, which alone our laws call real property, and regard upon all occafions with fuch peculiar attention, was probably not made property in any country, till long after the inflitution of many other species of property, that is, till the country became populous, and tillage began to be thought of. The first partition of an estate which we read of, was that which took place between Abram and Lot, and was one of the fimplest imaginable : " If thou wilt take the left hand, then " I will go to the right; or if thou depart to the " right hand, then I will go to the left." There are no traces of property in land in Cæfar's account of Britain; little of it in the hiftory of the Yewi/h patriarchs; none of it found amongst the nations of North America; the Scythians are expressly faid to have appropriated their cattle and houses, but to have left their land in common.

Property in immovables continued at first no longer than the occupation; that is, fo long

* Gen. xxi. 25. xxvi. 18.

THE HISTORY OF PROPERTY. 113

as a man's family continued in poffeffion of a cave, or whilft his flocks depaftured upon a neighbouring hill, no one attempted, or thought he had a right to difturb or drive them out : but when the man quitted his cave, or changed his pafture, the firft who found them unoccupied, entered upon them, by the fame title as his predeceffor's; and made way in his turn for any one that happened to fucceed him. All more permanent property in land was probably pofterior to civil government and to laws; and therefore fettled by thefe, or according to the will of the reigning chief.

YOL. 1.

CHAP.

CHAP. IV.

IN WHAT THE RIGHT OF PROPERTY IS FOUNDED.

W E now fpeak of Property in Land: and there is a difficulty in explaining the origin of this property, confiftently with the law of nature; for the land was once no doubt common, and the queftion is, how any particular part of it could juftly be taken out of the common, and fo appropriated to the first owner, as to give him a better right to it than others; and, what is more, a right to exclude all others from it.

Moralists have given many different accounts of this matter; which diversity alone, perhaps, is a proof that none of them are fatisfactory.

One tells us that mankind, when they fuffered a particular perfon to occupy a piece of ground, by tacit confent relinquished their right to it; and as the piece of ground, they fay, belonged to mankind collectively, and mankind thus gave

up

up their right to the first peaceable occupier, it thenceforward became his property, and no one afterwards had a right to molest him in it.

The objection to this account is, that confent can never be prefumed from filence, where the perfon whofe confent is required knows nothing about the matter ; which muft have been the cafe with all mankind, except the neighbourhood of the place where the appropriation was made. And to fuppofe that the piece of ground previoufly belonged to the neighbourhood, and that they had a juft power of conferring a right to it upon whom they pleafed, is to fuppofe the queftion refolved, and a partition of land to have already taken place.

Another fays, that each man's limbs and labour are his own exclusively; that, by occupying a piece of ground, a man infeparably mixes his labour with it; by which means the piece of ground becomes thenceforward his own, as you cannot take it from him, without depriving him at the fame time of fomething, which is indifputably *bis*.

This is Mr. LOCKE's folution; and feems indeed a fair reafon, where the value of the labour bears a confiderable proportion to the value of the thing; or where the thing derives its 12 chief

chief use and value from the labour. Thus game and fifh, though they be common, whilft at large in the woods or water, inftantly become the property of the perfon that catches them; because an animal, when caught, is much more valuable than when at liberty; and this increase of value, which is infeparable from, and makes a great part of the whole value, is strictly the property of the fowler, or fisherman, being the produce of his perfonal labour. For the fame reason, wood or iron, manufactured into utenfils, becomes the property of the manufacturer; becaufe the value of the workmanship far exceeds that of the materials. And upon a fimilar principle, a parcel of unappropriated ground, which a man fhould pare, burn, plow, harrow, and fow, for the production of corn, would justly enough be thereby made his own. But this will hardly hold, in the manner it has been applied, of taking a ceremonious possession of a tract of land, as navigators do of new difcovered islands, by crecting a standard, engraving an infeription, or publishing a proclamation to the birds and beafts; or of turning your cattle into a piece of ground, fetting up a land-mark, digging a ditch, or planting a hedge round it. Nor will even the clearing, manuring, and plowing

116

ing of a field, give the first occupier a right to it in perpetuity, and after this cultivation and all effects of it are ceafed.

Another, and in my opinion a better account of the first right of ownership, is the following: that as God has provided thefe things for the use of all, he has of consequence given each leave to take of them what he wants: by virtue therefore of this leave, a man may appropriate what he stands in need of to his own use, without asking, or waiting for the consent of others; in like manner as, when an entertainment is provided for the freeholders of a county, each freeholder goes, and eats and drinks what he wants or choofes, without having or waiting for the confent of the other guefts.

But then this reason justifies property, as far as necessaries alone, or, at the most, as far as a competent provision for our natural exigencies. For, in the entertainment we fpeak of (allowing the comparison to hold in all points), although every particular freeholder may fit down and eat till he be fatisfied, without any other leave than that of the master of the feast, or any other proof of that leave, than the general invitation, or the manifest defign with which the entertainment is provided; yet you would hardly I 3

117

hardly permit any one to fill his pockets or his wallet, or to carry away with him a quantity of provision to be horded up, or wasted, or given to his dogs, or stewed down into fances, or converted into articles of fuperfluous luxury; especially if, by fo doing, he pinched the guests at the lower end of the table.

These are the accounts that have been given of the matter by the best writers upon the fubject; but, were these accounts perfectly unexceptionable, they would none of them, I fear, avail us in vindicating our present claims of property in land, unless it were more prohable than it is, that our estates were actually acquired at first, in some of the ways which these accounts suppose; and that a regular regard had been paid to justice, in every successive transmission of them fince: for, if one link in the chain fail, every title posterior to it falls to the ground.

The real foundation of our right is THE LAW OF THE LAND.

It is the intention of God that the produce of the earth be applied to the use of man; this intention cannot be fulfilled without establishing property; it is confistent therefore with his will, that property be established. The land cannot be divided into separate property, without leaving it

118

ŕ

it to the law of the country to regulate that division; it is confistent therefore with the fame will, that the law should regulate the division; and, confequently, "confistent with the will of "God," or "right," that I should posses that share which these regulations affign me.

By whatever circuitous train of reasoning you attempt to derive this right, it must terminate at last in the will of God; the straightest therefore, and shortest way of arriving at this will, is the best.

Hence it appears, that my right to an effate does not at all depend upon the manner or juffice of the original acquifition; nor upon the juffice of each fubfequent change of poffeffion. It is not, for inftance, the lefs, nor ought it to be impeached, becaufe the effate was taken poffeffion of at first by a family of aboriginal Britons, who happened to be stronger than their neighbours; nor becaufe the British posseffor was turned out by a Roman, or the Roman by a Saxon invader; nor becaufe it was feized, without colour of right or reason, by a follower of the Norman adventurer; from whom, after many interruptions of fraud and violence, it has at length devolved to me.

. Nor

Nor does the owner's right depend upon the expediency of the law which gives it to him. On one fide of a brook, an eftate defcends to the eldeft fon; on the other fide, to all the children alike. The right of the claimants under both laws of inheritance is equal; though the expediency of fuch opposite rules must necessarily be different.

The principles we have laid down upon this fubject apparently tend to a conclusion of which a bad use is apt to be made. As the right of property depends upon the law of the land, it feems to follow, that a man has a right to keep and take every thing which the law will allow him to keep and take: which in many cafes will authorize the most flagitious chicanery. If a creditor upon a fimple contract neglect to demand his debt for fix years, the debtor may refuse to pay it: would it be right therefore to do fo, where he is confcious of the justice of the debt? If a perfon, who is under twenty-one years of age, contract a bargain (other than for neceffaries), he may avoid it by pleading his minority: but would this be a fair plea, where the bargain was originally just ?- The distinction to be taken in fuch cafes is this. With the law, we acknowledge, refides the disposal of property : ſq

to long therefore as we keep within the defign and intention of a law, that law will justify us, as well in foro conscientiæ, as in foro humano, whatever be the equity or expediency of the law itself. But when we convert to one purpose, a rule or expression of law, which is intended for another purpofe, then we plead in our justification, not the intention of the law, but the words; that is, we plead a dead letter, which can fignify nothing: for words without meaning or intention have no force or effect in juftice, much lefs words taken contrary to the meaning and intention of the speaker or writer. To apply this diffinction to the examples just now proposed : in order to protect men against antiquated demands, from which it is not probable they should have preferved the evidence of their discharge, the law prescribes a limited time to certain species of private securities, beyond which it will not enforce them, or lend its affiftance to the recovery of the debt. If a man be ignorant, or dubious of the justice of the demand made upon him, he may confcientioufly plead this limitation; because he applies the rule of law to the purpose for which it was intended. But when he refuses to pay a debt, of the reality of which he is confcious, he cannot, as before, plead

plead the intention of the statute, and the furpreme authority of law, unless he could shew, that the law intended to interpofe its fupreme authority, to acquit men of debts, of the existence and justice of which they were themselves fenfible. Again, to preferve youth from the practices and impositions, to which their inexperience exposes them, the law compels the payment of no debts incurred within a certain age. nor the performance of any engagements, except for fuch necessaries as are fuited to their condition and fortunes. If a young perfon therefore perceive that he has been practifed or imposed upon, he may honeftly avail himfelf of the privilege of his non-age to defeat the circumven-But, if he shelter himself under this prition. vilege, to avoid a fair obligation, or an equitable contract, he extends the privilege to a cafe, in which it is not allowed by intention of law, and in which confequently it does not, in natural justice, exist.

As property is the principal fubject of justice, or "of the determinate relative duties," we have put down what we had to fay upon *it* in the first place : we now proceed to state these duties in the best order we can.

CHAP.

122

PROMISES.

CHAP. V.

PROMISES.

I. FROM whence the obligation to perform promises arises.

II. In what fense promises are to be interpreted. III. In what cases promises are not binding.

I. From whence the obligation to perform promifes arifes.

They who argue from innate moral principles, fuppofe a fenfe of the obligation of promifes to be one of them; but without affuming this, or any thing elfe, without proof, the obligation to perform promifes may be deduced from the neceffity of fuch a conduct, to the well-being, or the existence, indeed, of human fociety.

Men act from expectation. Expectation is in most cases determined by the affurances and engagements which we receive from others. If no dependance could be placed upon these affurances,

PROMISES.

furances, it would be impoffible to know what judgment to form of many future events, or how to regulate our conduct with respect to them. Confidence therefore in promises is effential to the intercourse of human life; because, without it, the greatest part of our conduct would proceed upon chance. But there could be no confidence in promises, if men were not obliged to perform them; the obligation therefore to perform promises is effential, to the same end, and in the same degree.

Some may imagine, that if this obligation were fuspended, a general caution and mutual distrust would ensue, which might do as well : but this is imagined, without confidering, how every hour of our lives we truft to, and depend upon others; and how impossible it is, to ftir a ftep, or, what is worfe, to fit still a moment, without fuch trust and dependance. I am now writing at my eafe, not doubting (or rather never distrusting, and therefore never thinking about it) but that the butcher will fend in the joint of meat which I ordered; that his fervant will bring it; that my cook will drefs it; that my footman will ferve it up; and that I fhall find it upon table at one o'clock. Yet have I nothing for all this, but the promife of the butcher,

butcher, and the implied promise of his servant and mine. And the same holds of the most important, as well as the most familiar occurrences of social life. In the one the intervention of promises is formal, and is seen and acknowledged; our instance, therefore, is intended to shew it in the other, where it is not so distinctly observed.

II. In what finse promises are to be interpreted. Where the terms of a promise admit of more fenses than one, the promise is to be performed " in that fense in which the promiser appre-" hended at the time that the promise re-" ceived it."

It is not the fenfe in which the promifer actually intended it, that always governs the interpretation of an equivocal promife; becaufe, at that rate, you might excite expectations, which you never meant, nor would be obliged, to fatisfy. Much lefs is it the fenfe, in which the promifee actually received the promife; for, according to that rule, you might be drawn into engagements which you never defigned to undertake. It must therefore be the fenfe (for there is no other remaining) in which the prcmifer believed that the promifee accepted his promife.

This

PROMISES.

This will not differ from the actual intention of the promifer, where the promife is given without collution or referve; but we put the rule in the above form, to exclude evalion in cafes in which the popular meaning of a phrafe, and the first grammatical fignification of the words differ; or, in general, wherever the promifer attempts to make his escape through fome ambiguity in the expressions which he used.

Temures promifed the garrifon of Sebafia, that, if they would furrender, no blood should be shed. The garrifon furrendered; and Temures buried them all alive. Now Temures fulfilled the promife in one fenfe, and in the fenfe too in which he intended it at the time; but not in the fenfe in which the garrifon of Schaftia actually received it, nor in the fenfe in which Temures himfelf knew that the garrifon received it: which laft fenfe, according to our rule, was the fenfe in which he was in confeience bound to have performed it.

From the account we have given of the obligation of promifes, it is evident, that this obligation depends upon the *c. petiations* which we knowingly and voluntarily excite. Confequently, any action or conduct towards another, which we are fensible excites expectations in that other,

is

is as much a promife, and creates as ftrict an obligation, as the most express affurances. Taking, for inftance, a kinfman's child, and educating him for a liberal profession, or in a manner fuitable only for the heir of a large fortune, as much obliges us to place him in that profession, or to leave him fuch a fortune, as if we had given him a promise to do fo under our hands and feals. In like manner, a great man, who encourages an indigent retainer; or a minister of state, who distinguishes and careffes at his levee one who is in a fituation to be obliged by his patronage, engages, by such behaviour, to provide for him.—This is the foundation of *tacit* promises.

You may either fimply declare your prefent intention, or you may accompany your declaration with an engagement to abide by it, which conftitutes a complete promife. In the first case, the duty is fatisfied, if you were *fincere* at the time, that is, if you entertained at the time the intention you expressed, however soon, or for whatever reason, you afterwards change it. In the latter case, you have parted with the liberty of changing. All this is plain ; but it must be observed, that most of those forms of speech, which, ftrictly taken, amount to no more than declarations of present intention,

intention, do yet, in the ufual way of underftanding them, excite the expectation, and therefore carry with them the force of abfolute promises. Such as, "I intend you this place."-" I defign to leave you this effate."-" I pur-" pofe giving you my vote."-" I mean to ferve " you,"-In which, although the " intention," the " defign," the " purpole," the " meaning," be expressed in words of the present time, yet vou cannot afterwards recede from them, without a breach of good faith. If you choose therefore to make known your present intention. and yet to referve to yourfelf the liberty of changing it, you must guard your expressions by an additional claufe, as " I intend at prefent"-" if I don't alter" --- or the like. And after all, as there can be no reafon for communicating your intention, but to excite fome degree of expectation or other, a wanton change of an intention which is once disclosed, always disappoints somebody; and is always, for that reafon, wrong.

There is, in fome men, an infirmity with regard to promifes, which often betrays them into great diftrefs. From the confusion, or hefitation, or obscurity, with which they express themselves, especially when overawed, or taken by surprise, they fometimes encourage expectations, and bring

PROMISES

bring upon themselves demands, which, possibly, they never dreamed of. This is a want, not so much of integrity, as of presence of mind.

III. In what cafes promifes are not binding.

1. Promifes are not binding, where the performance is *impoffible*.

But observe, that the promiser is guilty of a fraud, if he be fecretly aware of the impoffibility, at the time of making the promife. For, when any one promifes a thing, he afferts his belief, at leaft, of the poffibility of performing it; as no one can accept or understand a promise under any other fuppolition. Inftances of this fort are the following. The minister promises a place, which he knows to be engaged, or not at 'his difpolal-A father, in fettling marriage articles, promises to leave his daughter an estate, which he knows to be entailed upon the heir male of his family-A merchant promifes a fhip, or fhare of a fhip, which he is privately advifed is loft at fea-An incumbent promifes to refign a living, being previously assured that his refignation will not be accepted by the bishop. The promiser, as in these cases, with knowledge of the impoffibility, is justly answerable in an equivalent : but otherwise not.

VOL. I.

Ķ

When

When the promifer himfelf occasions the impoffibility, it is neither more nor lefs than a direct breach of the promife; as when a foldier maims, or a fervant difables himfelf, to get rid of his engagements.

2. Promifes are not binding, where the performance is *unlawful*.

There are two cafes of this; one, where the unlawfulness is known to the parties, at the time of making the promife; as where an affaffin promifes his employer to difpatch his rival or his enemy; a fervant to betray his mafter; a pimp to procure a mistres; or a friend to give his affistance in a scheme of seduction. The parties in these cases are not obliged to perform what the promise requires, because they were under a prior obligation to the contrary. From which prior obligation what is there to difcharge them? Their promise-their own act and deed.-But an obligation, from which a man can discharge himself, by his own act, is no obligation at all. The guilt therefore of fuch promifes lies in the making, not in the breaking of them; and if, in the interval betwixt the promife and the performance, a man fo far recover his reflection, as to repent of his engagements, he ought certainly to break through them.

The

The other cafe is, where the unlawfulnefs did not exift, or was not known, at the time of making the promife; as where a merchant promifes his correspondent abroad, to fend him a fhip-load of corn at a time appointed, and before the time arrive, an embargo is laid upon the exportation of corn-A woman gives a promife of marriage; before the marriage, the difcovers that her intended hufband is too nearly related to her, or that he has a wife yet living. In all fuch cafes, where the contrary does not appear, it must be prefumed, that the parties fuppofed what they promifed to be lawful, and that the promife proceeded entirely upon this fuppolition. The lawfulness therefore becomes a condition of the promife; which condition failing, the obligation ceafes. Of the fame nature was Herod's promife to his daughter inlaw, " that he would give her whatever fie " asked, even to the half of his kingdom." The promife was not unlawful, in the terms in which Herod delivered it; and when it became fo by the daughter's choice, by her demanding " JOHN " the Baptift's head," Herod was discharged from the obligation of it, for the reason now laid down, as well as for that given in the last paragraph.

131

K 2

This

PROMISES.

This rule, " that promifes are void, where " the performance is unlawful," extends also to imperfect obligations; for the reason of the rule holds of all obligations. Thus, if you promife a man a place, or your vote, and he afterwards render himself unfit to receive either, you are absolved from the obligation of your promife; or, if a better candidate appear, and it be a cafe in which you are bound by oath, or otherwise, to govern yourself by the qualification, the promise must be broken through.

And here I would recommend, to young perfons especially, a caution, from the neglect of which, many involve themselves in embarralfment and difgrace; and that is, " never to give " a promise which may interfere in the event " with their duty;" for, if it do so interfere, their duty must be discharged, though at the expence of their promise, and not unusually of their good name.

The specific performance of promiles is reckoned a perfect obligation. And many caluifts have laid down, in opposition to what has been here afferted, that, where a perfect and an imperfect obligation clash, the perfect obligation is to be preferred. For which opinion, however, there seems to be no reason, but what arises from $\varepsilon = 1$ the

132

the terms " perfect," and " imperfect," The impropriety of which has been remarked above. The truth is, of two contradictory obligations, that ought to prevail which is prior in point of time.

It is the *performance* being unlawful, and not any unlawfulnefs in the fubject or motive of the promife, which deftroys its validity; therefore a bribe, after the vote is given; the wages of proftitution; the reward of any crime, after the crime is committed, ought, if promised, to be paid. For the fin and mifchief, by this fuppofition, are over; and will be neither more nor lefs for the performance of the promife.

In like manner, a promise does not lose its obligation merely becaufe it proceeded from an unlawful motive. A certain person, in the lifetime of his wife, who was then fick, had paid his addreffes, and promifed marriage to another woman-the wife died; and the woman demanded performance of the promife. The man, who, it feems, had changed his mind, either felt or pretended doubts concerning the obligation of fuch a promife, and referred his cafe to Bishop SANDERSON, the most eminent in this kind of knowledge, of his time. Bifhep SAN-DERSON, after writing a differtation upon the question.

PROMISES.

queftion, adjudged the promife to be void. In which however, upon our principles, he was wrong: for, however criminal the affection might be, which induced the promife, the performance, when it was demanded, was lawful; which is the only lawfulnefs required.

A promife cannot be deemed unlawful, where it produces, when performed, no effect, beyond what would have taken place had the promife never been made. And this is the fingle cafe, in which the obligation of a promife will justify a conduct, which, unlefs it had been promifed, would be unjust. A captive may lawfully recover his liberty, by a promife of neutrality; for his conqueror takes nothing by the promife, which he might not have fecured by his death or confinement: and neutrality would be innocent in him, although criminal in another. It is manifest, however, that promises which come into the place of coercion, can extend no farther than to paffive compliances; for coercion i.f.lf could compel no more. Upon the fame principle, promifes of fecrecy ought not to be violated, although the public would derive advantage from the difcovery. Such promifes contain no unlawfulnefs in them, to deftroy their obligation; for, as the information would not have

I 34

PROMISES.

have been imparted upon any other condition, the public lofe nothing by the promife, which they would have gained without it.

3. Promises are not binding, where they contradict a former promise.

Because the performance is then unlawful; which resolves this case into the last.

4. Promifes are not binding *before accept*ance; that is, before notice given to the promifee; for, where the promife is beneficial, if notice be given, acceptance may be prefumed. Until the promife be communicated to the promifee, it is the fame only as a refolution in the mind of the promifer, which may be altered at pleafure. For no expectation has been excited, therefore none can be difappointed.

But suppose I declare my intention to a third perfon, who, without any authority from me, conveys my declaration to the promise ; is that fuch a notice as will be binding upon me? It certainly is not: for I have not done that which constitutes the effence of a promise—I have not voluntarily excited expectation.

5. Promifes are not binding which are releafed by the promifee.

This is evident; but it may be fometimes doubted who the promifee is. If I give a pro-

mile

mile to A, of a place or vote for B; as to a father for his fon; to an uncle for his nephew; to a friend of mine, for a relation or friend of his; then A is the promifee, whose consent I must obtain to be released from the engagement.

If I promife a place or vote to B by A, that is, if A be a meffenger to convey the promife, as if I should fay, "You may tell B, that he "shall have this place, or may depend upon "my vote;" or if A be employed to introduce B's request, and I answer in any terms which amount to a compliance with it, then B is the promise.

Promifes to one perfon, for the benefit of another, are not releafed by the death of the promifee. For his death neither makes the performance impracticable, nor implies any confent to releafe the promifer from it.

6. Erroneous promifes are not binding in certain cafes; as,

1. Where the error proceeds from the miftake or milreprefentation of the promifee.

Becaule a promise evidently supposes the truth of the account, which the promise relates in order to obtain it. A beggar folicits your charity by a story of the most pitiable distressyou promise to relieve him; if he will call again --

In

736

...

In the interval you difcover his flory to be made up of lyes—this difcovery, no doubt, releafes you from your promife. One who wants your fervice, defcribes the bufinefs or office for which he would engage you—you promife to undertake it—When you come to enter upon it, you find the profits lefs, the labour more, or fome material circumftance different from the account he gave you—In fuch cafe you are not bound by your promife.

2. When the promife is underftood by the promifee to proceed upon a certain fuppolition, or when the promifer apprehended it to be fo underftood, and that fuppolition turns out to be falle; then the promife is not binding.

This intricate rule will be beft explained by an example. A father receives an account from abroad of the death of his only fon-foon after which he promifes his fortune to his nephew-The account turns out to be falfe-the father, we fay, is releafed from his promife; not merely becaufe he never would have made it, had he known the truth of the cafe-for that alone will not do-but becaufe the nephew alfo himfelf underftood the promife to proceed upon the fuppofition of his coufin's death; or at leaft his uncle thought he fo underftood it; and could not think يذعكم مسطحاه

think otherwife. The promife proceeded upon this fuppofition in the promifer's own apprehenfion, and, as he believed, in the apprehenfion of both parties; and this belief of his is the precife circumftance which fets him free. The foundation of the rule is plainly this, a man is bound only to fatisfy the expectation which he intended to excite; whatever condition therefore he intended to fubject that expectation to, becomes an effential condition of the promife.

Errors, which come not within this defcription, do not annul the obligation of a promife. I promife a candidate my vote-prefently another candidate appears, for whom I certainly would have referved it, had I been acquainted with his defign. Here therefore, as before, my promile proceeded from an error; and I never should have given fuch a promife, had I been aware of the truth of the cafe, as it has turned out-But the promifee did not know this-be did not receive the promife fubject to any fuch condition, or as proceeding from any fuch supposition-nor did I at the time imagine he fo received it-This error, therefore, of mine, must fail upon my own head, and the promife be observed notwithstanding. A father promifes a certain fortune with his daughter, fuppoling himfelf to be worth ſa

•

PROMISES.

fo much—his circumstances turn out, upon examination, worse than he was aware of. Here again the promise was erroneous, but, for the reason assigned in the last case, will nevertheless be obligatory.

The cafe of erroneous.promifes is attended with fome difficulty; for to allow every miftake, or change of circumftances, to diffolve the obligation of a promife, would be to allow a latitude, which might evacuate the force of almost all promifes: and on the other hand, to gird the obligation fo tight, as to make no allowances for manifest and fundamental errors, would, in many instances, be productive of great hardship and abfurdity.

It has long been controverted amongst moralists, whether promises be binding, which are extorted by violence or fear. The obligation of all promises results, we have seen,' from the neceffity or the use of that confidence which mankind repose in them. The question, therefore, whether these promises are binding, will depend upon this, whether mankind, upon the whole, are benefited by the confidence placed in such promises? promifes? A highwayman attacks you-and being difappointed of his booty, threatens or prepares to murder you-you promife, with many folemn affeverations, that if he will spare your life, he shall find a purfe of money left for him, at a place appointed-upon the faith of this promile, he forbears from further violence. Now your life was faved by the confidence repofed in a promife extorted by fear; and the lives of many others may be faved by the fame. This is a good confequence. On the other hand, confidence in promifes like these greatly facilitates the perpetration of robberies. They may be made the inftruments of almost unlimited extortion. This is a bad confequence; and in the question between the importance of these opposite confequences refides the doubt concerning the obligation of fuch promifes.

There are other cafes which are plainer; as where a magistrate confines a difturber of the public peace in jail, till he promife to behave better; or a prifoner of war promifes, if fer at liberty, to return within a certain time. These promifes, fay moralist, are binding, because the violence or dures is just; but, the true reason is, because there is the same use of confidence

٩,

confidence in these promises, as of confidence in the promises of a person at persect liberty.

Vows are promifes to God. The obligation cannot be made out upon the fame principle as that of other promifes. The violation of them, neverthelefs, implies a want of reverence to the Supreme Being; which is enough to make it finful.

There appears no command or encouragement in the Christian foriptures to make vows; ' much lefs any authority to break through them, when they are made. The few inflances * of vows which we read of in the New Teftament: were religioufly obferved.

The rules we have laid down concerning promifes are applicable to vows. Thus Jephtha's, vow, taken in the fenfe in which that transaction is commonly underftood, was not binding; becaufe the performance, in that contingency, became unlawful.

* Acts, xviii. 18. xxi. 23.

CONTRACTS.

. 1

CHAP. VI.

CONTRACTS.

CONTRACT is a mutual promife. The obligation therefore of contracts; the fenfe in which they are to be interpreted; and the cafes where they are not binding, will be the fame as of promifes.

From the principle eftablished in the last chapter, "that the obligation of promifes is to be mea-"fured by the expectation which the promifer "any how voluntarily and knowingly excites," refults a rule, which governs the construction of all contracts, and is capable, from its fimplicity, of being applied with great ease and certainty, viz. That,

Whatever is expected by one fide, and known to be fo expected by the other, is to be deemed a part or condition of the contract.

Sec. 22.

The

CONTRACTS.

The feveral kinds of contracts, and the order in which we propose to confider them, may be exhibited at one view, thus:

Contracts of	ding of {Inconfumable Property. Money. Service.
--------------	---

143

CHAP.

CHAP. VII.

CONTRACTS OF SALE.

THE rule of justice, which wants with most anxiety to be inculcated in the making of bargains, is, that the feller is bound in confcience to difclose the faults of what he offers to fale. Amongst other methods of proving this, one may be the following:

I fuppofe it will be allowed; that to advance a direct falfehood in recommendation of our wares, by afcribing to them fome quality which we know that they have not, is diffioneft. Now compare with this the defigned concealment of fome fault, which we know that they have, The motives and the effects of actions are the only points of comparison, in which their moral quality can differ : but the motive in these two cafes is the fame, viz. to procure a higher price than we expect otherwise to obtain : the effect, that is, the prejudice to the buyer, is also the fame; for for he finds himfelf equally out of pocket by his bargain, whether the commodity, when he gets home with it, turn out worfe than he had fuppofed, by the want of fome quality which he expected, or the difcovery of fome fault which he did not expect. If therefore actions be the fame, as to all moral purpofes, which proceed from the fame motives, and produce the fame effects, it is making a diffinction without a difference, to efteem it a *cheat* to magnify beyond the truth the virtues of what we have to fell, but none to conceal its faults.

It adds to the value of this kind of honefty, that the faults of many things are of a nature not to be known by any, but by the perfons who have ufed them: fo that the buyer has no fecurity from impolition, but in the ingenuoufnefs and integrity of the feller.

There is one exception however to this rule, namely, where the filence of the feller implies fome fault in the thing to be fold, and where the buyer has a compenfation in the price for the rifk which he runs: as where a horfe, in a London repofitory, is fold by public auction, without warranty; the want of warranty is notice of fome unfoundnefs, and produces a proportionable abatement in the price.

VOL. I.

L

To

To this of concealing the faults of what we want to put off, may be referred the practice of paffing bad money. This practice we fometimes hear defended by a vulgar excufe, that we have taken the money for good, and must therefore get rid of it. Which excufe is much the fame as if one, who had been robbed upon the highway, fhould alledge that he had a right to reimburfe himfelf out of the pocket of the first traveller he met; the justice of which reasoning the traveller possibly may not comprehend.

Where there exifts no monopoly or combination, the market price is always a fair price; becaufe it will always be proportionable to the use and fearcity of the article. Hence, there need be no feruple about demanding or taking the market price; and all those expressions, "pro-"visions are extravagantly dear," "corn bears " an unreasonable price," and the like, import no unfairness or unreasonableness in the feller.

If your taylor or your draper charge, or even afk of you, more for a fuit of clothes, than the market price, you complain that you are impofed upon; you pronounce the tradefman who makes fuch a charge difhoneft : although, as the man's goods were his own, and he had a right to prefcribe the terms, upon which he would confent confent to part with them, it may be queffioned what difhonefty there can be in the cafe, or, wherein the imposition confists. Whoever opens a fhop, or in any manner exposes goods to public fale, virtually engages to deal with his cuftomers at a market price; because it is upon the faith and opinion of fuch an engagement, that any one comes within his shop doors, or offers to treat with him. This is expected by the buyer; is known to be so expected by the feller; which is enough, according to the rule delivered above, to make it a part of the contract between them, though not a fyllable be faid about it. The breach of this implied contract conflitutes the fraud enquired after.

Hence, if you difclaim any fuch engagement, you may fet what value you pleafe upon your property. If, upon being afked to fell a houfe, you anfwer that the houfe fuits your fancy or conveniency, and that you will not turn yourfelf out of it, under fuch a price; the price fixed may be double of what the houfe coft, or would fetch at a public fale, without any imputation of injuffice or extortion upon you.

If the thing fold be damaged, or perifh, between the fale and the delivery, ought the buyer to bear the lofs, or the feller? This will depend

upon

upon the particular conftruction of the con-If the feller, either expressly, or by tract. implication, or by cuftom, engage to deliver the goods; as if I buy a fet of china, and the china-man afk me to what place he fhall bring or fend them, and they be broken in the conveyance, the feller must abide by the lofs. If the thing fold remain with the feller, at the instance, or for the conveniency of the buyer, then the buyer undertakes the rifk; as if I buy a horfe, and mention, that I will fend for it on Ach a day, which is in effect defiring that it may continue with the feller till I do fend for it, then, whatever misfortune befals the horfe in the mean time, must be at my cost.

And here, once for all, I would observe, that innumerable questions of this fort are determined folely by *custom*; not that custom possibles any proper authority to alter or ascertain the nature of right and wrong; but because the contracting parties are presumed to include in their stipulation, all the conditions which custom has annexed to contracts of the same fort; and when the usage is notorious, and no exception made to it, this presumption is generally agreeable to the fact *.

* It happens here, as in many cafes, that what the parties ought

If

If I order a pipe of port from a wine merchant abroad; at what period the property paffes from the merchant to me; whether upon delivery of the wine at the merchant's warehoufe; upon its being put on fhipboard at Oporto; upon the arrival of the fhip in England; at its deftined port; or not till the wine be committed to my fervants, or deposited in my cellar; are all queftions, which admit of no decision, but what custom points out. Whence, in justice, as well as law, what is called the *custom of merchants*, regulates the construction of mercantile concerns.

ought to do, and what a judge or arbitrator would award to be done, may be very different. What the parties ought to do by virtue of their contract, depends upon their confcioufnefs at the time of making it : whereas a third perfon finds it neceffary to found his judgment upon prefumptions, which prefumptions may be false, although the most probable that he could proceed by.

L 3

CHAP. VIII.

CONTRACTS OF HAZARD.

B^Y Contracts of Hazard, I mean gaming and infurance.

What some fay of this kind of contracts, " that one fide ought not to have any advan-" tage over the other," is neither practicable nor true. It is not practicable; for that perfect equality of skill and judgment, which this rule requires, is feldom to be met with. I might not have it in my power to play with fairnefs a game at cards, billiards, or tennis; lay a wager at a horfe-race; or underwrite a policy of infurance, once in a twelvemonth; if I must wait till I meet with a perfon, whofe art, fkill, and judgment in these matters, is neither greater nor less than my own. Nor is this equality requifite to the justice of the contract. One party may give to the other the whole of the ftake, if he pleafe, and the other party may juftly accept cept it, if it be given him; much more therefore may one give to the other a part of the ftake; or, what is exactly the fame thing, an advantage in the chance of winning the whole.

The proper reftriction is, that neither fide have an advantage, by means of which the other is not aware; for this is an advantage taken, without being given. Although the event be fill an uncertainty, your advantage in the chance has a certain value; and fo much of the ftake, as that value amounts to, is taken from your adverfary without his knowledge, and therefore without his confent. If I fit down to a game at whift, and have an advantage over the adverfary, by means of a better memory, clofer attention, or a fuperior knowledge of the rules and chances of the game, the advantage is fair; becaufe it is obtained by means of which the adverfary is aware : for he is aware, when he fits down with me, that I shall exert the skill that I posses, to the utmost. But if I gain an advantage by packing the cards, glancing my eye into the adverfaries' hands, or by concerted fignals with my partner, it is a difhonest advantage; becaufe it depends upon means, which the adverfary never fuspects that I make use of.

L 4

The

152 CONTRACTS OF HAZARD.

The fame diffinction holds of all contracts into which chance enters. If I lay a wager at a horfe-race, founded upon the conjecture I form from the appearance, and character, and breed of the horfes, I am juftly entitled to any advantage which my judgment gives me; but, if I carry on a clandeftine correspondence with the jockies, and find out from them, that a trial has been actually made, or that it is fettled beforehand which horfe shall win the race; all such information is fo much fraud, because derived from fources, which the other did not suger.

In fpeculations in trade, or in the ftocks, if I exercife my judgment upon the general afpect and pofture of public affairs, and deal with a perfon who conducts himfelf by the fame fort of judgment; the contract has all the equality in it which is neceffary: but if I have accefs to fecrets of ftate at home, or private advice of fome decifive measure or event abroad, I cannot avail myself of these advantages with justice, because they are excluded by the contract, which proceeded upon the supposition, that I had no such advantage.

In

In infurances, in which the underwriter computes his rifk entirely from the account given by the perfon infured, it is abfolutely neceffary to the justice and validity of the contract, that this account be exact and complete.

CHAP.

ì

CHAP. IX.

CONTRACTS OF LENDING OF INCONSUMABLE PROPERTY.

WHEN the identical loan is to be returned, as a book, a horfe, a harpfichord, it is called *inconfumable*, in opposition to corn, wine, money, and those things which perish, or are parted with in the use, and can therefore only be restored in kind.

The queftions under this head are few and fimple. The first is, if the thing lent be lost or damaged, who ought to bear the loss or damage? If it be damaged by the use, or by accident in the use, for which it was lent, the lender ought to bear it; as if I hire a job coach, the wear, tear, and foiling of the coach must belong to the lender; or a horse to go a particular journey, and in going the proposed journey, the horse die, or be lamed, the loss must be the lender's : on the contrary, if the damage



mage be occafioned by the fault of the borrower, or by accident in fome ufe for which it was not lent, then the borrower must make it good; as if the coach be overturned or broken to pieces by the careless of your coachman; or the horse be hired to take a morning's ride upon, and you go a-hunting with him, or leap him over hedges, or put him into your cart, or carriage, and he be strained, or staked, or galled, or accidentally hurt, or drop down dead, whils you are thus using him; you must make statisfaction to the owner.

The two cafes are diffinguished by this circumftance, that in one case, the owner foresees the damage or risk, and therefore consents to undertake it; in the other case, he does not.

It is poffible that an effate or a houfe may, during the term of a leafe, be fo increafed or diminifhed in its value, as to become worth much more, or much lefs, than the rent agreed to be paid for it. In fome of which cafes it may be doubted, to whom, of natural right, the advantage or difadvantage belongs. The rule of juffice feems to be this: If the alteration might be *expected* by the parties, the hirer must take the confequence; if it could not, the owner. An orchard, or a vineyard, or a mine, or a fifhery,

156 CONTRACTS OF LENDING OF

fishery, or a decoy, may this year yield nothing or next to nothing, yet the tenant shall pay his rent; and if they next year produce tenfold the usual profit, no more shall be demanded ; because the produce is in its nature precarious, and this variation might be expected. If an eftate in the fens of Lincolnshire, or the isle of Ely, be overflowed with water, fo as to be incapable of occupation, the tenant, notwithstanding, is bound by his leafe; becaufe he entered into it with a knowledge and forefight of this danger. On the other hand, if by the irruption of the fea into a country where it was never known to have come before, by the change of the courfe of a river, the fall of a rock, the breaking out of a volcano, the burfting of a mofs, the incursions of an enemy, or by a mortal contagion amongst the cattle; if, by means like these, an estate change, or lofe its value, the lofs fhall fall upon the owner; that is, the tenant shall either be difcharged from his agreement, or be entitled to an abatement of rent. A house in London, by the building of a bridge, the opening of a new road or street, may become of ten times its former value; and, by contrary caufes, may be as much reduced in value : here alfo, as before, the owner, not the hirer, shall be affected by the

. **B**4

the alteration. The reason upon which our determination proceeds is this, that changes such as these, being neither foreseen, nor provided for, by the contracting parties, form no part or condition of the contract; and therefore ought to have the same effect as if no contract at all had been made (for none was made with respect to them), that is, ought to fall upon the owner.

CHAP.

CHAP. X.

CONTRACTS CONCERNING THE LENDING OF MONEY.

THERE exifts no reafon in the law of nature, why a man fhould not be paid for the lending of his money, as well as of any other property into which the money might be converted.

The fcruples that have been entertained upon this head, and upon the foundation of which, the receiving of intereft or ufury (for they formerly meant the fame thing) was once prohibited in almost all Christian countries *, arole from a paffage in the law of MOSES, *Deuteronomy* xxiii. 19, 20, "Thou shalt not lend upon ufury to "thy brother; ufury of money, ufury of vic-"tuals, ufury of any thing, that is lent upon

* By a flatute of JAMES the First, interest above eight pounds per cent. was prohibited (and confequently under that rate allowed), with this fage provision: That this flatute shall not be constructed or expounded to allow the practice of usury in point of religion or conficience.

"ulury:



" usury : unto a stranger thou mayest lend upon " usury ; but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend " upon usury."

This prohibition is now generally underftood to have been intended for the Jews alone, as part of the civil or political law of that nation, and calculated to preferve amongft themfelves that diftribution of property, to which many of their inflitutions were fubfervient; as the marriage of an heirefs within her own tribe; of a widow, who was left childlefs, to her hufband's brother; the year of jubilee, when alienated eftates reverted to the family of the original proprietor—regulations, which were never thought to be binding upon any but the commonwealth of Ifrael.

This interpretation is confirmed, I think, beyond all controverly, by the diffinction made in the law, between a Jew and a foreigner—" unto " a ftranger thou mayeft lend upon ufury, but " unto thy brother thou mayeft not lend upon " ufury;" a diffinction which could hardly have been admitted into a law, which the Divine Author intended to be of moral and of univerfal obligation.

The rate of interest has in most countries been regulated by law. The Roman law allowed of twelve pounds per cent. which *Justinian* reduced

at

160 CONTRACTS CONCERNING THE

at one ftroke to four pounds. A ftatute of the thirteenth year of Queen *Elizabetb*, which was the firft that tolerated the receiving of intereft in *England* at all, reftrained it to ten pounds per cent.; a ftatute of *James* the Firft to eight pounds; of *Charles* the Second, to fix pounds; of Queen *Anne*, to five pounds, on pain of forfeiture of treble the value of the money lent; at which rate and penalty the matter now flands. The policy of thefe regulations is, to check the power of accumulating wealth without induffry; to give encouragement to trade, by enabling adventurers in it to borrow money at a moderate price; and of late years, to enable the flate to borrow the fubject's money itfelf.

Compound interest, though forbidden by the law of England, is agreeable enough to natural equity; for interest detained after it is due, becomes, to all intents and purposes, part of the fum lent.

It is a queftion which fometimes occurs, how money borrowed in one country ought to be paid in another, where the relative value of the precious metals is not the fame. For example, fuppofe I borrow a hundred guineas in London, where each guinea is worth one-and-twenty fhillings, and meet my creditor in the East Indies, where

LENDING OF MONEY.

where a guinea is worth no more perhaps than ninetcen, is it a fatisfaction of the debt to return a hundred guineas; or must I make up fo many times one-and-twenty shillings? I should think the latter; for it must be prefumed, that my creditor, had he not lent me his guineas, would have disposed of them in success, as to have now had, in the place of them, fo many one-and-twenty shillings; and the quéftion supposes, that he neither intended, nor ought to be a sufferer, by parting with the posfession of his money to me.

When the relative value of coin is altered by an act of the ftate, if the alteration would have extended to the identical pieces which were lent, it is enough to return an equal number of pieces of the fame denomination, or their prefent value in any other. As, if guineas were reduced by act of parliament to twenty fhillings, fo many twenty fhillings, as I borrowed guineas, would be a juft repayment. It would be otherwife, if the reduction was owing to a debafement of the coin; for then refpect ought to be had to the comparative value of the old guinea and the new.

Whoever borrows money is bound in conficience to repay it. This every man can fee; yol. 1. M but

162 CONTRACTS CONCERNING THE

but every man cannot see, or does not however reflect, that he is, in confequence, also bound to use the means necessary to enable himself to repay it. " If he pay the money when he has " it, or has it to fpare, he does all that an honeft "man can do," and all, he imagines, that is required of him; whilft the previous measures, which are neceffary to furnish him with that money, he makes no part of his care, nor obferves to be as much his duty as the other; fuch as felling a family feat, or a family eftate, contracting his plan of expence, laying down his equipage, reducing the number of his fervants, or any of those humiliating facrifices, which justice requires of a man in debt, the moment he perceives that he has no reasonable prospect of paying his debts without them. An expectation, which depends upon the continuance of his own life, will not fatisfy an honest man, if a better provision be in his power; for it is a breach of faith to fubject a creditor; when we can help it, to the rifk of our life, be the event what it will; that not being the fecurity to which credit was given.

I know few fubjects which have been more mifunderftood than the law which authorizes the imprifonment of infolvent debtors. It has been reprerepresented as a gratuitous cruelty, which contributed nothing to the reparation of the creditor's lofs, or to the advantage of the community. This prejudice arifes principally from confidering the fending of a debtor to jail, as an act of private fatisfaction to the creditor, instead of a public punishment. As an act of fatisfaction or revenge, it is always wrong in the motive, and often intemperate and undiffinguishing in the exercife. Confider it as a public punishment, founded upon the fame reason, and subject to the fame rules, as other punishments; and the justice of it, together with the degree to which it should be extended, and the objects upon whom it may be inflicted, will be apparent. There are frauds relating to infolvency, against which it is as necessary to provide punishment, as for any public crimes whatever : as where a man gets your money into his pofferfion, and forthwith runs away with it; or, what is little better, squanders it in vicious expences; or stakes it at the gaming-table; in the alley; or upon wild adventures in trade : or is confcious, at the time he borrows it, that he can never repay it; or wilfully puts it out of his power by profufe living; or conceals his effects, or transfers them by collusion to another: not to mention the

M 2

164 CONTRACTS CONCERNING THE

the obflinacy of fome debtors, who had rather rot in a jail, than deliver up their effates; for, to fay the truth, the first abfurdity is in the law itfelf, which leaves it in a debtor's power to withhold any part of his property from the claim of his creditors. The only question is, whether the punishment be properly placed in the hands of an exasperated creditor: for which it may be faid, that these frauds are so fubtile and versatile, that nothing but a discretionary power can overtake them; and that no discretion is likely to be so well informed, so vigilant, or so active, as that of the creditor.

It must be remembred, however, that the confinement of a debtor in jail is a punishment; and that every punishment supposes a crime. To purfue therefore, with the extremity of legal rigour, a fufferer, whom the fraud or failure of others, his own want of capacity, or the difappointments and mifcarriages to which all human affairs are fubject, have reduced to ruin, merely becaufe we are provoked by our lofs, and feek to relieve the pain we feel by that which we inflict, is repugnant not only to humanity, but to juftice; for it is to pervert a provision of law, defigned for a different and a falutary purpofe, to the gratification of private fpleen and refentment

LENDING OF MONEY.

ment. Any alteration in these laws, which could diftinguish the degrees of guilt, or convert the fervice of the infolvent debtors to fome public profit, might be an improvement; but any confiderable mitigation of their rigour, under colour of relieving the poor, would increase their hardfhips. For, whatever deprives the creditor of his power of coercion, deprives him of his fecurity; and as this must add greatly to the difficulty of obtaining credit, the poor, especially the lower fort of tradefmen, are the first who would fuffer by fuch a regulation. As tradefmen must buy before they fell, you would exclude from trade two thirds of those who now carry it on, if none were enabled to enter into it without a capital fufficient for prompt payment. An advocate, therefore, for the interests of this important class of the community, will deem it more eligible, that one out of a thoufand should be fent to jail by his creditors, than that the nine hundred and ninety-nine fhould be straitened and embarrassed, and many of them lie idle, by the want of credit.

CHAP.

M 3

CHAP, XI,

CONTRACTS OF LABOUR,

SERVICE,

SERVICE in this country is, as it ought to be, voluntary, and by contract; and the mafter's authority extends no farther than the terms or equitable conftruction of the contract will juftify.

The treatment of fervants, as to diet, difcipline, and accommodation, the kind and quantity of work to be required of them, the intermiffion, liberty, and indulgence to be allowed them, must be determined in a great measure by custom; for, where the contract involves fo many particulars, the contracting parties express a few perhaps of the principal, and by mutual understanding refer the rest to the known cuftom of the country in like cases.

A fervant is not bound to obey the unlawful commands of his mafter; to minister, for instance, Rance, to his unlawful pleasures; or to affist him by unlawful practices in his profession; as in fmuggling or adulterating the articles in which For the fervant is bound by nothing he deals. but his own promife; and the obligation of a promife extends not to things unlawful.

For the fame reason, the master's authority is no justification of the fervant in doing wrong; for the fervant's own promise, upon which that authority is founded, would be none.

Clerks and apprentices ought to be employed entirely in the profession or trade which they are intended to learn. Instruction is their hire; and to deprive them of the opportunities of inftruction, by taking up their time with occupations foreign to their business, is to defraud them of their wages.

The mafter is responsible for what a servant does in the ordinary course of his employment; for it is done under a general authority committed to him, which is in justice equivalent to a specific direction. Thus, if I pay money to a banker's clerk, the banker is accountable; but not if I had paid it to his butler or his footman, whole bufinels it is not to receive money. Upon the fame principle, if I once fend a fervant to take up goods upon credit, whatever goods he after-

afterwards takes up at the fame shop, so long as he continues in my service, are justly chargeable to my account.

The law of this country goes great lengths in intending a kind of concurrence in the mafter, fo as to charge him with the confequences of his fervant's conduct. If an inn-keeper's fervant rob his guefts, the inn-keeper must make reflitution; if a farrier's fervant lame a horfe, the farrier must answer for the damage; and still farther, if your coachman or carter drive over a passenger in the road, the passenger may recover from you a fatisfaction for the hurt he fuffers. But these determinations stand, I think, rather upon the authority of the law, than any principle of natural justice.

There is a careleffnels and facility in "giving "characters," as it is called, of fervants, effectially when given in writing, or according to fome effablished form, which, to speak plainly of it, is a cheat upon those who accept them. They are given with so little referve and veracity, " that I "fhould as foon depend;" fays the author of the Rambler, " upon an acquirtal at the Old Bailey, " by way of recommendation of a fervant's ho-" nefty, as upon one of these characters." It is fometimes careleffnels; and fometimes also to get

168

get rid of a bad fervant without the uneafinels of a difpute : for which nothing can be pleaded, but the most ungenerous of all excuses, that the perfon whom we deceive is a stranger.

There is a conduct the reverse of this, but more injurious, because the injury falls where there is no remedy; I mean the obstructing of a fervant's advancement, because you are unwilling to spare his fervice. To stand in the way of your servant's interest, is a poor return for his fidelity; and affords services and therefore important part of the community. It is a piece of injustice, which, if practised towards an equal, the law of honour would lay hold of; as it is, it is neither uncommon nor difreputable.

A maîter of a family is culpable, if he permit any vices among his domeftics, which he might reftrain by due difcipline and a proper interference. This refults from the general obligation to prevent mifery when in our power; and the affurance which we have, that vice and mifery at the long run go together. Care to maintain in his family a fense of virtue and religion, received the divine approbation in the person of ABRAHAM, Gen. xviii. 19—" I know him, that " he

" he will command his children; and bis boufe-" bold after him; and they fhall keep the way " of the LORD, to do justice and judgment." And indeed no authority feems fo well adapted to this purpose, as that of masters of families; because none operates upon the subjects of it with an influence fo immediate and constant.

What the Christian Scriptures have delivered concerning the relation and reciprocal duties of mafters and fervants, breathes a fpirit of liberality, very little known in ages when fervitude was flavery; and which flowed from a habit of contemplating mankind under the common relation in which they fland to their Creator, and with refpect to their interest in another existence*. "Servants, be obedient to them that are "your mafters, according to the flesh, with "fear and trembling; in fingleness of your "heart, as unto Chrift; not with eve-fervice, " as men-pleafers, but as the fervants of Chrift, " doing the will of God from the heart; with " good will, doing fervice as to the Lord, and not "to men: knowing that whatfoever good thing " any man doth, the fame shall he receive of the " LORD, whether he be bond or fice. And, ye

* Eph. vi. 5-9.

· mafters,

** mafters, do the fame thing unto them, forbear-" ing threatening; knowing that your master also " is in heaven; neither is there respect of per-" fons with him." The idea of referring their fervice to God, of confidering bim as having appointed them their tafk, that they were doing bis will, and were to look to him for their reward, was new; and affords a greater fecurity to the master than any inferior principle, because it tends to produce a fleady and cordial obedience, in the place of that constrained fervice, which can never be trufted out of fight, and which is justly enough called eye-fervice. The exhortation to mafters, to keep in view their own fubjection and accountableness, was no less feasonable.

6

172

COMMISSIONS.

CHAP. XII.

CONTRACTS OF LABOUR.

COMMISSIONS.

HOEVER undertakes another man's businefs, makes it his own, that is, promifes to employ upon it the fame care, attention, and diligence, that he would do if itwere actually his own; for he knows that the bufinefs was committed to him with that expectation. And he promifes nothing more than this. Therefore an agent is not obliged to wait, inquire, folicit, ride about the country, toil, or fludy, whilft there remains a poffibility of benefiting his employer. If he exert fo much of his activity, and use fuch caution, as the value of the business, in his judgment, deserves; that is, as he would have thought fufficient if the fame interest of his own had been at stake; he has discharged his duty, although it should afterwards

wards turn out, that by more activity, and longer perfeverance, he might have concluded the bufine is with greater advantage.

This rule defines the duty of factors, flewards, attornies, and advocates.

One of the chief difficulties of an agent's fituation is, to know how far he may depart from, his instructions, when, from some change or discovery in the circumstances of his commilfion, he fees reafon to believe that his employer, if he were present, would alter his intention. The latitude allowed to agents in this respect will be different, according as the committion was confidential or ministerial; and according as the general rule and nature of the fervice require a prompt and precise obedience to orders, or not. An attorney fent to treat for an estate, if he found out a flaw in the title, would defift from propoling the price he was directed to propole; and very properly. On the other hand, if the, commander in chief of an army detach an officer under him upon a particular fervice, which fervice turns out more difficult, or lefs expedient, than was supposed, in so much that the officer is convinced that his commander, if he were acquainted with the true flate in which the affair is found, would recall his orders; yet muft 7

must this officer, if he cannot wait for fresh directions without prejudice to the expedition he is sent upon, pursue, at all hazards, those which he brought out with him.

What is trufted to an agent may be toft or damaged in his hands by misfortune. An agent who acts without pay is clearly not answerable for the lofs; for, if he give his labour for nothing, it cannot be prefumed that he gave alfo fecurity for the fuccels of it. If the agent be hired to the bulinefs, the queftion will depend upon the apprehension of the parties at the time of making the contract; which apprehension of theirs must be collected chiefly from custom, by which probably it was guided. Whether a public carrier ought to account for goods fent by him; the owner or master of a ship for the cargo; the post-office for letters, or bills inclosed in letters, where the lofs is not imputed to any fault or neglect of theirs; are questions of this fort. Any expression, which by implication amounts to a promife, will be binding upon the agent, without cuftom; as where the proprietors of a stage-coach advertise, that they will not be accountable for money, plate or jewels, this makes them accountable for every thing elfe; or where the price is too much for the labour, part of

174

of it may be confidered as a premium for infurance. On the other hand, any caution on the part of the owner to guard against danger, is evidence that he confiders the rifk to be his; as cutting a bank bill in two, to fend by the post at different times.

Universally, unless a *promise*, either express or tacit, can be proved against the agent, the loss must fall upon the owner.

The agent may be a sufferer in his own person or property by the butinefs which he undertakes; as where one goes a journey for another, and lames his horfe, or is hurt himfelf, by a fall upon the road; can the agent in fuch cafe claim a compensation for the misfortune? Unless the fame be provided for by express flipulation, the agent is not entitled to any compendation from his employer on that account: for where the danger is not forefeen, there can be no reafon to believe, that the employer engaged to indemnify the agent against it : still lefs where it is forefeen : for whoever knowingly undertakes a dangerous employment, in common construction takes upon himfelf the danger and the confequences; as where a fireman undertakes for a reward to refcue a box of writings from the flames; or a failor to bring off a passenger from a fhip in a ftorm.

CHAP.

PARTNERSHIP.

CHAP. XIII.

CONTRACTS OF LABOUR.

PART NERSHIP.

KNOW nothing upon the fubject of partnership that requires explanation, but in what manner the profits are to be divided, where one partner contributes money, and the other labour; which is a common case.

Rule. From the flock of the partnership deduct the fum advanced, and divide the remainder between the monied partner and the labouring partner, in the proportion of the interest of the money to the wages of the labour, allowing fuch a rate of interest as money might be borrowed for upon the fame fecurity, and fuch wages as a journeyman would require for the fame labour and trust.

Example. A advances a thousand pounds, but knows nothing of the busines; B produces

no

no money, but has been brought up to the bufinefs, and undertakes to conduct it. At the end of the year the flock and the effects of the partnership amount to twelve hundred pounds; confequently there are two hundred pounds to be divided. Now nobody would lend money upon the event of the buliness fucceeding, which is A's fecurity, under fix per cent.—therefore A must be allowed fixty pounds for the interest of . his money. B, before he engaged in the partnership, earned thirty pounds a year in the fame employment; his labour, therefore, ought to be valued at thirty pounds; and the two hundred pounds must be divided between the partners, in the proportion of fixty to thirty; that is, A must receive one hundred and thirty-three pounds fix shillings and eight-pence, and B fixtyfix pounds thirteen shillings and four-pence.

If there be nothing gained, A lofes his intereft, and B his labour; which is right. If the original flock be diminished, by this rule B lofes only his labour as before; whereas A lofes his interest, and part of the principal: for which eventual difadvantage A is compensated, by having the interest of his money computed at fix per cent. in the division of the profits, when there are any.

VOL. I.

It

It is true, that the division of the profit is feldom forgotten in the constitution of the partnerschip, and is therefore commonly settled by express agreements: but these agreements, to be equitable, should pursue the principle of the rule here laid down.

All the partners are bound by what any one of them does in the course of the busines; for, quoad boc, each partner is confidered as an authorized agent for the rest.

CHAP.

OFFICES.

179

CHAP. XIV.

CONTRACTS OP LABOUR.

OFFICES.

N many offices, as schools, fellowships of colleges, profefforthips of the univertities, and the like, there is a twofold contract, one with the founder, the other with the electors.

The contract with the founder obliges the incumbent of the office to difcharge every duty appointed by the charter, flatutes, deed of gift, or will of the founder; because the endowment was given, and confequently accepted for that purpole, and upon those conditions.

The contract with the electors extends this obligation to all duties that have been customarily connected with and reckoned a part of the office, / though not prefcribed by the founder: for the electors expect from the perfon they choose all the duties which his predecessors have difcharged ;

N 2

charged; and as the perfon elected cannot be ignorant of their expectation, if he meant to have refused this condition, he ought to have apprised them of his objection.

And here let it be observed, that the electors can excuse the conficience of the person elected from this last class of duties alone; because this class results from a contract, to which the electors and the person elected are the only parties. The other class of duties results from a different contract.

It is a queftion of fome magnitude and difficulty, what offices may be confcientioufly fupplied by a deputy.

We will flate the feveral objections to the fubflitution of a deputy; and then it will be underflood, that a deputy may be allowed in all cafes to which these objections do not apply.

An office may not be difcharged by deputy, **1.** Where a particular confidence is repofed in the judgment and conduct of the perfor appointed to it; as the office of a fleward, guardian, judge, commander in chief by land or fea.

2. Where the cuftom hinders; as in the cafe of fchool-mafters, tutors, and of committions in the army or navy. 3. Where

180

OFFICES.

3. Where the duty cannot, from its nature, be fo well performed by a deputy; as the deputy governor of a province may not posses the legal authority, or the actual influence, of his, principal.

4. When fome inconveniency would refult to the fervice in general from the permiffion of deputies in fuch cafes : for example, it is probable that military merit would be much difcouraged, if the duties belonging to commiffions in the army were generally allowed to be executed by fubfitutes.

The non-refidence of the parochial clergy, who fupply the duty of their benefices by curates, is worthy of a more diffinct confideration. And in order to draw the queftion upon this cafe to a point, we will fuppofe the officiating curate to difcharge every duty which his principal, were he prefent, would be bound to difcharge, and in a manner equally beneficial to the parifh : under which circumftances, the only objection to the abfence of the principal, at leaft the only one of the foregoing objections, is the laft.

And, in my judgment, the force of this objection will be much diminished, if the absent rector or vicar be, in the mean time, engaged in any function or employment of equal, or of

N 3

greater

greater importance to the general interest of re-For the whole revenue of the national ligion. church may properly enough be confidered as a common fund for the support of the national religion; and if a clergyman be ferving the caufe of Christianity and Protestantism, it can make little difference, out of what particular portion of this fund, that is, by the tithes and glebe of what particular parish, his service be requited; any more than it can prejudice the king's fervice, that an officer who has fignalized his merit in America, fhould be rewarded with the government of a fort or caftle in Ireland, which he never faw : but for the cuftody of which proper provision is made, and care taken.

Upon the principle thus explained, this indulgence is due to none more than to those who are occupied in cultivating or communicating religious knowledge, or the sciences subsidiary to religion.

This way of confidering the revenues of the church, as a common fund for the fame purpole, is the more equitable, as the value of particular preferments bears no proportion to the particular charge or labour.

But when a man draws upon this fund, whofe ftudies and employments bear no relation to the object

182

OFFICES.

object of it; and who is no farther a minister of the Christian religion, than as a cockade makes a soldier, it seems a misapplication little better than a robbery.

And to those who have the management of fuch matters I submit this question, whether the impoverishment of the fund, by converting the best share of it into *annuities* for the gay and illiterate youth of great families, threatens not to sharve and stifle the little clerical merit that is left amongst us?

All legal difpenfations from refidence proceed upon the fuppofition, that the abfentee is detained from his living by fome engagement of equal or of greater public importance. Therefore, if in a cafe where no fuch reafon can with truth be pleaded, it be faid that this queftion regards a right of property, and that all right of property awaits the difpofition of law; that, therefore, if the law, which gives a man the emoluments of a living, excufe him from refiding upon it, he is excufed in confcience; we anfwer, that the law does not excufe him by intention, and that all other excufes are fraudulent.

CHAP.

N 4

CHAP. XV.

LIES.

A LIE is a breach of promife: for whoever feriously address his discourse to another, tacitly promises to speak the truth, because he knows that the truth is expected.

Or the obligation of veracity may be made out from the direct ill confequences of lying to focial happinefs. Which confequences confift, either in fome fpecific injury to particular individuals, or in the deftruction of that confidence, which is effential to the intercourfe of human life : for which latter reafon, a lie may be pernicious in its general tendency, and therefore criminal, though it produce no particular or visible mischief to any one.

There are falsehoods which are not lies; that is, which are not criminal: as,

1. Where no one is deceived; which is the cafe in parables, fables, novels, jefts, tales to create mirth, ludicrous embellishments of a ftory, where

where the declared defign of the speaker is not to inform, but to divert; compliments in the subscription of a letter, a servant's *denying* his master, a prisoner's pleading not guilty, an advocate afferting the justice, or his belief of the justice, of his client's cause. In such instances no confidence is destroyed, because none was reposed; no promise to speak the truth is violated, because none was given, or understood to be given.

2. Where the perfon to whom you fpeak has no right to know the truth, or, more properly, where little or no inconveniency refults from the want of confidence in fuch cafes; as where you tell a falsehood to a madman, for his own advantage; to a robber, to conceal your property; to an affaffin, to defeat, or to divert him from, his purpole. The particular confequence is by the fuppofition beneficial; and, as to the general confequence, the worft that can happen is, that the madman, the robber, the affaffin, will not truft you again; which (befide that the first is incapable of deducing regular conclusions from having been once deceived, and the two laft not likely to come a fecond time in your way) is fufficiently compensated by the immediate benefit which you propose by the falsehood.

It is upon this principle, that, by the laws of war,

war, it is allowed to deceive an enemy by feints, falfe colours^{*}, fpies, falfe intelligence, and the like; but by no means in treaties, truces, fignals of capitulation, or furrender : and the difference is, that the former fuppofe hoftilities to continue, the latter are calculated to terminate or fufpend them. In the conduct of war, and whilft the war continues, there is no ufe, or rather no place, for confidence betwixt the contending parties; but in whatever relates to the termination of war, the moft religious fidelity is expected, becaufe without it wars could not ceafe, nor the victors be fecure, but by the entire defiruction of the vanquifhed.

Many people indulge in ferious difcourfe a habit of fiction and exaggeration, in the accounts they give of themfelves, of their acquaintance, or of the extraordinary things which they have feen or heard; and fo long as the facts they relate are indifferent, and their narratives, though

* There have been two or three inftances of late, of Englifh fhips decoying an enemy into their power, by counterfeiting fignals of diftrefs; an artifice which ought to be reprobated by the common indignation of mankind: for a few examples of captures effected by this firatagem, would put an end to that promptitude in affording affiftance to fhips in diftrefs, which is the beft virtue in a feafaring character, and by which the perils of navigation are diminished to all, A. D. 1775.

falle,

faile, are inoffentive, it may feem a fuperfittious regard to truth, to centure them merely for truth's fake.

In the first place, it is almost impossible to pronounce beforehand, with certainty, concerning any lie, that it is inoffensive. Volat irrevocabile; and collects fometimes accretions in its flight, which entirely change its nature. It may owe possibly its mischief to the officious for missing prefentation of those who circulate it; but the mischief is, nevertheles, in some degree chargeable upon the original editor.

In the next place, this liberty in converfation defeats its own end. Much of the pleafure, and all the benefit of converfation, depends upon our opinion of the fpeaker's veracity; for which this rule leaves no foundation. The faith indeed of a hearer must be extremely perplexed, who confiders the fpeaker, or believes that the fpeaker confiders himfelf, as under no obligation to adhere to truth, but according to the particular importance of what he relates.

But befide and above both thefe reafons, white lies always introduce others of a darker complexion. I have feldom known any one who deferted truth in trifles, that could be trufted in matters of importance. Nice diffinctions are 9 out out of the queftion, upon occasions which, like those of speech, return every hour. The habit, therefore, of lying, when once formed, is easily extended to serve the designs of malice or interest; like all habits, it spreads indeed of itself.

Pious frauds, as they are improperly enough called, pretended infpirations, forged books, counterfeit miracles, are impolitions of a more ferious nature. It is possible that they may fometimes, though feldom, have been fet up and encouraged, with a defign to do good: but the good they aim at, requires that the belief of them should be perpetual, which is hardly possible; and the detection of the fraud is fure to difparage the credit of all pretensions of the fame nature. Christianity has suffered more injury from this cause, than from all other causes put together.

As there may be falsehoods which are not lies, fo there may be lies without literal or direct falsehood. An opening is always left for this species of prevarication, when the literal and grammatical fignification of a fentence is different from the popular and customary meaning. It is the wilful deceit that makes the lie; and we wilfully deceive, when our expressions are not true in the fense in which we believe the hearer

to

to apprehend them: befides that it is abfurd to contend for any fenfe of words, in opposition to usage; for all fenfes of all words are founded upon usage, and upon nothing elfe.

Or a man may *act* a lie; as by pointing his finger in a wrong direction, when a traveller inquires of him his road; or when a tradefman fhuts up his windows, to induce his creditors to believe that he is abroad: for to all fmortal pulpofes, and therefore as to veracity, fpeech ahil action are the fame; fpeech being only a mode of action.

Or, lastly, there may be lies of ourifion. A writer of English bistory, who, in his account of the reign of Charles the First, should wilfully suppress any evidence of that prince's despotic measures and designs, might be said to lie; 107, by institling his book a bistory of England, he engages to relate the whole truth of the history, of, at least, all that he knows of it.

1 . 7.3

an 2000, a train An 1997, a fil

СНАР.

\$.

OATHS.

CHAP. XVI.

OATHS.

FORMS of Oaths.
 II. Signification.
 III. Lawfulnefs.
 IV. Obligation.
 V. What oaths do not bind.
 VI. In what fenfe oaths are to be interpreted.

I. The forms of oaths, like other religious ceremonies, have in all ages been various; confifting however, for the most part, of some bodily action^{*}, and of a prescribed form of words. Amongst the *Jews*, the juror held up his right hand towards heaven, which explains a passage in the

* It is commonly thought that oaths are denominated *comporal* oaths from the bodily action which accompanies them of laying the right hand upon a book containing the four golpels. This opinion, however, appears to be a miftake; for the term is borrowed from the ancient ufage of touching, upon these oscafions, the *corperale*, or cloth which covered the confectated elements.

cxlivth

exlivth Pfalm, "whofe mouth fpeaketh vanity, "and their right-hand is a right-hand of falfebood." The fame form is retained in Scotland ftill. Amongst the fame Jews, an oath of fidelity was taken, by the fervant's putting his hand under the thigh of his lord, as Eliezer did to Abraham, Gen. xxiv. 2; from whence, with no great variation, is derived perhaps the form of doing homage at this day, by putting the hands between the knees and within the hands of the liege.

Amongst the Greeks and Romans, the form varied with the fubject and occasion of the oath. In private contracts, the parties took hold of each other's hand, whilst they fore to the performance; or they touched the altar of the god, by whose divinity they fore. Upon more folemn occasions, it was the custom to flay a victim; and the beast being firuck down, with certain ceremonies and invocations, gave birth to the expressions $\tau \in \mu v \in v \circ p \times v$, ferire pactum; and to our English phrase, translated from these, of "friking a bargain."

The forms of oaths in Christian countries are also very different; but in no country in the world, I believe, worse contrived, either to convey the meaning, or impress the obligation of an oath, than in our own. The juror with

U\$,

us, after repeating the promile or affirmation which the oath is intended to confirm, adds, " fo help me God :" or more frequently the fubflance of the oath is repeated to the juror by the officer or magistrate who administers it, adding in the conclusion, "fo help you God." The energy of the fentence refides in the particle /o; fo, that is, bue lege, upon condition of my speaking the truth, or performing this promife, and not otherwife, may God help me. The juror, whilft he hears or repeats the words of the oath, holds his right-hand upon a Bible, or other book containing the four gospels. The conclusion of the oath fometimes runs, "ita me Deus adjuvet, " et hæc fancta evangelia," or " fo help me God, " and the contents of this book ;" which laft claufe forms a connection between the words and action of the juror, that before was wanting. The juror then kiffes the book : the kifs, however, feems rather an act of reverence to the contents of the book, as, in the popifh ritual, the priest kiffes the gospel before he reads it, than any part of the oath.

This obscure and elliptical form, together with the levity and frequency with which it is administered, has brought about a general inadvertency to the diligation of oaths, which, both in a re4

a religious and political view, is much to be lamented : and it merits public confideration, whether the requiring of oaths on fo many frivolous occasions, especially in the customs, and in the qualification for petty offices, has any other effect, than to make them cheap in the minds of the people. A pound of tea cannot travel regularly from the ship to the confumer, without cofting half a dozen oaths at the leaft; and the fame fecurity for the due discharge of their office, namely, that of an oath, is required from a churchwarden and an archbishop, from a petty constable and the chief justice of England. Let the law continue its own fanctions, if they be thought requifite; but let it fpare the folemnity of an oath. And where, from the want of fomething better to depend upon, it is neceffary to accept men's own word or own account, let it annex to prevarication penalties proportioned to r the public mischief of the offence.

II. But whatever be the form of an oath, the *fignification* is the fame. It is "the calling upon "God to witnefs, *i. e.* to take notice of what we "fay," and it is "invoking his vengeance, or re-"nouncing his favour, if what we fay be falfe, or "what we promife be not performed."

III. Quakers and Moravians refufe to fwear vol. 1. O upon

OATHS.

upon any occasion; founding their fcruples concerning the *lawfulnefs* of oaths, upon our Saviour's prohibition, *Matth.* v. 34, "I fay unto "you, Swear not at all."

The anfwer which we give to this objection cannot be underftood, without firft flating the whole paffage: "Ye have heard that it hath "been faid by them of old time, Thou fhalt not "forfwear thyfelf, but fhalt perform unto the "Lord thine oaths. But I fay unto you, Swear "not at all; neither by heaven, for it is God's "throne; nor by the earth, for it is his foot-"ftool; neither by *ferufalem*, for it is the city "of the great King. Neither fhalt thou fwear by "thy head, becaufe thou canft not make one "hair white or black. But let your communica-"tion be Yea yea, Nay nay; for whatfoever is "more than thefe cometh of evil."

To reconcile with this paffage of Scripture the practice of fwcaring, or of taking oaths, when required by law, the following obfervations must be attended to:

1. It does not appear, that fwearing "by "heaven," "by the earth," "by *Jerufalem*," or "by their own head," was a form of fwearing ever made use of amongst the *Jews* in judicial oaths: and, confequently, it is not probable that they

OATHS.

they were judicial oaths, which Christ had in his mind when he mentioned those instances.

2. As to the feeming universality of the prohibition, "Swear not at all," the emphatic claufe " not at all" is to be read in connection with what follows; "not at all," b. e. neither "by "the heaven," nor "by the earth," nor "by " Jerufalem," nor "by thy head :" " not at all" , does not mean upon no occasion, but by none of these forms. Our Saviour's argument feems to fuppofe, that the people, to whom he fpake, made a diffinction between fwearing directly by the "name of God," and fwearing by those inferior objects of veneration, "the heavens," "the earth," "Jerufalem," or "their own head." In opposition to which distinction he tells them, that, on account of the relation which these things bore to the Supreme Being, to fwear by any of them, was in effect and fubstance to fwear by bim; "by heaven, for it is his throne; by " the earth, for it is his footftool; by Yerufalem, " for it is the city of the great King; by thy " head, for it is bis workmanship, not thine, "thou canft not make one hair white or black :" for which reafon, he fays, "Swear not at all," that is, neither directly by God, nor indirectly by 02

OATHS.

by any thing related to him. This interpretation is greatly confirmed, by a paffage in the twentythird chapter of the fame gofpel, where a fimilar diffinction, made by the Scribes and Pharifees, is replied to in the fame manner.

3. Our Saviour himself being " adjured by " the living God," to declare whether he was the Chrift, the Son of God, or not, condescended to answer the high priest, without making any objection to the oath (for fuch it was) upon which he examined him. "God is my witnefs," fays St. Paul to the Romans, " that without "ceafing I make mention of you in my pray-" ers:" and to the Corintbians still more strongly, " I call God for a record upon my foul, that, to "fpare you, I came not as yet to Corintb." Both these expressions contain the nature of oaths. The epiftle to the Hebrews speaks of the custom of fwearing judicially, without any mark of cenfure or difapprobation : " Men verily fwear by " the greater; and an oath, for confirmation, is " to them an end of all ftrife."

Upon the strength of these reasons, we explain our Saviour's words to relate, not to judicial oaths, but to the practice of vain, wanton, and unauthorised swearing, in common discourse. St.

St. James's words, chap. v. 12, are not fo firong as our Saviour's, and therefore admit the fame explanation with more eafe.

IV. Oaths are nugatory, that is, carry with them no *proper* force or obligation, unlefs we believe that God will punifh falfe fwearing with more feverity than a fimple lie, or breach of promife; for which belief there are the following reafons:

1. Perjury is a fin of greater deliberation. The juror has the thought of God and of religion upon his mind at the time; at leaft, there are very few who can fhake them off entirely. He offends, therefore, if he do offend, with a high hand; in the face, that is, and in defiance of the fanctions, of religion. His offence implies a difbelief or contempt of God's knowledge, power and juffice; which cannot be faid of a lie where there is nothing to carry the mind to any reflection upon the Deity, or the divine attributes at all.

2. Perjury violates a fuperior confidence. Mankind muft truft to one another; and they have nothing better to truft to than one another's oath. Hence legal adjudications, which govern and affect every right and intereft on this fide of the grave, of neceffity proceed and depend upon 0 3 oaths. oaths. Perjury, therefore, in its general confequence, firikes at the fecurity of reputation, property, and even of life itfelf. A lie cannot do the fame mifchief, becaufe the fame credit is not given to it *.

3. God directed the *Ifraelites* to fwear by his name †; and was pleafed, "in order to fhew "the immutability of his own council ‡," to confirm his covenant with that people by an oath : neither of which it is probable he would have done, had he not intended to reprefent oaths as having fome meaning and effect, beyond the obligation of a bare promife; which effect must be owing to the feverer punishment with which he will vindicate the authority of oaths.

V. Promiffory oaths are not binding, where the promife itself would not be fo: for the feveral cafes of which, fee the Chapter of Promifes.

VI. As oaths are defigned for the fecurity of the impofer, it is manifest that they must be *interpreted* and performed in the fense in which the impofer intends them; otherwise, they afford no

* Except, indeed, where a Quaker's or Moravian's ailirmation is accepted in the place of an oath; in which cafe, a lie partakes, fo far as this reafon extends, of the nature and guilt of perjury.

fecurity to *bim*. And this is the meaning and reafon of the rule, "jurare in animum imponentis;" which rule the reader is defired to carry along with him, whilf we proceed to confider certain particular oaths, which are either of greater importance, or more likely to fall in our way, than others.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVII.

OATH IN EVIDENCE.

THE witnefs fwears "to fpeak the truth, "the whole truth, and nothing but the "truth, touching the matter in queftion."

Upon which it may be observed, that the defigned concealment of any truth, which relates to the matter in agitation, is as much a violation of the oath, as to teftify a politive fallehood; and this whether the witnefs be interrogated to that particular point or not. For, when the perfon to be examined is fworn upon a voir dire, that is, in order to enquire, whether he ought to be admitted to give evidence in the caufe at all, the form runs thus : "You shall true answer " make to all fuch queftions as shall be asked "you;" but, when he comes to be fworn in chief, he fwears " to fpeak the whole truth," without refiraining it, as before, to the queftions that shall be asked : which difference shows, that the law intends, in this latter cafe, to require quire of the witnels, that he give a complete and unreferved account of what he knows of the fubject of the trial, whether the queftions propoled to him reach the extent of his knowledge or not. So that if it be enquired of the witnels afterwards, why he did not inform the court fo and fo, it is not a fufficient, though a very common answer to fay, "because it was " never asked me."

I know but one exception to this rule; which is, when a full discovery of the truth tends to accuse the witness himself of some legal crime. The law of England constrains no man to become his own accufer; confequently, impofes the oath of testimony with this tacit refervation. But the exception must be confined to legal crimes. A point of honour, of delicacy, or of reputation, may make a witness backward to difclose fome circumstance with which he is acquainted; but will in no wife justify his concealment of the truth, unless it could be shewn, that the law which impofes the oath intended to allow this indulgence to fuch motives. The exception of which we are fpeaking is also withdrawn by a compact between the magistrate and the witnefs, when an accomplice is admitted to give evidence against the partners of his crime. TenderTendernefs to the prifoner, although a fpecious apology for concealment, is no juft excufe; for, if this plea be thought fufficient, it takes the administration of penal justice out of the hands of judges and juries, and makes it depend upon the temper of profecutors and witness.

Questions may be asked which are irrelative to the caufe, which affect the witness himfelf, or fome third perfon; in which, and in all cafes where the witness doubts of the pertinency and propriety of the queftion, he ought to refer his doubts to the court. The answer of the court, in relaxation of the oath, is authority enough to the witnefs; for the law which imposes the oath may remit what it will of the obligation : and it belongs to the court to declare what the mind of the law is. Nevertheless, it cannot be faid univerfally, that the answer of the court is conclusive upon the confcience of the witnefs; for his obligation depends upon what he apprehended, at the time of taking the oath, to be the defign of the law in impoling it; and no after requisition or explanation by the court can carry the obligation beyond that.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVIII.

OATH OF ALLEGIANCE.

DO fincerely promife and fwear, that I "I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to " his Majefty King GEORGE." Formerly the oath of allegiance ran thus: "I do promife to " be true and faithful to the King and his heirs, " and truth and faith to bear, of life, and limb, " and terrene honour; and not to know or hear " of any ill or damage intended him, without " defending him therefrom :" and was altered at the Revolution to the prefent form. So that the prefent oath is a relaxation of the old one. And as the oath was intended to afcertain, not fo much the extent of the fubject's obedience, as the perfon to whom it was due, the legiflature feems to have wrapped up its meaning upon the former point, in a word purpofely made choice of for its general and indeterminate fignification.

It

It will be most convenient to confider, first, what the oath excludes, as inconfistent with it; fecondly, what it permits.

1. The oath excludes all intention to fupport the claim or pretensions of any other perfon or perfons to the crown and government, than the reigning fovereign. A *Jacobite*, who is perfuaded of the *Pretender*'s right to the crown, and who moreover defigns to join with the adherents of that cause, to affert this right, whenever a proper opportunity, with a reasonable prospect of fuccess, presents itself, cannot take the oath of allegiance; or, if he could, the oath of abjuration follows, which contains an express renunciation of all opinions in favour of the claim of the exiled family.

2. The oath excludes all defign, at the time, of attempting to depofe the reigning prince, for any reafon whatever. Let the juffice of the Revolution be what it would, no honeft man could have taken even the prefent oath of allegiance to *James the Second*, who entertained at the time of taking it a defign of joining in the measures which were entered into to dethrone him.

3. The oath forbids the taking up of arms against the reigning prince, with views of private

vate advancement, or from motives of perfonal refentment or diflike. It is poffible to happen in this, what frequently happens in defpotic governments, that an ambitious general, at the head of the military force of the nation, might, by a conjuncture of fortunate circumstances. and a great afcendancy over the minds of the foldiery, depose the prince upon the throne, and make way to it for himfelf, or for fome creature of his own. A perfon in this fituation would be withheld from fuch an attempt by the oath of allegiance, if he paid regard to it. If there were any who engaged in the rebellion of the year forty-five, with the expectation of titles, estates, or preferment; or because they were difappointed, and thought themfelves neglected and ill ufed at court; or becaufe they entertained a family animolity, or perfonal refentment against the king, the favourite, or the minister; if any were induced to take up arms by these motives, they added to the many crimes of an unprovoked rebellion, that of wilful and corrupt perjury. If in the late American war the fame motives determined others to connect themfelves with that oppofition; their part in it was chargeable with perfidy and falfehood to their oath, whatever was the justice of the opposition itfelf.

OATH OF ALLEGIANCE.

itself, or however well founded their own complaints might be of private injury.

We are next to confider what the oath of allegiance permits, or does not require.

1. It permits refiftance to the king, when his ill behaviour or imbecility is fuch, as to make refistance beneficial to the community. It may, fairly be prefumed, that the Convention Parliament, which introduced the oath in its prefent form, did not intend, by impoling it, to exclude all refiftance: fince the members of that legislature had many of them recently taken up arms against fames the Second : and the very authority by which they fat together, was itfelf the effect of a foccefsful oppofition to an acknowledged fovereign. Some refiftance, therefore, was meant to be allowed; and if any, it must be that which has the public interest for its object.

2. The oath does not require obedience to fuch commands of the king, as are unauthorized by law. No fuch obedience is implied by the terms of the oath: the *fidelity* there promiled, is intended of fidelity in opposition to his cnemies, and not in opposition to law; and *allegiance*, at the utmost, can only fignify obedience to lawful commands. Therefore, if the king

OATH OF ALLEGIANCE.

king fhould iffue a proclamation levying money, or impofing any fervice or reftraint upon the fubject, beyond what the crown is impowered by law to enjoin, there would exist no fort of obligation to obey fuch a proclamation, in confequence of having taken the oath of allegiance.

3. The oath does not require that we should continue our allegiance to the king after he is actually and abfolutely deposed, driven into exile, carried away captive, or otherwife rendered incapable of exercifing the regal office, whether by his fault or without it. The promife of allegiance implies, and is underftood by all parties to suppose, that the perfon to whom the promife is made, continues king; continues, that is, to exercise the power, and afford the protection, which belongs to the office of king; for it is the possession of this power, which makes fuch a particular perfon the object of the oath: without it, why fhould I fwear allegiance to this man, rather than to any man in the kingdom ? Befide which, the contrary doctrine is burthened with this confequence, that every conqueft, revolution of government, or difaster which befals the perfon of the prince, must be followed by perpetual and irremediable anarchy.

CHAP.

CHAP. XIX.

OATH AGAINST BRIBERY IN THE ELECTION OF MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT.

" **I** DO fwear, I have not received, or had, " **by** myfelf, or any perfon whatfoever in " truft for me, or for my ufe and benefit, di-" rectly or indirectly, any fum or fums of money, " office, place, or employment, gift, or reward, or " any promife or fecurity, for any money, office, " employment, or gift, in order to give my vote " at this election."

The feveral contrivances to evade this oath, fuch as the electors accepting money under colour of borrowing it, and giving a promiffory note, or other fecurity for it, which is cancelled after the election; receiving money from a ftranger, or a perfon in difguife, or out of a drawer, or purfe, left open for the purpofe; or promifes of money to be paid after the election; or ftipulating for à place, living, or other private advantage

OATH AGAINST BRIBERY.

vantage of any kind; if they escape the legal penalties of perjury, incur the moral guilt: for they are manifestly within the mischief and defign of the statute which imposes the oath, and within the terms indeed of the oath itself; for the word "indirectly" is inferted on purpose to comprehend such cases as these.

CHAP.

OATH AGAINST SIMONY.

CHAP. XX.

OATH AGAINST SIMONY.

F ROM an imaginary refemblance between the purchase of a benefice and Simon Magus's attempt to purchase the gift of the Holy Ghost, Atts viii. 19, the obtaining of ecclesiastical preferment by pecuniary considerations has been Simony.

The fale of advowfons is infeparable from the allowance of private patronage; as patronage would otherwife devolve to the moft indigent, and for that reafon the moft improper hands it could be placed in. Nor did the law ever intend to prohibit the paffing of advowfons from one patron to another; but to reftrain the patron, who poffeffes the right of prefenting at the vacancy, from being influenced, in the choice of his prefentee, by a bribe, or benefit to himfelf. It is the fame diftinction with that which obtains in a freeholder's vote for his reprefentative in parliament. The right of voting, that is the freehold,

GATH AGAINST SIMONY.

hold, to which the right pertains, may be bought and fold as freely as any other property; but the exercise of that right, the vote itself, may not be purchased, or influenced by money.

For this purpole, the law impoles upon the prefentee, who is generally concerned in the fimony, if there be any, the following oath : " I do fwear, that I have made no *fimoniacal* " payment, contract, or promife, directly or in-" directly, by myfelf, or by any other to my " knowledge, or with my confent, to any per-" fon or perfons whatfoever, for or concerning " the procuring and obtaining of this ecclefi-" aftical place, &cc. nor will, at any time here-" after, perform, or fatisfy, any fuch kind of pay-" ment, contract or promife, made by any other " without my knowledge or confent: So help " me God, through Jefus Chrift."

It is extraordinary, that Bishop Gibson should have thought this oath to be against all promises whatsoever, when the terms of the oath expressly restrain it to simoniacal promises; and the law alone must pronounce what promises, as well as what payments and contracts, are simoniacal, and, consequently, come within the oath; and what do not for

2 2

Now

Now the law adjudges to be fimony,

1. All payments, contracts, or promifes, made by any perfon for a benefice *already vacant*. The advowfon of a void turn, by law, cannot be transferred from one patron to another: therefore, if the void turn be procured by money; it must be by a pecuniary influence upon the then fubfishing patron in the choice of his prefentee; which is the very practice the law condemns.

2. A clergyman's purchafing of the next turn of a benefice for himfelf, "directly or indirectly," that is, by himfelf, or by another perfon with his money. It does not appear, that the law prohibits a clergyman from purchafing the perpetuity of a patronage, more than any other perfon; but purchafing the perpetuity, and forthwith felling it again, with a refervation of the next turn, and with no other defign than to poffefs himfelf of the next turn, is in fraudem legir, and inconfiftent with the oath.

3. The procuring of a piece of preferment, by ceding to the patron any rights, or probable rights, belonging to it. This is fimony of the worft kind; for it is not only buying preferment, but robbing the fuccession to pay for it. 4. Pro-

OATH AGAINST SIMONY.

4. Promifes to the patron of a portion of the profit, of a remiffion of tithes and dues, or other advantage out of the produce of the benefice: which kind of compact is a pernicious condefcention in the clergy, independent of the oath; for it tends to introduce a practice, which may very foon become general, of giving the revenue of churches to the lay patrons, and fupplying the duty by indigent flipendiaries.

5. General bonds of refignation, that is, bonds to refign upon demand.

I doubt not but that the oath against fimony is binding upon the conficiences of those who take it, though I question much the expediency of requiring it. It is very fit to debar public patrons, fuch as the king, the lord chancellor, bishops, ecclessifical corporations, and the like, from this kind of traffic; because from them may be expected fome regard to the qualifications of the perfons whom they promote. But the oath lays a fnare for the integrity of the clergy; and I do not perceive, that the requiring of it, in cases of private patronage, produces any good effect, sufficient to compensate for this danger.

Where advowfons are holden along with 'P 3 manors,

214 OATH AGAINST SIMONT

manors, or other principal effates, it would be an eafy regulation to forbid that they should ever hereafter be separated; and would, at least, keep church preferment out of the hands of brokers,

CHAP.

[215]

CHAP. XXI.

OATHS TO OBSERVE LOCAL STATUTES.

MEMBERS of colleges in the universities, and of other ancient foundations, are required to swear to the observance of their respective statutes; which observance is become in some cases unlawful, in others impracticable, in others useles, in others inconvenient.

Unlawful directions are countermanded by the authority which made them unlawful.

Impracticable directions are difpenfed with by the neceffity of the cafe.

The only question is, how far the members of these focieties may take upon themselves to judge of the *inconveniency* of any particular direction, and make that a reason for laying aside the obfervation of it.

The animus imponentis, which is the measure of the juror's duty, feems to be fatisfied, when nothing is omitted, but what, from fome change in the eircumstances under which it was prescribed, it may fairly be presumed that the founder himfelf would have dispensed with.

P A

To

To bring a cafe within this rule, the inconveniency must,

1. Be manifest; concerning which there is no doubt.

2. It must arife from fome change in the circumstances of the institution; for, let the inconveniency be what it will, if it existed at the time of the foundation, it must be prefumed that the founder did not deem the avoiding of it of fufficient importance to alter his plan.

3. The direction of the ftatute must not only be inconvenient in the general, for fo may the inftitution itfelf be, but prejudicial to the particular end proposed by the inftitution; for it is this last circumstance which proves that the founder would have dispensed with it in pursuance of his own purpose.

The ftatutes of fome colleges forbid the fpeaking of any language but *Latin*, within the walls of the college; direct that a certain number, and not fewer than that number, be allowed the use of an apartment amongst them; that fo many hours of each day be employed in public exercises, lectures, or disputations; and some other articles of discipline adapted to the tender years of the fludents who in former times reforted to universities. Were colleges to retain 6 fuch



fuch rules, nobody now-a-days would come near them. They are laid afide, therefore, though parts of the ftatutes, and as fuch included within the oath, not merely becaufe they are inconvenient, but becaufe there is fufficient reafon to believe, that the founders themfelves would have difpenfed with them, as fubverfive of their own defigns. 218 1

SUBSCRIPTION TO

CHAP. XXII.

SUBSCRIPTION TO ARTICLES OF RELIGION.

SUBSCRIPTION to articles of Religion, though no more than a *declaration* of the fubfcriber's affent, may properly enough be confidered in connection with the fubject of oaths, becaufe it is governed by the fame rule of interpretation :

Which rule is the animus imponentis.

The inquiry therefore concerning fubscription will be, quis imposuit, et qua animo.

The bifhop who receives the fubfcription is not the impofer, any more than the cryer of a court, who administers the oath to the jury and witneffes, is the perfon that impofes it; nor confequently is the private opinion or interpretation of the bifhop of any tignification to the fubfcriber, one way or other.

The compilers of the thirty-nine articles are not to be confidered as the impofers of fubscription, any more than the framer or drawer up of a law is the perfon that enacts it.

The

ARTICLES OF RELIGION.

The legiflature of the 13th *Eliz*. is the impofer, whole intention the fubfcriber is bound to fatisfy.

They who contend, that nothing lefs can juftify fub/cription to the thirty-nine articles, than the actual belief of each and every feparate propolition contained in them, muft fuppole, that the legiflature expected the confent of ten thousand men, and that in perpetual fuctes fion, not to one controverted propolition, but to many hundreds. It is difficult to conceive how this could be expected by any, who observed the imcurable diversity of human opinion upon all fubjects short of demonstration.

If the authors of the law did not intend this, what did they intend?

They intended to exclude from offices in the church,

1. All abettors of popery.

2. Anabaptists, who were at that time a powerful party on the continent.

3. The Puritans, who were hoftile to an epifcopal confliction; and in general the members of fuch leading fects or foreign eftablishments as threatened to overthrow our own.

Whoever finds himfelf comprehended within these descriptions, ought not to subscribe. Nor

can

can a fubscriber to the articles take advantage of any latitude which our rule may feem to allow. who is not first convinced that he is truly and fubstantially fatisfying the intention of the legiflator.

During the prefent state of ecclesiastical patronage, in which private individuals are permitted to impofe teachers upon parifhes, with which they are often little or not at all connected, fome limitation of the patron's choice may be neceffary, to prevent unedifying contentions between neighbouring teachers, or between the teachers and their refpective congregations. But this danger, if it exift, may be provided against with equal effect, by converting the articles of faith into articles of peace.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXIII.

WILLS.

THE fundamental queftion upon this fubject is, whether Wills are of natural or of adventitious right? that is, whether the right of directing the disposition of property after his death belongs to a man in a state of nature, and by the law of nature, or whether it be given him entirely by the positive regulations of the country he lives in ?

The immediate produce of each man's perfonal labour, as the tools, weapons, and utenfils, which he manufactures, the tent or hut that he builds, and perhaps the flocks and herds which he breeds and rears, are as much his own as the labour was which he employed upon them, that is, are his property naturally and abfolutely; and confequently he may give or leave them to whom he pleafes, there being nothing to limit the continuance of **bis** right, or to reftrain the alienation of it.

But every other fpecies of property, efpecially property

٩ŕ

property in land, stands upon a different foundation.

We have feen in the Chapter upon Property, that, in a flate of nature, a man's right to a particular fpot of ground arifes from his ufing it, and his wanting it; confequently ceafes with the ufe and want; fo that at his death the eflate reverts to the community, without any regard to the laft owner's will, or even any preference of his family, farther than as they become the first occupiers after him, and fucceed to the fame want and ufe.

Moreover, as natural rights cannot, like rights ereated by act of parliament, expire at the end of a certain number of years; if the teftator have a right, by the law of nature, to difpose of his property one moment after his death, he has the same right to direct the disposition of it, for a million of ages after him; which is absurd.

The ancient apprehensions of mankind upon the subject were conformable to this account of it: for wills have been introduced into most countries by a positive act of the state, as by the laws of Solon into Greece, by the twelve tables into Rome; and that, not till after a confiderable progress had been made in legislation, and in the coronomy of civil life. Tacitus relates, that amongst

:222

amongst the Germans they were difallowed; and, what is more remarkable, in this country, fince the Conquest, lands could not be devised by will, till within little more than two hundred years ago, when this privilege was reftored to the fubject, by an act of parliament, in the latter end of the reign of *Henry* the Eighth.

No doubt many beneficial purposes are attained by extending the owner's power over his property beyond his life, and beyond his natural right. It invites to industry; it encourages marriage; it fecures the dutifulness and dependancy of children. But a limit must be affigned to the duration of this power. The utmost extent to which, in any case, entails are allowed by the laws of *England* to operate, is during the lives in existence at the death of the testator, and one-and-twenty years beyond these; after which, there are ways and means of setting them aside.

From the confideration that wills are the creatures of the municipal law which gives them their efficacy, may be deduced a determination of the question, whether the intention of the testator in an *informal* will be binding upon the confcience of those, who, by operation of law, fucceed to his estate. By an *informal* will, I mean

mean a will void in law, for want of fome requifite formality, though no doubt be entertained of its meaning or authenticity: as fuppofe a man make his will, devifing his freehold effate to his fifter's fon, and the will be attefted by two only, inftead of three, fubfcribing witneffes; would the brother's fon, who is heir at law to the teftator, be bound in confcience to refign his claim to the effate, out of deference to his uncle's intention? Or, on the contrary, would not the devifee under the will be bound, upon difcoverv of this flaw in it, to furrender the effate, fuppofe he had gained poffeffion of it, to the heir at law?

Generally fpeaking, the heir at law is not bound by the intention of the teftator. For the intention can fignify nothing, unlefs the perfon intending have a right to govern the defeent of the eftate. That is the first question. Now this right the testator can only derive from the law of the land; but the law confers the right upon certain conditions, with which conditions he has not complied. Therefore, the testator can lay no claim to the power which he pretends to exercife, as he hath not entitled himfelf to the benefit of that law, by virtue of which alone the estate ought to attend his disposal. Confequently, the devise devifee under the will, who by concealing this flaw in it, keeps possession of the estate, is in the fituation of any other perfon, who avails himself of his neighbour's ignorance to detain from him his property. The will is fo much wafte paper, from the defect of right in the perfon who made it. Nor is this catching at an expreffion of law to pervert the fubftantial defign of it; for I apprehend it to be the deliberate mind of the legislature, that no will should take effect upon real effates unless authenticated in the precife manner which the statute describes. Had teltamentary dispositions been founded in any natural right, independent of politive constitutions, I should have thought differently of this For then I should have confidered the question. law, rather as refusing its affiftance to enforce the right of the devise, than as extinguishing, or working any alteration in the right itfelf.

And after all, I should choose to propose a case, where no consideration of pity to distress, of duty to a parent, or of gratitude to a benefactor, interfered with the general rule of justice.

The regard due to kindred in the disposal of our fortune (except the case of lineal kindred, which is different) arises either from the respect we owe to the presumed intention of the ancestor

VOL. I.

from

from whom we received our fortunes, or from the expectations which we have encouraged. The intention of the anceftor is prefumed with greater certainty, as well as entitled to more respect, the fewer degrees he is removed from us, which makes the difference in the different degrees of kindred. For inftance, it may be prefumed to be a father's intention and defire, that the inheritance which he leaves, after it has ferved the turn and generation of one fon, should remain a provision for the families of his other children, equally related and dear to him as the oldeft. Whoever therefore, without caufe, gives away his patrimony from his brother's or fifter's family, is guilty not for much of an injury to them, as of ingratitude to his parent. The deference due from the possession of a fortune to the prefumed defire of his anceftor will also vary with this circumstance, whether the ancestor earned the fortune by his perfonal industry, acquired it by accidental fucceffes, or only transmitted the inheritance which he received.

Where a man's fortune is acquired by himfelf, and he has done nothing to excite expectation, but rather has refrained from those particular attentions which tend to cheriss expectation, he is perfectly disengaged from the force of the above

above reasons, and at liberty to leave his fortune to his friends, to charitable or public purpofes, or to whom he will; the fame blood, proximity of blood, and the like, are merely modes of fpeech, implying nothing real, nor any obligation of themselves.

There is always, however, a reason for providing for our poor relations, in preference to others who may be equally neceffitous, which is, that if we do not, no one elfe will; mankind, by an established consent, leaving the reduced branches of good families to the bounty of their wealthy alliances.

The not making a will is a very culpable omiffion, where it is attended with the following effects: where it leaves daughters or younger children at the mercy of the oldeft fon; where it distributes a perfonal fortune equally amongst the children, although there be no equality in their exigencies or fituations; where it leaves an opening for litigation; or laftly, and principally, where it defrauds creditors; for by a defect in our laws, which has been long and ftrangely overlooked, real estates are not subject to the payment of debts by fimple contract, unlefs made fo by will; although credit is in fact generally given to the possession of fuch estates. He,

Q 2

He, therefore, who neglects to make the neceffary appointments for the payment of his debts, as far as his effects extend, fins, as it has heen justly faid, in his grave; and if he omits this on purpole to defeat the demands of his creditors, he dies with a deliberate fraud in his heart.

Anciently, when any one died without a will, the bithop of the diocele took possession of his perfonal fortune, in order to dispose of it for the benefit of his foul, that is, to pious or charitable uses. It became necessary, therefore, that the bifhop fhould be fatisfied of the authenticity of the will, when there was any, before he refigned the right which he had to take possession of the dead man's fortune, in safe of intestacy. In this way, wills, and controverfies relating to wills, came within the cognizance of ecclefiastical courts; under the jurifdiction of which, wills of perfonals (the only wills that were made formerly) still continue, though, in truth, no more now-a-days connected with religion, than any other inftruments of conveyance. This is a peculiarity in the English law.

Succeffion to *inteflates* must be regulated by positive rules of law, there being no principle of natural justice whereby to ascertain the proportion

228

of

of the different claimants; not to mention that the claim itfelf, efpecially of collateral kindred, feems to have little foundation in the law of nature. These regulations should be guided by the duty and prefumed inclination of the decenfed. fo far as these confiderations can be confulted by general rules. The flatutes of Charles the Second, commonly called the flututes of distribution, which adopt the rule of the Roman law in the diffribution of perfonals, are fufficiently equitable. They affign one third to the widow. and two thirds to the children; in cafe of no children, one half to the widow, and the other half to the next of kin; where neither widow nor lineal defcendants furvive, the whole to the next of kin, and to be equally divided amongst kindred of equal degrees; without diffinction of whole blood and half blood, or of confanguinity by the father's or mother's fide.

The defcent of real eftates, of houfes, that is, and land, having been fettled in more remote and in ruder times, is lefs reafonable. There never can be much to complain of in a rule, which every perfon may avoid by fo eafy a provision as that of making his will; otherwise, our law in this respect is chargeable with some flagrant absurdities; such as that an estate shall in

Q 3

no

no wife go to the brother or fifter of the half blood, though it came to the deceafed from the common parent; that it shall go to the remotest relation the intestate has in the world, rather than to his own father or mother; or even be forfeited for want of an heir, though both parents survive; that the most distant paternal relation shall be preferred to an uncle or own cousin by the mother's side, notwithstanding the estate was purchased and acquired by the intestate himself.

Land not being fo divisible as money, may be a reason for making a difference in the course of inheritance; but there ought to be no difference but what is founded upon that reason. The *Roman* law made none.

MORAL

MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

BOOK IIL

PART II.

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH ARE INDETER-MINATE.

CHAP. I.

CHARITY.

I USE the term Charity neither in the common fenfe of bounty to the poor, nor in St. *Paul*'s fenfe of benevolence to all mankind; but I apply it, at prefent, in a fenfe more commodious to my purpole, to fignify the promoting the bappines of our inferiors.

Charity in this fense I take to be the principal Q4 province province of virtue and religion: for, whilft worldly prudence will direct our behaviour towards our fuperiors, and politenefs towards our equals, there is little befide the confideration of duty, or an habitual humanity which comes into the place of confideration, to produce a proper conduct towards those who are beneath us, and dependent upon us.

There are three principal methods of promoting the happiness of our inferiors.

1. By the treatment of our domestics and dependents.

2. By professional affistance.

3. By pecuniary bounty.

CHAP.

CHARITY.

P33

CHAP. II,

CHARITY.

THE TREATMENT OF OUR DOMESTICS AND DEPENDENTS.

PARTY of friends fetting out together upon a journey, foon find it to be the best for all fides, that while they are upon the road, one of the company should wait upon the reft; another ride forward to feek out lodging and entertainment; a third carry the portmanteau; a fourth take charge of the horfes; a fifth bear the purfe, conduct and direct the route: not forgetting, however, that as they were equal and independent when they fet out, fo they are all to return to a level again at their journey's end. The fame regard and respect ; the same forbearance, lenity, and referve in using their fervice; the fame mildness in delivering commands; the fame ftudy to make their journey comfortable and pleafant, which he, whole lot it was to direct the reft, would in common decency think himfelf bound

bound to observe towards them; ought we to shew to those, who, in the casting of the parts of human society, happen to be placed within our power, or to depend upon us.

Another reflection of a like tendency with the former is, that our obligation to them is much greater than theirs to us. It is a miftake to fuppofe, that the rich man maintains his fervants, tradefmen, tenants, and labourers : the truth is, they maintain him. It is their induftry which fupplies his table, furnifhes his wardrobe, builds his houfes, adorns his equipage, provides his amufements. It is not the eftate, but the labour employed upon it, that pays his rent. All that he does is to diffribute what others produce; which is the leaft part of the bufinefs.

Nor do I perceive any foundation for an opinion, which is often handed round in genteel company, that good ufage is thrown away upon low and ordinary minds; that they are infenfible of kindnefs, and incapable of gratitude. If by "low and ordinary minds" are meant the minds of men in low and ordinary flations, they feem to be affected by benefits in the fame way that all others are, and to be no lefs ready to requite them: and it would be a very unaccountable law of nature if it were otherwife.

Whatever

Whatever uneafinefs we occasion to our domestics, which neither promotes our fervice, nor answers the just ends of punishment, is manifestly wrong; were it only upon the general principle of diminishing the sum of human happinefs.

By which rule we are forbidden,

1. To enjoin unnecessary labour or confinement, from the mere love and wantonness of domination.

2. To infult our fervants by harfh, fcornful, or opprobrious language,

3. To refule them any harmless pleasures.

And by the fame principle are also forbidden causeles or immoderate anger, habitual peevishpels, and groundles sufpicion.

CHAP.

SLAVERY.

CHAP. III.

SLAVERY.

THE prohibitions of the last chapter extend to the treatment of slaves, being founded upon a principle independent of the contract between masters and servants.

I define flavery to be "an obligation to labour "for the benefit of the mafter, without the con-"tract or confent of the fervant."

This obligation may arife, confiftently with the law of nature, from three caufes:

I. From crimes.

2. From captivity.

3. From debt.

In the first case, the continuance of the flavery, as of any other punishment, ought to be proportioned to the crime; in the second and third cases, it ought to cease, as soon as the demand of the injured nation or private creditor is satisfied.

The flave-trade upon the coaft of Africa is not excufed by thefe principles. When flaves in that country are brought to market, no questions, I beI believe, are afked about the origin or justice of the vendor's title. It may be prefumed, therefore, that this title is not always, if it be ever, founded in any of the causes above affigned.

But defect of right in the first purchase is the least crime, with which this traffic is chargeable., The natives are excited to war and mutual depredation, for the fake of fupplying their contracts, or furnishing the market with flaves. With this the wickedness begins. The flaves, torn away from parents, wives, children, from their friends and companions, their fields and flocks, their home and country, are transported to the European fettlements in America, with no other accommodation on fhiphoard, than what is provided for brutes. This is the fecond ftage of cruelty; from which the milerable exiles are delivered, only to be placed, and that for life, in fubjection to a dominion and fystem of laws, the most merciles and tyrannical that ever were tolerated upon the face of the earth; and from all that can be learned by the accounts of the people upon the fpot, the inordinate authority, which the plantation laws confer upon the flave-holder, is exercifed, by the Engli/b flave-holder efpecially, with rigour and brutality.

But necessity is pretended; the name under which

which every enormity is attempted to be juftified. And after all, what is the neceffity? It has never been proved that the land could not be cultivated there, as it is here, by hired fervants. It is faid that it could not be cultivated with quite the fame conveniency and cheapnels, as by the labour of flaves: by which means, a pound of fugar, which the planter now fells for fixpence, could not be afforded under fixpence halfpenny—and this is the *neceffity*.

The great revolution which has taken place in the Weftern world may probably conduce (and who knows but that it was defigned ?) to accelerate the fall of this abominable tyranny : and now that this contest, and the passions which attend it, are no more, there may fucceed perhaps a feason for reflecting, whether a legislature, which had so long lent its assistance to the support of an institution replete with human misery, was fit to be trussed with an empire, the most extensive that ever obtained in any age or quarter of the world.

Slavery was a part of the civil conftitution of most countries, when Christianity appeared; yet no passage is to be found in the Christian scriptures, by which it is condemned or prohibited. This is true; for Christianity, soliciting admisfrom

fion into all nations of the world, abstained, as behoved it, from intermeddling with the civil institutions of any. But does it follow, from the filence of scripture concerning them, that all the civil institutions which then prevailed were right? or that the bad should not be exchanged for better?

Befide this, the difcharging of flaves from all obligation to obey their mafters; which is the confequence of pronouncing flavery to be unlawful, would have had no better effect, than to let loofe one half of mankind upon the other. Slaves would have been tempted to embrace a religion, which afferted their right to freedom. Mafters would hardly have been perfuaded to confent to claims founded upon fuch authority. The most calamitous of all contests, a *bellum fervile*, might probably have ensued, to the reproach, if not the extinction of the Christian name.

The truth is, the emancipation of flaves fhould be gradual; and be carried on by provisions of law, and under the protection of civil government. Christianity can only operate as an alterative. By the mild diffusion of its light and influence, the minds of men are infensibly prepared to perceive and correct the enormities, which which folly, or wickedness, or accident, have introduced into their public establishments. In this way the Greek and Roman flavery, and fince these the feudal tyranny, has declined before it. And we trust that, as the knowledge and authority of the same religion advance in the world, they will banish what remains of this odious inflitution.

240

CHAP.

CHAP. IV.

CHARITY.

PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE.

THIS kind of beneficence is chiefly to be expected from members of the legislature, magistrates, medical, legal, and facerdotal professions.

1. The care of the poor ought to be the principal object of all laws, for this plain reason, that the rich are able to take care of themselves.

Much has been, and more might be done, by the laws of this country, towards the relief of the impotent, and the protection and encouragement of the industrious poor. Whoever applies himfelf to collect observations upon the state and operation of the poor laws, and to contrive remedies for the imperfections and abuses which he observes, and digests these remedies into acts of parliament, and conducts them by argument or influence through the two branches of the VOL. I. R legisla-

242 PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE,

legislature, or communicates his ideas to those, who are more likely to carry them into effect; deferves well of a class of the community so numerous, that their happines forms a principal part of the whole. The study and activity thus employed is charity, in the most meritoricus fense of the word.

2. The application of parochial relief is entrufted in the first instance to overfeers and contractors, who have an interest in opposition to that of the poor, inafmuch as whatever they allow them comes in part out of their own pocket. For this reafon, the law has deposited with justices of the peace, a power of fuperintendence and control; and the judicious interpolition of this power is a most useful exertion of charity, and oft-times within the ability of those, who have no other way of ferving their gener ration. A country gentleman of very moderate education, and who has little to fpare from his fortune, by learning fo much of the poor law as is to be found in Dr. Burn's Juflice, and by furnishing himfelf with a knowledge of the prices of labour and provision, fo as to be able to effimate the exigencies of a family, and what is to be expected from their industry, may, in this " way,

PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE. 243

way, place out the one talent committed to him, to great account.

3. Of all private professions, that of medicine puts it in a man's power to do the most good at the least expense. Health, which is precious to all, is to the poor invaluable; and their complaints, as agues, rheumatisms, &c. are often such as yield to medicine. And with respect to the expense, drugs at first hand cost little, and advice costs nothing, where it is only bestowed upon those who could not afford to pay for it.

4. The rights of the poor are not fo important or intricate as their contentions are violent and ruinous. A Lawyer or Attorney, of tolerable knowledge in his profession, has commonly judgment enough to adjust these disputes, with all the effect, and without the expence, of a law-fuit; and he may be faid to give a poor man twenty pounds, who prevents his throwing it away upon law. A legal man, whether of the profession or not, who, together with a spirit of conciliation, possibles the confidence of his neighbourhood, will be much reforted to for this purpose, especially fince the great increase of costs has produced a general dread of going to law.

R 2

Nor

244 PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE.

Nor is this line of beneficence confined to arbitration. Seafonable counfel, coming with the weight which the reputation of the advifer gives it, will often keep or extricate the rafh and uninformed out of great difficulties.

Lastly, I know not a more exalted charity than that which prefents a shield against the rapacity or perfecution of a tyrant.

5. Betwixt argument and authority (I mean that authority which flows from voluntary refpect, and attends upon fanctity and difintereftedness of character) fomething may be done amongst the lower orders of mankind, towards the regulation of their conduct, and the fatiffaction of their thoughts. This office belongs to the ministers of religion; or rather whoever undertakes it becomes a minister of religion. The inferior clergy, who are nearly upon a level with the common fort of their parishioners, and who on that account gain an eafier admiffion to their fociety and confidence, have in this respect more in their power than their fuperiors: the difcreet use of this power constitutes one of the most respectable functions of human nature.

CHAP.

PECUNIARY BOUNTY.

CHAP. V.

1.

CHARITY.

PECUNIARY BOUNTY.

I. The obligation to beflow relief upon the poor. II. The manner of bestowing it. III. The pretence by which men excuse themfelves from it.

I. The obligation to bestow relief upon the poor.

HEY who rank pity amongst the original impulses of our nature, rightly contend, that, when this principle prompts us to the relief of human milery, it indicates the divine intention, and our duty. Indeed the fame conclusion is deducible from the existence of the passion, whatever account be given of its origin. Whether it be an inftinct or a habit, it is in fact a property of our nature, which God appointed : and the final cause, for which it was appointed, is

is to afford to the miferable, in the compafion of their fellow-creatures, a remedy for those inequalities and distress which God foresaw that many must be exposed to, under every general rule for the distribution of property.

Befide this, the poor have a claim founded in the law of nature, which may be thus explained. All things were originally common. No one being able to produce a charter from heaven, had any better title to a particular poffeffion than his next neighbour. There were reasons for mankind's agreeing upon a Teparation of this common fund; and God for these reafons is prefumed to have ratified it. But this feparation was made and confented to, upon the expectation and condition, that every one should have left a sufficiency for his subfiftence, or the means of procuring it : and as no fixed laws for the regulation of property can be fo contrived, as to provide for the relief of every cafe and distress which may arise, these cafes and diffreffes, when their right and fhare in the common flock was given up or taken from them, were supposed to be left to the voluntary bounty of those, who might be acquainted with the exigencies of their fituation, and in the way of affording affistance. And therefore, when the parti-

partition of property is rigidly maintained against the claims of indigence and distress, it is maintained in opposition to the intention of those who made it, and to *bis*, who is the Supreme Proprietor of every thing, and who has filled the world with plenteousness for the suftentiation and comfort of all whom he sends into it.

The Christian scriptures are more copious and explicit upon this duty than upon almost any other. The description which Christ hath left us of the proceedings of the last day, establishes the obligation of bounty, beyond controverfy. "When the Son of man shall come in his " glory, and all the holy angels with him, then " shall he fit upon the throne of his glory, and " before him shall be gathered all nations; and " he shall feparate them one from another.--" Then shall the King fay unto them on his right " hand, Come, ye bleffed of my Father, inherit " the kingdom prepared for you from the foun-" dation of the world : For I was an hungered, " and ye gave me meat ; I was thirfty, and ye "gave me drink: I was a stranger, and ye "took me in: naked, and ye clothed me: I " was fick, and ye vifited me: I was in prifon, " and ye came unto me.—And inafmuch as ye "have done it to one of the leaft of theie my " brethren, R 4

" brethren, ye have done it unto me "." It is not necessary to understand this passage as a literal account of what will actually pais on that day. Supposing it only a fcenical defcription of the rules and principles, by which the Supreme Arbiter of our deftiny will regulate his decifions, it conveys the fame leffon to us; it equally demonstrates of how great value and importance these duties in the fight of God are, and what ftrefs will be laid upon them. The Apostles also describe this virtue as propitiating the divine favour in an eminent degree. And thefe recommendations have produced their effect. It does not appear that, before the times of Christianity, an infirmary, hospital, or public charity of any kind, existed in the world; whereas, most countries in Christendom have long abounded with these institutions. To which may be added, that a spirit of private liberality feems to flourish amidst the decay of many other virtues: not to mention the legal provifion for the poor, which obtains in this country. and which was unknown and unthought of by the most humanized nations of antiquity.

St. Paul adds upon the fubject an excellent

* Matthew, xxv. 31.

direction;

PECUNIARY BOUNTY.

direction; and which is practicable by all who have any thing to give. "Upon the first day "of the week (or any other stated time) let "every one of you lay by in store, as God hath "prospered him." By which I understand St. Paul to recommend what is the very thing wanting with most men, *the being charitable upon a plan*; that is, from a deliberate comparison of our fortunes with the reasonable expences and expectation of our families, to compute what we can spare, and to lay by so much for charitable purposes in some mode or other. The mode will be a consideration afterwards.

The effect which Christianity produced upon fome of its first converts, was such as might be looked for from a divine religion coming with full force and miraculous evidence upon the confciences of mankind. It overwhelmed all worldly confiderations, in the expectation of a more important existence. "And the multitude of " them that believed were of one heart and of " one soul; neither faid any of them that aught " of the things which he possified was his " own; but they had all things in common.— " Neither was there any among them that lacked; " for as many as were possifiers of lands or " houses fold them, and brought the prices of " the " the things that were fold, and laid them down " at the Apoftles feet; and distribution was made " unto every man according as he had need." Acts, iv. 32.

Neverthelefs, this community of goods, however it manifested the fincere zeal of the primitive Christians, is no precedent for our imitation. It was confined to the church at *ferufalem*; continued not long there; was never enjoined upon any (Acts, v. 4); and although it might fuit with the particular circumstances of a small and felect fociety, is altogether impracticable in a large and mixed community.

The conduct of the Apoftles upon the occasion deferves to be noticed. Their followers laid down their fortunes at their feet: but fo far were they from taking advantage of this unlimited confidence to enrich themfelves, or establish their own authority, that they foon after got rid of this business, as inconfistent with the main object of their mission, and transferred the cuftody and management of the public fund to deacons, elected to that office by the people at large. (Acts, vi.)

II. The manner of bestowing bounty—or the different kinds of charity.

Every question between the different kinds of charity

sharity fuppoles the fum bestowed to be the fame.

There are three kinds of charity which prefera claim to attention.

The first, and in my judgment one of the beft, is to give ftated and confiderable fums, by way of pention or annuity, to individuals or families, with whole behaviour and diffres we ourfelves are acquainted. When I speak of confiderable fums, I mean only that five pounds, or any other fum, given at once, or divided among & five or fewer families, will do more good than the fame fum distributed amongst a greater number in shillings or half crowns; and that, becaufe it is more likely to be properly applied by the perfons who receive it. A poor fellow, who can find no better use for a shilling than to drink his benefactor's health, and purchase half an hour's recreation for himfelf, would hardly break into a guinea for any fuch purpofe, or be fo improvident, as not to lay it by for an occafion of importance, e.g. for his rent, his clothing, fuel, or flock of winter's provision. It is a still greater recommendation of this kind of charity, that penfions and annuities, which are paid regularly, and can be expected at the time, are the only way by which we can prevent one part. part of a poor man's sufferings-the dread of want.

2. But as this kind of charity fuppofes that proper objects of such expensive benefactions fall within our private knowledge and observation, which does not happen to all, a fecond method of doing good, which is in every one's power who has the money to fpare, is by fubfcription to public charities. Public charities admit of this argument in their favour, that your money goes farther towards attaining the end for which it is given, than it can do by any private and feparate beneficence. A guinea, for example, contributed to an infirmary, becomes the means of providing one patient at least with a phyfician, furgeon, apothecary, with medicine, diet, lodging, and fuitable attendance; which is not the tenth part of what the fame affistance, if it could be procured at all, would coft to a fick perfon or family in any other fituation.

3. The laft, and, compared with the former, the loweft exertion of benevolence, is in the relief of beggars. Neverthelefs, I by not means approve the indiferiminate rejection of all who implore our alms in this way. Some may perifh by fuch a conduct. Men are fometimes overtaken by diffrefs, for which all other relief would come

come too late. Befide which, refolutions of this kind compel us to offer fuch violence to our humanity, as may go near, in a little while, to fuffocate the principle itfelf; which is a very ferious confideration. A good man, if he do not furrender himfelf to his feelings without referve, will at leaft lend an ear to importunities, which come accompanied with outward atteftations of diftrefs; and after a patient audience of the complaint, will direct himfelf, not fo much by any previous refolution which he may have formed upon the fubject, as by the circumftances and credibility of the account that he receives.

There are other species of charity well contrived to make the money expended go far; fuch as keeping down the price of fuel or provision, in case of a monopoly or temporary fcarcity, by purchasing the articles at the best market, and retailing them at prime cost, or at a small loss; or the adding of a bounty to particular species of labour, when the price is accidentally depressed.

The proprietors of large effates have it in their power to facilitate the maintainance, and thereby to encourage the effablifhment of families (which is one of the nobleft purpoles to which the rich and great can convert their endeavours), by building ing cottages, fplitting farms, erecting manufactures, cultivating waftes, embanking the fea, draining marshes, and other expedients, which the situation of each estate points out. If the profits of these undertakings do not repay the expence, let the authors of them place the difference to the account of charity. It is true of almost all such projects, that the public is a gainer by them, whatever the owner be. And where the loss can be spared, this consideration is sufficient.

It is become a question of some importance, under what circumstances works of charity ought to be done in private, and when they may be made public without detracting from the merit of the action, if indeed they ever may; the Author of our religion having delivered a rule upon this fubject which feems to enjoin univerfal .fecrecy? "When thou doest alms, let not thy " left hand know what thy right hand doth; " that thy alms may be in fecret, and thy Father "which feeth in fecret, himfelf shall reward "thee openly." (Matt. vi. 3, 4.) From the preamble to this prohibition I think it, however, plain, that our Saviour's fole defign was to forbid oftentation, and all publishing of good works which proceeds from that motive. " Take heed " that

" that ye do not your alms before men, to be feen " of them; otherwife ye have no reward of your "Father, which is in heaven: therefore, when " thou doest thine alms, do not found a trum-" pet before thee, as the hypocrites do, in the " fynagogues and in the ftreets, that they may " bave glory of men. Verily I fay unto thee, they " have their reward." v. 2. There are motives for the doing our alms in public befide those of oftentation; with which therefore our Saviour's rule has no concern : fuch as to teftify our approbation of some particular species of charity, and to recommend it to others : to take off the prejudice, which the want, or, which is the fame thing, the suppression of our name in the list of contributors might excite against the charity, or against ourselves. And, so long as these motives are free from any mixture of vanity, they are in no danger of invading our Saviour's prohibition : they rather feem to comply with another direction which he has left us : " Let your light fo " fhine before men, that they may fee your good " works, and glorify your Father which is in " heaven." If it be necessary to propose a precife distinction upon the subject, I can think of none better than the following. When our bounty is beyond our fortune cr flation, that is, when

when it is more than could be expected from us, our charity fhould be private, if privacy be practicable: when it is not more than might be expected, it may be public: for we cannot hope to influence others to the imitation of *extra*ordinary generofity, and therefore want, in the former cafe, the only justifiable reason for making it public.

Having thus defcribed feveral different exertions of charity, it may not be improper to take notice of a fpecies of liberality, which is not *charity* in any fenfe of the word: I mean the giving of entertainments or liquor, for the fake of popularity; or the rewarding, treating, and maintaining, the companions of our diversions, as hunters, shooters, fishers, and the like. I do not fay that this is criminal; I only fay that it is not charity; and that we are not to fuppose, because we give, and give to the poor, that it will stand in the place, or superfede the obligation, of more meritorious and disinterested bounty.

III. The pretences by which men excuse themselves from giving to the poor.

1. "That they have nothing to fpare," i. e. nothing for which they have not provided fome other use; nothing which their plan of expence,

pence, together with the favings they have refolved to lay by, will not exhauft: never reflecting whether it be in their *power*, or that it is their *duty* to retrench their expences, and contract their plan, " that they may have to give " to them that need;" or rather that this ought to have been part of their plan originally.

2. "That they have families of their own, "and that charity begins at home." The extent of this plea will be confidered, when we come to explain the duty of parents.

3. "That charity does not confift in giving "money, but in benevolence, philanthropy, "love to all mankind, goodness of heart, &cc." Hear St. James. "If a brother or fister be "naked, and destitute of daily food, and one of "you fay unto them, Depart in peace, be ye "warmed and filled, notwithstanding ye give "them not those things which are needful to the "body, what doth it profit?" (James ii. 15, 16.)

4. "That giving to the poor is not mentioned "in St. Paul's description of charity, in the "thirteenth chapter of his first Epistle to the "Corinthians." This is not a description of charity, but of good-nature; and it is not neceffary that every duty be mentioned in every place.

VOL. I.

S

5. " That

5. "That they pay the poor rates." They might as well alledge that they pay their debts; for the poor have the fame right to that portion of a man's property, which the laws affign to them, that the man himfelf has to the remainder.

6. "That they employ many poor perfons:" —for their own fake, not the poor's—otherwife it is a good plea.

7. "That the poor do not fuffer fo much as "we imagine; that education and habit have "reconciled them to the evils of their condition, "and make them eafy under it." Habit can never reconcile human nature to the extremities of cold, hunger, and thirst, any more than it can reconcile the hand to the touch of a red-hot iron: befides, the question is not, how unhappy any one is, but how much more happy we can make him.

8. "That these people, give them what you "will, will never thank you, or think of you for "it." In the first place, this is not true: in the second place, it was not for the sake of their thanks that you relieved them.

9. "That we are liable to be imposed up-"on." If a due inquiry be made, our merit is the fame: befide that, the diftrefs is generally real, although the caufe be untruly flated.

10. "That

PECUNIARY BOUNTY.

to. "That they should apply to their pa-"rifhes." This is not always practicable: to which we may add, that there are many requifites to a comfortable subsistence, which parish relief does not supply; and that there are some, who would suffer almost as much from receiving parish relief, as by the want of it; and lastly, that there are many modes of charity, to which this answer does not relate at all.

11. "That giving money encourages idlenefs "and vagrancy." This is true only of injudicious and indiferiminate generofity.

12. "That we have too many objects of cha-"rity at home, to beftow any thing upon ftran-"gers; or that there are other charities, which "are more useful, or ftand in greater need." The value of this excuse depends entirely upon the fact, whether we actually relieve those neighbouring objects, and contribute to those other charities.

Befide all these excuses, pride, or prudery, or delicacy, or love of ease, keep one half of the world out of the way of observing what the other half fuffer.

12

259

CHAP

ı È

RESENTMENT.

CHAP. VI.

RESENTMENT.

RESENTMENT may be diftinguished into anger and revenge.

By anger, I mean the pain we fuffer upon the receipt of an injury or affront, with the usual effects of that pain upon ourfelves.

By revenge, the inflicting of pain upon the perfon who has injured or offended us, farther than the just ends of punishment or reparation require.

Anger prompts to revenge; but it is poffible to fufpend the effect, when we cannot altogether quell the principle. We are bound alfo to endeavour to qualify and correct the principle itfelf. So that our duty requires two different applications of the mind; and, for that reafon, anger and revenge may be confidered feparately.

ANGER.

CHAP. VII.

A N G E R.

"B^E ye angry and fin not;" therefore all anger is not finful: I fuppofe, becaufe fome degree of it, and upon fome occasions, is inevitable.

It becomes finful, or contradicts however the rule of fcripture, when it is conceived upon flight and inadequate provocations, and when it continues long.

1. When it is conceived upon flight provocations; for "charity fuffereth long, is not "eafily provoked." "Let every man be flow "to anger." Peace, long fuffering, gentlenefs, meeknefs, are enumerated among the fruits of the fpirit, Gal. v. 22, and compose the true Christian temper, as to this article of duty.

2. When it continues long; for "let not the "fun go down upon your wrath."

These precepts, and all reasoning indeed upon the subject, suppose the passion of anger to be within our power: and this power confists not so

261

much

ANGER.

much in any faculty we posses of appeasing our wrath at the time (for we are passive under the fmart which an injury or affront occasions, and all we can then do is to prevent its breaking out into action), as in fo mollifying our minds by habits of just reflection, as to be less irritated by impressions of injury, and to be sooner pacified.

Reflections proper for this purpose, and which may be called the *fedatives* of anger, are the following: the poffibility of miftaking the motives from which the conduct that offends us proceeded; how often our offences have been the effect of inadvertency, when they were conftrued into indications of malice; the inducement which prompted our adverfary to act as he did, and how powerfully the fame inducement has, at one time or other, operated upon ourfelves; that he is fuffering perhaps under a contrition, which he is ashamed, or wants opportunity, to confess; and how ungenerous it is to triumph by coldness or infult over a spirit already humbled in fecret; that the returns of kindness are fweet, and that there is neither honour, nor virtue, nor use in refisting them-for fome perfons think themfelves bound to cherifh and keep alive their indignation, when they find

find it dying away of itfelf. We may remember that others have their paffions, their prejudices, their favourite aims, their fears, their cautions, their interests, their fudden impulses, their varieties of apprehenfion, as well as we: we may recollect what hath fometimes passed in our own minds, when we have got on the wrong fide of a quarrel, and imagine the fame to be paffing in our adverfary's mind now: when we became fenfible of our misbehaviour, what palliations we perceived in it, and expected others to perceive : how we were affected by the kindness, and felt the superiority of a generous reception and ready forgiveness; how perfecution revived our fpirits with our enmity, and feemed to justify the conduct in ourselves, which we before blamed. Add to this, the indecency of extravagant anger; how it renders us, whilft it lasts, the fcorn and fport of all about us, of which it leaves us, when it ceafes, fenfible and ashamed; the inconveniences, and irretrievable misconduct into which our irafcibility has fometimes betrayed us; the friendships it has lost us; the diffress and embarrassments in which we have been involved by it; and the fore repentance which on one account or other it always cofts us.

a63

But

But the reflection calculated above all others to allay the haughtinefs of temper which is ever finding out provocations, and which renders anger fo impetuous, is that which the gospel propoles; namely, that we ourfelves are, or fhortly shall be, suppliants for mercy and pardon at the judgment feat of God. Imagine our fecret fins disclosed and brought to light; imagine us thus humbled and exposed; trembling under the hand of God; cafting ourfelves on his compation; crying out for mercy-imagine fuch a creature to talk of fatisfaction and revenge; refusing to be entreated, difdaining to forgive; extreme to mark and to refent what is done amifs; imagine I fay this, and you can hardly feign to yourfelf an inftance of more impious and unnatural arrogance.

The point is to habituate ourfelves to thefe reflections,¹ till they rife up of their own accord when they are wanted, that is, inftantly upon the receipt of an injury or affront, and with fuch force and colouring, as both to mitigate the paroxyfms of our anger at the time, and at length to produce an alteration in the temper and difpofition itfelf,

\$64

CHAP.

CHAP. VIII,

REVENGE.

A LL pain occasioned to another in confequence of an offence, or injury received from him, farther than what is calculated to procure reparation, or promote the just ends of punishment, is so much revenge.

There can be no difficulty in knowing when we occasion pain to another; nor much in diftinguishing whether we do so, with a view only to the ends of punishment, or from revenge; for in the one case we proceed with reluctance, in the other with pleasure.

It is highly *probable* from the light of nature, that a paffion, which feeks its gratification immediately and expressly in giving pain, is disagreeable to the benevolent will and counsels of the Creator. Other passions and pleasures may, and often do, produce pain to some one; but then pain is not, as it is here, the object of the passion, and

and the direct caufe of the pleafure. This probability is converted into certainty, if we give credit to the authority which dictated the feveral paffages of the Christian scriptures that condemn revenge, or, what is the same thing, which enjoin forgiveness.

We will fet down the principal of these paffages; and endeavour to collect from them, what conduct upon the whole is allowed towards an enemy, and what is forbidden.

" If ye forgive men their trespasses, your " heavenly Father will also forgive you; but if "ye forgive not men their trespasses, neither " will your Father forgive your trespasses." " And his lord was wroth, and delivered him " to the tormentors, till he should pay all that " was due unto him : fo likewife shall my "heavenly Father do alfo unto you, if ye " from your hearts forgive not every one his " brother their trespasses." " Put on bowels of "mercy, kindnefs, humblenefs of mind, meek-" nefs, long fuffering, forbearing one another, " forgiving one another; if any man have a " quarrel against any, even as Christ forgave " you, fo also do ye." " Be patient towards all " men; fee that none render evil for evil unto " any man," " Avenge not yourfelves, but " rather

** rather give place unto wrath : for it is written,
** Vengeance is mine, I will repay, faith the Lord.
** Therefore, if thine enemy hunger, feed him;
** if he thirft, give him drink; for, in fo doing,
** thou fhalt heap coals of fire on his head. Be
** not overcome of evil, but overcome evil with
** good*."

I think it evident, from fome of these passages taken separately, and still more fo from all of them together, that *revenge*, as described in the beginning of this chapter, is forbidden in every degree, under all forms, and upon every occasion. We are likewise forbidden to refuse to an enemy even the most imperfect right; "if he hunger, "feed him; if he thirst, give him drink †," which are examples of imperfect rights. If one who has offended us, folicit from us a vote to which his qualifications entitle him, we may not refuse it from motives of resentment, or the remembrance of what we have fuffered at his hands.

* Matt. vi. 14, 15. xviii. 34, 35. Col. iii. 12, 13. Theff. v. 14, 15. Rom. xii: 19, 20, 21.

+ See alfo Exodus xxiii. 4. " If thou meet thine enemy's " ox, or his afs, going aftray, thou fhalt furely bring it back " to him again : if thou fee the afs of him that hateth thee " lying under his burden, and wouldeft forbear to help him, " thou fhalt furely help with him."

- 267

His

REVENGE.

His right, and our obligation which follows the right, are not altered by his enmity to us, or by ours to him.

On the other hand, I do not conceive, that these prohibitions were intended to interfere with the punishment or prosecution of public of-In the eighteenth chapter of St. Matfenders. thew, our Saviour tells his disciples, " If thy " brother who has trefpaffed against thee neg-" left to hear the church, let him be unto thee " as an heathen man, and a publican," Immediately after this, when St. Peter asked him, " How oft shall my brother fin against me, and " I forgive him? till feven times?" Chrift replied, " I fay not unto thee until feven times, " but until feventy times feven;" that is, as often as he repeats the offence. From these two adjoining paffages compared together, we are authorized to conclude that the forgiveness of an enemy is not inconfistent with the proceeding against him as a public offender; and that the discipline established in religious or civil societies, for the reftraint or punishment of criminals, ought to be upheld.

If the magistrate be not tied down by these prohibitions from the execution of his office, neither is the prosecutor; for the office of the pro-

profecutor is as neceffary as that of the magiftrate.

Nor, by parity of reason, are private perfons withheld from the correction of vice, when it is in their power to exercise it; provided they be assured that it is the guilt which provokes them, and not the injury; and that their motives are pure from all mixture and every particle of that spirit which delights and triumphs in the humiliation of an adversary.

Thus, it is no breach of Christian charity, to withdraw our company or civility when the fame tends to difcountenance any vicious practice. This is one branch of that extrajudicial discipline, which supplies the defects and the remiffnefs of law; and is expressly authorized by St. Paul (I Cor. v. II), "But now I have " written unto you, not to keep company, if " any man, that is called a brother be a forni-" cator, or covetous, or an idolater, or a railer, " or a drunkard, or an extortioner; with fuch " an one no not to eat." The use of this affociation against vice continues to be experienced in one remarkable inftance, and might be extended with good effect to others. The confederacy amongst women of character, to exclude from their fociety kept mistrelles and prostitutes, contributes

tributes more perhaps to difcourage that condition of life, and prevents greater numbers from entering into it, than all the confiderations of prudence and religion put together.

We are likewife allowed to practife fo much caution, as not to put ourfelves in the way of injury, or invite the repetition of it. If a fervant or tradefman has cheated us, we are not bound to truft him again; for this is to encourage him in his difhoneft practices, which is doing him much harm.

Where a benefit can be conferred only upon one or few, and the choice of the perfon, upon whom it is conferred, is a proper object of favour, we are at liberty to prefer those who have not offended us to those who have; the contrary being no where required.

Chrift, who, as hath been well demonstrated *, effimated virtues by their folid utility, and not by their fashion or popularity, prefers this of the forgiveness of injuries to every other. He enjoins it oftener; with more earness inder a greater variety of forms; and with this weighty and peculiar circumstance, that the forgiveness of others is the condition upon which alone

* See a View of the Internal Evidence of the Christian Religion.

we are to expect, or even alk, from God, forgivenels for ourfelves. And this preference is juftified by the fuperior importance of the virtue itfelf. The feuds and animolities in families and between neighbours, which difturb the intercourfe of human life, and collectively compole half the milery of it, have their foundation in the want of a forgiving temper; and can never cease, but by the exercise of this virtue, on one fide, or on both.

CHAP. IX.

4

DUELLING.

DUELLING as a punishment is absurd; because it is an equal chance, whether the punishment fall upon the offender, or the person offended. Nor is it much better as a reparation; it being difficult to explain in what the *fatisfaction* consists, or how it tends to undo the injury, or to afford a compensation for the damage already sufficient.

The truth is, it is not confidered as either. A law of honour having annexed the imputation of cowardice to patience under an affront, challenges are given and accepted with no other defign than to prevent or wipe off this fufpicion; without malice againft the adverfary, generally without a wifh to deftroy him, or any other concern than to preferve the duellift's own reputation and reception in the world.

The unreafonableness of this rule of manners is one confideration; the duty and conduct of indiindividuals, whilst fuch a rule exists, is another.

As to which, the proper and fingle queftion is this, whether a regard for our own reputation is or is not fufficient to justify the taking away the life of another?

Murder is forbidden; and wherever human life is deliberately taken away, otherwife than by public authority, there is murder. The value and fecurity of human life make this rule neceffary; for I do not fee what other idea or definition of murder can be admitted, which will not let in fo much private violence, as to render fociety a fcene of peril and bloodshed.

If unauthorized laws of honour be allowed to create exceptions to divine prohibitions, there is an end of all morality as founded in the will of the Deity; and the obligation of every duty may at one time or other be difcharged by the caprice and fluctuations of fathion.

"But a fenfe of shame is fo much torture; " and no relief prefents itfelf otherwife than by " an attempt upon the life of our adverfary." What then? The diftrefs which men fuffer by the want of money is oftentimes extreme, and no resource can be discovered but that of removing a life, which stands between the distressed perfon

YOL. I.

Т

perfon and his inheritance. The motive in this cafe is as urgent, and the means much the fame, as in the former; yet this cafe finds no advocate.

Take away the circumstance of the duellist's exposing his own life, and it becomes affaffination: add this circumstance, and what difference does it make? None but this, that fewer perhaps will imitate the example, and human life will be fomewhat more fafe, when it cannot be attacked without equal danger to the aggressfor's own. Experience, however, proves that there is fortitude enough in most men to undertake this hazard; and were it otherwise, the defence, at best, would be only that which a highwayman or housebreaker might plead, whose attempt had been so daring and desperate, that few were likely to repeat the fame.

In expoltulating with the duellift I all along fuppole his adverlary to fall. Which fuppolition I am at liberty to make, becaule, if he have no right to kill his adverlary, he has none to attempt it.

In return, I forbear from applying to the cafe of duelling the Christian principle of the forgiveness of injuries; because it is possible to suppose the injury to be forgiven, and the duellist to act entirely

entirely from a concern for his own reputation: where this is not the cafe, the guilt of duelling is manifest, and is greater.

In this view it feems unneceffary to diffinguifh between him who gives, and him who accepts a challenge : for, on the one hand, they incur an equal hazard of deftroying life; and, on the other, both act upon the fame perfuation, that what they do is neceffary, in order to recover or preferve the good opinion of the world.

Public opinion is not eafily controlled by civil inflitutions: for which reason I question whether any regulations can be contrived of sufficient force to suppress or change the rule of honour, which stigmatizes all scruples about duelling with the reproach of cowardice.

The infufficiency of the redrefs which the law of the land affords, for thole injuries which chiefly affect a man in his fenfibility and reputation, tempts many to redrefs themfelves. Profecutions for fuch offences, by the trifling damages that are recovered, ferve only to make the fufferer more ridiculous.—This ought to be remedied.

For the army, where the point of honour is cultivated with exquisite attention and refinement, I would establish a Court of Honour, with

T 2

a power

DUELLING.

a power of awarding those submissions and acknowledgments, which it is generally the purpose of a challenge to obtain; and it might grow into a fashion, with persons of rank of all professions, to refer their quarrels to this tribunal.

Duelling, as the law now ftands, can feldom be overtaken by legal punifhment. The challenge, appointment, and other previous circumftances, which indicate the intention with which the combatants met, being fuppreffed, nothing appears to a court of justice, but the actual rencounter. And if a perfon be flain when actually fighting with his adversary, the law deems his death nothing more than manflaughter.

CHAP.

LITIGATION.

CHAP. X.

LITIGATION.

"IF it be *poffible* live peaceably with all men;" which precept contains an indirect confeffion that this is not always *poffible*.

The inftances* in the fifth chapter of St. Matthew are rather to be underftood as proverbial methods of defcribing the general duties of forgivenels and benevolence, and the temper which we ought to aim at acquiring, than as directions to be fpecifically obferved; or of themfelves of any great importance to be obferved. The first of these is, "If thine enemy fmite thee on thy "right cheek, turn to him the other alfo;" yet, when one of the officers struck Jefus with the palm of his hand, we find Jefus rebuking him

* "Whofoever shall smite thee on thy right cheek, turn "to him the other also; and if any man will sue thee at the "law, and take away thy coat, let him have thy cloak also; " and whofoever shall compel thee to go a mile, go with him " twain."

т 3

for

for the outrage with becoming indignation: " If I have fpoken evil, bear witnefs of the evil; " but if well, why fmitest thou me?" (John xviii. 22.) It may be obferved likewife, that the feveral examples are drawn from inftances of fmall and tolerable injuries. A rule which forbade all opposition to injury, or defence against it, could have no other effect, than to put the good in fubjection to the had, and deliver one half of mankind to the depredation of the other. half: which must be the cafe, fo long as fome confidered themfelves as bound by fuch a rule, whilft others defpised it. St. Paul, though no one inculcated forgiveness and forbearance with a deeper fenfe of the value and obligation of these virtues, did not interpret either of them to require an unrefifting fubmiffion to every contumely, or a neglect of the means of fafety and felf-defence. He took refuge in the laws of his country, and in the privileges of a Roman citizen, from the confpiracy of the Jews, (Acts xxv. 11.) and from the clandestine violence of the chief captain. (Acts xxii. 25.) And yet this is the fame Apostle who reproved the litigioufnefs of his Corinthian converts with fo much feverity. "Now therefore, there is ut-" terly a fault among you, because ye go to law " one

" one with another; why do ye not rather take " wrong ? why do ye not rather fuffer yourfelves " to be defrauded ?"

On the one hand, therefore, Christianity excludes all vindictive motives, and all frivolous caufes of profecution; fo that where the injury is fmall, where no good purpole of public example is answered, where forbearance is not likely to invite a repetition of the injury, or where the expence of an action becomes a punishment too fevere for the offence ; there the Christian is withholden by the authority of his religion from going to law.

On the other hand, a law-fuit is inconfiftent with no rule of the Gospel, when it is instituted.

I. For the establishing of some important right.

2. For the procuring a compensation for some sonfiderable damage.

3. For the preventing of future injury.

But fince it is supposed to be undertaken simply with a view to the ends of justice and fafety, the profecutor of the action is bound to confine himfelf to the cheapest process which will accomnlish these ends, as well as to confent to any peaceable expedient for the fame purpole; as to a 16+

T 4

a reference, in which the arbitrators can do, what the law cannot, divide the damage, when the fault is mutual; or to *a compounding of the difpute*, by accepting a compensation in the gross, without entering into articles and items which it is often very difficult to adjust feparately.

As to the reft, the duty of the contending parties may be expressed in the following directions:

Not by appeals to prolong a fuit against your own conviction.

Not to undertake or defend a fuit against a poor adversary, or render it more dilatory or expenfive than necessary, with the hope of intimidating or wearying him out by the expence.

Not to influence evidence by authority or expectation.

Nor to stiffe any in your possession, although it make against you.

Hitherto we have treated of civil actions. In criminal profecutions the private injury fhould be forgotten, and the profecutor proceed with the fame temper, and upon the fame motives, as the magiftrate; the one being a neceffary minifter of juffice as well as the other, and both bound to direct their conduct by a difpaffionate care of the public welfare. In whatever degree the punishment of an offender is conducive, or his escape dangerous, to the interest of the community, in the same degree is the party against whom the crime was committed bound to prosecute, because such prosecutions must in their nature originate from the fufferer.

Therefore, great public crimes, as robberies, forgeries, and the like, ought not to be fpared, from an apprehension of trouble or expence in carrying on the profecution, from falle shame, or misplaced compassion.

There are many offences, fuch as nuifances, neglect of public roads, foreftalling, engroffing, fmuggling, fabbath-breaking, profanenefs, drunkennefs, proflitution, the keeping of lewd or diforderly houfes, the writing, publithing, or expoing to fale lafcivious books or pictures, with fome others, the profecution of which being of equal concern to the whole neighbourhood, cannot be charged as a peculiar obligation upon any.

Nevertheles, there is great merit in the perfon who undertakes fuch profecutions upon proper motives; which amounts to the fame thing.

The character of an *informer* is in this country undefervedly odious. But where any public advantage is likely to be attained by informations, tions, or other activity in promoting the execution of the laws, a good man will defpife a prejudice founded in no just reason, or will acquit himself of the imputation of interested defigns by giving away his share of the penalty.

On the other hand, profecutions for the fake of the reward or for the gratification of private enmity, where the offence produces no public mifchief, or where it arifes from ignorance or inadvertency, are reprobated under the general defeription of applying a rule of law to a purpofe for which it was not intended. Under which defeription may be ranked an officious revival of the laws against popish pries, and diffenting teachers.

CHAP.

GRATITUDE.

CHAP. XI.

GRATITUDE.

XAMPLES of ingratitude check and difcourage voluntary beneficence: and in this the mischief of ingratitude confist. Nor is the mischief small; for after all is done that can be done, towards providing for the public happinels, by prefcribing rules of juffice, and enforcing the observation of them by penalties or compulsion, much must be left to those offices of kindness, which men remain at liberty to exert or withhold. Now not only the choice of the objects, but the quantity and even the existence of this fort of kindness in the world. depends, in a great measure, upon the return which it receives; and this is a confideration of general importance.

A second reason for cultivating a grateful temper in ourselves is the following. The same principle, which is touched with the kindness of a human benefactor, is capable of being affected by by the divine goodnels, and of becoming, under the influence of that affection, a fource of the pureft and most exalted virtue. The love of God is the fublimest gratitude. It is a mistake, therefore, to imagine, that this virtue is omitted in the Christian scriptures; for every precept, which commands us "to love God, because he "first loved us," presupposes the principle of gratitude, and directs it to its proper object.

It is impossible to particularize the feveral expressions of gratitude, in as much as they vary with the character and situation of the benefactor, and with the opportunities of the person obliged; which variety admits of no bounds.

It may be obferved, however, that gratitude can never oblige a man to do what is wrong, and what by confequence he is previoufly obliged not to do. It is no ingratitude to refufe to do, what we cannot reconcile to any apprehenfions of our duty; but it is ingratitude and hypocrify together, to pretend this reafon, when it is not the real one: and the frequency of fuch pretences has brought this apology for non-compliance with the will of a benefactor into unmerited difgrace.

It has long been accounted a violation of delicacy and generofity to upbraid men with the favours

favours they have received; but it argues a total defitution of both these qualities, as well as of moral probity, to take advantage of that ascendancy, which the conferring of benefits justify creates, to draw or drive those whom we have obliged into mean or dishonest compliances. SLANDER.

CHAP. XII.

SLANDER.

SPEAKING is acting, both in philosophical ftrictness, and as to all moral purposes; for, if the mischief and motive of our conduct be the same, the means which we use make no difference.

And this is in effect what our Saviour declares, *Matt.* xii. 37. "By thy words thou "fhalt be juftified, and by thy words thou fhalt "be condemned :" by thy words, as well, that is, as by thy actions; the one fhall be taken into the account as well as the other, for they both poffers the fame property of voluntarily producing good or evil.

Slander may be diftinguished into two kinds, malicious flander, and inconfiderate flander.

Malicious flander, is the relating of either truth or falfehood, for the purpole of creating milery.

I acknowledge that the truth or falschood of what is related varies the degree of guilt confiderably \$ derably; and that flander, in the ordinary acceptation of the term, fignifies the circulation of mischievous *falseboods*: but truth may be made instrumental to the fuccess of malicious defigns as well as falsebood; and if the end be bad, the means cannot be innocent.

I think the idea of flander ought to be confined to the production of gratuitous mifchief. When we have an end or interest of our own to serve, if we attempt to compass it by falsehood, it is fraud; if by a publication of the truth, it is not without some additional circumstance of breach of promise, betraying of confidence, or the like, to be deemed criminal.

Sometimes the pain is intended for the perfon to whom we are fpeaking; at other times an enmity is to be gratified by the prejudice or disquiet of a third perfon. To infuse sufficient, to kindle or continue disputes, to avert the favour and esteem of benefactors from their dependants, to render some one whom we dissive contemptible or obnoxious in the public opinion, are all offices of slander; of which the guilt must be measured by the intensity and extent of the mifery produced.

The difguifes under which flander is conveyed, whether in a whilper, with injunctions of fecrefy,

bx

by way of caution, or with affected reluctance, are all fo many aggravations of the offence, as they indicate more deliberation and defign.

Inconfiderate flander is a different offence, although the fame mifchief actually follow, and although the mifchief might have been forefeen. -The not being confcious of that defign, which we have hitherto attributed to the flanderer, 'makes the difference.

The guilt here confifts in the want of that regard to the confequences of our conduct, which a just affection for human happiness, and concern for our duty, would not have failed to have produced in us. And it is no answer to this crimination to fay, that we entertained no evil design. A fervant may be a very bad fervant, and yet feldom or never defign to act in opposi-'tion to his mafter's interest or will: and his master may justly punish fuch fervant for a thoughtleffnefs and neglect nearly as prejudicial as deliberate difobedience. I accufe you not, he may fay, of any express intention to hurt me; but had not the fear of my difpleafure, the care of my interest, and indeed all the qualities which conftitute the merit of a good fervant, been wanting in you, they would not only have excluded every direct purpole of giving me uneafinefs.

nefs, but have been fo far prefent to your thoughts, as to have checked that unguarded licentiousnefs, by which I have suffered fo much, and inspired you in its place with an habitual solicitude about the effects and tendency of what you did or faid. This very much refembles the case of all fins of inconfideration; and, amongst the foremost of these, that of inconfiderate flander.

Information communicated for the real purpofe of warning, or cautioning, is not flander.

Indiferiminate praife is the opposite of flander, but it is the opposite extreme; and, however it may affect to be thought excess of candour, is commonly the effusion of a frivolous underftanding, or proceeds from a fettled contempt of all moral diffinctions.



MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

BOOK III.

PART III.

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH RESULT FROM THE CONSTITUTION OF THE SEXES.

THE constitution of the fexes is the foundation of marriage.

Collateral to the fubject of marriage, are fornication, feduction, adultery, inceft, polygamy, divorce.

Confequential to marriage, is the relation and reciprocal duty of parent and child.

We will treat of these subjects in the following order: first, of the public use of marriage U 2 instiinftitutions; fecondly, of the fubjects collateral to marriage, in the order in which we have here proposed them; thirdly, of marriage itfelf; and lastly, of the relation and reciprocal duties of parents and children.

11 T.

CAC - 100 .

CHAP.

292

a. . .

MARRIAGE.

CHAP. I.

OF THE PUBLIC USE OF MARRIAGE INSTI-TUTIONS.

THE public use of marriage inftitutions confists in their promoting the following beneficial effects:

1. The private comfort of individuals, effecially of the female fex. It may be true, that all are not interested in this reason : nevertheles, it is a reason to all for abstaining from any conduct which tends in its general confequence to obstruct marriage; for whatever promotes the happines of the majority is binding upon the whole.

2. The production of the greatest number of healthy children, their better education, and the making of due provision for their settlement in life.

3. The peace of human fociety, in cutting off a principal fource of contention, by affigning one or more women to one man, and protect-

V 3

ing

MARRIAGĘ.

ing his exclusive right by fanctions of morality and law.

4. The better government of fociety, by diftributing the community into feparate families, and appointing over each the authority of a master of a family, which has more actual influence than all civil authority put together.

5. The fame end, in the additional fecurity which the flate receives for the good behaviour of its citizens, from the folicitude they feel for the welfare of their children, and from their being confined to permanent habitations.

6. The encouragement of industry,

Some ancient nations appear to have been more fensible of the importance of marriage infitutions than we are. The Spartans obliged their citizens to marry by penalties, and the Romans encouraged theirs by the jus trium liberorum. A man who had no child was entitled by the Roman law only to one half of any legacy that should be left him, that is, at the most, could only receive one half of the testator's fortune.

294

CHAP.

FORNICATION.

CHAP. II.

FORNICATION.

THE first and great mischief, and by confequence the guilt, of promiscuous concubinage, confists in its tendency to diminish marriages, and thereby to defeat the feveral beneficial purposes enumerated in the preceding chapter.

Promiscuous concubinage discourages marriage by abating the chief temptation to it. The male part of the species will not undertake the incumbrance, expence, and restraint of married life, if they can gratify their passions at a cheaper price; and they will undertake any thing, rather than not gratify them.

The reader will learn to comprehend the magnitude of this milchief, by attending to the importance and variety of the ules to which marriage is fubfervient; and by recollecting withal, that the malignity and moral quality of each erime is not to be estimated by the particular ef-

U 4

fect

fect of one offence, or of one perfon's offending, but by the general tendency and confequence of crimes of the fame nature. The libertine may not be confcious that these irregularities hinder his own marriage, from which he is deterred, he may allege, by different confiderations; much less does he perceive how *his* indulgences can hinder other men from marrying: but what will he fay would be the confequence, if the fame licentious were universal? or what should hinder its becoming universal, if it be innocent or allowable in him?

2. Fornication fuppoles profitution; and profitution brings and leaves the victims of it to almost certain mifery. It is no fmall quantity of mifery in the aggregate, which, between want, difeale, and infult, is fuffered by those outcasts of human society, who infest populous cities; the whole of which is a general confequence of fornication, and to the increase and continuance of which, every all and inflance of fornication contributes.

3. Fornication produces habits of ungovernable lewdnefs, which introduce the more aggravated crimes of feduction, adultery, violation, &c.* Like-

~

• Of this paffion it has been truly faid, " that irregularity " has

Likewife, however it he accounted for, the criminal commerce of the fexes corrupts and depraves the mind and moral character more than any fingle species of vice whatsoever. That ready perception of guilt, that prompt and decifive refolution against it, which constitutes a virtuous character, is feldom found in persons addicted to thefe indulgences. They prepare an eafy admission for every fin that feeks it; are, in low life, ufually the first stage in men's progress to the most desperate villanies; and, in high life, to that lamented diffoluteness of principle, which manifest itself in a profligacy of public conduct, and a contempt of the obligations of religion and of moral probity. Add to this, that habits of hbertinism incapacitate and indispose the mind for all intellectual, moral, and religious pleafures; which is a great lofs to any man's happiness.

4. Fornication perpetuates a difease, which may be accounted one of the soreft maladies of human nature; and the effects of which are said to visit the constitution of even distant generations.

" has no limits; that one excels draws on another; that the "most easy, therefore, as well as the most excellent way of being virtuous, is to be so entirely." Ogden, Ser. xv². The The paffion being natural proves that it was intended to be gratified; but under what reftrictions, or whether without any, must be collected from different confiderations.

E The Christian scriptures condemn fornication absolutely and peremptorily. " Out of the " heart," fays our Saviour, "proceed evilthoughts, " murders, adulterics, fornication, thefts, falfe "witnefs, blafphemies; these are the things " which defile a man." These are Christ's own words; and one word from him upon the fubject is final. It may be observed with what fociety fornication is claffed; with murders, thefts, false witness, blasphemies. I do not mean that thefe crimes are all equal, because they are all mentioned together; but it proves that they are all crimes. The Apoftles are more full upon this topic. One well-known passage in the Epistle to the Hebrews may fland in the place of all others; becaufe, admitting the authority by which the Apofiles of Chrift fpake and wrote. it is decilive : "Marriage and the bed undefiled " is honourable amongst all men, but whore-"mongers and adulterers God will judge;" which was a great deal to fay, at a time when it was not agreed even amongst philosophers themfelves that fornication was a crime! on hadman

Tho

The fcriptures give no fanction to thole aufterities, which have been fince imposed upon the world under the name of Christ's religion, as the celibacy of the clergy, the praise of perpetual virginity, the *probibitio concubitús cum gravidá uxore*; but, with a just knowledge of, and regard to the condition and interest of the human species, have provided, in the marriage of one man with one woman, an adequate gratisication for the propensities of their nature, and have restricted them to that gratification.

The avowed toleration, and in fome countries the licenfing, taxing, and regulating of public brothels, has appeared to the people an authorizing of fornication; and has contributed, with other causes, so far to vitiate the public opinion, that there is no practice of which the immorality is fo little thought of or acknowledged, although there are few, in which it can more plainly be made out. The legiflators who have patronized receptacles of profitution ought to have foreseen this effect, as well as confidered. that whatever facilitates fornication diminishes marriages. And as to the ufual apology for this relaxed discipline, the danger of greater enormities if access to profitutes were too firicily watched and prohibited, it will be time enough ta to look to that, when the laws and the magifirates have done their utmost. The greatest vigilance of both will do no more, than oppose fome bounds and fome difficulties to this intercourse. And, after all, these pretended fears are without foundation in experience. The men are in all respects the most virtuous, in countries where the women are most chaste.

There is a fpecies of cohabitation, diftinguishable, no doubt, from vagrant concubinage, and which, by reason of its refemblance to marriage, may be thought to participate of the fanctity and innocence of that eftate; I mean the cafe of *kept mistreffes*, under the favourable circumstance of mutual fidelity. This case I have heard defended by fome such apology as the following:

"That the marriage rite being different in different countries, and in the fame country amongft different fects, and with fome fcarce any thing; and, moreover, not being preferibed or even mentioned in feripture, can be accounted of only as of a form and ceremony of human invention : that; confequently, if a man and woman betreth and confine themfelves to each other, their intercourfe muft be the fame, as to all moral purpofes, as if they were legally married : for the addition or "omiffien " omiffion of that which is a mere form and " ceremony, can make no difference in the fight " of God, or in the actual nature of right and " wrong."

To all which it may be replied,

r. If the fituation of the parties be the fame thing as marriage, why do they not marry?

2. If the man choose to have it in his power to difmis the woman at his pleasure, or to retain her in a state of humiliation and dependance inconsistent with the rights which marriage would confer upon her, it is not the same thing.

It, is not at any rate the fame thing to the children.

Again, as to the marriage rite being a mere form, and that also variable, the fame may be faid of figning and fealing, of bonds, wills, deeds of conveyance, and the like, which yet make a great difference in the rights and obligations of the parties concerned in them...

And with respect to the rite not being appointed in scripture—the scriptures forbid fornication, that is, cohabitation without marriage, leaving it to the law of each country to pronounce what is, or what makes, a marriage; in like manner as they forbid thests, that is, the taking away of another's property, leaving it

FORNICATION.

to the municipal law to fix what makes the thing property, or whofe it is, which alfo, as well as marriage, depends upon arbitrary and mutable forms.

Laying afide the injunctions of fcripture, the plain account of the queftion feems to be this: It is immoral, becaufe it is pernicious, that men and women fhould cohabit, without undertaking certain irrevocable obligations, and mutually conferring certain civil rights; if, therefore, the law has annexed thefe rights and obligations to certain forms, fo that they cannot be fecured or undertaken by any other means, which is the cafe here (for whatever the parties may promife to each other, nothing but the marriage ceremony can make their promife irrevocable), it becomes in the fame degree immoral, that men and women fhould cohabit without the interpolition of thefe forms.

If fornication be criminal, all those incentives which lead to it are accellaries to the crime, as lasticitious conversation, whether expressed in obscene or disguised under modest phrases; also wanton fongs, pictures, books; the writing, publishing, and circulating of which, whether out of frolic, or for some pitiful profit, is pro-6 dufive

ductive of fo extensive a mischief from fo mean a temptation, that few crimes, within the reach of private wickedness, have more to answer for, or less to plead in their excuse.

Indecent conversation, and by parity of reason all the reft, are forbidden by St. Paul, Eph. iv. 29. "Let no corrupt communication proceed "out of your mouth:" And again, Col. iii. 8. "Put off——filthy communication out of your "mouth."

The invitation, or voluntary admiffion, of impure thoughts, or the fuffering them to get possession of the imagination, falls within the fame description, and is condemned by *Cbrift*, Matt. v. 28. "Whosever looketh on a woman " to lust after her, hath committed adultery with " her already in his heart." *Cbrift*, by thus enjoining a regulation of the thoughts, ftrikes at the root of the evil.

CHAP.

SEDUCTION.

CHAP. III.

SEDUCTION.

THE feducer practifes the fame ftratagems to draw a woman's perfon into his power, that a *fwindler* does, to get poffeffion of your goods, or money; yet the *law of honour*, which abhors deceit, applauds the addrefs of a fuccefsful intrigue: fo much is this capricious rule gnided by names, and with fuch facility does it accommodate itfelf to the pleafures and conveniency of higher life!

Seduction is feldom accomplished without fraud; and the fraud is by fo much more criminal than other frauds, as the injury effected by it is greater, continues longer, and lefs admits of reparation.

This injury is threefold; to the woman, to her family, and to the public.

1. The injury to the woman is made up, of the pain fhe fuffers from fhame, of the lo/s fhe fuftains in her reputation and prospects of marriage, riage and of the depravation of her moral principle.

This pain must be extreme, if we may judge of it from those barbarous endeavours to conceal their difgrace, to which women, under fuch circumstances, fometimes have recourle; comparing also this barbarity with their passionate fondness for their offspring in other cases. Nothing but an agony of mind the most insupportable can induce a woman to forget her nature, and the pity which even a ftranger would fhew to a helplefs and imploring infant. It is true, that all are not urged to this extremity; but if any are, it affords an indication of how much all fuffer from the fame caufe. What shall we fay to the authors of fuch mischief?

The loss which a woman fustains by the ruin of her reputation almost exceeds computation. Every perfon's happiness depends in part upon the respect and reception which they meet with in the world; and it is no inconfiderable mortification, even to the firmest tempers, to be rejected from the society of their equals, or received there with neglect and disclain. But this is not all, nor the worst. By a rule of life, which it VOL. 1. X is

SEDUCTION.

is not easy to blame, and which it is impossible to alter, a woman lofes with her chaftity the chance of marrying at all, or in any manner equal to the hopes the had been accustomed to entertain. Now marriage, whatever it be to a man, is that, from which every woman expects her chief happinels. And this is still more true in low life, of which condition the women are. who are most exposed to folicitations of this fort. Add to this, that where a woman's maintenance depends upon her character, (as it does, in a great measure, with those who are to support themselves by service,) little sometimes is left to the forfaken sufferer, but to starve for want of employment, or to have recourse to prostitution for food and raiment.

3. As a woman collects her virtue into this point, the lofs of her chaftity is generally the *deftruction* of *her moral principle*; and this confequence is to be apprehended, whether the criminal intercourfe be difcovered or not.

II. The injury to the family may be underftood, by the application of that infallible rule, " of doing to others what we would that others " fhould do unto us." Let a father or a brother fay, for what confideration they would fuffer this injury

6

injury a daughter or a fifter; and whether any i or even a total loss of fortune could create equal affliction and diffress. And when they reflect upon this, let them diftinguish, if they can. between a robbery committed upon their property by fraud or forgery, and the ruin of their happinels by the treachery of a feducer.

III. The public at large lofe the benefit of the woman's fervice in her proper place and deflination, as a wife and parent. This to the whole community may be little; but it is often more than all the good, which the feducer does to the community, can recompenfe. Moreover, profitution is supplied by feduction; and in proportion to the danger there is of the woman's betaking herfelf, after her first facrifice, to a life of public lewdness, the feducer is answerable for the multiplied evils to which his crime gives birth.

Upon the whole, if we pursue the effects of feduction through the complicated mifery which it occasions; and if it be right to eftimate crimes by the mifchief they knowingly produce, it will appear fomething more than mere invective to affert, that not one half

X 2

of

SEDUCTION.

of the crimes, for which men fuffer death by the laws of England, are fo flagitious as this *.

* Yet the law has provided no punifhment for this offence beyond a pecuniary fatisfaction to the injured family; and this can only be come at, by one of the quainteft fictions in the world, by the father's bringing his action against the feducer, for the loss of his daughter's fervice, during her pregnancy and nurturing.

308

CHAP.

ADULTERK

CHAP. IV.

ADULTERY.

A NEW sufferer is introduced, the injured husband, who receives a wound in his fensibility and affections, the most painful and incurable that human nature knows. In all other respects, adultery on the part of the man who solicits the chassity of a married woman, includes the crime of seduction, and is attended with the same mischief.

The infidelity of the woman is aggravated by cruelty to her children, who are generally involved in their parents' fhame, and always made unhappy by their quarrel.

If it be faid that these consequences are chargeable not so much upon the crime, as the discovery, we answer, first, that the crime could not be discovered unless it were committed, and that the commission is never secure from discovery; and secondly, that if we excuse adulterous connections, whenever they can hope to escape

309

detection.

detection, which is the conclusion to which this argument conducts us, we leave the hufband no other fecurity for his wife's chaftity, than in her want of opportunity or temptation; which would probably either deter men from marrying, or render marriage a state of fuch jealous and alarm to the husband, as must end in the flavery and confinement of the wife.

The vow, by which married perfons mutually engage their fidelity, is "witneffed before "God," and accompanied with circumstances of folemnity and religion, which approach to the nature of an oath. The married offender therefore incurs a crime little short of perjury, and the feduction of a married woman is little less than subornation of perjury;—and this guilt is independent of the discovery.

All behaviour, which is defigned, or which knowingly tends to captivate the affection of a married woman, is a barbarous intrufion upon the peace and virtue of a family, though it fall fhort of adultery.

The usual and only apology for adultery is the prior transgression of the other party. There are degrees no doubt in this, as in other crimes; and so far as the bad effects of adultery are anticipated by the conduct of the husband or wife who

who offends first, the guilt of the second offender is lefs. But this falls very far short of a justification; unlets it could be shewn that the obligation of the marriage vow depends upon the condition of reciprocal fidelity; for which construction, there appears no foundation, either in expediency, or in the terms of the promise, or in the design of the legislature which preferibed the marriage rite. Moreover, the rule contended for by this plea has a manifest tendency to multiply the offence, but none to reclaim the offender.

The way of confidering the offence of one party as a *provocation* to the other, and the other as only *retaliating* the injury by repeating the crime, is a childifh trifling with words.

"Thou shalt not commit adultery," was an interdict delivered by God himself. By the Jewish law adultery was capital to both parties in the crime : "Even he that committeth adul-"tery with his neighbour's wife, the adulterer "and adulteress shall furely be put to death." Lev. xx. 10. Which passages prove, that the divine Legislator placed a great difference between adultery and fornication. And with this agree the Christian scriptures; for in almost all the catalogues they have left us of crimes and cri-X 4 minals.

ADULTERY.

minals, they enumerate "fornication, adultery," "whoremongers, adulterers," (Matthew xv. 19. I Cor. vi. 9. Gal. v. 9. Heb. xiii. 4.) by which mention of both, they fhew that they did not confider them as the fame; but that the crime of adultery was, in their apprehension, distinct from, and accumulated upon, that of fornication.

The hiftory of the woman taken in adultery, recorded in the eighth chapter 'of St. John's Go/pel, has been thought by fome to give countenance to that crime. As Chrift told the woman, "Neither do I condemn thee," we must believe, it is faid, that he deemed her conduct either not criminal, or not a crime however of the heinous nature which we represent it to be. A more attentive examination of the cafe will, I think, convince us, that from *it* nothing can be concluded as to Christ's opinion concerning adultery, either one way or the other. The transaction is thus related : " Early in the morn-" ing Jefus came again into the temple, and all " the people came unto him; and he fat down " and taught them; and the Scribes and Pha-" rifees brought unto him a woman taken in " adultery; and when they had fet her in the " midst, they fay unto him, Master, this wo-" man

" man was taken in adultery, in the very act: " now Mofes in the law commanded that fuch " fhould be ftoned ; but what fayest thou? This " they faid tempting him, that they might have " to accuse him. But Jesus stooped down, and " with his finger wrote on the ground, " though he heard them not. So when they " continued asking him, he lift up himself, and " faid unto them, He that is without fin amongst "you, let him first cast a stone at her; and " again he ftooped down and wrote on the " ground : and they which heard it, being con-" victed by their own conscience, went out one " by one, beginning at the eldeft, even unto " the last; and Jesus was left alone, and the " woman flanding in the midft. When Jefus " had lift up himfelf and faw none but the " woman, he faid unto her, Woman, where are " those thine accusers? hath no man condemned " thee? She faid unto him, No man, Lord. And " he faid unto her, Neither do I condemn thee; go " and fin no more."

"This they faid tempting him, that they "might have to accuse him," to draw him, that is, into an exercise of judicial authority, that they might have to accuse him before the Roman governor of usurping or intermeddling with

with the civil government. This was their defign; and Christ's behaviour throughout the whole affair proceeded from a knowledge of this defign, and a determination to defeat it. He gives them at first a cold and fullen reception, well fuited to the infidious intention with which they came: "He ftooped down, and with his " finger wrote on the ground, as though he "heard them not." "When they continued " afking him," when they teafed him to fpcak, he difmiffed them with a rebuke, which the impertinent malice of their errand, as well as the fecret character of many of them, deferved : "He " that is without fin (that is, this fin) among " you, let him first cast a flone at her." This had its effect. Stung with the reproof, and difappointed of their aim, they ftole away one by one, and left Jefus and the woman alone. And then follows the conversation, which is the part of the narrative most material to our prefent fubject. " Jefus faith unto her, Woman, where "" are those thine accusers? hath no man con-" demned thee ? She faid, No man, Lord. And " Jefus faid unto her, Neither do I condemn " thee; go and fin no more." Now, when Christ asked the woman, "Hath no man con-" demned thee ?" he certainly fpoke and was underftood

ADULTERY.

derftood by the woman to fpeak, of a legal and judicial condemnation; otherwife, her anfwer, "No man, Lord," was not true. In every other fenfe of condemnation, as blame, cenfure, reproof, private judgment, and the like, many had condemned her; all those indeed who brought her to Jesus. If then a judicial fentence was what Christ meant by condemning in the question, the common use of language requires us to suppose that he meant the same in his reply, "Neither do I condemn thee," *i. e.* I pretend to no judicial character or authority over thee; it is no office or business of mine to pronounce or execute the sentence of the law.

When Chrift adds, "Go and fin no more," he in effect tells her, that fhe had finned already; but as to the degree or quality of the fin, or Chrift's opinion concerning it, nothing is declared, or can be inferred, either way.

Adultery, which was punished with death during the Usurpation, is now regarded by the law of England only as a civil injury; for which the imperfect fatisfaction that money can afford, may be recovered by the husband.

315

CHAP,

- 11

CHAP. V.

INCEST.

IN order to preferve chaftity in families, and between perfons of different fexes brought up and living together in a ftate of unreferved intimacy, it is neceffary by every method poffible to inculcate an abhorrence of inceftuous conjunctions; which abhorrence can only be upheld by the abfolute reprobation of *all* commerce of the fexes between near relations. Upon this principle, the *marriage* as well as other cohabitation of brothers and fifters, of lineal kindred, and of all who ufually live in the fame family, may be faid to be forbidden by the law of nature,

Refirictions which extend to remoter degrees of kindred than what this reafon makes it neceffary to prohibit from intermarriage, are founded in the authority of the positive law which ordains



INCEST.

ordains them, and can only be justified by their tendency to diffuse wealth, to connect families, or to promote some political advantage.

The Levitical law, which is received in this country, and from which the rule of the Roman law differs very little, prohibits * marriage between relations within three degrees of kindred; computing the generations not from but through the common anceftor, and accounting affinity the fame as confanguinity. The iffue, however, of fuch marriages are not baftardized, unlefs the parents be divorced during their lifetime.

The *Egyptians* are faid to have allowed of the marriage of brothers and fifters. Amongft the *Athenians* a very fingular regulation prevailed; brothers and fifters of the half blood, if related by the father's fide, might marry; if by the mother's fide, they were prohibited from marrying. The fame cuftom alfo probably obtained in Chaldea fo early as the age

* The Roman law continued the prohibition to the defcendants of brothers and fifters without limits. In the Lewitical and Englifb law, there is nothing to hinder a man from marrying his great niece.

317

in

in which Abraham left it; for he and Sarah his wife flood in this relation to each other. "And yet, indeed, fhe is my fifter, fhe is the "daughter of my father, but not of my mo-"ther, and fhe became my wife." Gen. xx. 12.

CHAP.

POLYGAMY.

319

C H A P. VI.

POLYGAMY.

THE equality * in the number of males and females born into the world intimates the intention of God, that one woman should be affigned to one man; for, if to one man be allowed an exclusive right to five or more women, four or more men must be deprived of the exclusive possession of any: which could never be the order intended.

It feems also a fignificant indication of the divine will, that he at first created only one woman to one man. Had God intended polygamy for the species, it is probable he would have begun with it; especially as, by giving to Adam more wives than one, the multiplication

* This equality is not exact. The number of male infants exceeds that of females in the proportion of nineteen to eighteen, or thereabouts; which excefs provides for the greater confumption of males by war, feafaring and other dangerous or unhealthy occupations.

of

POLYGAMY.

of the human race would have proceeded with a quicker progres.

Polygamy not only violates the conflitution of nature, and the apparent defign of the Deity, but produces to the parties themfelves, and to the public, the following bad effects : contefts and jealoufies amongst the wives of the same hufband; diftracted affections, or the lofs of all affection in the hufband himfelf; a voluptuoufnefs in the rich which diffolves the vigour of their intellectual as well as active faculties, producing that indolence and imbecility both of mind and body, which have long characterized the nations of the East: the abasement of one half of the human species, who, in countries where polygamy obtains, are degraded into mere instruments of phylical pleafure to the other half; neglect of children; and the manifold, and fometimes unnatural mischiefs, which arise from a fcarcity of women. To compensate for these evils, polygamy does not offer a fingle advantage. In the article, of population, which it has been thought to promote, the community gain nothing *: for the queftion is not, whether one

man

* Nothing, I'mean, compared with a flate in which marriage is nearly univerfall. Where marriages are lefs general, and

man will have more children by five or more wives than by one; but whether thefe five wives would not bear the fame, or a greater number of children, to five feparate hufbands. And as to the care of the children when produced, and the fending of them into the world in fituations in which they may be likely to form and bring up families of their own, upon which the increafe and fucceffion of the human fpecies in a great degree depend; this is lefs provided for, and lefs practicable, where twenty or thirty children are to be fupported by the attention and fortunes of

and many women unfruitful from the want of hufbands, polygamy might at first add a little to population; and but a little : for, as a variety of wives would be fought chiefly from temptations of voluptuoufnefs, it would rather increase the demand for female beauty, than for the fex at large. And this, little would foon be made lefs by many deductions. For, first, as none but the opulent can maintain a plurality of wives, where polygamy obtains, the rich indulge in it, while the reft take up with a vague and barren incontinency. And, fecondly, women would grow lefs jealous of their virtue, when they had nothing for which to referve it, but a chamber in the baram; when their chaftity was no longer to be rewarded with the rights and happiness of a wife, as enjoyed under the marriage of one woman to one man. These confiderations may be added to what is mentioned in the text, concerning the eafy and early fettlement of children in the world.

Y

VOL. I.

ode

POLYGAMY

one father, than if they were divided into five or fix families, to each of which were assigned the industry and inheritance of two parents.

Whether fimultaneous polygamy was permitted by the law of Moles, feems doubtful *: but whether permitted or not, it was certainly practifed by the fewill patriarchs, both before that law, and under it. The permiffion, if there was any, might be like that of divorce, " for "the hardnefs of their heart," in condefcention to their eftablished indulgencies, rather than from the general rectitude or propriety of the thing itself. The state of manners in Jud.ca had probably undergone a reformation in this respect before the time of Cbrifl, for in the New Testament we meet with no trace or mention of any fuch practice being tolerated.

For which reason, and because it was likewise forbidden amongst the Greeks and Romans, we cannot expect to find any express law upon the subject in the Christian code. The words of Christ, + Matt. xix. 9, may be construed by an easy implication to prohibit polygamy; for, if

1.32

, † " I fay unto you, Whofoever shall put away his wife, ex-% cept it be for fornication, and shall marry another, com-" mitteth adultery."

• whoever

^{• *} See Deut. xvii. 16. xxi. 15.

" whoever putteth away his wife, and marrieth " another, committeth adultery," he who marrieth another without putting away the first, is no lefs guilty of adultery; becaufe the adultery does not confift in the repudiation of the first wife (for, however unjust or cruel that may be, it is not adultery), but in entering into a fecond marriage during the legal exiftence and obligation of the first. The feveral passages in St. Paul's writings, which speak of marriage, always suppose it to fignify the union of one man with one woman. Upon this fuppolition he argues, Rom. vii. 2, 3. "Know ye not, brethren, for " I fpeak to them that know the law, how that " the law hath dominion over a man, as long " as he liveth? For the woman which hath an " hufband, is bound by the law to her hufband " fo long as he liveth; but if the hufband be " dead, the is loofed from the law of her huf-" band : fo then, if while her hufband liveth " fhe be married to another man, fhe shall be " called an adulterefs." When the fame Apoftle permits marriage to his Corinthian converts (which, " for the prefent diffres," he judges to be inconvenient), he reftrains the permiffion to the marriage of one hufband with one wife: " It is good for a man not to touch a woman; " never-

POLYGAMY.

" nevertheles, to avoid fornication, let every man " have his own wife, and let every woman have " her own husband."

The manners of different countries have varied in nothing more than in their domestic constitutions. Less polished and more luxurous nations have either not perceived the bad effects of polygamy, or, if they did perceive them, they who in fuch countries poffeffed the power of reforming the laws have been unwilling to refign their own gratifications. Polygamy is retained at this day among the Turks, and throughout every part of Asia in which Christianity is not professed. In Christian countries it is univerfally prohibited. In Sweden it is punished with death. In England, beside the nullity of the fecond marriage, it fubjects the offender to transportation or imprisonment and branding for the first offence, and to capital punishment for the second. And whatever may be faid in behalf of polygamy, when it is authorized by the law of the land, the marriage of a second wife, during the life-time of the first, in countries where fuch a fecond marriage is void, must be ranked with the most dangerous and cruel of those frauds, by which a woman is cheated out of her fortune, her perfon, and her happinefs.

The

The ancient *Medes* compelled their citizens, in one canton, to take feven wives; in another, each woman to receive five hufbands: according as war had made, in one quarter of their country, an extraordinary havock among the men, or the women had been carried away by an enemy from another. This regulation, fo far as it was adapted to the proportion which fublisted between the numbers of males and females, was founded in the reason upon which the most improved nations of *Europe* proceed at prefent.

Cæsar found amongst the inhabitants of this island a species of polygamy, is it may be so called, which was perfectly singular. Uxores, says he, babent deni duodenique inter se communes, et maxime fratres cum fratribus, parentesque cum liberis: sed si qui sunt ex bis nati, corum babentur liberi, quo primum virgo quæque deducta est.

CHAP.

CHAP. VII.

OF DIVORCE.

BY Divorce, I mean, the diffolution of the marriage contract, by the act, and at the will, of the hufband.

This power was allowed to the hufband, among the Jews, the Greeks, and latter Romans; and is at this day exercised by the Turks and Perfians.

The congruity of fuch a right with the law of nature, is the question before us.

And in the first place, it is manifestly inconfistent with the duty, which the parents owe to their children; which duty can never be fo well fulfilled as by their cohabitation and united care. It is also incompatible with the right which the mother possesses as well as the father, to the gratitude of her children and the comfort of their fociety; of both which she is almost necessfarily deprived, by her difinision from her husband's family.

Where

Where this objection does not interfere, I know of no principle of the law of nature applicable to the question, belide that of general expediency.

For, if we fay, that arbitrary divorces are excluded by the terms of the marriage contract, it may be answered, that the contract might be so framed as to admit of this condition.

If we argue with fome moralifis, that the obligation of a contract naturally continues, fo long as the purpole, which the contracting parties had in view, requires its continuance; it will be difficult to fhew what purpole of the contract (the care of children excepted) fhould confine a man to a woman, from whom he feeks to be loofe.

If we contend with others, that a contract cannot, by the law of nature, be diffolved, unlefs the parties be replaced in the fituation which each poffeffed before the contract was entered into; we fhall be called upon to prove this to be an universal or indispensable property of contracts.

I confess myself unable to affign any circumftance in the marriage contract, which effentially diffinguishes it from other contracts, or which proves that it contains, what many have ascribed

to

¥4

to it, a natural incapacity of being diffolved by the confent of the parties, at the option of one of them, or either of them. But if we trace the effects of fuch a rule upon the general happinefs of married life, we shall perceive reasons of expediency, that abundantly justify the policy of those laws which refuse to the husband the power of divorce, or restrain it to a few extreme and specific provocations: and our principles teach us to pronounce that to be contrary to the law of nature, which can be proved to be detrimental to the common happines of the human species.

A lawgiver, whose counsels are directed by views of general utility, and obstructed by no local impediment, would make the marriage contract indiffoluble during the joint lives of the parties, for the fake of the following advantages:

I. Becaufe this tends to preferve peace and concord between married perfons, by perpetuating their common intereft, and by inducing a neceffity of mutual compliance.

There is great weight and fubstance in both these confiderations. An earlier termination of the union would produce a separate interest. The wife would naturally look forward to the diffo-

diffolution of the partnership, and endeavour to draw to herfelf a fund, against the time when the was no longer to have access to the fame refources. This would beget peculation on one fide, and mistrust on the other; evils which at present very little disturb the confidence of married life. The fecond effect of making the union determinable only by death, is not lefs beneficial. It neceffarily happens that adverse tempers, habits, and taftes, oftentimes meet in marriage. In which cafe, each party must take pains to give up what offends, and practife what may gratify the other. A man and woman in love with each other, do this infenfibly: but love is neither general nor durable; and where that is wanting, no leffons of duty, no delicacy of fentiment, will go half fo far with the generality of mankind and womankind, as this one intelligible reflection, that they must each make the best of their bargain; and that feeing they must either both be miserable, or both share in the same happiness, neither can find their own comfort but in promoting the pleafure of the other. These compliances, though at first extorted by neceffity, become in time eafy and mutual; and though lefs endearing than affiduities which take their rife from affection, generally

DIVORCE

fally procure to the married pair a repose and fatisfaction sufficient for their happines.

II. Becaufe new objects of defire would be continually fought after, if men could, at will, be releafed from their subfifting engagements. Suppose the husband to have once preferred his wife to all other women, the duration of this preference cannot be trufted to. Poffeffion makes a great difference : and there is no other fecurity against the invitations of novelty, than the known impoffibility of obtaining the object: Did the caufe, which brings the fexes together, hold them together by the fame force with which it first attracted them to each other, or could the woman be reftored to her perfonal integrity, and to all the advantages of her virgin estate; the power of divorce might be depofited in the hands of the hufband, with lefs - danger of abuse or inconveniency. But conftituted as mankind are, and injured as the repudiated wife generally must be, it is necessary to add a ftability to the condition of married women, more fecure than the continuance of their husbands' affection; and to supply to both fides, by a fenfe of duty and of obligation, what fatiety has impaired of paffion and of perfonal attachment. Upon the whole, the power of divorce 18

DIVORCE:

is evidently and greatly to the difadvantage of the woman; and the only queftion appears to be, whether the real and permanent happiness of one half of the species should be furrendered to the caprice and voluptuous of the other?

We have confidered divorces as depending upon the will of the hufband, becaufe that is the way in which they have actually obtained in many parts of the world: but the fame objections apply, in a great degree, to divorces by mutual confent; especially when we confider the indelicate fituation and fmall prospect of happiness, which remains to the party, who opposed his or her diffent to the liberty and defire of the other,

The law of nature admits of an exception in favour of the injured party, in cafes of adultery, of obftinate defertion, of attempts upon life, of outrageous cruelty, of incurable madnefs, and, perhaps, of perional imbecility; but by no means indulges the fame privilege to mere diflike, to opposition of humours and inclinations, to contrariety of tafte and temper, to complaints of coldnefs, neglect, feverity, peevifhnefs, jealoufy; not that these reasons are trivial, but because fuch objections may always be alledged, and are impossible by testimony to be afcertained;

331.

tained; fo that to allow implicit credit to them, and to diffolve marriages whenever either party thought fit to pretend them, would lead in its effect to all the licentiousness of arbitrary divorces.

Milton's ftory is well known. Upon a guarrel with his wife, he paid his addreffes to another woman, and fet forth a public vindication of his conduct, by attempting to prove, that confirmed diflike was as just a foundation for diffolving the marriage contract, as adultery; to which pofition, and to all the arguments by which it can be fupported, the above confideration affords a fufficient answer. And if a married pair, in actual and irreconcileable difcord, complain that their happiness would be better confulted, by permitting them to determine a connection, which is become odious to both, it may be told them, that the fame permission, as a general rule, would produce libertinism, diffension, and mifery, amongst thousands, who are now virtuous, and quiet, and happy, in their condition : and it ought to fatisfy them to reflect, that when their happiness is facrificed to the operation of an unrelenting rule, it is facrificed to the happines of the community.

The feriptures feem to have drawn the obligation tighter than the law of nature left it. "Who"Whofoever," faith Chrift, " fhall put away his " wife, except it be for fornication, and shall "marry another, committeth adultery; and " whole marrieth her which is put away, doth " commit adultery." Matt. xix. 9. The law of Moles, for realons of local expediency, permitted the Jewi/h hufband to put away his wife; but whether for every caufe, or for what caufes, appears to have been controverted among ft the interpreters of those times. Christ, the precepts of whole religion were calculated for more general use and observation, revokes this permiffion, as given to the Jews " for the hard-" nefs of their hearts," and promulges a law which was thenceforward to confine divorces to the fingle caufe of adultery in the wife. And I fee no fufficient reason to depart from the plain and ftrict meaning of Christ's words. The rule was new. It both furprifed and offended his disciples; yet Christ added nothing to relax or explain it.

Inferior causes may justify the separation of husband and wife, although they will not authorize such a diffolution of the marriage contract, as would leave either party at liberty to marry again : for it is that liberty in which the danger and mischief of divorces principally consist. If the

DIVORCE.

the care of children does not require that they should live together, and it is become, in the ferious judgment of both, neceffary for their mutual happiness that they should separate, let them feparate by confent. Neverthelefs this neceffity can hardly exist, without guilt and mifconduct on one fide or on both. Moreover, cruelty, ill-ulage, extreme violence, or morofenefs of temper, or other great and continued provocations, make it lawful for the party aggrieved to withdraw from the fociety of the offender without his or her confent. The law which impofes the marriage vow, whereby the parties promife to " keep to each other," or, in other words, to live together, must be underflood to impose it with a filent refervation of these cases; because the same law has constituted a judicial relief from the tyranny of the hufband, by the divorce a menfa et toro, and by the provision which it makes for the separate maintenance of the injured wife. St. Paul likewife diftinguishes between a wife's merely feparating herfelf from the family of her hufband, and her marrying again : " Let not the wife depart " from her husband; but, and if she do depart, " let her remain unmarried."

334

The

The law of this country, in conformity to our Saviour's injunction, confines the diffolution of the marriage contract to the fingle cafe of adultery in the wife; and a divorce even in that cafe can only be brought about by the operation of an act of parliament, founded upon a previous fentence in the ecclefiaftical court, and a verdict against the adukterer at common law : which proceedings taken together compose as complete an investigation of the complaint as a cause can receive. It has lately been proposed to the legiflature to annex a claufe to thefe acts, reftraining the offending party from marrying with the companion of her crime, who, by the course of proceeding, is always known and convicted; for there is reafon to fear, that adulterous connections are often formed with the profpect of bringing them to this conclusion; at least, when the feducer has once captivated the affection of a married woman, he may avail himfelf of this tempting argument to fubdue her fcruples, and complete his victory; and the legiflature, as the business is managed at prefent, affists by its interpolition the criminal defign of the offenders,and confers a privilege where it ought to inflict a punishment. The proposal deferved an experiment; but fomething more penal will, I apprehend,

prehend, be found necessary to check the progrefs of this alarming depravity. Whether a law might not be framed directing the fortune of the adulteress to descend as in case of her natural death; referving, however, a certain proportion of the produce of it, by way of annuity, for her fublistence (fuch annuity in no cafe to exceed a fixed fum), and also fo far fuspending the estate in the hands of the heir as to preferve the inheritance to any children the might bear to a fecond marriage, in cafe there was none to fucceed in the place of their mother by the first; whether, I fay, fuch a law would not render female virtue in higher life lefs vincible, as well as the feducers of that virtue less urgent in their fuit, we recommend to the deliberation of thofe, who are willing to attempt the reformation of this important, but most incorrigible class of the community. A passion for splendour, for expensive amusements and distinctions, is commonly found, in that defcription of women who would become the objects of fuch a law, not lefs inordinate than their other appetites. A feverity of the kind we propole applies immediately to that paffion. And there is no room for any complaint of injustice, fince

DIVORCE.

fince the provisions above stated, with others which might be contrived, confine the pumiliment, so far as it is possible, to the person of the offender; suffering the estate to remain to the heir, or within the family, of the ancestor from whom it came, or to attend the appointments of his will.

Sentences of the ecclefiaftical courts, which release the parties a vinculo matrimonii by reafon of impuberty, frigidity, confanguinity within the prohibited degrees, prior marriage, or want of the requilite confent of parents or guardians, are not diffolutions of the marriage contract, but judicial declarations, that there never was any marriage; fuch impediment fublishing at the time, as rendered the celebration of the marriage rite a mere nullity. And the rite itfelf contains an exception of these impediments. The man and woman to be married are charged, " if they know any " impediment why they may not be lawfully " joined together, to confess it;" and affured " that fo many as are coupled together, other-" wife than God's word doth allow, are not together by God, neither is their ny all which is intended \mathbf{z} by

Goode

by way of folemn notice to the parties that the vow they are about to make will bind their confciences and authorize their cohabitation, only upon the fupposition that no legal impediment exists.

GHAP.

MARRIAGE.

CHAP. VIII.

MARRIAGE.

WHETHER it hath grown out of fome tradition of the divine appointment of marriage in the perfons of our first parents, or merely from a defign to impress the obligation of the marriage contract with a solemnity suited to its importance, the marriage rite, in almost all countries of the world, has been made a religious ceremony *; although marriage in its own nature, and abstracted from the rules and declarations which the Jewissh and Christian scriptures deliver concerning it, be properly a civil contract, and nothing more.

With respect to one main article in matrimonial alliances, a total alteration has taken place in

* It was not however in Christian countries required that marriages should be celebrated in churches till the thirteenth century of the Christian zra. Marriages in *England* during the Usurpation were folemnized before justices of the peace; but for what purpose this novelty was introduced, except to degrade the clergy, does not appear.

Z 2

the

339

- 2

the fashion of the world; the wife now brings money to her husband, whereas anciently the husband paid money to the family of the wife; as was the case among the *Jewish* patriarchs, the *Greeks*, and the old inhabitants of *Germany* *. This alteration has proved of no small advantage to the semale fex; for their importance in point of fortune procures to them, in modern times, that assure to compensate for the inferiority of their strength; but which their personal attractions would not always fecure.

Our bufiness is with marriage as it is established in this country. And in treating thereof, it will be necessary to state the terms of the marriage vow, in order to discover,

1. What duties this vow creates.

2. What a fituation of mind at the time is inconfiftent with it.

3. By what fublequent behaviour it is vio-

The hufband promifes on his part, " to love, " comfort, honour, and keep his wife ;" the

• The ancient Affyrians fold their beauties by an annual auction. The prices were applied by way of portions to the more homely. By this contrivance all of both forts were difposed of in marriage.

wife

. 340

34I

wife on hers, " to obey, ferve, love, honour, " and keep her hufband;" in every variety of health, fortune and condition; and both flipulate " to forlake all others, and to keep only " unto one another, fo long as they both fhall " live." This promife is called the marriage vow; is witneffed before God and the congregation; accompanied with prayers to Almighty God for his bleffing upon it; and attended with fuch circumstances of devotion and folemnity. as place the obligation of it, and the guilt of violating it, nearly upon the fame foundation with that of oaths.

The parties by this vow engage their perfonal fidelity expressly and specifically: they engage likewife to confult and promote each other's happiness; the wife, moreover, promises obedience to her hufband. Nature may have made and left the fexes of the human fpecies nearly equal in their faculties, and perfectly fo in their rights; but to guard against those competitions which equality, or a contefted fuperiority, is almost fure to produce, the Christian scriptures enjoin upon the wife that obedience which the here promifes, and in terms fo peremptory and abfolute, that it . feems to extend to every thing not criminal, or not entirely inconfistent with the woman's happinefs.

MARRIAGE.

pinefs. "Let the wife," fays St. Paul, "be fub-"ject to her own hufband in every thing." "The ornament of a meek and quiet fpirit "(fays the fame Apoftle, fpeaking of the duty "of wives) is in the fight of God of great price." No words ever expressed the true merit of the female character fo well as thefe.

The condition of human life will not permit us to fay, that no one can confcientioufly marry who does not prefer the perfon at the altar to all other men or women in the world: but we can have no difficulty in pronouncing (whether we respect the end of the institution, or the plain terms in which the contract is conceived), that whoever is confcious, at the time of his marriage, of fuch a diflike to the woman he is about to marry, or of fuch a fublishing attachment to fome other woman, that he cannot reafonably, nor does in fact, expect ever to entertain an affection for his future wife, is guilty, when he pronounces the marriage vow, of a direct and deliberate prevarication; and that too, aggravated by the prefence of those ideas of religion, and of the Supreme Being, which the place, the ritual, and the folemnity of the occasion, cannot fail of bringing to his thoughts. The fame likewife of the woman. This charge must be imputed

puted to all, who, from mercenary motives, marry the objects of their averlion and difgult; and likewife to thole who defert, from any motive whatever, the object of their affection, and, without being able to fubdue that affection, marry another.

The crime of falsehood is also incurred by the man, who intends, at the time of his marriage, to commence, renew, or continue a personal commerce with any other woman. And the parity of reason, if a wife be capable of so much guilt, extends to her.

The marriage vow is violated,

I. By adultery.

II. By any behaviour, which, knowingly, renders the life of the other miferable; as defertion, neglect, prodigality, drunkennefs, peevifhnefs, penurioufnefs, jealoufy, or any levity of conduct, which administers occasion of jealoufy.

A late regulation in the law of marriages, in this country, has made the confent of the father, if he be living, of the mother, if the far-

`34**3**

vive

MARRIAGE.

:344

vive the father, and remain unmarried, or of guardians, if both parents be dead, neceffary to the marriage of a perfon under twenty-one years By the Roman law, the confent et avi et of age. patris was required fo long as they lived. In France, the confent of parents is necessary to the marriage of fons, until they attain to thirty years of age; of daughters, until twenty-five. In Holland, for fons till twenty-five; for daughters, till twenty. And this diffinction between the fexes appears to be well founded, for a woman is usually as properly qualified for the domeftic and interior duties of a wife or mother at eighteen, as a man is for the business of the world and the more arduous care of providing for a family, at twenty-one.

The conflictution also of the human species indicates the same distinction*.

• Cum vis prolem procreandi diutiùs hæreat in mare quam in fæmina, populi numerus nequaquam minuetur, fl ferius venerem colere inceperint viri.

CHAP.

DUTY OF PARENTS.

345

CHAP. IX.

OF THE DUTY OF PARENTS.

HAT virtue, which confines its bent ficence within the walls of a man's own house, we have been accustomed to confider is little better than a more refined felfishness; and yet it will be confessed, that the subject and mater of this class of duties are inferior to none, n utility and importance: and where, it may be asked, is virtue the most valuable, but where it does the most good ? What duty is the most dligatory, but that, on which the most depend? And where have we happiness and misery fo much in our power, or liable to be fo affected by our conduct, as in our own families? It vill alfo be acknowledged, that the good order and happiness of the world are better upheld, whilt each man applies himfelf to his own concerns and the care of his own family, to which he is prefent, than if every man, from an excels of niftaken generofity, should leave his own busines, to

to undertake his neighbour's, which he must always manage with lefs knowledge, conveniency, and fuccefs. If, therefore, the low effimation of thefe virtues be well founded, it must be owing not to their inferior importance, but to fome defect or impurity in the motive. And indeed it cannot be denied, but that it is in the power of affociation, fo to unite our children's interest with our own, as that we shall often pursue both from the fame motive, place both in the fame object, and with as little fense of duty in one surfuit as in the other. Where this is the cafe, the judgment above stated is not far from the And fo often as we find a folicitous care tuth. of a man's own family, in a total absence or exteme penury of every other virtue, or interfeing with other duties, or directing its operation folely to the temporal happiness of the childen, placing that happiness and amusement in inlulgence whilft they are young, or in advancemint of fortune when they grow up, there is reson to believe that this is the cafe. ln this way the common opinion concerning thefe dutie may be accounted for and defended. If we lock to the fubiect of them, we perceive them to se indifpenfable : if we regard the motive, we find them often not very meritorious. Wherefore,

fore, although a man feldom rifes high in our efteem who has nothing to recommend him befide the care of his own family, yet we always condémn the neglect of this duty with the utmost feverity; both by reason of the manifest and immediate mifchief which we fee arifing from this neglect, and becaufe it argues a want not only of parental affection, but of those moral principles, which ought to come in aid of that affection, where it is wanting. And if, on the other hand, our praise and effeem of these duties be not proportioned to the good they produce, or to the indignation with which we refent the absence of them, it is for this reason, that virtue is the most valuable, not where it produces the most good, but where it is the most wanted; which is not the cafe here; because its. place is often supplied by inftincts, or involuntary affociations. Neverthelefs, the offices of a parent may be difcharged from a confcioufnefs of their obligation, as well as other duties; and a fense of this obligation is fometimes necessary to affift the ftimulus of parental affection; efpecially in flations of life, in which the wants of a family cannot be fupplied without the continual hard labour of the father, nor without his refraining from many indulgencies and recreations,

creations, which unmarried men of like condition are able to purchase. Where the parental affection is fufficiently ftrong, or has fewer difficulties to furmount, a principle of duty may ftill be wanted to direct and regulate its exertions; for otherwife, it is apt to fpend and wafte itself in a womanish fondness for the person of the child; an improvident attention to his prefent eafe and gratification; a pernicious facility and compliance with his humours; an exceffive and superfluous care to provide the externals of happinefs, with little or no attention to the internal fources of virtue and fatisfaction. Univerfally, wherever a parent's conduct is prompted or directed by a fense of duty, there is fo much virtue.

Having premifed thus much concerning the place which parental duties hold in the fcale of human virtues, we proceed to ftate and explain the duties themfelves.

When moralists tell us, that parents are bound to do all they can for their children, they tell us more than is true; for, at that rate, every expence which might have been spared, and every profit omitted which might have been made, would be criminal.

The duty of parents has its limits, like other dutics;

_348

sluties; and admits, if not of perfect precision, at least of rules definite enough for application.

These rules may be explained under the several heads of maintenance, education, and a reafonable provision for the child's bappines in respect of outward condition.

I. Maintenance.

The wants of children make it neceffary that fome perfon maintain them; and, as no one has. a right to burthen others by his act, it follows, that the parents are bound to undertake this charge themfelves. Befide this plain inference, the affection of parents to their children, if it 'be inftinctive, and the provision which nature has prepared in the perfon of the mother for the fuftentation of the infant, concerning the existence and design of which there can be no doubt, are manifest indications of the divine will.

From hence we learn the guilt of those, who run away from their families, or (what is much the fame), in consequence of idleness or drunkenness, throw them upon a parish; or who leave them destitute at their death, when, by diligence and frugality, they might have laid up a provision for their support: also of those, who refuse or neglect the care of their bastard offspring,

349

aban-

abandoning them to a condition in which they must either perish or become burthensome to others; for the duty of maintenance, like the reason upon which it is founded, extends to bastards, as well as to legitimate children.

The Chriftian feriptures, although they concern themfelves little with maxims of prudence or œconomy, and much lefs authorife worldlymindednefs or avarice, have yet declared in explicit terms their judgment of the obligation of this duty : " If any provide not for his own, ef-" pecially for those of his own household, he " hath denied the faith, and is worfe than an in-" fidel;" (I Tim. v. 8.) he hath difgraced the Chriftian profession, and fallen short in a duty which even infidels acknowledge.

II. Education.

Education, in the most extensive fense of the word, may comprehend every preparation that is made in our youth for the fequel of our lives : and in this fense I use it.

Some fuch preparation is neceffary for children of all conditions, because, without it they must be miferable, and probably will be vicious, when they grow up, either from want of the means of fubfistence, or from want of rational and inoffensive occupation. In civilized life, every thing

thing is effected by art and fkill. Whence a perfon who is provided with neither (and neither can be acquired without exercife and inftruction) will be ufelefs; and he that is ufelefs will generally be at the fame time mifchievous to the community. So that to fend an uneducated child into the world is injurious to the reft of mankind; it is little better than to turn out a mad dog or a wild beaft into the ftreets.

In the inferior classes of the community, this principle condemns the neglect of parents, who do not inure their children betimes to labour and reftraint, by providing them with apprenticeships, services, or other regular employment, but who suffer them to waste their youth in idleness and vagrancy, or to betake themselves to fome lazy, trifling, and precarious calling : for the confequence of having thus tafted the fweets of natural liberty, at an age when their paffion and relish for it are at the highest, is, that they become incapable for the remainder of their lives of continued industry, or of perfevering attention to any thing; fpend their time in a miferable struggle between the importunity of want, and the irkfomenefs of regular application; and are prepared to embrace every expedient, which prefents a hope of fupplying their neceffities without

DUTY OF PARENTS.

35.2

without confining them to the plough, the looid, the fhop, or the counting-houfe.

In the middle orders of fociety, those parents are most reprehensible, who neither qualify their children for a profession, nor enable them to live without one *; and those in the highest, who, from indolence, indulgence, or avarice, omit to procure their children those liberal attainments, which are necessary to make them useful in the stations to which they are defined. A man of fortune, who permits his fon to confume the season of education, in hunting, shooting, or in frequenting horse-races, assertions, defrauds the community of a benefactor, and bequeaths them a nuisance.

Some, though not the fame, preparation for the fequel of their lives, is neceffary for youth of every defeription; and therefore for baftards, as well as for children of better expectations. Confequently, they who leave the education of their baftards to chance, contenting themfelves

* Amongst the Athenians, if the parent did not put his child into a way of getting a livelihood, the child was not bound to make provision for the parent when old and noceffitous.

with

with making provision for their sublistence, defert half their duty.

III. A reasonable provision for the happiness of a child in respect of outward condition, requires three things; a fituation fuited to his habits and reasonable expectations; a competent provision for the exigencies of that fituation; and a probable fecurity for his virtue.

The two first articles will vary with the condition of the parent: A fituation fomewhat approaching in rank and condition to the parent's own; or, where that is not practicable, fimilar to what other parents of like condition provide for their children, bounds the reasonable, as well as (generally speaking) the actual expectations of the child, and therefore contains the extent of the parent's obligation.

Hence, a peafant fatisfies his duty, who fends out his children, properly inftructed for their occupation, to hufbandry, or to any branch of manufacture. Clergymen, lawyers, phyficians, officers in the army or navy, gentlemen poffeffing moderate fortunes of inheritance, or exercifing trade in a large or liberal way, are required by the fame rule to provide their fons with learned profeffions, commiffions in the army or navy, places in public offices, or reputable yoL. I. A a branches

branches of merchandise. Providing a child with a fituation, includes a competent fupply for the expences of that fituation, until the profits of it enable the child to fupport himfelf. Noblemen, and gentlemen of high rank and fortune, may be bound to transmit an inheritance to the representatives of their family, sufficient for their fupport without the aid of a trade or profession, to which there is little hope that a youth, who has been flattered with other expectations, will apply himfelf with diligence or fuccefs. In thefe parts of the world, public opinion has afforted the members of the community into four or five general claffes, each clafs comprising a great variety of employments and professions, the choice of which must be committed to the private difcretion of the parent*. All that can be expected from

* The health and virtue of a child's future life are confiderations fo fuperior to all others, that whatever is likely to have the fmalleft influence upon thefe, deferves the parent's firft attention. In refpect of health, agriculture, and all active, rural, and out-of-door employments, are to be preferred to manufactures, and fedentary occupations. In refpect of virtue, a courfe of dealings in which the advantage is mutual, in which the profit on one fide is connected with the benefit of the other (which is the cafe in trade, and all ferviceable art or labour), is more favourable to the moral character, than callings in

from parents as a *duty*, and therefore the only rule which a moralist can deliver upon the fubject, is, that they endeavour to preferve their children in the *clafs* in which they are born, that is to fay, in which others of fimilar expectations are accustomed to be placed; and that they be careful to confine their hopes and habits of indulgence to objects which will continue to be attainable.

in which one man's gain is another man's lofs; in which what you acquire, is acquired without equivalent, and parted with in diffrefs; as in gaming, and whatever partakes of gaming, and in the predatory profits of war. The following diffinctions also deferve notice. A business, like a retail trade, in which the profits are fmall and frequent, and accruing from the employment, furnishes a moderate and constant engagement to the mind, and fo far fuits better with the general disposition of mankind, than professions which are supported by fixed falaries, as stations in the church, army, navy, revenue, public offices, &c. or wherein the profits are made in large fums, by a few great concerns, or fortunate adventures : as in many branches of wholefale and foreign merchandife, in which the occupation is neither fo conftant, nor the activity fo kept alive by immediate encouragement. For fecurity, manual arts exceed merchandife; and fuch as fupply the wants of mankind are better than those which minister to their pleasure. Situations which promife an early fettlement in marriage, are on many accounts to be cholen before those which require a longer waiting for a larger establishment.

А а 2

It is an ill-judged thrift in fome rich parents, to bring up their fons to mean employments, for the fake of faving the charge of a more expensive education: for thefe fons, when they become mafters of their liberty and fortune, will hardly continue in occupations by which they think themfelves degraded, and are feldom qualified for any thing better.

An attention, in the first place, to the exigencies of the children's respective conditions in the world; and a regard, in the fecond place, to their reasonable expectations, always postponing the expectations to the exigencies when both cannot be fatisfied, ought to guide parents in the disposal of their fortunes after their death. And these exigencies and expectations must be meafured by the standard which custom has established; for there is a certain appearance, attendance, establishment, and mode of living, which cuftom has annexed to the feveral ranks and orders of civil life (and which compose what is called *decency*), together with a certain fociety. and particular pleafures belonging to each clafs: and a young perfon, who is withheld from fharing in these for want of fortune, can scarcely be faid to have a fair chance for happines; the indignity and mortification of fuch a feclufion being

being what few tempers can bear, or bear with contentment. And as to the fecond confideration, of what a child may reafonably expect from his parent, he will expect what he fees all or most others in fimilar circumstances receive; and we can hardly call expectations unreafonable, which it is impossible to supprefs.

By virtue of this rule, a parent is justified in making a difference between his children according as they stand in greater or less need of the assistance of his fortune, in confequence of the difference of their age or fex, or of the statutions in which they are placed, or the various fuccess which they have met with.

On account of the few lucrative employments which are left to the female fex, and by confequence the little opportunity they have of adding to their income, daughters ought to be the particular objects of a parent's care and forefight : and as an option of marriage, from which they can reafonably expect happines, is not prefented to every woman who deferves it, especially in times in which a licentious celibacy is in fashion with the men, a father should endeavour to enable his daughters to lead a single life with independency and decorum, even though he subtract more for that purpose from the portions

A a 3

DUTY OF PARENTS.

of his fons, than is agreeable to modern ulage, or than they expect.

But when the exigencies of their feveral fituations are provided for, and not before, a parent ought to admit the fecond confideration, the fatisfaction of his children's expectations; and upon that principle to prefer the eldest fon to the reft, and fons to daughters : which conflitutes the right, and the whole right, of primogeniture, as well as the only reafon for the preference of one fex to the other. The preference, indeed, of the first-born has one public good effect, that if the effate were divided equally amongst the fons, it would probably make them all idle; whereas, by the prefent rule of defcent, it makes only one fo: which is the lefs evil of the two. And it must farther be observed on the part of sons, that if the reft of the community make it a rule to prefer fons to daughters, an individual of that community ought to guide himfelf by the fame rule, upon principles of mere equality. For, as the fon fuffers by the rule in the fortune he may expect in marriage, it is but reafonable that he. should receive the advantage of it in his own inheritance. Indeed, whatever the rule be, as to the preference of one fex to the other, marriage reftores the equality. And as money is generally

generally more convertible to profit, and more likely to promote industry, in the hands of men than of women, the custom of this country may properly be complied with, when it does not interfere with the weightier reason explained in the last paragraph.

The point of the children's actual expectations, together with the expediency of fubjecting the illicit commerce of the fexes to every difcouragement which it can receive, makes the difference between the claims of legitimate children and of baftards. But neither reafon will in any cafe juftify the leaving of baftards to the world, without provision, education, or profeffion; or, what is more cruel, without the means of continuing in the fituation to which the parent has introduced them; which laft, is to leave them to inevitable mifery.

After the first requisite, namely, a provision for the exigencies of his fituation, is fatisfied, a parent may diminish a child's portion, in order to punish any flagrant crime, or to punish conturnacy and want of filial duty in instances not otherwise criminal: for a child who is confcious of bad behaviour, or of contempt of his parent's will and happines, cannot reasonably expect the fame instances of his munificence.

A child's

A child's vices may be of that fort, and his vicious habits fo incorrigible, as to afford much the fame reafon for believing that he will wafte or mifemploy the fortune put into his power, as if he were mad or idiotifh, in which cafe a parent may treat him as a madman or an idiot; that is, may deem it fufficient to provide for his fupport, by an annuity equal to his wants and innocent enjoyments, and which he may be reftrained from alienating. This feems to be the only cafe in which a difinherifon, nearly abfolute, is juftifiable.

Let not a father hope to excuse an inofficious disposition of his fortune, by alleging, that "every man may do what he will with his "own." All the truth which this expression contains, is, that his diferetion is under no control of law; and that his will, however capricious, will be valid. This by no means abfolves his confiience from the obligations of a parent, or imports that he may neglect, without injustice, the several wants and expectations of his family, in order to gratify a whim or a pique, or indulge a preference founded in no reasonable distinction of merit or situation. Although in his intercourfe with his family, and in the leffer endearments of domestic life, a parent

rent may not always refift his partiality to a favourite child (which, however, fhould be both avoided and concealed, as oftentimes productive of lafting jealoufies and difcontents); yet, when he fits down to make his will, these tenderness must give place to more manly deliberations.

A father of a family is bound to adjust his acconomy with a view to thefe demands upon his fortune; and until a fufficiency for these ends is acquired, or in due time probably will be acquired (for in human affairs probability ought to content us), frugality and exertions of industry are duties. He is also justified in the declining expensive liberality; for, to take from those who want, in order to give to those who want, adds nothing to the flock of public happinefs. Thus far, therefore, and no farther, the plea of " children," of " large families," " charity begins at home," &c. is an excufe for parlimony, and an answer to those who folicit our bounty. Beyond this point, as the use of riches becomes lefs, the defire of laying up fhould abate proportionably. The truth is, our children gain not fo much as we imagine, in the chance of this world's happiness, or even of its external profperity, by fetting out in it with arge capitals. Of those who have died rich, a great a great part began with little. And, in respect of enjoyment, there is no comparison between a fortune, which a man acquires by well applied industry, or by a feries of fuccess in his business, and one found in his possible possible for another.

A principal part of a parent's duty is ftill behind, viz. the using of proper precautions and expedients, in order to form and preferve his children's virtue.

To us, who believe that in one ftage or other of our existence virtue will conduct to happinefs, and vice terminate in mifery; and who obferve withal, that men's virtues and vices are, to a certain degree, produced or affected by the management of their youth, and the fituations in which they are placed; to all who attend to thefe reasons, the obligation to confult a child's virtue will appear to differ in nothing from that by which the parent is bound to provide for his maintenance or fortune. The child's interest is concerned in the one means of happiness as well as in the other; and both means are equally, and almost exclusively, in the parent's power.

For this purpose the first point to be endeavoured after is, to impress upon children the idea of *accountablenes*, that is, to accustom them to

3

look

look forward to the confequences of their actions in another world; which can only be brought about by the parents visibly acting with a view to these consequences themselves. Parents, to do them justice, are feldom sparing in lessons of virtue and religion; in admonitions which coft little, and which profit lefs; whilft their example exhibits a continual contradiction of what they teach. A father, for inftance, will, with much folemnity and apparent earneftnefs, warn his fon against idleness, excess in drinking, debauchery, and extravagance, who himfelf loiters about all day without employment; comes home every night drunk; is made infamous in his neighbourhood by fome profligate connection; and waftes the fortune which should support or remain a provision for his family in riot, or luxury, or oftentation. Or he will difcourfe gravely before his children of the obligation and importance of revealed religion, whilft they fee the most frivolous and oftentimes feigned excufes detain him from its reasonable and solemn ordinances. Or he will fet before them, perhaps, the fupreme and tremendous authority of Almighty God; that fuch a being ought not to be named, or even thought upon, without fentiments of profound awe and veneration. This

may

may be the lecture he delivers to his family one hour; when the next, if an occasion arife to excite his anger, his mirth, or his furprife, they will hear him treat the name of the Deity with the most irreverent profanation, and sport with the terms and denunciations of the Christian religion, as if they were the language of fome ridiculous and long exploded fuperflition. Now: even a child is not to be imposed upon by fuch mockery. He fees through the grimace of this counterfeited concern for virtue. He difcovers that his parent is acting a part; and receives his admonitions as he would hear the fame maxims from the mouth of a player. And when once this opinion has taken pofferfion of the child's mind, it has a fatal effect upon the parent's influence in all fubjects; even in those, in which he himfelf may be fincere and convinced. Whereas a filent, but observable regard to the duties of religion, in the parent's own behaviour, will take a fure and gradual hold of the child's disposition, much beyond formal reproofs and chidings, which, being generally prompted by fome prefent provocation, discover more of anger than of principle, and are always received with a temporary alienation and difguft.

A good parent's first care is to be virtuous himself;

himfelf; his fecond, to make his virtues as eafy and engaging to those about him, as their nature will admit. Virtue itself offends, when coupled with forbidding manners. And fome virtues may be urged to fuch excess, or brought forward fo unfeafonably, as to difcourage and repel those, who observe and who are acted upon by them, instead of exciting an inclination to imitate and adopt them. Young minds are particularly liable to these unfortunate impressions. For instance, if a father's œconomy degenerate into a minute and teafing parfimony, it is odds but that the fon, who has fuffered under it, fet out a fworn enemy to all rules of order and frugality. If a father's piety be morofe, rigorous, and tinged with melancholy, perpetually breaking in upon the recreation of his family, and furfeiting them with the language of religion upon all occasions, there is danger left the fon carry from home with him a fettled prejudice against feriousness and religion, as inconfiftent with every plan of a pleafurable life; and turn out, when he mixes with the world, a character of levity or diffoluteness.

Something likewife may be done towards the correcting or improving of those early inclinations 366

tions which children difcover, by difpoing them into fituations the leaft dangerous to their particular characters. Thus, I would make choice of a retired life for young perfons addicted to licentious pleasures; of private stations for the proud and paffionate; of liberal professions, and a town life, for the mercenary and fottifh : and not, according to the general practice of parents, fend diffolute youths into the army; penurious tempers to trade; or make a crafty lad an attorney; or flatter a vain and haughty temper with elevated names, or fituations, or callings, to which the fashion of the world has annexed precedency and diffinction, but in which his disposition, without at all promoting his fuccefs, will ferve both to multiply and exasperate his disappointments. In the same way, that is, with a view to the particular frame and tendency of the pupil's character, I would make choice of a public or private education. The referved, timid, and indolent will have their faculties called forth and their nerves invigorated by a public education. Youths of strong spirits and passions will be fafer in a private education. At our public schools, as far as I have observed, more literature

rature is acquired, and more vice: quick parts are cultivated, flow ones are neglected. Under private tuition, a moderate proficiency in juvenile learning is feldom exceeded, but with more certainty attained.

CHAP.

RIGHT'S OF PARENTS.

CHAP. X.

THE RIGHTS OF PARENTS.

THE Rights of Parents refult from their duties. If it be the duty of a parent to educate his children, to form them for a life of usefulness and virtue, to provide for them fituations needful for their fublithence and fuited to their circumstances, and to prepare them for those fituations; he has a right to fuch authority, and in support of that authority to exercife fuch discipline, as may be necessary for these purposes. The law of nature acknowledges no other foundation of a parent's right over his children, befide his duty towards them (I fpeak now of fuch rights as may be enforced by coercion). This relation confers no property in their perfons, or natural dominion over them, as is commonly fuppofed.

Since it is, in general, neceffary to determine the deftination of children, before they are capable of judging of their own happinels, parents have a right to elect professions for them.

Aş

As the mother herself owes obedience to the father, her authority must fubmit to his. In a competition, therefore, of commands, the father is to be obeyed. In case of the death of either, the authority, as well as duty, of both parents devolves upon the furvivor.

These rights, always following the duty, belong likewise to guardians; and so much of them as is delegated by the parents or guardians, belongs to tutors, school-masters, &c.

From this principle, " that the rights of pa-" rents refult from their duty," it follows, that parents have no natural right over the lives of their children, as was abfurdly allowed to *Roman* fathers; nor any to exercise unprofitable severitics; nor to command the commission of crimes: for these rights can never be wanted for the purposes of a parent's duty.

Nor, for the fame reason, have parents any right to fell their children into flavery. Upon which, by the way, we may observe, that the children of flaves are not, by the law of Nature, born flaves; for, as the master's right is derived to him through the parent, it can never be greater than the parent's own.

Hence also it appears, that parents not only pervert, but exceed their just authority, when they vol. 1. B b confult

RIGHTS OF PARENTS.

confult their own ambition, interest, or prejudice, at the manifest expence of their children's happinefs. Of which abufe of parental power, the following are inftances; the flutting up of daughters and younger fons in nunneries and monasteries, in order to preferve entire the estate and dignity of the family; or the using of any arts, either of kindness or unkindness, to induce them to make choice of this way of life themfelves; or, in countries where the clergy are prohibited from marriage, putting fons into the church for the fame end, who are never likely either to do or receive any good in it, fufficient to compenfate for this facrifice; the urging of children to marriages from which they are averfe, with the view of exalting or enriching the family, or for the fake of connecting eftates, parties, or interefts; or the oppofing of a marriage, in which the child would probably find his happinefs. from a motive of pride or avarice, of family hoftility, or perfonal pique.

CHAP.

DUTY OF CHILDREN.

CHAP. XI.

THE DUTY OF CHILDREN.

THE Duty of Children may be confidered, I. During childhood.

II. After they have attained to manhood, but continue in their father's family.

III. After they have attained to manhood, and have left their father's family.

I. During childhood.

Children must be supposed to have attained to fome degree of diferentian before they are capable of any duty. There is an interval of eight or nine years between the dawning and the maturity of reason, in which it is necessary to subject the inclination of children to many restraints, and direct their application to many employments, of the tendency and use of which they cannot judge; for which cause, the submission of children during this period must be ready and implicit, with an exception, however, of any B b 2 manifest

DUTY OF CHILDREN.

372

manifest crime, which may be commanded them.

II. After they have attained to manhood, but continue in their father's family.

If children, when they are grown up, voluntarily continue members of their father's family, they are bound, befide the general duty of gratitude to their parents, to obferve fuch regulations of the family as the father fhall appoint; contribute their labour to its fupport, if required; and confine themfelves to fuch expences as he fhall allow. The obligation would be the fame, if they were admitted into any other family, or received fupport from any other hand.

III. After they have attained to manhood, and have left their father's family.

In this flate of the relation, the duty to parents is fimply the duty of gratitude; not different, *in kind*, from that which we owe to any other benefactor; *in degree*, just fo much exceeding other obligations, by how much a parent has been a greater benefactor than any other friend. The fervices and attentions, by which filial gratitude may be teffified, can be comprifed within no enumeration. It will shew itself in compliances with the will of the parents, however contrary to the child's own taste or judgment,

ment, provided it be neither criminal, nor totally inconfistent with his happiness; in a confant endeavour to promote their enjoyments, prevent their wifhes, and foften their anxieties, in fmall matters as well as in great; in affifting them in their business; in contributing to their fupport, eafe, or better accommodation, when their circumstances require it; in affording them our company, in preference to more amuling engagements; in waiting upon their fickness or decrepitude; in bearing with the infirmities of their health or temper, with the previshness and complaints, the unfashionable, negligent, austere manners, and offenfive habits, which often attend upon advanced years: for where must old age find indulgence, if it do not meet with it in the piety and partiality of children?

The most ferious contentions between parents and their children, are those commonly which relate to marriage, or to the choice of a profession.

A parent has, in no cafe, a right to deftroy his child's happinefs. If it be true, therefore, that there exift fuch perfonal and exclusive attachments between individuals of different fexes, that the posseffion of a particular man or woman in marriage be really necessfary for the child's

Bb 3

happinels ;

happines; or if it be true, that an aversion te a particular profession may be involuntary and unconquerable; then it will follow, that parents, where this is the case, ought not to urge their authority, and that the child is not bound to obey it.

The point is, to discover how far, in any particular instance, this is the cafe. Whether the fondness of lovers ever continues with such intenfity, and fo long, that the fuccefs of their defires constitutes, or the disappointment affects, any confiderable portion of their happinefs, compared with that of their whole life, it is difficult to determine; but there can be no difficulty in pronouncing, that not one half of those attachments which young people conceive with fo much hafte and paffion, are of this fort. I believe it also to be true, that there are few averfions to a profession, which resolution, perfeyerance, activity in going about the duty of it, and, above all, despair of changing, will not fubdue: yet there are fome fuch. Wherefore, a child who respects his parents' judgment, and is, as he ought to be, tender of their happines, owes, at least, fo much deference to their will, as to try fairly and faithfully, in one cafe, whether time and absence will not cool an affection

tion which they difapprove; and, in the other, whether a longer continuance in the profession which they have chosen for him, may not reconcile him to it. The whole depends upon the experiment being made on the child's part with fincerity, and not merely with a defign of compaffing his purpose at last, by means of a fimulated and temporary compliance. It is the nature of love and hatred, and of all violent affections, to delude the mind with a perfuasion, that we shall always continue to feel them, as we feel them at prefent : we cannot conceive that they will either change or ceafe. Experience of fimilar or greater changes in ourfelves, or a habit of giving credit to what our parents, or tutors, or books teach us, may control this perfuafion: otherwife it renders youth very untractable; for they fee clearly and truly that it is impoffible they fhould be happy under the circumstances proposed to them, in their present state of mind. After a fincere but ineffectual endeavour, by the child, to accommodate his inclination to his parent's pleasure, he ought not to suffer in his me rent's affection, or in his fortunes. The parent, when he has reafonable proof of this, fhould acquiefce: at all events, the child is then at liberty to provide for his own happinefs.

Parents

DUTY OF CHILDREN.

Parents have no right to urge their children upon marriages to which they are averfe; nor ought, in any fhape, to refent the children's difobedience to fuch commands. This is a different cafe from oppofing a match of inclination, becaufe the child's mifery is a much more probable confequence; it being eafier to live without a perfon that we love, than with one whom we hate. Add to this, that compulsion in marriage neceffarily leads to prevarication; as the reluctant party promifes an affection, which neither exists, nor is expected to take place; and parental, like all human authority, ceases at the point where obedience becomes criminal.

In the above-mentioned, and in all contefts between parents and children, it is the parent's duty to reprefent to the child the confequences of his conduct; and it will be found his beft policy to reprefent them with fidelity. It is usual for parents to exaggerate these descriptions beyond probability, and by exaggeration to lose all credit with their children; thus, in a great mea-

Parents are forbidden to interfere, where a truft is reposed perfonally in the fon; and where, confequently, the fon was expected, and by virtue of that expectation is obliged, to pursue his own

own judgment, and not that of any other : as is the cafe with judicial magistrates in the execution of their office; with members of the legislature in their votes; with electors, where preference is to be given to certain preferibed qualifications. The fon may affish his own judgment by the advice of his father, or of any one whom he chooses to confult : but his own judgment, whether it proceed upon knowledge or authority, ought finally to determine his conduct.

The duty of children to their parents was thought worthy to be made the fubject of one of the ten commandments; and, as fuch, is recognized by *Chrift*, together with the reft of the moral precepts of the decalogue, in various places of the gospel.

The fame divine teacher's fentiments concerning the relief of indigent parents, appear fufficiently from that manly and deferved indignation, with which he reprehended the wretched cafuiftry of the *fewi/b* expositors, who, under the name of a tradition, had contrived a method of evading this duty, by converting, or pretending to convert, to the treasury of the temple, fo much of their property, as their diftreffed parent might be entitled by their law to demand.

3

Agree-

Agreeably to this law of Nature and Christianity, children are, by the law of *England*, bound to fupport, as well their immediate parents, as their grandfather and grandmother, or remoter ancestors, who stand in need of support.

Obedience to parents is enjoined by St. Paul to the Epbefians: "Children, obey your parents in "the Lord, for this is right;" and to the Coloffians: "Children, obey your parents in all "things, for this is well pleafing unto the "Lord*."

By the *Jewi/b* law, difobedience to parents was in fome extreme cafes capital. Deut. xxi. 18.

* Upon which two phrases, "this is right," and "for "this is well pleasing unto the Lord," being used by St. *Paul* in a sense perfectly parallel, we may observe, that moral rectitude, and conformity to the divine will, were, in his apprehension, the same.

End of the First Volume. DEC 2 6 1917