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LONDON:
TO
the right reverend

# EDMUND LAW, D.D. 

> LORD BISHOP OF CARLISLE.

MY LORD,
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{AD}}$ the obligations which I owe to your Lordhhip's kindnefs been much lefs, or much fewer, than they are; had perfonal gratitude left any place in my mind for deliberation or forenquiry; in felecting a name which every reader might confefs to be prefixed with propriety to a work, that, in many of its parts, bears no obfcure relation to the general principles of natural and revealed religion, I fhould
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have found myfelf directed by many confiderations to that of the Bifhop of Carlifle. A long life fpent in the moft interefting of all human purfuits, the inveftigation of moral and religious truth, in conftant and unwearied endeavours to advance their difcovery, communication and fuccefs of both; a life fo occupied, and arrived at that period which renders cvery life venerable, commands refpect by a title which no virtuous mind will difpute, which no mind fenfible of the importance of thefe fudies to the fupreme concernments of mankind will not rejoice to fee acknowledged. Whatever difference, or whatever oppofition, fome who perufe your Lordfhip's.writings may perceive between your conclufions

## [ vii ]

clufions and their own, the good and wife of all perfuafions will revere that induftry, which has for its object the illuftration or defence of our common Chriftianity. Your Lordfhip's refearches have never loft fight of one purpofe, namely, to recover the fimplicity of the gofpel from beneath that load of unauthorized additions, which the ignorance of fome ages, and. the learning of others, the fuperfition of weak, and the craft of defigning men, have (unhappily for its intereft) heaped upon it. And this purpofe, I am convinced, was dictated by the pureft motive; by a firm, and I think a juft opinion, that whatever renders religion more rational, renders it more credible; that he who, by a diliA 4 gent
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gent and faithful examination of the original records, difmiffes from the fyltem one article which contradicts the apprehenfion, the experience, or the reafoning of mankind, does more towards recommending the belief, and; with the belief, the influence of Chrittianity, to the undertandings and confciences of ferious enquirers, and through them to univerfal reception and authority, than can be effected by a thoufand contenders for creeds and ordinances of human eftablifhment.

When the doctrine of Tranfubftantiation had taken poffeffion of the Chriftian world, it was not without the induftry of learned men that it came at length to be difcovered, that
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no fuch doctrine was contained in the New Teftament. But had thofe excellent perfons done nothing more by their difcovery, than abolifhed an innocent fuperflition, or changed fome directions in the ceremonial of public worfhip, they had merited little of that veneration, with which the gratitude of Proteftant churches remembers their fervices. What they did for mankind was this: they exonerated Chriftianity of a weight which funk it. If indolence or timidity had checked thefe exertions, or fuppreffed the fruit and publication of thefe enquiries, is it too much to affirm, that infidelity would at this day have been univerfal?

I do not mean, my Lord, by the mention
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mention of this example, to infinuate, that any popular opinion which your Lordfhip may have encountered, ought to be compared with tranfubftantiation, or that the affurance with which we reject that extravagant abfurdity is attainable in the controverfies in which your Lordhhip has been engaged: but I mean, by calling to mind thofe great reformers of the public faith, to obferve, or rather to exprefs my own perfuafion, that to reftore the purity, is moft effectually to promote the progrefs of Chriftianity; and thàt the fame virtuous motive which hath fanctified their labours, fuggefted yours. At a time when fome men appear not to perceive any good, and others to fufpect
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an evil tendency, in that firit of examination and refearch which is gone forth in Chriftian countries, this teftimony is become due not only to the probity of your Lordfhip's views, but to the general caufe of intellectual and religious liberty.

That your Lordfhip's life may be prolonged in health and honour, that it may continue to afford an inftructive proof how ferene and eafy old age can be made by the memory of important and well-intended labours, by the poffeffion of public and deferved efteem, by the prefence of many grateful relatives; above all, by the refources of religion, by an unfhaken confidence in the defigns of a " faithful Creator," and a fettled
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truft in the truth and in the promifes of Chriftianity, is the fervent prayer of, my Lord,

Your Lordfhip's dutiful,
Moft obliged, And moft devoted fervant, WILLIAM PALEY.

Carlifle,
Feb. 10, 1785.

## PREFAC.

IN the treatifes that I have met with upon the fubject of morals, I appear to myfelf to have remarked the following imperfec-tions-either that the principle was erroneous, or that it was indiftinctly explained, or that the rules deduced from it were not fufficiently adapted to real life and to actual fituations. The writings of Grotius, and the larger work of Puffendorff, are of too forenfic a caft, too much mixed up with civil law and with the jurifpruderce of Germany, to anfwer precifely the defign of a Cyfem of ethics-the direction of private confciences
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in the general conduct of human life. Perhaps, indeed, they are not to be regarded as inflitutes of morality calculated to inftruct an individual in his duty, fo much as a fpecies of law books and law authorities, fuited to the practice of thofe courts of juftice, whofe decifions are regulated by general principles of natural equity, in conjunction with the maxims of the Roman code: of which kind, I underftand, there are many upon the Continent. To which may be added, concerning both thefe authors, that they are more occupied in defcribing the rights and ufages of independent communities, than is neceffary in a work which profeffes, not to adjult the correfpondence of nations, but to dclineate the offices of domeftic life. The profufion alfo of claffical quotations with which many of their pages abound, feems to me a fault from which it will not be eafy to excufe them. If thefe extracts be intended as decorations of flyle, the compofition is overloaded with orna-
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ments of one kind. 'To any thing more than ornament they can make no claim. To propofe them as ferious arguments; gravely to attempt to eftablifh or fortify a moral duty by the teftimony of a Greek or Roman poet, is to trifle with the attention of the reader, or rather to take it off from all jult principles of reafoning in morals.

Of our own writers in this branch of philofophy, I find none that I think perfectly free from the three objections which I have ftated. There is likewife a fourth property obfervable almoft in all of them, namely, that they divide too much of the law of nature from the precepts of revelation; fome authors induftrioufly declining the mention of fcripture authorities, as belonging to a different province; and others referving them for a feparate volume: which appears to me much the fame defect, as if a commentator on the laws of England fhould content himfelf with ftating upon each head the common law of the land, without taking any no-
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tice of acts of parliament; or fhould choofe to give his readers the common law in one ${ }^{*}$ book, and the flatute law in another. "When " the obligations of morality are taught," fays a pious and celebrated writer, "let the " fanctions of Chriflianity never be forgotten: " by which it will be fhewn that they give " ftrength and luftre to each other : religion " will appear to be the voice of reafon, and " morality will be the will of God*."
The manner alfo in which modern writers have treated of fubjects of morality, is in my judgment liable to much exception. It has become of late a fafhion to deliver moral inflitutes in ftrings or feries of detached propofitions, without fubjoining a continued argument or regulardiffertation to any of them. This fententious, apothegmatizing flyle. by crowding propofitions and paragraphs too faft upon the mind, and by carrying the eye of the reader from fubject to fubject in too quick a fucceffion, gains not a fufficient hold
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upon the attention, to leave either the memory furnifhed, or the undertanding fatisfied. However ufeful a fyllabus of topics or a feries of propofitions may be in the hands of a lecturer, or as a guide to a ftudent, who is fuppofed to confult other books, or to inftitute upon each fubject refearches of his own, the method is by no means convenient for ordinary readers; becaufe few readers are fuch thinkers as to want only a hint to fet their thoughts at work upon; or fuch as will paule and tarry at every propofition, till they have traced out its dependency, proof, relation, and confequences, before they permit themfelves to ftep on to another. A refpectable writer of this clafs * has comprifed his doctrine of flavery in the the three following propofitions;
" No one is born a llave, becaufe every one " is born with all his original rights."
" No one can become a llave, becaufe no
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"One from being $a$ perfon can, in the lant "g guage of the Roman law, become a thiage " or fubject of property."
"The fuppofed property of the mafter in " the flave, therefore, is matter of ufurpation, " nat of right."

It may be polfible to deduce from thefe few adages fuch a theory of the primitive rights of human nature, as will evince the illegality of flavery; but furely an author requires too much of his reader, when he expects him to make thefe deduetions for himfelf; or to fupply, perhaps from fome remote chapter of the fame treatife, the feveral proofs and explanations which are neceffary to render the meaning and truth of thefe affertions intelligible.

There is a fault, the oppofite of this, which fome moralifts who have adopted a different, and I think a beter plan of compoftion, have not adways been carefal to avoid; namely, the dwelling upon verbal and elementary diftinctions with a labour and pro-

## [ xis ]

lixity proportioned much mone to the fubthety of the queftion, than to its value and importance in the profecution of the fubject. A writer upon the law of nature*, whofe explications in every part of philofophy, though always diffufe, are often very fucceffful, has employed three long fections in endeavouring to prove that " permififions are not laws." The dilculfion of this controverfy, hawever effential it might be to dialectic precifion, was certainly not neceffary to the progrefs of a work defigned to deferibe the duties and obligations of civil life. The reader becomes impatient when he is detained by difquifitions which have no other object than the fettling of terms and phrafes; and; what is worfe, they for whofe ufe fuch books are chiefly intended, will not be perfuaded to read them at all.
1 am led to propole thete ftricures, not by any propenfity to depreciate the labours
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of my predeceffors, much lefs to invite a comparifon between the merits of their performances and my own; but folely by the confideration, that when a writer offers a book to the public, upon a fubject on which the public are already in poffeffion of many others, he is bound by a kind of literary juftice to inform his readers, diftinctly and fpecifically, what it is he profeffes to fupply, and what he expects to improve. The imperfections above enumerated are thofe which I have endeavoured to avoid or remedy. Of the execution the reader mult judge : but this was the defign.

- Concerning the jrinciple of morals it would be premature to fpeak; but concerning the manner of unfolding and explaining that principle, I have fomewhat which I wifh to be remarked. An experience of nine years in the office of a public tutor in one of the univerfities, and in that department of edu-: cation to which thefe chapters relate, afforded me frequent occafions to obferve, that, in
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difcourfing to young minds upon topics of morally, it required much more pains to make thèm perceive the difficulty, than to underfand the folution; that, unlefs the fubject was fo drawn up to a point, as to exhibit the full force of an objection, or the exact place of a doubt, before any explanation was entered upon-in other words, unlefs fome curiofity was excited before it was attempted to be fatisfied, the labour of the teacher was loft. When information was not defired, it was feldom, I found, retained. I have made this obfervation my guide in the following work : that is, upon each occafion I have endeavoured, before I fuffered myfelf to proceed in the difquifition, to put the reader in complete poffeffion of the'queftion; and to do it in the way that I'thought moft likely. to ftir up his own doubts and folicitude. about it.

In purfuing the principle of morals through the detail of cafes to which it is applicable, I have had in view to accommodate both the
[ xxii ]
choice of the fubjects, and the manner of handling them, to the fituations which arife in the life of an inhabitant of this country, in thefe timres. This is the thing that Ithink to be principally wanting in former treatifes; and perhaps the chief advantage which will be found in mine. I haye examined no doubts, I have difcuffed no oblcurities, I have encountered no errors, I have adverted to no controverfies, but what I have feen actually to exift. If fome of the queftions treated of appear to a more inftructed reader. minute or puerile, I defire fuch reader to be affured that $I$ have found them occafions of difficulty to young minds; and what I have obferved in young minds, I flould expect ta meet with in all who approach thefe fubjects. for the firft time. " Upon each article of hut man duty. Thave combined with the conclufions of reafon the declarations of fcripture, When they are to be had, as of co-ordinate authority, and as both terminating in the fame fantions,
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In the manner of the work, I have endeavoured fo to attemper the oppofite plans above animadverted upon, as that the reader may not accule me either of too much hafte, or too much delay. I have beftowed upon each fubject enough of differtation to give a body and fubflance to the chapter in which it is treated of, as well as coherence and perfpicuity: on the other hand, I have feldom, I hope, exercifed the patience of the reader by the length and prolixity of my effays, or difappointed that patience at laft by the tenuity and unimportance of the conclufion.

There are two particulars in the following work for which it may be thought neceffary that I hoould offer \{ame excufe. The firt of which is', that I have fcarcely ever referred to any:other book, or mentioned the pame of tho suthar: whofe thoughts, and fometimes, poffibly, whofe very expreffions I shave adopted. . My reethod of writing has conflanthy:been this, tho extract what, I could from my own ftores and my own.refections
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in the firlt place ; to put down that ; and afterwards to confult upon each fubject fuch readings as fell in my way: which order, I am convinced; is the onty one whereby any perfon can keep his thoughts from fliding into other men's trains. :. The effect of fuch a plan upon the production itfelf will be, that, whilf fome parts in matter or manner may be new, others will be little elle than a repetition of the old. I make no pretenfions to perfect originality: I claim to be fomething more than a mere compiler. Much no doubt is borrowed; but the fact is, that the notes for this work having been prepared for fome years, and fuch things having been from time to time inferted in them as appeared to me wortí preferwing, and fuch infertions made commonly without the name of the author from whom they were taken, I fhould, at this times have'found a difficulty in recovering thefe names with fufficient exactnefs to be able to render to every man his own. Nof, te fpeak. the truth, did it appeap to me
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worth while to repeat the, fearch merely for this purpofe. When authorities are relied upon, names mult be produced: when a difcovery has been made in fcience, itt may be unjuft to borrow the invention without acknowledging the author. But in an argumentative treatife, and upon a fubject which allows no place for difcovery or invention, properly fo called; and in which all that can belong to a writer is his mode of reafoning, or his judgment of probabilities; I hould have thought it fuperfluous, had it been eafier to me than it was, to have interrupted my text, or crowded my margin, with references to every author whofe fentiments. I have made ufe of. There is, however, one work to whichil owe fo much, that it would be ungrateful not to confefs the obligation: I mean the writings of the late Abraham Tucker, Efq. part of which:were publifhed by himfelf; and the remainder fince his death, under the tide of " The Light of Nature purfued, by Edwand Seardh, Efq.". $\ddagger$ have found in
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this writer more original thinking and obfervation upon the feveral fubjects that he has taken in hand, than in any other, not to fay, than in all others put together. His talent allo for illuftration is unrivalled. But his thoughts are diffufed through a long, variious, and irregular work. I hall account it no mean praife, if I have been fometimes able to difpofe into method; to collect into heads and articles, or to exhibit in more compact and tangible maffes, what, in that otherwife excellent performance, is fpread over too much furface.

The next circumftance for which fome apology may be expected, is the joining of moral and political philofophy together, or the addition of a book of politics to a fyftem of ethics. Againft this objection, if it be made one, I might defend myfelf by the example of inany approved writers, who have treated de offiiis hominis at civis, or, as fome choofe to exprefs it, "of the rights and " obligations of mant, in his individual and
" focial
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"focial capacity," in the fame book. I might allege, alfo, that the part a member of the commonwealth Chall take in political contentions, the vote he fhall give, the coun; fels he fhall approve, the fupport he fhall af, ford, or the oppofition he fhall make, to ny fy ftem of public meafures-is as much a gueftion of perfonal duty, as much concerns the confcience of the individual who deliberates, as the determination of any doubt which relates to the conduct of private life ; that confequently political philofophy is, properly fpeaking, a continuation of moral philofophy ; or rather indeed a part of it, fuppofing moral philofophy to have for its aim the information of the human confcience in every deliberation that is likely to come before it. I might avail myfelf of thefe excufes, if I wanted them; but the vindication upon which I rely is the following. In flating the principle of morals, the reader will obferve that I have employed fome induftry in axplaining the theory, and fhew-
ing the neceffity of gevieral rules; without the full and conftant confideration of which, I am perfuaded that no fyftem of moral philofophy can be fatisfactory or confifteft.' This foundation being laid, or rather this habit being formed, the difcuffion of political fubjects, to which, more than' to almoft any other, general rules are applicable, Bet came clear and ealy. Whereas, had thele' topics been affigned to a diftinct work, it would have been neceffary to have repeated the fame rudiments, to have eftabliffedover again the fame principles, as thofe which we had already exemplified, and rendered familiar to the reader, in the former parts of this. In a word, if there appear to any one too great a diverfity; or too wide a diflance, between the fubjects treated of in the courle of the prefent volume, let him be reminded,' that the doctrine of general rules pervades and conne $\{$ ts the whole.

It may not be improper, however, to admonilh the reader, that, under the name of
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politics, he is not to look for thofe occafional controverfies, which the occurrences of the prefent day, or any temporary fituation of public affairs, may excite; and moft of which, if not beneath the dignity, it is befide the purpofe of a philofophical inflitution to ad vert to. He will perceive that the feveral difquifitions are framed with a reference to the condition of this country, and of this government : but it feemed to me to belong to the defign of a work like the following, not fo much to difculs each altercated point with the particularity of a political pamphlet upon the fubject, as to deliver thofe univerfal principles, and to exhibit that mode and: train of reafoning in politics, by the due application of which every man might be enabled to attain to jult conclufions of his own.

I am not ignorant of an objection that has been advanced againft all abltract fpeculations concerning, the origin, priaciple, or limitation
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limitation of civil authority; namely, that fuch fpeculations poffefs little or no influence upon the conduct either of the ftate or of the fubject, of the governors or the governed; nor are attended with any ufeful confequences to either: that in times of tranquillity they are not wanted; im times of confufion they are never heard. This repreIentation however, in my opinion, is not juft. Times of tumult, it is true, are not the times to learn; but the choice which men make of their fide and party, in the mof critical occafions of the commonwealth, may neverthelefs depend upon the leffons they have received, the books they have read, and the opinions they have imbibed, in feafons of leifure and quietnefs. Some judicious perfons, who were prefent at Geneva during the troubles which lately convulfed that city, thought they perceived, in the contentions there carrying on, the operation of that political theory, which the writings of Rouffeau, and the unbounded efteem in
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which thefe writings are held by his countrymen, had diffufed amongft the people. Throughout the political difputes that have within thefe few ycars taken place in Great Britain, in her fifter kingdom, and in her foreign dependencies, it was impoffible not to obferve, in the language of party, in the refolutions of popular meetings, in debate, in converfation, in the general ftrain of thofe fugitive and diurnal addrefles to the public which fuch occalions call forth, the prevalency of thofe ideas of civil authority which are difplayed in the works of Mr. Locke. The credit of that great name, the courage and liberality of his principles, the ikill and clearnefs with which his arguments are pro. pofed, no lefs than the weight of the arguments themfelves, have given a reputation and currency to his opinions, of which I am perfuaded, in any unfettled flate of public affairs, the influence would be felt. As this is not a place for examining the truth or tendency of thefe doatrines, I would not
[ xxxii ]
be underfood, by what I have faid, to exprefs any judgment concerning either. I only mean to remark, that fuch doctrines are not without effect; and that it is of practical importance to have the principles from which the obligations of focial union, and the extent of civil obedience are derived, rightly explained and well underfood. Indeed, as far as I have obferved, in political, beyond all other fubjects, where men are without fome fundamental and rcientific principles to refort to, they are liable to have their underftandings played upon by cant phrafes and unmeaning terms, of which every party in every country poffefs a vocabulary. We appear aftonifhed when we fee the multitude led away by founds; but we fhould remember that, if founds work miracles, it is always upon ignorance. The influence of names is in exact proportion to the want of knowledge.

Thefe are the oblervations with which .I
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have judged it expedient to prepare the attention of my reader. Concerning the perfonal motives which engaged me in the following attempt, it is not neceffary that I fay much; the nature of my academical fituation, a great deal of leifure fince my retirement from it, the recommendation of an honoured and excellent friend, the authority of the venerable prelate to whom thefe labours are infcribed, the not perceiving in what way 1 could employ my time or talents better, and my difapprobation in literary men of that faftidious indolence, which fits ftill becaufe it difdains to do little, were the confiderations that directed my thoughts to this defign. Nor have I repented of the undertaking. Whatcver be the fate or reception of this work, it owes its author nothing. In ficknefs and in health I have found in it that which can alone alleviate the one, or give enjoyment to the other-occupation and engagement.
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\text { VOL, } 1, \quad b
$$

## C O N T E N T S

OF THE

## FIRSTVOLUME.

$$
\text { B O O K } \cdot \mathrm{I} \text {. }
$$

PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.

| CHAP. | PAGE: |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. Deffition and Ufe of the Science | 1 |
| II. Tbe Law of Honour | 2 |
| III. The Law of the Land | 3 |
| IV. The Scriptures | 5 |
| V. The Moral Senfe | - 9 |
| VI. Human Happine/s | 20 |
| VII. Virtue | - 41 |
| BOOK IL |  |

MORAL OBLIGATION.
I. The 2ueftion, Wby am I obliged to keep my Word? confidered - - 54
II. What we mean, when we fay a Man is obliged to do a Thing - 57 vol. I ,
d
III. The

## CONTENTS.

CHAP.PAGE
III. The quefion, Why am I obliged to keepmy Word? refiumed59
IV. The Will of God ..... -. 62
V. The Divine Benevolence ..... 66
VI. Utility ..... 70
VII. The Neceffity of General Rules - ..... 73
VIII. Tbe Confideration of General Confe-queñces purfued - - - 7777IX. Of Rigbt - - - 82X. The Divifion of Rigbts - $\quad 85$
XI. The General Rigbts of Mankind ..... - 94
BOOK III.
relative Duties.
PARTI.
of relative duties which are determinate.
I. Of Property - - - 105
II. The Ufe of the Infitution of Property 107
III. Tbe Hifory of Property - $=111$
IV. In what ibe Rigbt of Propertyis founded 114
V. Promifes - - $\mathrm{r}_{3}$
VI. Contratts - - 142
VII. Contracts of Sale - $\quad 144$

VHI. Contracts of Hazard $-\quad-150$
IX. Gon-

## CONTENTS

CHAP.
page
1X. Contratts of lending of inconfumable

$$
\text { Property } \quad=\quad-154
$$

X. Contracts concerning the lending of

Money • - - 158
XI. Contracts of Labour-Service - 106

XIII. ——Partner乃ip 176
XIV. ——_Ofices 179
XV. Lies - - 184
XVI. Oatbs - - - 19n
XVII. Oatbs in Evidence - - - 200
XVIII. Oatbs of Allegiance - - 203
XIX. Oath againft Bribery in the Election
of Members of Parliament - 208
XX. Oath againf Simony - - 210
XXI. Oaths to obferve local Statutes - 215
XXII. Subfcription of the Articles of Religion 218 XXIII.Wills - - - 22 I

## BOOK III.

PARTII.
of relative duties which ade indeterMinate, and of the crimes opposed to these.
I. Cbarity - - $23^{I}$
II. Cbarity-Thbe Treatment of our Domefics and Dependents
III. Slavery

## CONTENTS.

CHAP. . PAGE

| III. Slavery | - | -236 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IV. Cbarity-Profeflonal Afiftance | -24 I |  |  |
| V. Cbarity-Pecuniary Bounty | -245 |  |  |
| VI. Refentment | - | - | -260 |
| VII. Anger | - | - | -261 |
| VIII. Revengc | - | - | -265 |
| IX. Duelling | - | - | -272 |
| X. Litigation | - | - | -277 |
| XI. Gratitude | - | - | -283 |
| XII. Slander | - | - | -286 |

> | B O O K |
| :---: |
| P A R T II. |

of Relative duties which result from the constitution of the sexes, and OF THE CRIMES OPPOSITE TO THESE.

1. Of tbe public Ufe of Marriage Infitutions 293
II. Fornication - - - 295
III. Seduction - - - 304
IV. Adultery - - - 309
V. Inceft - - - 316
-VI. Polygany - - - 319
VII. Divorce - - . 326
VIII. Marriage - - - 339
IX. Of the Duty of Parents - - 345
X. The Rigbts of Parents -. - 368
XI. The Duty of Cbildren - - 374

## MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

## BOOK I.

## PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.

## CHAP. I.

definition and use of the science.

MOral Philosophy, Morality, Ethics; Cafuiftry, Natural Law, mean all the fame thing; namely, Tbat fcience wbich teaches men their duty and the reafons of it.

The ufe of fuch a ftudy depends upon this, that, without it, the rules of life, by which men are ordinarily governed, oftentimes miflead them, through a defect either in the rule, or in the application.

Thefe rules are, the Law of Honour, the Law of the Land, and the Scriptures. vol. I .

B
CHAP.

## C H A P. II.

## THELAW OF HONOUR.

THE Law of Honour is a fyftem of rules conftructed by people of fafhion, and calculated to facilitate their intercourfe with one another; and for no other purpofe.

Confequently, nothing is adverted to by the Law of Honour, but what tends to incommode this intercourfe.

Hence this law only prefcribes and regulates the duties betwixt equals; omitting fuch as relate to the Supreme Being, as well as thofe which we owe to our inferiors.

For which reafon, profanenefs, neglect of public worthip or private devotion, cruelty to fervants, rigorous treatment of terfants or other dependants, want of charity to the poor, injuries done to tradefmen by infolvency or delay of payment, with numberlefs examples of the fame kind, are accounted no breaches of honour; becaufe a man is not a lefs agreeable companion for thefe vices, nor the worfe to deal with, in
thofe concerns which are ufually tranfacted between one gentleman and another.

Again, the Law of Honour being conftituted by men occupied in the purfuit of pleafure, and for the mutual conveniency of fuch men, will be found, as might be expected from the character and defign of the law-makers, to be, in moft inftances, favourable to the licentious indulgence of the natural paffions.

Thus it allows of fornication, adultery, drunkennefs, prodigality, duclling, and of revenge in the extreme; and lays no Atrefs upon the virtues oppofite to thefe.

## CHAP. III.

## THE LAW OF THE LAND.

THAT part of mankind, who are beneath the Law of Honour, often make the Law of the Land their rule of life; that is, they are fatisfied with themfelves, fo long as they do or omit nothing, for the doing or omitting of which the law can punifh them.

Whereas every fyftem of human laws, confidered as a rule of 1 f , labours under the two following defects.
I. Human laws omit many duties, as not objects of compulion; fuch as piety to God, bounty to the poor, forgivenefs of injurics, education of children, gratitude to benefaçors.

The law never fpeaks but to command, nor commands but where it can compel; confequently thofe duties, which by their nature muft be voluntary, are left cut of the ftatute-book, as lying beyond the reach of its operation and authority.
II. Human laws permit, or, which is the fame thing, fuffer to go unpun.ahed, many crimes, becaufe they are incapable of being defined by any previous defcription - Of which nature is luxury, prodigality, partiality in voting at thofe elections in which the qualifications of the candidate ought to determine the fuccefs, caprice in the difpofition of men's fortunes at their death, difrefpect to parents, and a multitude of fimilar examples.

For this is the alternative: either the law muft define beforehand and with precifion the offences which it punifhes, or it muft be left to he difcretion of the magiftrate to determine upon each particular accufation, whether it conflitute
that offence which the law defigned to punifh, or not; which is in effect leaving to the magiftrate to punifh or not to punifh, at his pleafure, the individual who is brought before him : which is juft fo much tyranny. Where, therefore, as in the inflances above-mentioned, the diftinction between right and wrong is of too fubtile or of too fecret a nature to be afcertained by any preconcerted language, the law of moft countries, efpecially of free ftates, rather than commit the liberty of the fubject to the difcretion of the magiftrate, leaves men in fuch cafes to themfelves.

## C H A P. IV.

## THESCRIPTURES.

WHOEVER expects to find in the Scriptures a fpecific direction for every moral doubt that arifes, looks for more than he will meet with. And to what a magnitude fuch a detail of particular precepts would have enlarged the facred volume, may be partly underitood from the following confideration. The laws of this country, iacluding the acts of the legilla-
ture, and the decifions of our fupreme courts of juftice, are not contained in fewer than fifty folio volumes; and yet it is not once in ten attempts that you can find the cafe you look for, in any law-book whatever; to fay nothing of thofe numerous points of conduct, concerning which the law profeffes not to preffribe or determine any thing. Had then the fame particularity, which obtains in human laws fo far as they go, been attempted in the Scriptares, throughout the whole extent of morality, it is manifeft they would have been by much too bulky to be either read or circulated; or rather, as St. John fays, "even the world itfelf could " not contain the books that fhould be written."

Morality is taught in Scripture in this wife. General rules are laid down of piety, juffice, benevolence, and purity : fuch as worhipping. God in fpirit and in truth; doing as we would be done by; loving our neighbour as ourfelf; forgiving others, as we expect forgivenefs from God; that mercy is better than facrifice; that not that which entereth into a man (nor, by pa-. rity of reafon, any ceremonial pollutions), but that which proceedeth from the heart, defileth him. Thefe rules are occafionally illuftrated, either by fititious examples, as in the parable of the good
good Samaritan; and of the cruel fervant, who refufed to his fellow-fervant that iadulgence and compaffion which his mafter had fhewn to him : or in inftances wbicb"actually prefented tbemfelves, as in Chrift's reproof of his difciples at the Samaritan village; his praife of the poor widow, who caft in her laft mite; his cenfure of the Pharifees, who chofe out the chief rooms-and of the tradition, whereby they evaded the command to fuftain their indigent parents : or laflly, in the refolution of queftions, which thofe who were about our Saviour propofed to bim; as in his anfwer to the young man who afked him, "What "lack I yet?" and to the honeft fcribe, who had found out; even in that age and country, that "to love God and his neighbour was more than " all whole burnt offerings and facrifice."

And this is in truth the way in which all practical fciences are taught, as Arithmetic, Grammar, Navigation, and the like-Rules are laid down, and examples are fubjoined; not that thefe examples are the cafes, much lefs all the cafes which will actually occur, but by way only of explaining the principle of the rule, and as fo many fpecimens of the method of applying it. The chief difference is, that the examples in Scripture are not annexed to the rules with the dir
dactic regularity to which we are now-a-days accuftomed, but delivered difperfedly, as partirular occafions fuggefted them; which gave them however, (efpecially to thofe who heard them, and were prefent to the occafions which produced them,) an energy and perfuafion, much beyond what the fame or any inflances would have appeared with, in their places in a fyftem.

Befide this, the Scriptures commonly prefuppofe, in the perfons to whom they fpeak, a knowledge of the principles of natural juftice; and are employed not fo much to teach new rules of morality, as to enforce the practice of it by new fanctions, and by a greater certainty : which laft feems to be the proper bufinefs of a revelation from God, and what was molt wanted.

Thus the "unjuft, covenant-breakers and extortioners," are condemned in Scripture, fuppoling it known, or leaving it, where it admits of doubt, to moralifts to determine, what injuftice, extortion, or breach of covenant are.

The above confiderations are intended to prove that the Scriptures do not fuperfede the ufe of the fcience of which we profefs to treat, and at the fame time to acquit them of any charge of im. perfection or infufficiency on that account.

CHAP.

## CHAP. V.

## THE MORAL SENSE.

© 6

THE father of Caius Toranius had been profcribed by the triumvirate. Gaius " Toranius, coming over to the interefts of that " party, difcovered to the officers, who were in " purfuit of his father's life, the place where he " concealed himfelf, and gave them withal a de"s fcription, by which they might diftinguifh
" his perfon, when they found him. The old " man, more anxious for the fafety and for" tunes of his fon, than about the little that " might remain of his own life, began imme" diately to inquire of the officers who feized
" him, whether his fon was well, whether he " had done his duty to the fatisfaction of his " generals. That fon, replied one of the of" ficers, fo dear to thy affections, betrayed thee " to us; by his information thou art appre-
" hended, and dieft. The officer with this
" ftruck a poniard to his heart, and the un-
". happy parent fell, not fo much affected by
" his
" his fate, as by the means to which he owed " it*."

Now the queftion is, whether, if this ftory were'related to the wild boy caught fome years ago in the woods of Hanover, or to a favage without experience, and without inftruction, cut off in his infancy from all intercourfe with his fpecies, and, confequently, under no poffible influence of example, authority, education, fympathy, or habit; whether, I fay, fuch a one would feel, upon the relation, any degree of tbat fentiment of di fapprobation of Toranius's condutf which we feel, or not.

They who maintain the exittence of a moral fenfe; of innate maxims; of a natural confcience; that the love of virtue and hatred of vice are inftinctive ; or the perception of right and wrong intuitive (all which are only different

- "Caius Toranius triumvirum partes fecutus, proferipti * patris fui pratorii et ornati viri latebras, xtatem, notafque " corporis, quibus agnorci poffet, centurionibus edidit, qui ceum perfecuti funt. Senex de fili magis vitâ, et incremen"tis, quam de reliquo fpiritu fuo follicitus; an incolumis " effet, et an imperatoribus fatisfaceret, interrogare eos ceppit. "E quibus umus: ab illo, inquit, quem tantoperè diligis, de" monftratus, noftro minifterio, filii indicio occideris: pro" tinufque pectus ejus gladio trajecit. Collapfus itaque eft " infelix, auctore cædis, quam ipfa cxde, miferior."

> Valer. Max. Lib. IX. Gap. it,
ways of expreffing the fame opinion), affirm that he would.

They who deny the exiftence of a moralfenfe, \&sc. affirm that he would not.

And upon this iffue is joined.
As the experiment has never been made, and, from the difficulty of procuring a fubject (not to meation the impoffibility of propofing the quef $\rightarrow$ tion to him, if we had one), is never likely to be made, what would be the event, can only be judged of from probable reafons.

They who contend for the affirmative obferve, that we approve examples of generofity, gratitude, fidelity, \&c. and condemn the contrary, inftantly, without deliberation, without having any intereft of our own concerned in them, ofttimes without being confcious of, or able to give, any reafon for our approbation: that this approbation is uniform and univerfal; the fame forts of conduct being approved or difapproved in all ages and countries of the world-circumftances, fay they, which ftrongly indicate the operation of an inftinet or moral fenfe.

On the other hand, anfwers have been given to moft of thefe arguments, by the patrons of the oppofite fyftem: and,

Firft, as to the sxiformity above alleged, they
controvert the fact. They remark, from authentic.accounts of hiftorians and travellers, that there is fcarcely a fingle vice which in fome age or country of the world has not been countenanced by public opinion; that in one country it is efteemed an office of piety in children to fuftain their aged parents, in another to difpatch them out of the way; that fuicide in one age of the world has been heroilm, is in another felony; that theft, which is punifhed by moft laws, by the laws of Sparta was not unfrequently rewarded ; that the promifcuous commerce of the fexes, although condemned by the regulations and cenfure of all civilized nations, is practifed by the favages of the tropical regions without referve, compundion, or difgrace; that crimes, of which it is no longer permitted us even to fpeak, have had their advocates amongt the fages of very renowned times; 'that, if an inhabitant of the polifhed nations of Europe be delighted with the appearance, wherever he meets with it, of happinefs, tranquillity, and comfort, a wild American is no lefs diverted with the writhings and contortions of a victim at the ftake: that even amongft ourfelves, and in the prefent improved ftate of moral knowledge, we are far from a perfect confent in our opinions or feelings; that
that you fhall hear duelling alternately reprobated and applauded, according to the fex, age, or fation of the perfon you converfe with; that the forgivencfs of injuries and infults is accounted by one fort of people magnanimity, by another meannefs: that in the above inflances, and perhaps in moft others, moral approbation follows the fafhions and inftitutions of the country we live in; which fathions alfo and inftitutions themfelves have grown out of the exigencies, the climate, fituation, or local circumftances of the country; or have been fet up by the authority of an arbitrary chieftain, or the unaccountable caprice of the multitude-ail which, they obferve, looks very little like the fteady hand and indelible characters of nature. But,

Secondly, becaufe, after thefe exceptions and abatements, it cannot be denied, but that fome forts of actions command and receive the efteem of mankind more than others; and that the approbation of them is general, though not univerfal: as to this they fay, that the general approbation of virtue, even in inftances where we have no intereft of our own to induce us to it, may be accounted for, without the affiftance of 2 moral-fenfe, thus:
" Having experienced, in fome inftance, a
" particular conduct to be beneficial to ourfelves, " or obferved that it would be fo, a fentiment " of approbation rifes up in our minds, which
" fentiment afterwards accompanies the idea or " mention of the fame conduct, although the " private advantage which firft excited it no " longer exift."

And this continuance of the paffion, after the reafon of it has ceafed, is nothing-more, fay they, than what happens in other cales; efpecially in the love of money, which is in no perfon fo eager, as it is oftentimes found to be in a rich old mifer, without family to provide for, or friend to oblige by it, and to whom confequently it is no longer (and he may be fenfible of it too) of any real ufe or value: yet is this man as much overjoyed with gain, and mortified by loffes, as he was the firft day he opened tis chop, and when his very fubliftence depended upon his fuccefs in it.

By thefe means the cuftom of approving certain actions commenced; and when once fuch a cuftom hath got footing in the world, it is no difficult thing to explain how it is tranfmitted and continued; for then the greateft part of thofe who approve of virtue, approve of it from authority, by imitation, and from a habit of ap-
proving fuch and fuch actions, inculcated in early youth, and receiving, as men grow up, continual acceffions of ftrength and vigour, from cenfure and encouragement, from the books they read, the converfation they hear, the current application of epithets, the general turn of language, and the various other caufes, by which it univerfally comes to pafs, that a fociety of men, touched in the feebleft degree with the fame paffion, foon communicate to one another a great degree of it*. This is the cafe with moft of us at prefent; and is the caufe alfo, that the pracefs of affociation, defcribed in the laft paragraph but one, is little now either perceived or wanted.

Amongft the caufes affigned for the continuance and diffulion of the fame moral fentiments.

* " From inftances of popular tumults, feditions, factions, "panics, and of all paffions, which are fhared with a multi" tude, we may learn the infuence of fociety, in exciting " and fupporting any emotion; while the mof ungovernable " diforders are raifed, we find, by that means, from the " flighteft and moft frivolous occafions.-He mult be more or " lefs than man, who kindles not in the common blaze. "What wonder then, that moral fentiments are found of " fuch influence in life, though [pringing from principles, " which may appear, at firft fight, fomewhat fmall and de" licate!"

Hume's : Enquiry concerning the Prinsiples of Mornls, ©fat. IX. p. 326.
amongft mankind, we have mentioned imitation. The efficacy of this principle is moft obfervable in children; indeed, if there be any thing in them, which deferves the name of an infinct, it is their propenfity to imitation. Now there is nothing which children imitate or apply more readily than expreffions of affection and averfion, of approbation, hatred, refentment, and the like; and when thefe paffions and expreffions are once connected, which they foon will be by the fame affociation which unites words with their ideas, the paffion will follow the expreffion, and attach upon the object to which the child has been accuftomed to apply the epithet. In a word, when almoft every thing elfe is learned by imitation, can we wonder to find the fame caufe concerned in the generation of our moral fentiments?

Another confiderable objection to the fyftem. of moral inftincts is this, that there are no maxims in the fcience, which can well be deemed innate, as none perhaps can be affigned, which are abfolutely and univerfally truc; in other words, which do not bend to circumftances. Veracity, which feems, if any be, a natural duty, is excufed in many cafes towards an enemy, a thief, or a madman. The obligation of promifes, which is a firt principle in morality, de-
pends upon the circumftances under which they were made; they may have been unlawful, or become fo fince, or inconfilitent with farmer prom mifes, or erroneous, or extorted ; under all which cafes, inftances may be fuggefted, where the obligation to perform the promife would be very dubious, and fo of moft oher general rules, when they come to be actually applied.

An argument has been alfo propofed on the fame fide of the queftion of this kind. Together with the inftinct, there muft have been implanted, it is faid, a clear and precife idea of the object upon which it was to attach. The inftinct and the idea of the object are infe; 1 arable even in imagination, and as neceffarily accompany each other as any correlative ideas whatever: that is, In plainer terms, if we be prompted by nature to the approbation of particular actions, we mult have received alfo from nature a diftina conception of the action we are thus prompted to approve ; which we certainly have not received.

But as this argument bears alike againft all in ftincts, and againft their exiftence in brutes as well as in men, it will hardly, I fuppofe, pro* duce convietion, though it may be difficult to find an anfwer to it.

[^3]Upon the whole, it feems to me, either that there exift no fuch inftincts as compofe what is called the moral fenfe, or that they are not now to be diftinguifhed from prejudices and habits; on which account they cannot be depended upou in moral reafoning: I mean that it is not a fafe way of arguing, to affume certain principles as fo many dictates, impulfes, and inftincts of nature, and then to draw conclufions from thefe principles, as to the rectitude or wrongnefs of actions, independent of the tendency of fuch actions, or of any other confideration whatever.

Arifotle lays down, as a fundamental and felf-evident maxim, that nature intended barbarians to be flaves; and proceeds to deduce from this maxim a train of conclufions, calculated to juftify the policy which then prevailed. And I queftion whether the fame maxim be not fill felf-evident to the company of merchants trading. to the coalt of Africa.

Nothing is fo foon made as a maxim ; and it appears from the example of Arifotle, that authority and convenience, cducation, prejudice, and general practice, have no fmall fhare in the making of them; and that the laws of cuftom are very apt to be miftaken for the order of nature.

For

For which reafon, I fufpect, that a fyftem of morality, built upon inftincts, will only find out reafons and excufes for opinions and practices already eftablifhed-will feldom correct or reform either.

But farther, fuppofe we admit the exiftence of thefe inftincts, what, it may be aiked, is their authority. No man, you fay, can act in deliberate oppofition to them, without a fecret remorfe of ronfcience. But this remorfe may be borne with: and if the finner choofe to bear with it, for the fake of the pleafure or the profit which he expects from his wickednefs; or finds the pleafure of the fin to exceed the remorle of confcience, of which he alone is the judge, and concerning which, when he feels them both together, he can hardly be miftaken, the moralinftinct man, as far as I can underftand, has nothing more to offer.
: For if he allege that thefe inftincts are fo many indications of the will of God, and confequently prefages of what we are to look for hereafter, this, I anfwer, is to refort to a rule and a motive, ulterior to the inftincts themfelves, and at which rule and motive we fhall by and by arrive by a furer road-I fay furer, fo long as there remains a controverfy whether there be
any inftinctive maxims at all ; or any difficulty in afcertaining what maxims are inftinctive.

This celebrated queftion therefore becomes in our fyftem a queftion of pure curiofity; and as fuch we difmifs it to the determination of thore who are more inquifitive, than we are concerned to be, about the natural hiffory and conftitution of the human fpecies.

## C H A P. VI.

## hUMAN HAPPINES8.

THE word bappy is a relative term; that is, when we call a man happy, we mean that he is happier than fome others, with whom we compare him ; than the generality of others; or than he himfelf was in fome other fituation : thus, fpeaking of one who has juft compaffed the object of a long purfuit, " now," we fay, " he is happy;" and in a like comparative fenfe, compared, that is, with the general lot of mankind, we call a man happy who poffeffes health and competency.

In frictuefs, any condition may be denomimated
nated happy, in which the amount or aggregate of pleafure exceeds that of pain; and the degree of happinefs depends upon the quantity of this excess.

And the greateft quantity of it ordinarily attainable in human life, is what we mean by happinefs, when we inquire or pronounce what human happinefs confifts in*.

* If any pgitive fignification, diftinat frotn what we mear by pleaiure, can be alfixed to the term ".happinefs," I fhould take it to denote a certain flate of the nervous fyftem in that part of the human frame in which we feel joy and grief, paffions and affelions. Whether this part be: the heart, which the turn of moff languages would lead us.to believe, or the diaphragm, as Buffon, or the upper orifice of the fomach, as Van Helmont thought ; or rather be a kind of fine network, lining the whole region of the precordia, as others have imagined ; it is poffible, not only that each painful fenfation may violently fhake and difturb the fibres at the time, but that a feries of fuch may at length fo derange the very texture of the fyftem, as to produce a perpetual irritation, which will fhew itfelf by fretfulnefs, impatience, and reftlefneff. It is poffible alfo, on the other hand, that a fuccefion of plearurable fenfations may have fuch an effert upen this fubtide organization, as to caufe the fibres to relax, and retum into their place and order, and thereby to recover, or, if not loft, to preferve that harmanions conformation which zives to the mind iss fenfe of complacency and fatisfaction. This flate may be denominated happinefs, and is fo far diftinguifhable from pleafurc, that it does not refer to any particu-

In which inquiry I will omit much ufual der clamation upon the dignity and capacity of our nature; the fuperiority of the foul to the body, of the rational to the animal part of our confttution ; upon the worthinefs, refinement, and delicacy of fome fatisfactions, or the meannefs, groffnefs, and fenfuality of others: becaule I hold that pleafures differ in nothing, but in continuance and intenfity ; from a juft computation of which, confirmed by what we obferve of the apparent cheerfulnefs, tranquillity, and contentment, of men of different taftes, tempers, ftations, and purfuits, every queftion concerning human happinefs muft receive its decifion.

It will be our bufinefs to fhew, if we can,
I. What Human Happinefs does not confift in :
II. What it does confift in.

First, then, Happinefs does not confift in
lar object of enjoyment, or confift, like pleafure, in the gratification of one or more of the fenfes, but is rather the fecondary effect which fuch objects and gratifications produce upon the netvous fyftem, or the fate in which they leave it. Thefe conjectures belong not, however, to our province. The comparative fenfe, in which we have expinined the term happinels, is more popular, and is fufficient for the purpofe of the prefent chapter.
the pleafures of fenfe, in whatever profufion or variety they be enjoyed. By the pleafures of fenfe 1 mean, as well the animal gratifications of eating, drinking, and that by which the fpecies. is continued, as the more refined pleafures of. maff, ; painting, architecture, gardening, fplendid hows, theatric exhibitions; and the plea-fures, laftly, of active fports, as of hunting; fhooting, firhing, \&c. For,
. $1 / t$, Thefe pleafures continue but a little while at a time. This is true of them all, efpecially of the groffer fort of them. Laying afide the preparation, and the expectation, and computing ftrictly the actual fenfation, we thall be furprifed to find how inconfiderable a portion. of our time they occupy, how few hours in the four-and-twenty they are able to fill up,
$2 d l y$, Thefe pleafures, by repetition, lofe their relifh. It is a property of the machine, for which we know no remedy, that the organs, by: which we perceive pleafure, are blunted and benumbed by being frequently exercifed in the fame way. There is hardly any one who has not found the difference between a gratification, when new, and when familiar ; or any pleafure which does not become indifferent as it grows habitual,

$$
\text { c } 4 \quad 3 d l y
$$

$3 d l y$, The eagernefs for high and infenfe do lights takes away the relifh from all others; and as fuch delights fall rarely in our way, the greater part of our time becomes from this caufe empty and uneary.

There is hardly any delufion by which men are greater fuufferers in their happibefs, than by their expecting too much from what is called pleafure; that is, from thofe intenfe deligkte, which vulgarly engrofs the nasce of pleafure. The very expectation spoils them. When they do come, we are often engaged in taking pains to perfuade ourfelves how much we are pleafed, rather than enjoying any pleafure, which forings naturally out of the object. And whenever we depend upon being vaftly delighted, we alwaye go home fecretly grieved at miffing our aim. Likewile, as has been obferved juft now, when this humour of being prodigioufly delighted bas once taken hold of the imaginarion, it hindert us from providing for, or acquiefcing in, thofe gently foothing, engagements, the due variety and fucceffion of which, ane the only things that fupply a vein or continued ftream of happinefs.

What I have boen able to obferve of that part of mankind, whofe profeffed purfuit is pleafure, and who are withbeld in the purfuit
by no reftraints of forturie, or feruples of confrience, correfponds fufficiently with this account. I have commonly remarked in fuch men, a reftefs and inextinguifhable paffion for variety ; a great part of their time to be vacant, and fo math of it irkfome; and that, with whatever eagernefs and expectation they fet out, they become, by degrees, faftidious in their choice of pleafure, languid in the enjoyment, yet miferable under the want of it.

The truth feems to be that there is a llmit, at which thefe pleatures foon arrive, and from which they ever afterwards decline. They are by nee ceffity of fhort duration, as the organs cannot hold on their emotions beyond a certain length of time; and if you endeavour to compenfate for this imperfection in their nature by the fres quency with which you repeat them, you fuffer more than you gain, by the fatigue of the fasulties, and the diminution of fenfibility.

We have faid nothing in this account of the lofs of opportunities, or the decay of faculties, which, whenever they happen, leave the voluptuary deftitute and defierate; reafed by defires that can never be gratified, and the memory of pleafures which muft return no more.

It will alfo be allowed by thofe who have ex. perienced,
perienced it, and perhaps by thofe alone, that pleafure which is purchafed by the incumbrance of our fortune, is purchgfed too dear; the pleafure never compenfating for the perpetual irritation of embarraffed circumftances.

Thcie pleafures, after all, have their value: and, as the young are always too eager in their purfuit of them, the old are fometimes too remifs; that is, too fludious of their eafe, to be at the pains for them, which they really de. ferve.

Seconduy, Neither does happinefs confift. in an exemption from pain, labour, care, bue finefs, fufpenfe, moleftation, and "thofe evils which are without;" fuch a ftate being ufually. attended, not with eafe, but with depreffion of fpirits, a tafteleffness in all our ideas, imaginary. anxieties, and the whole train of hypochondriacal affections.
For which reafon, the expectations of thofe, who retire from their haps and counting-houles, to enjoy the remainder of their days in leifure and tranquillity, are feldom anfwered by the effect; much lefs of fuch as, in a fit of chagrin fhut themfelves, up in cloifters and hermitages, or quit the world, and their ftations in it, for folitude and repofe.

- Where there exits a known external caufe of unealinefs, the caufe may be removed, and the uneafinefs will ceafe. But thofe imaginary diftreffes which men feel for want of real ones (and which are equally tormenting, and fo far equally real), as they depend upon no fingle or affignable fubject of uneafinefs, admit oftentimes of no application or relief.

Hence a moderate pain, upon which the attention may faften and fpend itfelf, is to many a refrelhment; as a fit of the gqut will fometimes cure the fpleen. And the fame of any lefs violent agitation of the mind, as a literary controverfy, a law-fuit, a contefted election, and, above all, gaming; the paffion for which, in men of fortune, and liberal minds, is only to be accounted for on this principle.

Thirdly, Neither does happinefs confift in greatnefs, rank, or elevated fation.

Were it true that all fuperiority afforded pleafure, it would follow, that, by kow much we were the greater, that is, the more perfons we were fuperior to, in the fame proportion, fo far as depended upon this caufe, we Ghould be the happier; but fo it is, that no fuperiority yields any fatisfaction, fave that which we poffefs or obtain over thofe with whom we immediately
compare ourfelves, The fhepherd perceives no pleafure in his fuperiority over his dog; the farmer in his fuperiority over the fhepherd; the lord in his fuperiority over the farmer; nor the king, laftly, in his fuperiority over the lord. Superiority, where there is no competition, is feldom contemplated; what molt men indeed are quite unconfcious of.

But if the fame fhepherd can run, fight, or wrefle better than the peafants of his village; if the farmer can thew better cattle, if he keep a better horfe, or be fuppofed to have a longer purfe than any farmer in the hundred; if the lord have more intereft in an election, greater favour at court, a better houfe, or larger eftate than any nobleman in the county; if the king poffefs a more extenfive territory, a more powerful lleet or army, a more fplendid eftablifhment, more loyal fubjects, or more weight and authority in adjufing the affairs of nations, than my prince in Europe: in all thefe cafes the partics feel an actual fatisfaction in their fupos siority.

Now the conclufion that follows from hence is this-that the pleafures of ambition, which are fuppofed to be peculiar to high ftations, are in peality common to all conditions. The fat-
rier who thoes a horfe better, and who is in greater requeßt for his fkill than any man within ten miles of him, poffeffes, for all that I can fee, the delight of diftioction and of excelling, as truly and fubftantially as the flatefman, the foldier, and the fcholar, who have filled Europe with the reputation of their wifdom, their valour, or their knowledge.

No fuperiority appears to be of any account, but fuperiority over a rival. This, it is manifeft, may exift wherever rivalhips do; and rivalthips fall out amongt men of all ranks and degrees. The object of emulation, the dignity or magnitude of this object, maker no difference: as it is not what either poffeffes that conflitutes the pleafure, but what one poffeffes more thas the other.

Philofophy fmiles at the contempt with which the rich and great fpeak of the petty Arifes and competitions of the poor; not reflecting that thefe ftrifes and competitions are juft as reafonable as their own, and the pleafure, which fuccefs affords, the fame.

Our pofition is, that happinefs does not confift in greatnefs. And this pofition we make out by thewing, that even what are fuppofed to be the peculiar advaatages of greatnefs, the pleafures
pleafures of ambition and fuperiority, are in reality common to all conditions. But whether the purfuits of ambition be ever wife, whether they contribute more to the happinefs or mifery of the purfuers, is a different queftion; and a queftion concerning which we may be allowed to entertain great doubt. The pleafure of fuccefs is exquifite; fo alfo is the anxiety of the purfuit, and the pain of difappointmentand what is the worft part of the account, the pleafure is fhort-lived. We foon ceafe to look back upon thofe whom we have left behind new contefts are engaged in, new profpects unfold themfelves; a fucceffion of ftruggles is kept up, whilf there is a rival left within the compafs of our views and profeffion; and when there is none, the pleafure with the purfuit is at an end.
II. We have feen what happinefs does not confift in. We are next to confider in what it does confift.

In the conduct of life, the great matter is, to know beforehand, what will pleafe.us, and .what pleafure will hold out. So far as we know this, our choice will be juftified by the event. And this knowledge is more fcarce and difficult than at firft fight it may feem to be; for fometimes,
t'mes, pleafures, which are wonderfully alluring and flattering in the profpect, turn out in the poffeffion extremely infipid; or do not hold out as we expected: at other times pleafures ftart up, which never entered into our calculation; and which we might have miffed of by not forefeeing: from whence we have reafon to believe, that we actually do mifs of many pleafures from the fame caufe. . I fay, to know " beforehand," for after the experiment is tried, it is commonly impracticable to retreat or change; befide that flifting and changing is apt to generate a habit of reftleffnefs, which is deftructive of the happinefs of every condition.

By reafon of the original diverfity of tafte, capacity, and conftitution, obfervable in the human fpecies, and the fill greater variety, which habit and fafhion have introduced in thefe particulars, it is impoffible to propofe any plan of happinefs, which will fucceed to all, or any method of life which is univerfally eligible or practịcable.

All that can be faid is, that there remains a prefumption in favour of thofe conditions of life, in which men generally appear moft cheerful and contented. For though the apparent happinefs
happinefs of mankind be not always a true meanfure of their real happinefs, it is the beft meafure we have.

Taking this for my guide, I am inclined to believe that happinefs confifts,
I. In the exercife of the focial affections.

Thofe perfons commonly poffers good fpirits, who have about them many objects of affection and endearment, as wife, children, kindred, friends. And to the want of thefe may be imputed the peevifhnefs of monks, and of fuch as lead a monaftic life.

Of the fame nature with the indulgence of our domeftic affections, and equally refrelhing to the fpirits, is the pleafure which refults from acts of bounty and beneficence, exercifed either in giving money, or in imparting to thofe who want it the affiftance of our fkill and profeffion.

Another main article of human happinefs is,
II. The exercife of our faculties, either of body or mind, in the purfuit of fome engaging end.

It feems to be true, that no plenitude of prefent gratifications can make the poffeffor happy for a continuance, unlefs he have fomething in teferve
referve-fomething to hope for, and look forward to. This I conclude to be the cafe, from comparing the alacrity and fpirits of men, who are engaged in any purfuit which interefts them, with the dejection and ennui of almoft all, who are either born to fo much that they want nothing more, or who have $u f e d ~ u p$ their fatisfactions too foon, and drained the fources of them.

It is this intolerable vacuity of mind, which carries the rich and great to the horfe-courfe and the gaming-table; and often engages them in contefts and purfuits, of which the fuccefs bears no proportion to the folicitude and expence with which it is fought. An eleciion for a difputed borough fiall colt the parties twenty or thirty thoufand pounds each, to fay nothing of the anxiety, humiliation, and fatigue of the canvafs; when a feat in the houfe of commons, of exactly the fame value, may be had for a tenth part of the money, and with no trouble. I do not mention this to blame the rich and great (perhaps they cannot do betrer), but in confirmation of what I have advanced.

Hope, which thus appears to be of fo much importance to our happinefs, is of two kinds; where there is fomething to be done towards at taining the object of our hope, and where there vol. 1.
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is
is nothing to be done. The firft alone is of any value ; the latter being apt to corrupt into impatience, having no power but to fit ftill and wait, which foon grows tirefome.

The doctrine delivered under this head may be readily admitted; but how to provide ourfelves with a fucceffion of pleafurable engagements, is the difficulty. This requires two things; judgment in the choice of ends adapted to our opportunities; and a command of imagination, fo as to be able, when the judgment lhas made choice of an end, to transfer a pleafure to the means: after which the end may be forgotten as foon as we will.

Hence thofe pleafures are moft valuable, not which are molt exquifite in the fruition, but which are molt productive of engagement and activity in the purfuit.

A man who is in earneft in his endeavours after the happinefs of a future ftate, has, in this refpect, an advantage over all the world. For he has conftantly before his èyes an object of fupreme importance, productive of perpetual engagement and activity, and of which the purfuit (which can be faid of no purfuit befides) lafts him to his life's end. Yet even he muft have many ends, befide the far ond: but then
they will conduct to that, be fubordinate, and in fome way or other capable of being referred to that, and derive their fatisfaction, or an addition of fatisfaction, from that.

Engagement is every thing: the more fignificant, however, our engagements are, the better; fuch as the planning of laws, inftitutions, manufactures, charities, improvements, public works $;$ and the endeavouring, by our intereft, addrefs, folicitations, and activity, to carry them into effect : or, upon a fmaller fcale, the procuring of a maintenance and fortune for our families by a courfe of induftry and application to our callings, which forms and gives motion to the common occupations of life; training up a child; profecuting a fcheme for his future eftablifhment; making ouffelves mafters of a language or a fcience; improving or managing an eftate; labouring after a piece of preferment; and laftly; any engagement, which is innocent, is better than none; as the writing of a book, the building of a houfe, the laying out of a garden, the digging of a fifh-pond-even theraifing of a cucumber or a tulip.

Whilf our minds are taken up with the objects or bufinefs before us; we are commonly happy, whatever the ebject or buffinefs be: when the: D 2
mind
mind is abfent; and the thoughts are wandering to fomething elfe than what is paffing in the place in which we are, we are often miferable.
III. Happinefs depends upon the prudent confitution of the habits.

The art in which the fecret. of human happinefs in a great meafure confifts, is to fet the habits in fuch a manner, that every change may be a change for the better. The habits themfelves are much the fame; for, whatever is made habitual becomes fmooth, and eafy, and nearly indifierent. The return to an old habit is likewife eafy, whatever the habit be. Therefore the advantage is with thofe habits which allow of an indulgence in the deviation from them. The luxuricus receive no greater pleafure from their dainties, than the peafant does from his bread and cheefe: but the peafant, whenever he goes abroad, finds a feaft, whereas the epicure muft be well entertained to efcape difgut. Thofe who fpend every day at cards, and thofe who go every day to plough, pafs their time much alike ; intent upon what they are about, wanting no thing, regretting nothing, they are both for the time in a ftate of eafe; but then, whatever furpends the occupation of the card-player, diftrefles him; whereas to the labourer, every interrup-
tion is a refrefhment : and this appears in the different effect that Sunday produces upon the two, which proves a day of recreation to the one, but a lamentable burthen to the other. The man who has learned to live alune, feels his fpirits enlivened whenever he enters into company, and takes his leave without regret: another, who has long been accuftomed to a crowd or continual fucceffion of company, experiences in company no elevation of fpirits, nor any greatet fatisfaction, than what the man of a retired life finds in his chimney-corner. So far their conditions are equal; but let a change of place, fortune, or fituation, feparate the companion from his circle, his vifitors, his club, common-room, or coffee-houfe, and the difference and advantage in the choice and conftitution of the two habits will Mhew itfelf. Solitude comes to the one clothed with melancholy; to the other it brings liberty and• quiet. You will fee the one fretful and reftlefs, at a lofs how to difpofe of his time, till the hour come round when he may forget himfelf in bed; the other eafy and fatisfied, taking up his book, or his pipe, as foon as he finds himfelf alone; ready to admit any little amufement that cafts up, or to turn his hands and attention to the firft bu-
finefs that prefents iffelf; or content without either to fit fill, and let his train of thought glide indolently through his brain, without much ufe, perhaps, or pleafure, but without bankering after any thing better, and without irritation. A reader, who has inured himfelf to books of fcience and argumentation, if a novel, a well written pamphlet, an article of news, a narrative of a curious voyage, or the journal of a traveller, fall in his way, fits down to the repaft with relifh; enjoys his entertainment while it lafts, and can return, when it is over, to his graver reading, without diftafte. Another, with whom nothing will go down but works of humour and pleafantry, or whofe curiofity muft be interefted by perpetual novelty, will confume a bookfeller's window in half a forenoon; during which time he is rather in fearch of diverfion than diverted; and as books to his tafte are few, and fhort, and rapidly read over, the ftock is foon exhaufted, when he is left without refource from this principal fupply of harmlefs amufement.

So far as circumftances of fortune conduce to happinefs, it is not the income which any man poffeffes, but the increafe of income that affords the pleafure. Two perfons, of whom ane begins
gins with an hundred, and advances his income . to a thoufand pounds a year; and the other fets off with a thoufand, and dwindles down to an hundred, may, in the courfe of their time, have the receipt and fpending of the fame fum of money: yet their fatisfaction, fo far as fortune is concerned in it, will be very different; the feries and fum total of their incomes being the fame, it makes a wide difference at which end they begin.
IV. Happinefs confifts in health.

By health I undertand, as well freedom from bodily diftempers, as that tranquillity, firmnefs, and alacrity of mind, which we call good fpirits; and which may properly enough be included in our notion of health, as depending commonly upon the fame caufes, and yielding to the fame management, as our bodily conftitation.

Health, in this fenfe, is the one thing needful. Therefore no pains, expence, felf-denial, or 'reftraint, to which we fubject ourfelves for the fake of health, is too much. Whether it require us to relinquilh lucrative fituations, to abftain from favourite indulgences, to control. intemperate paffions, or undergo tedious regimens; whatever difficulties it lays us under, a D 4 man,
man, who purfues his happinefs rationally and refolutely, will be content to fubmit to.

When we are in perfect health and fpirits, we feel in ourfelves a happinefs independent of any particular outward gratification whatever, and of which we can give no account. This is an enjoyment which the Deity has annexed to life; and probably conftitutes, in a great meafure, the happinefs of infants and brutes, efpecially of the lower and fedentary orders of animals, as of oyfters, periwinkles, and the like; for which I have fometimes been at a lofs to find out amufement.

The above account of human happinefs will juftify the two following conclufions, which, although found in moft books of morality, have feldom, I think, been fupported by any fufficient reafons.

First, that happinefs is pretty equally diftributed amongft the different orders of civil fociety.

Secondly, that vice has no advantage over virtue, even with refpect to this world's happinefs.

## C H A P. VII.

## VIRTUE.

VIRTUE is, " the doing good to mankind, "in obedience to the will of God, and for the " fake of everlafing bappinefs."

According to which definition, " the good of " mankind" is the fubject ; the " will of God;" the rule; and "everlafting happinefs" the motive of human virtue.

Virtue has been divided by fome moralifts into. benevolence, prudence, fortitude, and temperance. Benevolence propofes good ends; prudencefuggefts the beft means of attaining them ; fortitude enables us to encounter the difficulties, dangers, and difcouragements, which fand in our way in the purfuit of thefe ends; temperance repels and overcomes the paffions that obftruct it. Benevolence, for inftance, prompts us to undertake the caufe of an oppreffed orphan; prudence fuggetts the beft means of going about it; fortitude enables us to confront the danger, and bear up againft
the lofs, difgrace, or repulfe, that may attend our undertaking; and temperance keeps under the love of money, of eafe, or amufement, which might divert us from it.

Virtue is diftinguifhed by others into twa branches only, prudence and benevolcice; prudence attentive to our own intereft ; benevolence to that of our fellow-creatures: both directed to the fame end, the increafe of happinefs in nature; and taking equal concern in the future as in the prefent.

The four cardinal virtues are prudence, fortitude, temperance, and juflice.

But the divifion of Virtue, to which we are in modern times moft accuftomed, is into duties,

Towards God; as piety, reverence, refignation, gratitude, \&c.

Towards other men (or relative duties); as juftice, charity, fidelity, loyalty, \&c.

Towards ourfelves; as chaftity, fobriety, temperance, prefervation of life, care of health, \&c.

More of thefe diftinctions have been propofed, which it is not worth while to fet down.

I fhall proceed to ftate a few obfervations, which relate to the general regulation of human
conduct; unconnected indeed with each other, but very worthy of attention; and which fall as properly under the title of this chapter as of anyfuture one.
I. Mankind act more from habit than reflection.

It is on few only and great occafions that men deliberate at all; on fewer ftill, that they inftitute any thing like a regular inquiry into the moral rectitude or depravity of what they are about to do ; or wait for the refult of it. We are for the moft part determined at once; and by an impulfe, which is the effect and energy of pre-eftablifhed habits. And this conftitution feems well adapted to the exigencies of human life, and to the imbecility of our moral principle. In the current occafions and rapid opportunities of life, there is oftentimes little leifure for reflection; and were there more, a man, who has to reafon about his duty, when the temptation to tranfgrefs it is upon him, is almoft fure to reafon himfelf into an error.

If we are in fo great a degree paffive under our habits, where, it is afked, is the exercife of virtue, the guilt of vice, or any ufe of moral and religious knowledge. I anfwer, in the forming and contracting of thefe habits.

And from hence refults a rule of life of confiderable importance, viz. that many things are to be done, and abftained from, folely for the fake of habit. We will explain ourfelves by an example or two. A beggar, with the appearance of extreme diftrefs, afks our charity. If we come to argue the matter, whether the diftrefs be real, whether it be not brought upon himfelf, whether it be of public advantage to admit fuch applications, whether it be not to encourage idlenefs and vagrancy, whether it may not invite impoftors to our doors, whether the money can be well fpared, or might not be better applied; when thefe confiderations are put together, it may appear very doubtful, whether we ought or ought not to give any thing. But when we reflect, that the mifery before our eyes excites our pity, whether we will or not ; that it is of the utmoft confequence to us to cultivate this tendernefs of mind ; that it is a quality, cherifhed by indulgence, and foon flifled by oppofition : when this, I fay, is confidered, a wife man will do that for his own fake, which he would have hefitated to do for the petitioner's ; he will give way to his compaffion, rather than offer violence to a habit of fo much general ufe.

A man of confirmed good habits will act in the fame manner without any confideration at all.

This may ferve for one inftance: another is the following. A man has been brought up from his infancy with a dread of lying. An occafion prefents itfelf where, at the expence of a little veracity, he may divert his company, fet off his own wit with advantage, attract the notice and engage the partiality of all about him. This is not a fmall temptation. And when he looks at the other fide of the queftion, he fees no mifchief that can enfue from this liberty, no flander of any man's reputation, no prejudice likely to arife to any man's intereft. Were there nothing farther to be confidered, it would be difficult to hew why a man under fuch circumftances might not indulge his humour. But when he reflects that his fcruples about lying have hitherto preferved him free from this vice; that occafions like the prefent will return, where the inducement may be equally ftrong, but the indulgence much lefs innocent; that his fcruples will wear away by a few tranfgreffions, and leave him fubject to one of the meaneft and moft pernicious of all bad habits, a habit of lying whenever it will ferve his turn: when all this, I fay, is confidered, a wife man will
forego the prefent, or a much greater pleafure, rather than lay the foundation of a character fo vicious and contemptible.

From what has been faid may be explained alfo the nature of babitual virtue. By the definition of Virtue, placed at the beginning of this chapter, it appears, that the good of mankind is the fubject, the will of God the rule, and everlafting happinefs the motive and end of all virtue. Yet in fact a man fhall perform many an act of virtue, without having either the good of mankind, the will of God, or everlafting happinefs in his thoughts. How is this to be underfood? In the fame manner as that a man may be a very good fervant, without being confcious at cvery turn of a particular regard to his mafter's will, or of an exprefs attention to his mafter's intereft; indeed your beft old fervants are of this fort: but then he muft have ferved for a length of time under the actual direction of thefe motives to bring it to this: in which fervice his merit and virtue confift.

There are babits, not only of drinking, fwearing, and lying, and of fome other things, which are commonly acknowledged to be habits, and called fo; but of every modification of action, fpeech, and thought. Man is a bundle of habits.
bits. There are habits of induftry, attention, vigilance, advertency ; of a prompt obedience to the judgment occurring, or of yielding to the firft impulfe of paffion; of extending our views to the future, or of refting upon the prefent ; of apprehending, methodizing, reafoning ; of indolence and dilatorinefs: of vanity, felfconceit, melancholy, partiality; of fretfulnefs, fufpicion, captioufnefs, cenforioufnefs; of pride; ambition, covetoufnefs; of over-reaching, intriguing, projecting. In a word, there is not a quality, or function, either of body or mind, which does not feel the influence of this great law of animated nature.
II. The Chriftian religion hath not afcertained the precife quantity of virtue neceflary to fal-' yation.

This has been made an objection to Chriftianity ; but without reafon. For, as all reve-. lation, however imparted originally, muft be. tranfmitted by the ordinary vehicle of language, it behoves thofe who make the objection to thew that any form of words could be devifed, which. might exprefs this quantity; or that it is poffible to conflitute a fandard of moral attainments, accommodated to the almof infinite diverfity which
which fubfifs in the capacities and opportunities of different men.

It feems moft agreeable to our conceptions of juftice, and is confonant enough to the language of fcripture*, to fuppofe, that there are prepared for us rewards and punifhments, of all poffible degrees, from the moft exalted happinefs down to extreme mifery; fo that " our labour " is never in vain;" whatever advancement we make in virtue, we procure a proportionable acceffion of future happinefs; as, on the other hand, every accumulation of vice is the " trea"furing up of fo much wrath againft the day * of wrath." It has been faid, that it can never

* " He which foweth fparingly fhall reap alfo fparingly; " and he which foweth bountifully thall reap alfo bounti"fully." 2 Cor. ix. 6.-n" And that fervant which knew his « Lord's will, and prepared not himfelf, ncither did accord" ing to his will, fhall be beaten with many ftripes; but he *t that knew not, thall be beaten with few ftripes." Luke xii. 47,48.-_" Whofoever thall give you a cup of water to "drink in my name, becaufe ye belong to Chrift, verily I "fay unto you, he fhall not lofe his reward ;" to wit, intimating that there is in referve a proportionable reward for even the fmalleft adt of virtue. Mark is. 41 .-Sce alfo the parable of the pounds, Luke xix. 16, \&c. where he whofe pound had gained ten pounds was placed over ten citics; and he whofe pound had gained five pounds, was placod over five eities.
be a juft ceconomy of Providence, to admit one part of mankind into heaven, and condemn the other to hell, fince there muft be very little to choofe, between the wortt man who is received into heaven, and the beft who is excluded. And how know we, it might be anfwered, butt that there may be as, little to choofe in their conditions.

Without entering into a detail of fcripture morality, which would anticipate our fubject, the following general pofitions may be advanced, I think, with fafety :

1. That a ftate of happinefs is not to be expected by thofe who are confcious of no moral or religious rule. I mean thofe who cannot with truth lay, that they have been prompted to one action, or withheld from one gratification, by any regard to virtue or religion, either immediate or habitual.

There needs no other proof of this, than the confideration, that a brute would be as proper an obje $A$ of reward as fuch a man; and that, if the cafe were fo, the penal fanctions of religion could have no place. For, whom would you punifh, if you make fuch a one as this happy ? or rather indeed religion itfelf, both natural and vol.. \& revealed,
revealed, would ceafe to have either ufe or an, thority.
2. That a ftate of happinefs is not to be expected by thofe, who referve to themfelves the habitual practice of any one fin, or neglect of one known duty.

Becaufe, no obedience can proceed upon proper motives which is not univerfal, that is, which is not directed to every command of God alike, as they all ftand upon the fame authority.

Becaufe, fuch an allowance would in effect amount to a toleration of every vice in the world.

And becaufe, the ftrain of fcripture language excludes any fuch hope. When our duties are recited, they are put collecively, that is, as all and every of them required in the Chriftian character. " Add to your faith virtue, and to vir. "tue knowledge; and to knowledge temperance, " and to temperance patience, and to patience " godlinefs, and to godlinefs brotherly kindnefs, " and to brotherly kindnefs charity*." On the other hand, when vices are enumerated, they are put disjunctively, that is, as feparately and feverally excluding the finner from heaven. "Nei! tbcr fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, " nor effeminate, nor abufers of themlelves with

$$
2 \text { Pet. i. } 5,6,7
$$

's mankind, nor thieves, nor covetous, nor "drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, fhall " inherit the kingdom of heaven *:"

Thofe texts of fcripture, which feem to lean a contrary way, as that "charity fhall cover the " multitude of fins $\dagger ;$ ", that " he, which convert" eth 2 finner from the error of his way fhall " hide a multitude of fins $\ddagger$;" cannot, I think, for the reafons above mentioned, be extended to fins deliberately, habitually, and obftinately per-. fifted in.
3. That a ftate of mere unprofitablenefs will not go unpunifhed.

This is exprefsly laid down by Chrift in the parable of the talents, which fuperfedes all farther reafoning upon the fubject. "Then he "' which had received one talent, came and faid, "Lord, I know thee that thou art an auftere "'man, reaping where thou haft not fown, and " gathering where thou haft not ftrawed; and I " was afraid, and hid thy talent in the earth; " lo , there thou haft that is thine. His lord " anfwered and faid unto him, Thou wicked " and lothful fervant, thou kneweft (or kneweft " thou?) that I reap where I fowed not, and

$$
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"gather where I have not frawed ; thou oughtef "t therefore to have put my money to the ex"c changers, and then at my coming I fhould " have received mine own with ufury." Take "therefore the talent from him, and give it unto " him which hath ten talents; for unto every "one that hath fhall be given, and he fhall have " abundance; but from him that bath not fhall " be taken away even that which he hath; and "caf y y tbe unproftiablo fervant into outer darknefs? "t there jball be weeping and gna/bing of teetb"."
III. In every queftion of conduct where one fide is doubtful, and the other fide fafe, we are bound to take the fafe fide.

This is beft explained by an inftance, and I know of none more to our purpofe than that of fuicide. Suppofe, for example's fake, that it appear doubtful to a reafoner upon the fubject, whether he may lawfully deftroy himfelf. He can have no doubt, but that it is lawful for him to let it alone. Here therefore is a cafe, in which one fide is doubtful, and the other fide fafe. By virtue therefore of our rule he is bound to purfue the fafe fide, that is, to forbear from offering violence to himfelf, whilft a doubt remains upon his mind concerning the lawfulnefs of fuicide. - Matt. xxv. 24, \&se.

It is prudent, you allow, to take the fafe fide. But out obfervation means fomething more. We affert that the action, concerning which we doubt, whatever it may be in itfelf, or to another, would, in $a s$, whilft this doubt remains upon our minds, be certainly finful. The cafe is exprefsly fo adjudged by St; Paul, with whofe authority we will for the prefént reft contented. "I know and am perfuaded by the Lord Jefus, is that there is rothing unclean of itfelf, but to " bim that efteemeth aniy thing to be unclean, to bim "it is unclean.Happy is he that con" demneth not himielf in that thing which he " alloweth; and he that doubteth is damned " (condemined) if he eat, for whatfoever is not " of faith (i. e. not done with a full perfuafion ": of the lawfulnefs of it) is fin*."

[^4]
## MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

> B OOK. II.

. MORAL OBLIGATION.

## CHAP. I.

THE QUESTION, WHY AM OBLIGRD TO KÉSP Mr WORD? CONSIDERED.
$\mathbf{W}_{\text {H Y am I obliged to keep my word? }}$
Becaufe it is right, fays one.-Becaufe it is agreeable to the fitnefs of things, fays another.Becaufe it is conformable to reafon and nature, fays a third.-Becaufe it is conformable to truth, fays a fourth.-Becaufe it promotes the public good, fays a fifth.-Becaufe it is required by the will of God, concludes a fixth.

Upon which different accounts, two things are obfervable:

First, that they all ultimately coincide.
The fitnefs of things, means their fitnefs to produce happinefs: the nature of things, means that actual confitution of the world, by which fome things, as fuch and fuch actions, for example, produce happinefs, and others mifery: reafon is the principle, by which we difcover or judge of this confitution: truth is this judg-, ment expreffed or drawn out into propofitions. So that it neceffarily comes to pafs, that what promotes the public happinefs, or happinefs upon the whole, is agreeable to the fitnefs of things, to nature, to reafon, and to truth: and fuch (as will appear by and by) is the divine character, that what promotes the general happinefs is required by the will of God; and what has all the above properties muft needs be right ; for right means no more than conformity to the rule we go by, whatever that rule be.

And this is the reafon that moralifts, from whatever different principles they fet out, commonly mect in their conclufions; that is, they enjoin the fame conduct, prefcribe the fame rules of duty, and, with a few exceptions, de-
liver
liver upon dubious cafes the fame determinations.

Secondly, it is to be oblerved, that thefe anfwers all leave the matter /hort; for the inquirer may turn round upon his teacher with a fecond quetion, in which he will expect to be fatisfied, namely, wby am I obliged to do what is right; to act agreeably to the fitnefs of things; to conform to reafon, nature or truth; to promote the public good, or to obey the will of God ?

The proper method of conducting the inquiry is, First, to examine what we mean, when we fay a man is obliged to do any thing, and then to Shew why he is obliged to do the thing which we have propofed as an example, namely, "to " keep his word.".

## C H A P. II.

What we mean when we say a man Is ORLIGED TO DO A THING.

AMAN is faid to be obliged, "when be is "urged by a violent motive refulting from " the command of anotber."
I. "The motive mult be violent." If'a perfon, who has done me fome little fervice, or has a fmall place:in his difpofal, afk me upon fome occafion for my vote, I may poffibly give it him, from a motive of gratitude or expectation: but I thould hardly fay, that I was obliged to give it him, becaufe the inducement does not rife high enough. Whereas, if a father or a mafter, any great benefactor, or one on whom my fortune depends, require my vote, I give it him of courfe; and my anfwer to all who afk me why I voted fo and fo, is, that my father or my mafter obliged me; that I had received fo many favours from, or had la great a dependence upon fuch a
one, that I was obliged to vote as, he directed me.

Secondly, " It muft refult from the com" mand of another." Offer a man a gratuity for doing any thing, for feizing, for example, an offender, he is not obliged by your offer to do it; nor would he fay he is; though he may be induced, perfuaded, prevailed upon, tempted. If a magiftrate or the man's immediate fuperior command it, he confiders himfelf as obliged to comply, though poffibly he would lofe lefs by a refufal in this cafe, than in the former.

I will not undertake to fay that the words obligation and obliged are ufed uniformly in this fenfe, or always with this diftinction; nor is it poffible to tie down popular phrafes to any conftant fignification: but wherever the motive is violent enough, and coupled with the idea of command, authority, law, or the will of a fuperior, there, I take it, we always reckon ourfelves to be obliged.

And from this account of obligation it follows, that we can be obliged to nothing, but what we ourfelves are to gain or lofe fomething by ; for nothing elfe can be a "violent motive" to us. As we fhould not be obliged to obey the laws, or the magiftrate, unlefs rewards or punihments,
ments, pleafure or pain, fome how or other depended upon our obedience; fo neither fhould we, without the fame reafon; be obliged to do what is right, to practife virtue, or to obey the commands of God.

## C H A P. III.

The Question; wht am iobliged to keef MY WORD: RESUMED.

IET it be remembered, that to be obliged, " is 'to be urged by a violent motive, re" fulting from the command of another."

And then let it be alked, Why am I obliged to keep my word? and the anfwer will be, Becaule I am " urged to do fo by a violent motive". (namely, the expectation of being after this life rewarded, if I do, or punihed for it, if I do not), " refulting from the command of another" (namely, of God).

This folution goes to the bottom of the fubject, as no farther queftion can reafonably be afked.

There-

Therefore, private happinefs is our motive, and the will of God our rule.

When I firt turned my thoughts to moral fpeculations, an air of myftery feemed to hang over the whole fubject ; which arofe, I believe, from hence-that I fuppofed, with many authors whom I had read, that to be obliged to do a thing, was very different from being induced only to do it; and that the obligation to practife virtue, to do what is right, juft, \&c. was quite another thing, and of another kind, than the obligation which a foldier is under to obey is officer, a fervant his mafter, or any of the civit and ordinary obligations of hutman life. Whereas, from what has been faid it appears, that moral obligation is like all other obligations; and that obligation is nothing more than aninducement of fufficient ftrength, and refulting; in fome way, from the command of another:

There is always underftood to be a difference between an act of prudence and an act of duty. Thus, if I diftrufted a man who owed me a fum of money, [ hould reckon it an act of pruz dence to get another perfon bound with him; but I fhould hardly call it an act of duty. On the other hand, it would be thought a very unufual and loofe kind of langiage, to lay, that,
$2 s$ I had made fuch a promife, it was prudent to perform it; or that, as my friend, when he went abroad, placed a box of jewels in my hands, it would be prudent in me to preferve it for him till he returned.

Now, in what, you will afk, does the difference confift? inafmuch as, according to our account of the matter, both in the one cafe and the other, in acts of duty as well as acts of prudence, we confider folely what we ourfelves fhall gain or lofe by the act.

The difference, and the only difference, is this; that, in the one cafe we confider what we thall gain or lofe in the prefent world; in the other cafe, we confider alfo what we fhall gain or lofe in the world to come.

They who would eftablifh a fyftem of morality, independent of a future ftate, mult look out for fome different idea of moral obligation; unlefs they can thew that virtue conducts the poffeffor to certain bappinefs in this life, or to a much greater hare of it than he could attain by a different behaviour.

To us there are two great queftions:
I. Will there be after this life any diftribution of rewards and punifhments at all ?
II. If there be, what actions will be rewarded, and what will be punithed?

The firft queftion comprifes the credibility of the Chriftian religion, together with the prefumptive proofs of a future retribution from the light of nature. The fecond queftion comprifes the province of morality. Both queftions are too much for one work. The affirmative therefore of the firt, although we confefs that it is the foundation upon which the whole fabric refts mult in this treatife be taken for granted.

## C H A P. IV.

THE WILL OF GOD.

A$S$ the will of God is our rule, to inquire what is our duty, or what we are obliged to do, in any inftance, is, in effect, to inquire, what is the will of God in that inftance? which confequeutly becomes the whole bufinefs of morality.

Now there are two methods of coming at the will of God on any point:
I. By
I. By his exprefe declarations, when they are to he had, and which muft be fought for in Scripture.
II. By what we, can difcover of his defigns and difpofition from his works; or, as we ufially call it, the light of nature.

And here we may obferve the abfurdity of feparating natural and revealed religion from each other. The object of both is the fame-to difcover the will of God-and, provided we do but difcover it, it matters nothing by what means.

An ambaffador, judging by what he knows of his fovereign's difpofition, and arguing from what he has obferved of his conduct, or is acquainted with of nis defigns, may take his meafures in many cafes with fafety, and prefume with great probability how his mafter would have him ad on moft occafions that arife; but if he have his commiffion and inftructions in his pocket, it would be ftrange not to look into them. He will be directed by both rules: when his inftructions are clear and pofitive, there is an end of all further deliberation (unlefs indeed he fufpect their authenticity): where his inftructions are filent or dubious, he
will endeavour to fupply or explain them, by what he has been able to collect from other quarters of his mafter's general inclination or intentions.

Mr. Hume, in his fourth Appendix to his Principles of Morals, has been pleafed to complain of the modern fcheme of uniting Ethics with the Cbriftian Theology. They who find themfelves difpofed to join in this complaint will do well to obferve what Mr. Hume himfelf has been able to make of morality without this union. And for that purpofe, let them read the fecond part of the ninth fection of the above eflay; which part contains the practical application of the whole treatife - a treatife, which Mr. Hume declares to be "incomparably the beft he ever " wrote," When they have read it over, lee them confider, whether any motives there propofed are likely to be found fufficient to withhold men from the gratification of luft, revenge, envy, ambition, avarice; or to prevent the exiftence of thefe paffions. Unlefs they rife up from this celebrated effay, with fronger inpreffions upon their minds than it ever left upon mine, they will acknowlectge the neceffity of additional fancions. But the neceflity of thefe fanctions is not now the queftion. If they be in face efrablijoust,
blifhed, if the rewards and punihments held forth in the gofpel will actually come to pafs, they muft be confidered. Such as reject the Chriftian religion are to make the beft thift they can to build up a fyftem, and lay the foundation of morality without it. But it appears to me, a great inconfiftency in thofe who receive Chriftianity, and expect fomething to come of it, to endeavour to keep all fuch expectations out of fight in their reafonings concerning human duty.

The method of coming at the will of God, concerning any action, by the light of nature, is to inquire into " the tendency of the action " to promote or diminifh the general happinefs." This rule proceeds upon the prefumption, that God Almighty wills and wifhes the happinefs of his creatures; and, confequently, that thofe actions, which promote that will and wifh, mult be agreeable to him ; and the contrary.

As this prefumption is the foundation of our whole fyftem, it becomes neceffary to explain the reafons upon which it refts.

## CHAP. V.

THEDIVINE BENEVOLENCE.

WHEN God created the human rpecies, either he wifhed their happinefs or he wihed their mifery, or he was indifferent and unconcerned about both.

If he had wifhed our mifery, he might have made fure of his purpofe, by forming our fenfes to be fo many fores and pains to us, as they are now inftruments of gratification and enjoyment; or by placing us amidft objects fo ill fuited to our perceptions, as to have continually offended us, inftead of minittering to our refrehment and delight. He might have made, for example, every thing we tafted bitter; every thing we faw loathfome; every thing wè touched a fing; every frmell a ftench; and every found a difcord.

If he had been indifferent about our happinefs or mifery, we muft impute to our good fortune (as all defign by this fuppofition is excluded) both the capacity of our fenfes to re-
ceive pleafure, and the fupply of external objects fitted to produce it.

But either of thefe (and ftill more both of them) being too much to be attributed to accident, nothing remains but the firf fuppofition, that God, when he created the human fpecies, wifhed their happinels; and made for them the provifion which he has made, with that view, and for that purpofe.

The fame argument may be propofed in different terms, thus: Contrivance proves defign; and the predominant tendency of the contrivance indicates the difpofition of the defigner. The world abounds with contrivances; and all the contrivances which we are acquainted with, are directed to beneficial purpofes. Evil no doubt exifts; but is never, that we can perceive, the object of contrivance. Teeth are contrived to eat, not to ache; their aching now and then is iacidental to the contrivance, perhaps infeparable from it: or even, if you will, let it be called a defect in the contrivance; but it is not the object of it. This is a diftinction which well deferves to be attended to. In defcribing implements of hufbaindry, you would hardly fay of the fickle, that it is made to cut the reaper's fingers, though, from the conftruction of the F 2 inftru-
inftrument, and the manner of ufing it, this mifchief often happens. But if you had occafion to defcribe inftruments of torture or execution, this engine, you would fay, is to extend the finews; this to diflocate the joints; this to break the bones; this to fcorch the foles of the feet. Here pain and mifery are the very objects of the contrivance. Now nothing of this fort is to be found in the works of nature. We never difcover a train of contrivance to bring about an evil purpofe. No anatomift ever difcovered a fyftern of organization calculated to produce pain and difeafe; or, in explaining the parts of the human body, ever faid, this is to irritate; this to inflame; this duct is to convey the gravel to the kidneys; this gland to fecrete the humour which forms the gout: if by chance he come at a part of which he knows not the ufe, the moft he can fay is, that it is ufelefs; no one ever fufpects that it is put there to incommode, to annoy, or to torment. Since then God hath called forth his confummate wifdom to contrive and provide for our happinefs, and the world appears to have been conflituted with this defign at firf, fo long as this conftitution is upholden by him, we muft in reafon fuppofe the fame defign to continue.

The contemplation of univerfal nature rather bewilders the mind than affects it. There is always a bright fpot in the profpect, upon which the eye refts; a fingle example, perhaps, by which each man finds himfelf more convinced than by all others put together. I feem, for my own part, to fee the benevolence of the Deity more clearly in the pleafures of very young children, than in any thing in the world. The pleafures of grown perfons may be reckoned partly of their own procuring ; efpecially if there has been any induftry, or contrivance, or purfuit, to come at them; or if they are founded, like mufic, painting, \&cc. upon any qualification of their own acquiring. But the pleafures of a healthy infant are fo manifefly provided for it by anotber, and the benevolence of the provifion is fo unqueftionable, that every child I fee at its fport affords to my mind a kind of fenfible evidence of the finger of God, and of the difpofition which directs it.

But the example, which ftrikes each man moft ftrongly, is the true example for him ; and hardly two minds hit upon the fame; which thews the abundance of fuch examples about us.

We conclude, therefore, that God wills and
wifhes the happinefs of his creatures. And this conclufion being once eftablifhed, we are at liberty to go on with the rule built upon it, namely, " that the method of coming at the " will of God, concerning any action, by the " light of nature, is to inquire into the ten"dency of that action to promote or diminifh " the general happinefs."

## C HAP. VI.

## UTILITY.

SO then actions are to be eftimated by their tendency*. Whatever is expedient is right. It is the utility of any moral rule alone which conftitutes the obligation of it.

[^5]But to all this there feems a plain objection, viz. that many'actions are ufeful, which no man in his fenfes will allow to be right. There are occafions, in which the hand of the affaffin would be very ufeful. The prefent poffeffor of fome great eftate employs his influence and fortune, to annoy, corrupt, or opprefs all about him. His eftate would devolve, by his death, to a fucceffor of an oppofite character. It is ufeful, therefore, to difpatch fuch a one as foon as poffible out of the way; as the neighbour, hood will exchange thereby a pernicious tyrant for a wife and generous benefactor. It might be ufeful to rob a mifer, and give the money to the poor; as the money, no doubt, would produce more happinefs, by being laid out in food and clothing for half a dozen diftreffed families, than by continuing locked up in a mifer's cheft. It may be ufeful to get poffeffion of a place, a piece of preferment, or of a feat in parliament, by bribery or falle fwearing; as by means of them we may ferve the public more effectually than in our private ftation. What then fhall we fay? Muft we admit thefe actions to be right, which would be to juftify affaffination, plunder, and perjury ; or muft we give up our princifle, that the criterion of right is utility ?

It is not neceffary to do either.
The true anfwer is this; that thefe actions, after all, are not ufeful, and for that reafon, and that alone, are not right.

To fee this point perfectly, it muft be obferved that the bad confequences of actions are twofold, particular and general.

The particular bad confequence of an action is, the mifchief which that fingle action directly and immediately occafions.

The general bad confequence is, the violation of fome neceffary or ufeful general rule.

Thus, the particular bad confequence of the affaffination above defcribed is the fright and pain which the deceafed underwent ; the lofs he fuffered of life, which is as valuable to a bad man, as to a good one, or more fo; the prejudice and affliction, of which his death was the occafion, to his family, friends, and dependants.

The general bad confequence is the violation of this neceffary general rule, that no man be put to death for his crimes, but by public authority.

Although, therefore, fuch an action have no particular bad confequence, or greater particular good confequences, yet it is not ufeful, by reaion of the general confequence, which is of
more importance, and which is evil. And the fame of the other two inftances, and of a million more, which might be mentioned.

But as this folution fuppofes, that the moral government of the world muft proceed by general rules, it remains that we fhew the neceffity of this.

## C H A P. VII.

## THE NECESSITY OF GENERAL RULES.

YOU cannot permit one action and forbid another, without fhewing a difference between them. Confequently the fame fort of actions muft be generally permitted or generally forbidden. Where, therefore, the general permiffion of them would be pernicious, it becomes neceffary to lay down and fupport the rule which generally forbids them.

Thus, to return once more to the cafe of the affafin. The affaffin knocked the rich villaia on the head, becaufe he thought him better out of the way than in it. If you allow this excufe in the prefent inftance, you muft allow it

## 74 the necessity of general rules.

to all who act in the fame manner, and from the fame motive; that is, you muft allow every man to kill any one he meets, whom he thinks noxious or ufelefs; which, in the event, would be to commit every man's life and fafery to the fpleen, fury, and fanaticifm of his neighboura difpofition of affairs which would foon fill the world with mifery and confufion; and ere long put an end to human fociety, if not to the human fpecies.

The neceffity of general rules in human governmeuts is apparent: but whether the fame neceflity fubfift in the divine reconomy, in that diftribution of rewards and punifhments to which a moralif looks forward, may be doubted.

I anfiwer, that general rules are neceffary to every moral government; and by moral government 1 mean any difpenfation, whofe object is to influence the conduct of reafonable creatures.

For if, of two actions perfectly fimilar, one be punifhed, and the other be rewarded or forgiven, which is the confequence of rejecting general rules, the fubjects of fuch a difpenfation would no longer know, either what to expect or how to act. Rewards and punifhments would ceafe to be fuch-would become accidents. Like the Aroke of a thunderbolt, or the difcovery of
a mine,
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a mine, like a blank or a benefit ticket in a lottery, they would occafion pain or pleafure when they happened; but, following in no known order, from any particular courfe of action, they could have no previous influence or effect upon the conduct.

An attention to general rules, therefore, is included in the very idea of reward and punifhment. Confequently whatever reafon there is to expect future reward and punifhment at the hand of God, there is the fame reafon to believe, that he will proceed in the diftribution of it by general rules.

Before we profecute the confideration of general confequences any farther, it may be proper to anticipate a reflection, which will be apt enough to fuggeft itfelf in the progrefs of our argument.

As the general confequence of an action, upon which fo much of the guilt of a bad action depends, confifts in the example; it thould feem, that, if the action be done with perfect fecrecy, fo as to furnifh no bad example, that part of the guilt drops off. In the cafe of fuicide, for inftance, if a man can fo manage matters, as to take
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take away his own life, without being known or fufpected to have done $\{$ ( , he is not chargeable with any mifchief from the example; nor does his punifhment feem neceffary, in order to fave the authority of any general rule.

In the firt place, thofe who reafon in this manner do not obferve, that they are fetting up a general rule, of all others the leaft to be endured; namely, that fecrecy, whenever fecrecy. is practicable, will juftify any action.

Were fuch a rule admitted, for inftance, in the cafe above produced, is there not reafon to fear that people would be difappearing perpetually?

In the next place, I would wifh them to be well fatisfied about the points propofed in the following queries :

1. Whether the fcriptures do not teach us to expect that, at the general judgment of the world, the moft fecret actions will be brought to light*?
2. For what purpofe can this be, but to make them the objects of reward and punifhment?
3. Whether, being fo brought to light, they will not fall under the operation of thofe equal

* "In the day when God fhall judge the fecrets of men " by Jefus Chrift." Rom. xi. 16.-"Judge nothing before "the time until the Lord come, who will bring to light the " hidden things of darknefs, and will make manifeft the cour" fels of the heart." I Cor. iv. 5 .
and impartial rules, by which God will deal with his creatures?

They will then become examples, whatever they be now; and require the fame treatment from the judge and governor of the moral world, as if they had been detected from the firlt.

## CHAP. VIII.

## THE CONSIDERATION OF GENERAL CONSEQUENCES PURSUED.

THE general confequence of any action may be eftimated, by alking what would be the confequence, if the fame fort of actions were generally permitted.-But fuppofe they were, and a thoufand fuch actions perpetrated under this permiffion; is it juft to charge a fingle action with the collected guilt and mifchief of the whole thoufand? I anfwer, that the reafon for prohibiting and punifhing an action (and this reafon may be called the guilt of the action, if you pleafe) will always be in proportion to the whole mifchief that would arife
from
from the general impunity and toleration of actions of the fame fort.
"Whatever is expedient is right." But then it muft be expedient upon the whole, at the long run, in all its effects collateral and remote, as well as in thofe which are immediate and direct; as it is obvious, that, in computing confequences, it makes no difference in what way or at what diftance they enfue.

To imprefs this doctrine upon the minds of young readers, and to teach them to extend their views beyond the immediate mifchief of a crime, I thall here fubjoin a ftring of inftances, in which the particular confequence is comparatively infignificant; and where the malignity of the crime, and the feverity with which human laws purfue it, is almoft entirely founded upon the general confequence.

The particular confequence of coining is, the lofs of a guinea, or of half a guinea, to the perfon who receives the counterfeit money; the general confequence (by which I mean the confequence that would enfue, if the fame practice were generally permitted) is, to abolifh the ufe of money.

The particular confequence of forgery is, a damage of twenty or thirty pounds to the man
who accepts the forged bill: the general confequence is, the ftoppage of paper currency.

The particular confequence of fheep-ftealing, or horfe-ftealing, is, a lofs to the owner, to the amount of the value of the fheep or horfe folen : the general confequence is, that the land could not be occupied, nor the market fupplied with this kind of tock.

The particular confequence of breaking into a houfe empty of inhabitants is, the lofs of a pair of filver candleflicks, or a few fpoons: the general confequence is, that nobody could leave their houfe empty.

The particular confequence of fmuggling may be a deduction from the national fund too minute for computation: the general confequence is, the deftruction of one entire branch of public revenue; a proportionable increafe of the burthen upon other branches; and the ruin of all fair and open trade in the article fmuggled. .

The particular confequence of an officer's breaking his parole is, the lofs of a prifoner, who was poffibly not worth keeping : the general confequence is, that this mitigation of captivity would be refufed to all ohers.

And what proves inconteftably the fuperior importance of general confequences is, that crimes
are the fame, and treated in the fame manner, though the particular confequence be very different. The crime and fate of the houfe-breaker is the fame, whether his booty be five pounds or fifty. And the reafon is, that the general confequence is the fame.

The want of this diftinction between particular and general confequences; or rather the not fufficiently attending to the latter, is the caufe of that perplexity which we meet with in ancient moralifts. On the one hand, they were fenfible of the abfurdity of pronouncing actions good or evil, without regard to the good or evil they produced. On the other hand, they were ftartled at the conclufions to which a fteady adherence to confequences feemed fometimes to conduct them. To relieve this difficulty, they contrived the то шpধтov, or the bonefum, by which terms they meant to conflitute a meafure of right, diftinct from utility. Whilft the utile ferved them, that is, whill it correfponded with their habitual notions of the rectitude of actions, they went by it. When they fell in with fuch cafes as thofe mentioned in the fixth chapter, they took leave of their guide, and reforted to the boneflum. The only account they could give of the matter was, that thefe actions might be ufeful; but, be-
caufe they were not at the fame time boneffa, they were by no means to be deemed juft or right.

From the principles delivered in this and the two preceding chapters, a maxim may be explained, which is in every man's mouth, and in moft men's without meaning, viz. " not to do " evil, that good may come :" that is, let us not violate a general rule, for the fake of any particular good confequence we may expect. Which is for the moft part a falutary caution, the advantage feldom compenfating for the violation of the rule. Strictly feaking, that cannot be " evil," from which " good comes;" but in this way, and with a view to the diftinction between particular and general confequences, it may.

We will conclude this fubject of confequences with the following reflection. A man may imagine, that any action of his, with refpect to the public, muft be inconfiderable: fo alfo is the agent. If his crime produce but a fmall effect upon the univerfal interef, his punifhment or defruction bears a fmall proportion to the fum of happinefs and mifery in the creation.

## CHAP. IX.
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RIG HT and obligation are reciprocal; that is, wherever there is a right in one perfon, there is a correfponding obligation upon others. If one man has a "right" to an eftate ; others are "obliged" to abftain from it :-llf parents have a " right" to reverence from their children; children are " obliged" to reverence their parents; and fo in all other inftances.

Now, becaufe moral obligation depends, as we have feen, upon the will of God, rigbt, which is correlative to it, mult depend upon the fame. Right therefore fignifies, conffency witb the will of God.

But if the divine will determine the diftinction of right and wrong, what elfe is it but an identical propofition, to fay of God, that he acts rigbt ? or how is it poffible to conceive even that he fhould act wrong? Yet thefe affertions are intelligible and fignificang The cafe is this:

By virtue of the two principles, that God wills the happinefs of his creatures, and that the will of God is the meafure of right and wrong, we arrive at certain conclufions; which conclufions become rules; and we foon learn to pronounce actions right or wrong, according as they agree or difagree with our rules, without looking any farther: and when the habit is once eftablihed of Aopping at the rules, we can go back and compare with thefe rules even the divine conduct itfelf, and yet it may be true (only not obferved by us at the time) that the rules themfelves are deduced from the divine will.

Right is a quality of perfons or of actions.
Of perfons; as when we fay, fuch a one has 2 "right" to this eftate : parents have a " right" to reverence from their children; the king to allegiance from his fubjects; mafters have a "right" to their fervants' labour; a man bas not a "right" over his own life.

Of actions; as in fuch expreffions as the following : it is "right" to punifh murder with death; his behaviour on that occafion was "right;" it is not " right" to fend an unfortunate debtor to jail ; he did or acted "right," who gave up his place, rather than vote againft his judgment.

In this latter fet of exprefions, you may fubfitute the definition of right above given for the term itfelf, v. g. it is "confiftent with the will " of God" to punifh murder with death-hin behaviour on that occafion was " confiftent with " the will of God"-it is not " confiftent with "the will of God" to fend an unfortunate debtor to jaib-he did, or acted, "confiftently with the " will of God," who gave up his place rather than vote againft his judgment.

In the former fet, you muft vary the conftruction a little, when you introduce the definition inftead of the term. Such a one has a "right" to this eftate, that is, it is " confiftent with the " will of God," that fuch a one fhould have it-Parents have a " right" to reverence from their children, that is, it is " confiftent with the "i will of God," that children fhould reverence their parents; and the fame of the reft.

CHAP.

## CHAP. X.

THEDIVISION OF RIGHTS.

RIGHTS, when applied to perfons; are Natural or adventitious.
Alienable or unalienable.
Perfect or imperfect.
I. Rights are natüral or adventitious.

Natural rights are fuch as would belong to a man, although there fubfifted in the world no civil government whatever.

Adventitious rights are fuch as wonld not.
Natural rigbts are, a man's right to his life, limbs, and liberty; his right to the produce of his perfonal labour; to the ufe, in common with others, of air, light, water. If a thoufand different perfons, from a thoufand different corners of the world, were caft together upon a defert uffand, they would from the firlt be every one curitled to thefe rights.

Adventitious rigbts are, the right of a King over
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his
his fubjects; of a General over his foldiers; of a Judge over the life and liberty of a prifoner; a right to elect or appoint magiftrates, to impore taxes, decide difputes, direct the defcent or difpofition of property; a right, in a word, in any one man, or particular body of men, to make laws and regulations for the reft. For none of thefe rights would exift in the newly inhabited ifland.

And here it will be afked how adventitious rights are created; or, which is the fame thing, how any new rights can accrue from the eftar blifhment of civil fuciety; as rights of all kinds, we remember, depend upon the will of God, and civil fociety is but the ordinance and inftitution of man. For the folution of this difficulty, we mult return to our firft principles. God wills the happinefs of mankind, and the exiftence of civil fociety, as conducive to that happinefs. Confequently, many things, which are ufeful for the fupport of civil fociety in general, or for the conduct and confervation of particular focieties already eftablifhed, are, for that reafon, "confiftent with the will of God," or "right," which, without that reafon, i. e. without the eftablifhment of civil fociety, would not have been fo.

From

From whence alfo it appears, that adventid tious rights, though immediately derived from human appointment, are not; fot that reafon, lefs facred than natural rights, nor the obligation. to refpect them lefs cogent. They both ultimately tely upon the fame authority, the will of God. Such a man claims a right to a particular eftate. He can fhew, it is true, nothing for his right; but a rule of the civil community to which he belongs ; and this rule may be arbitrary, capricious, and abfurd. Notwithftanding all this; there would be the fame fin in difpoffefing the man of his eftate by craft or violence, as if it Had been affigned to him, like the partition of the country amongft the twelve tribes, by the immediate defignation and appointment of Heaven.
"II. Rights are alienable or unalienable. Which terms explain themfelves.
The right we have to moft of thofe things which we call property, as houfes, lands; money; sci. is alienable;

The right of a prince over his people, of a hufband over his wife, of a mafter over his fertant, is generally and naturally unalienable.

The diftinction depends upon the mode of acquiring the right. If the right originate from a contract; and be limited to the perfon by the
exprefs terms of the contract, or by the combmon interpretation of fuch contracts (which is equivalent to an exprefs Atipulation), or by a perfonal condition annexed to the right; then it is unalienable. In all other cafes it is alienable.

The right to civil liberty is alienable; though in the vehemence of men's zeal for it , aud in the language of fome political remonftrances, it has often been pronounced to be an unalienable right. The true reafon why mankind hold in deteftation the memory of thofe who have fold their liberty to a tyrant, is, that, together with their own, they fold commonly, or endangered, the liberty of others; which certainly they had no right to difpofe of.
III. Rights are perfect or imperfect.

Perfect rights may be afferted by foree, or, what in civil fociety comes into the place of private force, by courfe of law.

Imperfect rights may not.
Examples of perfect rights. A man's right to his life, perfon, houfe; for, if thefe be attacked, he may repel the attack by inftant violence, or punifh the aggreffor by law : a man's right to his eftate, furniture, clothes, money, and to all ordinary articles of property; for, if they be injurioully taken from him, he may compel the
zuthor of ethe injury to make reflitution or fatiffaction.

Examples of imperfect rights. In elections or appaistments to offices, where the qualifications are prefcribed, the beft qualliied candidate hea a right to fuccefs; yet, if he be rejected, he has no remedy. He can neither feize the office by force, nor obtain redrefs at law ; his right thenafore is imperfect. A poor neighbour has a right to ralief; yet, if it be refufed him, he muft not extort it. A benefactor has a right to returns of gratitude from the perfon be has obliged; yet, if he meet with none, he mult acquiefce. Children have a right to affection and education from their parents; and parents, on their part, to duty and reverence from their children; yet, if thefe rights be on either fide withholden, there is no compulfion by which they can be enforced.

If may be at firft view difficult to apprehend how a perfon fhould have a right to a thing, and yet have no. right to afe the means neceffary to ebrain it. This difficulty, like mott others in mocality, is refotrable into the neceffity of general rules. The seader recodiocts, that a profon is Geid to have a "right" 2 a thing, when is "confiftent with the will of God" that he Mould
mould poffefs it. So that the queftion is reduced to this; how it comes to pafs that it fhould be confiftent with the will of God that a perfon thould poffefs a thing, and yet not be cons fiftent with the fame will that he fhould ufe force to obtain it? The anfwer is, that by reafon of the indeterminatenefs, either of the object, or of the circumftances of the right, the permiffion of force in this cafe would, in its confequence, lead to the permifion of force in other cafes, where there exifted no right at all. The candidate above defcribed has, no doubt, a right to fuccefs; but his right depends upon his qualifications, for inftance, upon his comparative virtue, learning, \&ac. there mult be fomebody therefore to compare them. The exiftence, degree, and refpective importance of there:qualifications are all indeterminate: there muft. be fomebody therefore to determine them. . To allow the candidate to demand fuccefs by: force, is. to make him the judge of his own qualifications. You cannot do this, but you muft make all other candidates the fame; which would open a door to demands without number, reafon, or right: In like manner; a poor men has : $x$ righo to relief from the rich; but the mode, fealon; and quantum of that relief, who fuall contributi
to it, or how much, are not afcertained. Yet thefe points mult be afcertained, before a claim to relief can be profecuted by force. For, to allow the poor to afcertain them for themfelves, would be to expofe property to fo many of thefe claims, that it would lofe its value,'or rather its nature, that is, ceafe indeed to be property. The fame obfervation holds of all other cafes of imperfect rights; not to mention, that in the inftances of gratitude, affection, reverence, and the like, force is excluded by the very idea of the duty, which muft be voluntary, or cannot exift at allo:

Wherever the right is imperfect, the correfponding obligation is fo too. I am obliged to prefer the beft candidate, to relieve the poon be grateful to my benefactors, take care of my children, and reverence my parents; but, in all thefe cafes, my obligation, like their right, is imperfect.

I call thefe obligations " imperfect," in conformity to the eftablifhed language s, of writers upon the fubject. The term howfever feems ill chofen on this account, that it leads many to imagine, that there is lefs guilt in the violation of an imperfect obligation, than of a perfect one. Which is a groundlefs notion. For an obligation being perfed or imperfect, determines only whether
ther violeice may or may not be employed to enforce it; and determines nothing elfe. The degree of guilt incurred by violating the obligation is a different thing, and is determined by circumftances altogether independent of this diftinction. A man who by a partial, prejudiced, or corrupt vote, difappoints a worthy candidate of a ftation in life, upon which has hopes, puffibly, or livelihood depended, and who thereby grievoully difcourages merit and emwlation in others, commits, I am perfuaded, a much greater crime, than if he filched a book dut of a library, or picked a pocket of a irnandkerchief; though in the one cafe he violates only an imperfect right, in the other a perfect one.

As pofitive precepts are often indeterminate in then extert, and as the indeterminatenefs of an obligation is that which makes it inperfed; $\boldsymbol{k}$ comes to pifg, that pofitive precepts coninionly produce an imperfect obligation.

Negative precepts or prohibitions, beitg get merafly precife, conftute accordingly perfeci obs ligations.

The fifth commandment is poftive, and the duty which refufts from it is imperfect.

The fixth commandment is negative, and impofes a perfect obligation.

Religion and virtue find their principal exercife amongft thee imperfecty obligations; the laws of civil fociety taking pretty good care of the reft.

## CHAP.

## CHAP. XI.

THE GENERAL RIGHTS OF MANKIND.

BY the general Rights of Mankind, I mean the rights which belong to the fpecies collectively; the original ftock, as I may fay, which they have fince diftributed among themfelves.

Thefe are,
I. A right to the fruits or vegetable produce of the earth.

The infenfible parts of the creation are incapable of injury; and it is nugatory to enquire into the right, where the ufe can be attended with no injury. But it may be worth obferving, for the fake of an inference which will appear below, that, as God has created us with a want and defire of food, and provided things fuited by their nature to fuftain and fatisfy us, we may fairly prefume, that he intended we fhould apply thefe things to that purpofe.
II. A right to the felh of animals.

This is a very different claim from the former.' Some excufe feems neceffary for the pain and lofs which we occafion to brutes, by reftraining them of their liberty, mutilating their bodies, and, at laft, putting an end to their lives, (which we fuppofe to be the whole of their exiftence,) for our pleafure or conveniency.

The reafons alleged in vindication of this practice, are the following: that the feveral fpecies of brutes being created to prey upon one another, affords a kind of analogy to prove that the human fpecies were intended to feed upon them; that, if let alone, they would overrun the earth, and exclude mankind from the occupation of it; that they are requited for what they fuffer at our hands, by our care and protection.

Upon which reafons I would obferve, that the analogy contended for is extremely lame; fince brutes have no power to fupport life by any other means, and fince we have; for the whole human fpecies might fubfift entirely upon fruit, pulfe, herbs, and roots, as many tribes of Hindoos actually do. The two other reafons may be valid reafons, as far as they go; for, no. doubt, if man had been fupported entirely by vegetable food, a great part of thofe animals which
which die to furnith his table, would never have lived: but they by no means juftify our right over the lives of brutea to the extent in which we exercife it. What danger is there, for inftance, of filh interfering with us, in the occupation of their element ? Or what do we contribute to their fupport or prefervation?

It feems to.me, that it would be difficult to defend this right by any arguments which the light and order of nature afford; and that we are beholden for it to the permiffion recorded in feripture, Gen. ix. 1, 2, 3: "And God ${ }^{4}$ blelfed Noah and his fons, and faid unto them, " Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenifh the " earth; and the fear of you, and the dread " of you, thall be upon every beaft of the " earth, and upon every fowl of the air, and "upon all that moveth upon the earth, and " upon all the fighes of the fea; into your hand " are they delivered: every moving thing thall " be meat for you; even as the green herb, " bave I given you all things." To Adam and his pofterity bad been granted at the creation, "every green herb for meat," and nothing more. In the laft claufe of the paffage now produced, the old grant is recited, and extended to the flefh of animals, "even as the green herb,
"have I given you all things." But this was not till after the flood; the inhabitants of the antediluyian world therefore had no fuch per: miffion, that we know of. Whether they actually refrained from the flefh of animals, is another queftion. Abel, we read, was a keeper of Theep, and for what purpofe he kept them, except for food, is difficult to fay (unlefs it were facrifices) : might not, however, fome of the ftricter fects among the antediluvians be fcrupulous as to this point? and might not Noah and his family be of this defuription? for it is not probable that God would publifh a permiffion, to authorize a practice which had never been difputed.

Wanton, and, what is worfe, fudied cruelty to brutes, is certainly wrong, as coming within none of thefe reafons.

From reafon then, or revelation, or from both together, it appears to be God Almighty's intention, that the productions of the earth fhould be applied to the fultentation of human dife. Confequently, all wafte and mifapplication of thefe productions, is contrary to the divine invol. I. $H$ tention
tention and will; and therefore wrong, for the fame reafon that any other crime is fo. Such as, what is related of William the Conqueror, the converting of twenty manors into a foref for hunting, or, which is not much better, fuffering them to continue in that ftate; or the letting of large tracts of land lie barren, becaufe the owner cannot cultivate them, nor will part with them to thofe who can ; or deftroying, or fuffering to perifh great part of an article of human. provifion, in order to enhance the price of the remainder, which is faid to have been, till lately, the cafe with fifh caught upon the Englifh coaft; or diminifhing the breed of animals, by a wanton, or improvident confumption of the young, as of the fpawn of shell-fifh, or the fry of falmon, by the ufe of unlawful nets, or at improper feafons : to this head may alfo be referred, what is the fame evil in a fmaller way, the expending of human food on fuperfluous dogs or horfes; and laftly, the reducing of the quantity, in order to alter the quality, and to alter it generally for the worfe; as the diftillation of firits from bread corn, the boiling down of folid meat for fauces, effences, \&\&c.

This feems to be the leffon which our Saviour, after his manner, inculcates, when he bids his
difciples
difciples " gather up the fragments, that no"thing be loft." And it opens indced a new field of duty. Schemes of wealth or profit, prompt the active part of mankind to caft about, how they may convert their property to the moft advantage: and their own advantage, and that of the public, commonly concur. But it has not as yet entered into the minds of mankind, to reflect that it is a duty, to add what we can to the common ftock of provifion, by extracting out of our eftatcs the moft they will yield; or that it is any fin to neglect this.

From the fame intention of God Almighty, we alfo deduce another conclufion, namely, " that nothing ought to be made exclufive pro" perty, which can be conveniently enjoyed in "common."

It is the general intention of God Almighty, that the produce of the earth be applied to the ufe of marr. This appears from the conftitution of nature, or, if you will, from his exprefs declaration; and this is all that appears at firft. Under this general donation, one man has the fame right as another. You pluck an apple from a tree, or take a lamb out of a flock, for your immediate ufe and nourifhment, and I do the fame; and we both plead for what we
do, the general intention of the Supreme Proprietor. So far all is right; but you cannot claim the whole tree, or the whole flock, and exclude me from any fhare of them, and plead this general intention for what you do. The plea will not ferve you: you muft thew fomething more. You mut fhew, by probable arguments at leaft, that it is God's intention, that thefe things fhould be parcelled out to individuals ; and that the eftablifhed diftribution, under which you claim, fhould be upheld. Shew me this, and I am fatisfied. But until this be fhewn, the general intention, which has been made appear, and which is all that does appear, muft prevail; and, under that, my title is as good as yours. Now there is no argument to induce fuch a prefumption, but one, that the thing cannot be enjoyed at all, or enjoyed with the fame, or with nearly the fame advantage, while it continues in common, as when appropriated. This is true, where there is not enough for all, or where the article in queftion requires care or labour in the production or prefervation: but where no fuch reafon obtains, and the thing is in its nature capable of being enjoyed by as many as will, it feems an arbitrary ufurpation upon the rights of mankind, to confine the ufe of it to any.

If a medicinal fpring were difcovered in a piece of ground which was private property, copious enough for every purpofe to which it could be applied, I would award a compenfation to the owner of the field, and a liberal profit to the author of the difcovery, efpecially if he had beftowed pains or expence upon the fearch ; but 1 queftion whether any human laws would be juftified, or would juftify the owner, in prohibiting mankind from the ufe of the water, or fetting fuch a price upon it, as would almoft amount to a prohibition.

If there be fifheries, which are inexhauftible, as the cod-filhery upon the Banks of Newfoundland, and the herring-fifhery in the Britifh feas are faid to be; then all thofe conventions, by which one or two nations claim to themfelves, and guarantee to each other, the exclufive enjoyment of the fe fifheries, are fo many encroachments upon the general rights of mankind.

Upon the fame principle may be determined a queftion, which makes a great figure in books of natural law, utrum mare jit liberum; that is, as I underftand it, whether the exclufive right of navigating particular feas, or a control over the navigation of thefe feas, can be claimed, confiftently with the law of nature, by any nation?

What is neceffary for each nation's fafety we allow; as their own bays, creeks, and harbours, the fea contiguous to, that is, within cannonfhot, or three leagues of their coaft: and upon this principle of fafety (if upon any principle) muft be defended, the claim of the Venetian ftate to the Adriatic, of Denmark to the Baltic fea, and of Great Britian to the feas which inveft the ifland. But, when Spain afferts a right to the Pacific ocean, or Portugal to the Indian feas, or when any nation extends its pretenfions much beyond the limits of its own territories, they erect a claim which interferes with the benevolent defigns of Providence, and which no human authority can juftify.
III. Another right, which may be called a general right, as it is incidental to every man who is in a fituation to clain it, is the right of extreme neceffity : by which is meant, a right to ufe or deftroy another's property, when it is neceffary for our own prefervation to do fo; as a right to take, without or againft the owner's leave, the firt food, clothes, or thelter we meet with, when we are in danger of perifhing through want of them; a right to throw goods overboard, to fave the fhip; or to pull down a houfe, in order to ftop the progrefs of a fire;
and a few other inftances of the fame kind. Of which right the foundation feems to be this, that, when property was firft inftituted, the inftitution was not intended to operate to the defruction of any ; therefore, when fuch confequences would follow, all regard to it is fuperfeded. Or rather, perhaps, thefe are the few cafes, where the particular confequence exceeds the general confequence ; where the remote mifchief refulting from the violation of the general rule, is over-balanced by the immediate advantage.

Reftitution however is due, when in our power; becaufe the laws of property are to be adhered to, fo far as confifts with fafety; and becaufe reftitution, which is one of thofe laws, fuppofes the danger to be over. But what is to be reftored ? Not the full value of the property deftroyed, but what it was worth at the time of deftroying it, which, confidering the danger it was in of perifhing, might be very little.

## MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

## BOOK III.

RELATIVE DUTIES.

P A R T I.

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH ARE DETER MINATE.

## C H A P. I.



OF PROPERTY.

TF you fhould fee a flock of pigeons in a field of corn; and if initead of each picking where, and what it liked, taking juft as much as it wanted, and no more) you fhould fee ninety-nine of them gathering all they got into a heap; referving notbing for themfelves, but the
the chaff and refufe ; keeping this heap for one, and that the weakeft perhaps and worlt pigeon of the flock; fitting round, and looking on all the winter, whillt this one was devouring, throwing about and wafting it ; and, if a pigeon more hardy or hungry than the reft, touched a grain of the hord, all the others inftantly flying upon it, and tearing it to pieces: if you frould fee this, you would fee nothing more than what is every day practifed and eftablifhed among men. Among men you fee the ninety and nine, toiling and fcraping together a heap of fuperfluities for one; (and this one too, oftentimes the feebleft and worlt of the whole fet, a child, a woman, a madman, or a fool;) getting nothing for themfelves all the while, but a little of the coarfeft of the provifion, which their own induftry produces; looking quietly on, while they fee the fruits of all their labour fpent or fpoiled; and if one of the number take or touch a particle of the hord, the others joining againt him, and hanging him for the theft.

## [ 107 ]

## CHAP. II.

> THEUSEOFTHEINSTITUTIONOF PROPERTY.

THERE muft be fome very important advantages to account for an inftitution, which in the view of it above given is fo paradoxical and unnatural.

The principal of thefe advantages are the following:
I. It increafes the produce of the earth.

The earth, in climates like ours, produces little without cultivation; and none would be found willing to cultivate the ground, if others were to be admitted to an equal thare of the produce. The fame is true of the care of flocks and herds of tame animals.

Crabs and acorns, red deer, rabbits, game, and fifh, are all which we fhould have to fubfift upon in this country, if we trufted to the fpontaneous productions of the foil : and it fares not much better
better with other countries. A nation of North American favages, conlifting of two or three hundred, will take up, and be half-ftarved upon a tract of land, whtich in Europe, and with European management, would be fufficient for the maintenance of as many thoulands.

In fome fertile foils, together with great abundance of fifh upon their coafts, and in regions where clothe nee unneceffary, a confiderable degree of popuinis - $\therefore$ ? fublift without property in land; which is tine cale in the iflands of Otaheite : but in lefs favourid fituations, as in the country of New Zealand, though this fort of property obtain in a finall degree, the inhabitants, for want of a more fecure and regular eftablifhment of it, are driven ofttimes by the fcarcity of provifion to devour one another.
II. It preferves the produce of the earth to maturity.

We may judge what wrould be the effects of a community of right to the produations of the earth, from the trifing fpccimens which we fee of it at prefent. A cherry-tree in a hodge-row, nuts in a wood, the grals of an unlinted pafture, are feldom of much advantage to any body, becaufe people do not wait fer the proper feafon of reaping them. Corn, if any where fown, would
never ripen; lambs and calves would never grow up to fheep and cows, becaufe the firlt perfon that met with them would reflect, that he had better take them as they are, than leave them for another.
III. It prevents contefts.

War and wafte, tumnlt and confufion ${ }_{5}$ muft be unavoidable and eternal, where there is not enough for all, and where there are no rules to adjuft the divifion.
IV. It improves the conveniency of living.

This it does two ways. It enables mankind to divide themfelves into diftinct profeffions; which is impoffible, unlefs a man can exchange the productions of his own art for what he wants from others; and exchange implies property. Much of the advantage of civilized over favage life depends upon this: When a man is from neceflity his own taylor, tent-maker, carpenter, cook, huntfman, and filherman, it is not probable that he will be expert at any of his callings. Hence the rude habitations, furniture, clothing, and implements of favages; and the tedious length of time which all their operations require.

It likewife encourages thofe arts, by which the accommodations of human life are fupplied,
by appropriating to the artift the benefit of his difcoveries and improvements; without which appropriation, ingenuity will never be exerted with effect.

Upon thefe feveral accounts we may venture, with a few exceptions, to pronounce, that even the pooreft and the worft provided, in countries where property and the confequences of property prevail, are in a better fituation, with refpect to food, raiment, houfes, and what are called the neceffaries of life, than any are, in places where moft things remain in common.

The balance, therefore, upon the whole, muft preponderate in favour of property with a manifeft and great excefs.

Inequality of property in the degree in which it exifts in moft countries of Europe, abftractedly confidered, is an evil: but it is an evil which flows from thofe rules concerning the acquifition and difpofal of property, by which men are incited to induftry, and by which the object of their induftry is rendered fecure and valuable. If there be any great inequality unconnected with this origin, it ought to be corrected.

## CHAP. III.

## THEHISTORYOFPROPERTY。

THE firft objects of property were the fruits which a man gathered, and the wild animals he caught ; next to thefe, the tents or houfes which he built, the tools he made ufe of to catch' or prepare his food; and afterwards weapons of war and offence. Many of the favage tribes in North America have advanced no farther thrin this yet ; for they are faid to reap their harveft and return the produce of their market with foreigners, into the common hord or treafury of the tribe. Flocks and herds of tame animals foon became property; Abel, the fecond from Adam, was a keeper of sheep; fheep and oxen, camels, and affes, compofed the wealth of the Fewibb patriarchs, as they do ftill of the modern Arabs. As the world was firlt peopled in the Eaft, where there exifted a great fcarcity of water, wells probably were next made property as we learn from the frequent and ferious men-
tion of them in the Old Teftament; the contentions and treaties about them*; and from its being recorded, among the moft memorable achievements of very eminent men, that they dug or difcovered a well. Land, which is now fo important a part of property, which alune our laws call real property, and regard upon all occafions with fuch peculiar attention, was probably not made property in any country, till long after the inflitution of many other fpecies of property, that is, till the country became populous, and tillage began to be thought of. The firft partition of an eftate which we read of, was that which took place between Abram and Lot, and was one of the fimpleft imaginable: "If thou wilt take the left hand, then "I will go to the right ; or if thou depart to the "right hand, then I will go to thic left." I here are no traces of prnperty in land in Cafar's account of Britain; little of it in the hiftory of the ferwi/h patriarchs; none of it found amonst the nations of North America; the Scytbians are cxprefsly faid to have appropriated their catte and houfes, but to have left their land in common.

Property in immovables continucd at firlt no longer than the occupation; that is, fo long

[^6]as a man's family continued in poffeffion of a cave, or whilf his flocks depaftured upon a neighbouring hill, no one attempted, or thought he had a right to difturb or drive them out : but when the man quitted his cave, or changed his pafture, the firf who found them snoccupied, entered upon them, by the fame title as his predeceffor's; and made way in his turn for any one that happened to fucceed him. All more permanent property in land was probably pofterior to civil government and to laws; and therefore fettled by thefe, or according to the will of the reigning chief.

## CHAP. IV.

## IN WHAT THE RIGHT OF PROPERTY

## IS FOUNDED.

WE now fpeak of Property in Land: and there is a difficulty in explaining the origin of this property, confiftently with the law of nature; for the land was once no doubt common, and the queftion is, how any particular part of it could juftly be taken out of the common, and fo appropriated to the firlt owner, as to give him a better right to it than others : and, what is more, a right to exclude all others from it.

Moralifts have given many different accounts of this matter; which diverfity alone, perhaps, is a proof that none of them are fatisfactory.

One tells us that mankind, when they fuffered a particular perfon to occupy a piece of ground, by tacit confent relinquifhed their right to. it; and as the piece of ground, they fay, belonged to mankind collectively, and mankind thus gave
up their right to the firlt peaceable occupier, it thenceforward became his property, and no one afterwards had a right to moleft him in it.

The objection to this account is, that confent can never be prefumed from filence, where the perfon whofe confent is required knows nothing about the matter; which mult have been the cafe with all mankind, except the neighbourhood of the place where the appropriation was made. And to fuppofe that the piece of ground previoully belonged to the neighbourhood, and that they had a juft power of conferring a right to it upon whom they pleafed, is to fuppofe the queftion refolved, and a partition of land to have already taken place.

Another fays, that each man's limbs and labour are his own exclufively; that, by occupyling a piece of ground, a man infeparably mixes his labour with it ; by which means the piece of ground becomes thenceforward his own, as you cannot take it from him, without depriving him at the fame time of fomething, which is indifputably bis.

This is Mr. Locke's folution; and feems indeed a fair reafon, where the value of the labour bears a confiderable proporion to the value of the thing; or where the thing derives its
chief ufe and value from the labour. Thus game and fifh, though they be common, whilf at large in the woods or water, inftantly become the property of the perfon that catches them; becaule an animal, when caught, is much more valuable than when at liberty; and this increafe. of value, which is infeparable from, and makes a great part of the whole value, is ftrictly the property of the fowler, or fifherman, being the produce of his perfonal labour. For the fame reafon, wrood or iron, manufactured into utenfils, becomes the property of the manufacturer ; becaufe the value of the workmanhip far exceeds that of the materials. And upon a fimilar principle, a parcel of unappropriated ground, which a man fhould pare, burn, plow, harrow, and fow, for the production of corn, would jufly enough be thereby made his own. But this will hardly hold, in the manner it has been applied, of taking a ceremonious poffeffion of a tract of land, as navigators do of new difcovered iflands, by erecting a ftandard, engraving an infcription, or publifhing a proclamation to the birds and beafts; or of turning your cattle into a piece of ground, fetting up a land-mark, digging a ditch, or planting a hedge round it. Nor will even the clearing, manuring, and plow-
ing of a field, give the firt occupier a right to it in perpetuity, and after this cultivation and all effects of it are ceafed.

Another, and in my opinion a better account of the firlt right of ownerhip, is the following: that as God has provided thefe things for the ufe of all, he has of confequence given each leave to take of them what he wants: by virtue therefore of this leave, a man may appropriate what he ftands in need of to his own ufe, without afking, or waiting for the confent of others; in like manner as, when an entertainment is provided for the freeholders of a county, each freeholder goes, and eats and drinks what he wants or choofes, without having or waiting for the confent of the other guefts.

But then this reafon juftifies property, as far as neceffaries alone, or, at the moft, as far as a competent provifion for our natural exigencies. For, in the entertainment we fpeak of (allowing the comparifon to hold in all points), although every particular freeholder may fit down and eat till he be fatisfied, without any other leave than that of the mafter of the feaft, or any other proof of that leave, than the general invitation, or the manifeft defign with which the entertainment is provided; yet you would
hardly permit any one to fill his pockets or hils wallet, or to carry away with him a quantity of provifion to be horded up, or wafted, or given to his dogs, or ftewed down into fances, or converted into articles of fuperfluous luxury ; efpecially if, by fo doing, he pinched the guefts at the lower end of the table.

Thefe are the accounts that have been given of the matter by the beft writers upon the fubject ; but, were thefe accounts perfectly unexceptionable, they would none of them, I fear, avail us in vindicating our prefent claims of property in land, unlefs it were more prohable than it is, that our eftates were actually acquired at firlt, in fome of the ways which thefe accounts fuppofe; and that a regular regard had been paid to juftice, in every fucceffive tranfmiffion of them fince: for, if one link in the chain fail, every title pofterior to it falls to the ground.

The real foundation of our right is THE Latw of the land.
It is the intention of God that the produce of the earth be applied to the ufe of man; this intention cannot be fulfilled without eftablifhing property; it is confiftent therefore with his will, that property be eftablifhed. The land cannot be đ̛vided into feparate property, without leaving
it. to the law of the country to regulate that divifion; it is confiftent therefore with the fame will, that the law fhould regulate the divifion; and, confequently, "confiftent with the will of " God," or " right," that I fhould polfers that thare which thefe regulations affign me.

By whatever circuitous train of reafoning you attempt to derive this right, it muft terminate at laft in the will of God; the ftraightelt therefore, and chorteft way of arriving at this will, is the beft.

Heace it appears, that my right to an eftate does not at all depend upon the manner or juftice of the original acquifition; nor upon the juftice of each fubfequent change of poffeffion. It is not, for inftance, the leff, nor ought it to be impeached, becaufe the eftate was taken poffeffion of at firft by a family of aboriginal Britons, who happened to be ftronger than their neighbours; nor becaufe the Britifh poffeffor was turned out by a Roman, or the Roman by a Saxon invader; nor becaufe it was feized, without colour of right or reafon, by a follower of the Norman adventurer; from whom, after many interruptions of fraud and violence, it has at length devolved to me.

Nor does the owner's right depend upon the expediency of the law which gives it to him. On one fide of a brook, an eftate defcends to the eldeft fon; on the other fide, to all the children alike. The right of the claimants under both laws of inheritance is equal ; though the expediency of fuch oppofite rules muft neceffarily be different.

The principles we have laid down upon this fubject apparently tend to a conclufion of which a bad ufe is apt to be made. As the right of property depends upon the law of the land, it feems to follow, that a man has a right to keep and take every thing which the law will allow him to keep and take: which in many cafes will authorize the moft flagitious chicanery. If a creditor upon a fimple contract neglect to demand his debt for fix years, the debtor may refufe to pay it: would it be rigbt therefore to do fo, where he is confcious of the juftice of the debt? If a perfon, who is under twenty-one years of age, contract a bargain (other than for neceffaries), he may avoid it by pleading his minority: but would this be a fair plea, where the bargain was originally juft ?-The diftinction to be taken in fuch cafes is this. With the law, We acknowledge, refides the difpofal of property :
fo long therefore as we keep within the defign and intention of a law, that law will juftify us, as well in foro confcientic, as in foro bumano, whatever be the equity or expediency of the law itfelf. But when we convert to one purpofe, a rule or expreffion of law, which is intended for another purpofe, then we plead in our juftification, not the intention of the law, but the words; that is, we plead a dead letter, which can fignify nothing: for words witbcut meaning or intention have no force or effe $\mathcal{C}$ in juftice, much lefs words taken contrary to the meaning and intention of the fpeaker or writer. To apply this diftinction to the examples juft now propofed : in order to protect men againft antiquated demands, from which it is not probable they fhould have preferved the evidence of their difcharge, the law prefcribes a limited time to certain fpecies of private fecurities, beyond which it will not enforce them, or lend its affiftance to the recovery of the debt. If a man be ignorant, or dubious of the ju!tice of the demand made upon him, he may confcientioully plead this limitation; becaufe be applies the rule of law to the purpofe for whicb it was intended. But when he refufes to pay a debt, of the reality of which he is confcious, he cannot, as before,
plead the intention of the ftatute, and the furpreme authority of law, unlefs he could hew, that the law intended to interpofe its fupreme authority, to acquit men of debts, of the exiftence and juftice of which they were themfelves fenfible. Again, to preferve youth from the practices and impofitions, to which their inexperience expofes them, the law compels the payment of no debts incurred within a certain age, nor the performance of any engagements, except for fuch neceffaries as are fuited to their condition and fortunes. If a young perfon therefore perceive that he has been practifed or impofed upon, he may honefly avail himfelf of the privilege of his non-age to defeat the circumvention. But, if he fhelter himfelf under this privilege, to avoid a fair obligation, or an equitable contract, he extends the privilege to a cafe, in which it is not allowed by intention of law, and in which confequently it does not, in natural juftice, exift.

As property is the principal fubject of juftice, or " of the determinate relative duties," we have put down what we had to fay upon it in the firft place: we now proceed to flate thefe duties in the beft order we can.

CHAP.

## CHAP. V.

## PROMISES.

1. $\boldsymbol{F}^{R O M}$ whence the obligation to performe promifes arifes.
II. In what fenfe promifes are to be interpreted. III. In what cafes promifes are not binding.
I. From whence the obligation to perform promifes arifes.

They who argue from innate moral principles, fuppofe a fenfe of the obligation of promifes to be one of them ; but without affuming this, or any thing elfe, without proof, the obligation to perform promifes may be deduced from the neceffity of fuch a conduct, to the well-being, or the exiftence, indeed, of human fociety.

Men act from expectation. Expectation is in moft cafes determined by the affurances and engagements which we receive from others. If no dependance could be placed upon thefe afo furances,
furances, it would be impoffible to know what judgment to form of many future events, or how to regulate our conduct with refpect to them. Confidence therefore in promifes is effential to the intercourfe of human life ; becaufe, without it, the greateft part of our conduct would proceed upon chance. But there could be no confidence in promifes, if men were not obliged to perform them ; the obligation therefore to perform promifes is effential, to the fame end, and in the fame degree.

Some may imagine, that if this obligation were fufpended, a general caution and mutual diftruft would enfue, which might do as well : but this is imagined, without confidering, how every hour of our lives we truft to, and depend upon others; and how impoffible it is, to ftir a ftep, or, what is worfe, to fit fill a moment, without fuch truft and dependance. I am now writing at my eafe, not doubting (or rather never diftrufting, and therefore never thinking about it) but that the butcher will fend in the joint of meat which I ordered; that his fervant will bring it; that my cook will drefs it; that my footman will ferve it up; and that I fhall find it upon table at one o'clock. Yet have I nothing for all this, but the promife of the butcher,
butchet, and the implied promife of his fervant and mine. And the fame holds of the moft important, as well as the moft familiar occurrences of focial life. In the one the intervention of promifes is formal, and is feen and acknowledged; our inftance, therefore, is intended ta fhew it in the other, where it is not fo diftinctly obferved.
II. In what fenfe promifes are to be interpreted.

Where the terms of a promife admit of more fenfes than one, the promife is to be performed "in that fenfe in which the promifer apprc" hended at the time that the promifee re" ceived it."

It is not the fenfe in which the promifer actually intended it , that always governs the interpretation of an equivocal promife; becaufe, at that rate, you might excite expectations, which you never meant, nor would be obliged, to fatisfy. Much lefs is it the fenfe, in which the promifee actually received the promife; for, according to that rule, you might be drawn into engagements which you never defigned to undertake. It muft therefore be the fenfe (for there is no other remaining) in which the promifer believed that the promifee accepted his promife.

This

This will not differ from the actual intention of the promifer, where the promife is given without collufion or referve; but we put the rule in the above form, to exclude evalion in cafes in which the popular meaning of a parafe, and the frict gramatical Gignification of the words differ; or, in general, wherever the promifer attempts to make his efcape through fome ambiguity in the expreffions which he uled.

Temures promiled the garrifon of Sebafia, that, if they would furrender, no blood flould be goed. The garrifon furrendered; and Temures buried them all alive. Now Temures fulfilled the promife in one fenfe, and in the fenfe too in which he intended it at the time ; but not in the fenfe in which the garrifon of Scbafia actually received it, nor in the fenfe in which Temures himfelf knew that the garrifon received it: which laft fenfe, according to our rule, was the fenfe in which he was in confcience bound to have performed it.

From the account we have given of the obligation of promifes, it is evident, that this obligation depends upon the c."pectations which we knowingly and voluntarily excite. Confequently, any àction or conduet towards another, which we are fenfible excite3 expectations in that other,
is as much a promife, and creates as frict an obHigation, as the moft exprefs affurances. Taking; for inftance, a kinfman's child, and educating him for a liberal profeffion, or in a manner fuitable only for the heir of a large fortune, as much obliges us to place him in that profeffion, or to leave him fuch a fortune, as if we had given him a promife to do fo under our hands and feals. In like manner, a great man, who encourages an indigent retainer; or a minifter of ftate, who diftinguifhes and careffes at his levee one who is in a fituation to be obliged by his patronage, engages, by fuch behaviour, to provide for him.-This is the foundation of tacit promifes.

You may either fimply declare your prefent intention, or you may accompany your declaration with an engagement to abide by it, which conftitutes a complete promife. In the firft cale, the duty is fatisfied, if you were fincere at the time, that is, if you entertained at the time the intention you expreffed, however foon, or for whatever reafon, you afterwards change it. In the latter cafe, you have parted with the liberty of changing. All this is phain; but it mult be obferved, that moft of thofe forms of fpeech, which, ftrictly taken, amount to no more than deckarations of prefent intention,
intention, do yet, in the ufual way of underx ftanding them, excite the expectation, and therefore carry with them the force of abfolute promifes. Such as, "I intend you this place.""I defign to leave you this eftate."-" I pur"pofe giving you my vote."-" I mean to ferve " you."-In which, although the "intention," the "defign," the " purpofe," the " meaning," be expreffed in words of the prefent time, yet you cannot afterwards recede from them, without a breach of good faith. If you choofe therefore to make known your prefent intention, and yet to referve to yourfelf the liberty of changing it, you muft guard your expreffions by an addirional claufe, as "I intend at prefent"" if I don't alter"- or the like. And after all, as there can be no reafon for communicating your intention, but to excite fome degree of expectation or other, a wanton change of an intention which is once difclofed, always difappoints fomebody; and is always, for that reafon, wrong.

There is, in fome men, an infirmity with regard to promifes, which often betrays them into great diftrefs. From the confufion, or hefitation, or oblcurity, with which they exprefs themfelves, efpecially when overawed, or taken by furprife, they fometimes encourage expectations, and bring
bring upon themfelves demands, which, poffibly, they never dreamed of. This is a want, not fo much of integrity, as of prefence of mind.
III. In what cafes promifes are not binding.

1. Promifes are not binding, where the performance is impolfible.

But obferve, that the promifer is guilty of a fraud, if he be fecretly aware of the impoffibility, at the time of making the promile. For, when any one promifes a thing, he afferts his belief, at leaft, of the poffibility of performing it ; as no one can accept or underftand a promife under any other fuppofition. Inftances of this fort are the following. The minifter promifes a. place, which he knows to be engaged, or not at his difpofal-A father, in fettling marriage articles, promifes to leave his daughter an effate, which he knows to be entailed upon the heir male of his family-A merchant promifes a fhip, or thare of a thip, which he is privately advifed is loft at fea-An incumbent promifes to refign a living, being previoufly affured that his refignation will not be accepted by the bifhop. The promifer, as in thefe cafes, with knowledge of the impoffibility, is juftly anfwerable in an equa valent ; but otherwife not.

[^7]K
When

When the promifer himfelf occafions the intpoffibility, it is neither more nor lefs than a direct breach of the promife; as when a foldier maims, or a fervant difables himfelf, to get rid of his engagements.
2. Promifes are not binding, where the performance is unlaweful.

There are two cafes of this; one, where the unlawfulnefs is known to the parties, at the time of making the promife; as where an affaffin promifes his employer to difpatch his rival or his enemy; a fervant to betray his mafter; a pimp to procure a miftrefs; or a friend to give his affiftance in a fcheme of feduction. The parties in thefe cafes are not obliged to perform what the promife requires, becaufe they were under a prior obligation to the contrary. From which prior obligation what is there to difcharge them? Their promife-their own act and deed.-But an obligation, from which a man can difcharge hinfelf, by his own act, is no obligation at all. The guilt therefore of fuch promifes lies in the making, not in the breaking of them; and if, in the interval betwixt the promife and the performance, a man fo far recover his reflection, as to repent of his engagements, he ought certainly to break through them.

The other cafe is, where the unlawfulnefs did not exilt, or was not known, at the time of making the promife; as where a merchant promifes his correfpondent abroad, to fend him a thip-load of corn at a time appointed, and before the time arrive, an embargo is laid upon the exportation of corn-A woman gives a promife of marriage; before the marriage, fhe difcovers that her intended hufband is too nearly related to her, or that he has a wife yet living. In all fuch cafes, where the contrary does not appear, it muft be prefumed, that the parties fuppofed what they promifed to be lawful, and that the promife proceeded entirely upon this fuppofition. The lawfulnefs therefore becomes a condition of the promife; which condition failing, the obligation ceafes. Of the fa ne nature was Herod's promife to his daughter ine law, " that he would give her whatever fte " afked, even to the half of his kingdom." The promife was not unlawful, in the terms in which Herod delivered it; and when it became fo by the daughter's choice, by her demanding " Јонn "the Baptift's head," Herod was difcharged from the obligation of it, for the reafon now laid down, as well as for that given in the laft paragraph.

This rule, "that promifes are vaid, where "the performance is unlawful," extends alfo to imperfect obligations; for the rearon of the rule holds of all obligations. Thus, if you promife a man a place, or your vote, and he afterwards render himfelf unfit to receive either, you are abfalved from the obligation of your promife; or, if a better candidate appear, and it be a cafe in which you are bound by oath, or otherwife, to govern yourfelf by the qualification, the promife mult be broken through.

And here I would recommend, to young per, fons efpecially, a caution, from the neglect of which, many involve themfelves in embarraff ment and difgrace ; and that is, " never to give "a promife which may interfere in the event " with their duty;" for, if it do fo interfere, their dusy mult be difcharged, though at the expence of their promilf, and not unufually of their good name.

The fpecific performance of promifes is reckoped a perfect obligation. And many cafuifts have laid down, in oppofition to what has. been here afferted, that, where a perfect and an imperfect obligation clafh, the perfect obligation is to be preferred. For which opinion, however there feems to be no reaion, but what arifes from
the terms "perfect," and "imperfect," The im propriety of which has been remarked above. The truth is, of two contradictory obligations, that ought to prevail which is prior in point of time.

It is the performance being unlawful, and not any unlawfulnefs in the fubject or mrotive of the promife, which deftroys its validity; therefore a bribe, after the vote is given; the wages of proftitution; the reward of any crime, after the crime is comanitted, ought, if promifed, to be paid. For the fin and mifchief, by this fuppofition, are over; and will be neither more nor lefs for the performance of the promife.

In like manner, a promife does not lofe its obligation merely becaufe it proceeded from an wnlaweful motive. A certain perfon, in the lifetime of his wife, who was then fick, had paid his addreffes, and promifed marriage to another woman-the wife died; and the woman demanded performance of the promife. The man, who, it feems, had changed his mind, either felt or pretended doubts concerning the obligation of fuch a promife, and referred his cafe to Bifhop Sanderson, the moft eminent in this kind of knowledge, of his time. Bihcp SANeerson, after writing a differtation upon the K 3 queftion,
queftion, adjudged the promife to be void. In which however, upon our principles, he was wrong: for, however criminal the affection might be, which induced the promife, the performance, when it was demanded, was lawful; which is the only lawfulnefs required.

A pronife cannot be deemed unlawful, where it produces, when performed, no effect, beyond whit would have taken place had the promife never been made. And this is the fingle care, in which the obligation of a promife will juftify a conduct, which, unlefs it had been promifed, would be unjuft. A captive may lawfully recover his liberty, by a promife of neutrality; for his conqueror takes nothing by the promife, which he might not have fecured by his death or confinement: and neutrality would be innocent in him, although criminal in another. It is manifeft, however, that promifes which come into the place of coercion, can extend no farther than to paffive compliances; for coercion ifflf could compel no more. Upon the fame principle, promifes of fecrecy ought not to be violated, although the public would derive advantage from the difiovery. Such promiles contain no unlawfulnefs in them, to deftroy their obligation; for, as the information would not
have been imparted upon any other condition, the public lofe nothing by the promife, which they would have gained without it.
3. Promifes are not binding, where they contradiat a former promife.

Becaufe the performance is then unlawful ; which refolves this cafe into the laft.
4. Promifes are not binding before acceptance; that is, before notice given to the promifee; for, where the promife is beneficial, if notice be given, acceptance may be prefumed. Until the promife be communicated to the prom mifee, it is the fame only as a refolution in the mind of the promifer, which may be altered at pleafure. For no expectation has been excited, therefore none can be difappointed.

But fuppofe I declare my intention to a third perfon, who, without any authority from me, conveys my declaration to the promifee; is that fuch a notice as will be binding upon me? It certainly is not: for I have not done that which contitutes the effence of a promife- 1 have not voluntarily excited expectation.
5. Promifes are not binding which are releafed by the promifec.

This is evident; but it may be fometimes doubted who the promifee is. If I give a pro-
mife to $A$, of a place or vote for $B$; as to $a$ father for his fon ; to an uncle for his nephew; to a friend of mine, for a relation or friend of his; then $A$ is the promifee, whofe confent $I$ muft obtain to be releafed from the engagement.

If I promife a place or vote to B by A , that is, if $A$ be a meffenger to convey the promife, as if I fhould fay, "You may tell B, that he " ihall have this place, or may depend upon " my vote;" or if A be employed to introduce B's requeft, and I anfwer in any terms which amount to a compliance with it, then $B$ is the promifee.

Promifis to one perfon, for the benefit of another, are nor releafed by the death of the promifee. For his death neither makes the performance impracticable, nor implies any confent to releafe the promifer from it.
6. Erroneous promifes are not binding in certain cafes; as,

1. Where the error proceeds from the miftake or mifreprefentation of the promifee.

Becaule a promife evidently fuppofes the truth of the account, which the promifee relates in order to obtain it. A beggar folicits your charity by a ftory of the moft pitiable diftrefsyou promife to relieve him, if he will call again--

鲁 the interval you difcover his fory to be made up of lyes-this difcovery, no doubt, releafes you from your promife. One who wants your fervice, defctibes the bufinefs or office for which he would engage you-you promife to undertake it-When you come to enter upon it, you find the profits lefs, the labour more, or fome material circumftance different from the account he gave you-In fuch cafe you are not bound by your promife.
2. When the promife is underfood by the promifee to proceed upon a certain fuppofition, or when the promifer apprehended it to be fos underftood, and that fuppofition turns out to be falle; then the promife is not binding.

This intricate rule will be beft explained by an example. A father receives an account from abroad of the death of his only fon-foon after which he promifes his fortune to his nephew The account turns out to be falfe-the father, we fay, is releafed from his promife; not merely becaufe he never would have made it, had he known the truth of the cafe-for that alone will not do-but becaufe the nephew alfo himfelf underftood the promife to proceed upon the fuppofition of his coufin's death ; or at leaft his uncle thought he fo underfood it ; and could not
think otherwife. The promife proceeded apors this fuppofition in the promifer's own apprehenfion, and, as he believed, in the apprehenfion of both parties; and this belief of his is the precife circumftance which fets him free. The foundation of the rule is plainly this, a man is bound only to fatisfy the expectation which he intended to excite; whatever condition therefore he intended to fubject that expectation to, becomes an effential condition of the promife.

Errors, which come not within this defcription, do not annul the obligation of a promife. I promife a candidate my vote-prefently another candidate appears, for whom I certainly would have referved it, had I been acquainted with his defign. Here therefore, as before, my promife proceeded from an error ; and I never hould have given fuch a promife, had I been aware of the truth of the cafe, as it has turned out-But the promifee did not know this-be did not receive the promife fubject to any fuch condition, or as proceeding from any fuch fuppefition-nor did I at the timeimgine he fo recived it-This error, therefore, of mine, muft tail upon my own head, and the promife be obferved notwithftanding. A father promifes a certain fortune with his daughter, fuppofing himfelf to be worth
fo much-his circumftances turn out, upon examination, worfe than he was aware of. Here again the promife was erroneous, but, for the reafon affigned in the laft cafe, will neverthelefs be obligatory.

The cafe of erroneous. promifes is attended with fome difficulty; for to allow every miftake, or change of circumftances, to diffolve the obligation of a promife, would be to allow a latitude, which might evacuate the force of almoft all promifes : and on the other hand, to gird the obligation fo tight, as to make no allowances for manifeft and fundamental errors, would, in many inftances, be productive of great hardfhip and abfurdity.

It has long been controverted amongft moralifts, whether promifes be binding, which are extorted by violence or fear. The obligation of all promifes refults, we have feen, from the neceffity or the ufe of that confidence which mankind repofe in them. The queftion, therefore, whether thefe promifes are binding, will depend upon this, whether mankind, upon the whole, are benefited by the confidence placed in fuch promifes?
promifes? A highwayman attacks you-and befing difappointed of his booty, threatens or prepares to murder you-you promife, with many folemn affeverations, that if he will fpare your life, he fhall find a purfe of money left for him, at a place appointed-upon the faith of this promife, he forbears from furher violence. Now your life was faved by the confidence repofed in a promife extorted by fear; and the lives of many others may be faved by the fame. This is a grod confequence. On the other hand, confidence in promifes like thefe greatly facilitates the perpetration of robberies. They may be made the inftruments of almof unlimited estortion. This is a bad confequence; and in the queftion between the importance of thefe oppofite confequences refides the doubt concerning the obligation of fuch promifes.

There are other cafes which are plainer; as where a magittrate contines a difturber of the public peace in jail, till he promife to behave better; or a prifoner of war promifes, if fer at liberty, to return within a certain time. Thefe promifes, fay moralifts, are binding, becaufe the violence or durefs is juft; but, the true reafon is, becaufe there is the fame ufe of confidence
confidence in thefe promifes, as of confidence in the promifes of a perfon at perfect liberty.

Vowes are promifes to God. The obligation cannot be made out upon the fame principle as that of other promifes. The violation of them, neverthelefs, implies a want of reverence to the Supreme Being ; which is enough to make it finful.

There appears no command or encouragement in the Chrlfian friptures to make vows; much lefs any authority to break through them, when they are made. The few inftanses* $\boldsymbol{a} f$ : vows which we read of in the New Teftamest: were religioully obferved.

Thẹ rules we have laid down concerning promifes are applicable to vows. Thus Jephtha's: vow, taken in the fenfe in which that tranfaction is commonly undertood, was not binding; becaufe the performance, in that contingency, bscame unlawful. .

* Acts, xviii. 18. xxi. 23.


## CHAP. VI.

## CONTRACTS.

ACONTRACT is a mutual promife. The obligation therefore of contracts; the fenfe in which they are to be interpreted; and the cafes where they are not binding, will be the fame as of promifes.

- From the principle eftablifhed in the laft chapter, " that the obligation of promifes is to be mea" fured by the expectation which the promifer " any how voluntarily and knowingly excites," refults a rule, which governs the conftruction of all contraCts, and is capable, from its fimplicity, of being applied with great eafe and certainty, viz. That,

Wbatever is expected by one fide, and known to be fo expected by the othe,, is to be decmed a part or condition of the contract.

The feveral kinds of contracts, and the order in which we propofe to confider them, may be exhibited at one view, thus:

Contrazts of $\begin{cases}\begin{array}{l}\text { Sale. } \\ \text { Hazard. } \\ \text { Lending of }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Inconfumable Property. } \\ \text { Money. } \\ \text { Monve. }\end{array} \\ \text { L.bour. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Service. } \\ \text { Comnininns. } \\ \text { Partereflip. } \\ \text { Oflices. }\end{array}\end{cases}$

## CHAP. VII.

## CONTRACTSOFSALE。

THE rule of juftice, which wants with moft anxiety to be inculcated in the making of bargains, is, that the feller is bound in confcience to difclofe the faults of what he offers to fale. Amongtt other methods of proving this, one may be the following :

I fuppofe it will be allowed; that to advance a direct falfehood in recommendation of our wares, by afcribing to them fome quality which we know that they have not, is difhoneft. Now compare with this the defigned concealment of fome fault, which we know that they have, The motives and the effects of actions are the only points of comparifon, in which their moral quality can differ: but the motive in thefe two cates is the lame, viz., to procure a higher price than we expect otherwife to obtain: the effect, that is, the prejudice to the buyer, is allo the fame; for
for be finds himfelf equally out of pocket by his bargain, whether the commodity, when he gets home with it, turn out worfe than he had fuppofed, by the want of fome quality which he expected, or the difcovery of fome fault which he did not expect. If therefore actions be the fame, as to all moral purpofes, which proceed from the fame motives, and produce the fame effects, it is making a diftinction without a difference, to efteem it a cheat to magnify beyond the truth the virtues of what we have to fell, but none to conceal its faults.

It adds to the value of this kind of honelty, that the faults of many things are of a nature not to be known by any, but by the perfons who have ufed them: fo that the buyer has no fecurity from impofition, but in the ingenuoufnefs and integrity of the feller.

There is one exception however to this rule, namèly, where the filence of the feller implies fome fault in the thing to be fold, and where the buyer has a compenfation in the price for the rifk whicls he runs: as where a horfe, in a London repofitory, is fold by public auction, without warranty; the want of warranty is notice of fome unfoundnefs, and produces a proportionable abatement in the price.

To this of concealing the faults of what we want to put off, may be referred the practice of paffing bad money. This practice we fometimes hear defended by a vulgar excufe, that we have taken the money for good, and muft therefore get rid of it. Which excufe is much the fame as if one, who had been robbed upon the highway, fhould alledge that he had a right to reimburfe himfelf out of the pocket of the firft traveller he met ; the juftice of which reafoning the traveller poffibly may not comprehend.

Where there exifts no monopoly or combination, the market price is always a fair price ; becaufe it will always be proportionable to the ufe and fcazcity of the article. Hence, there need be no fcruple about demanding or taking the market price; and all thofe expreffions, "pro" vifions are extravagantly dear," "corn bears " an unreafonable price," and the like, import no unfairnefs or unreafonablenefs in the feller.

If your taylor or your draper charge, or even afk of you, more for a fuit of clothes, than the market price, you complain that you are impofed upon; you pronounce the tradefman who makes fuch a charge difhoneft: although, as the man's goods were his own, and he had a right to prefcribe the terms, upon which he would confent
confent to part with them, it may be queftioned what difhonefty there can be in the cafe, or, wherein the impofition confifts. Whoever opens a fhop, or in any manner expofes goods to public fale, virtually engages to deal with his cuftomers at a market price ; becaufe it is upon the faith and opinion of fuch an engagement, that any one comes within his thop doors, or offers to treat with him. This is expected by the buyer; is known to be fo expected by the feller; which is enough, according to the rule delivered above, to make it a part of the contract between them, though not a fyllable be faid about it. The breach of this implied contract conftitutes the fraud enquired after.

Hence, if you difclaim any fuch engagement, you may fet what value you pleafe upon your property. If, upon being afked to fell a houfe, you anfwer that the houfe fuits your fancy or conveniency, and that you will not turn yourfelf out of it, under fuch a price; the price fixed may be double of what the houfe coft, or would fetch at a public fale, without any imputation of injuftice or extortion upon you.

If the thing fold be damaged, or perifh, between the fale and the delivery, ought the buyer to bear the lofs, or the feller? This will depend
upon the particular conftruction of the contract. If the feller, either exprefsly, or by implication, or by cuftom, engage to deliver the goods; as if I buy a fet of china, and the china-man afk me to what place he fhall bring or fend them, and they be broken in the conveyance, the feller mult abide by the lofs. If the thing fold remain with the feller, at the inftance, or for the conveniency of the buyer, then the buyer undertakes the rik; as if I buy a horfe, and mention, that I will fend for it on fuch a day, which is in effect defiring that it may continue with the feller till I do fend for it, then, whatever misfortune befals the horfe in the mean time, mult be at my colt.

And here, once for all, I would obferve, that innumerable queftions of this fort are determined folely by cuftom; not that cuftom poffeffes any proper authority to alter or afcertain the nature of right and wrong; but becaufe the contracting parties are prefumed to include in their ftipulation, all the conditions which cuftom has annexed to contracts of the fame fort; and when the ufage is notorious, and no exception made to it, this prefumption is generally agreeable to the fact *.

[^8]If I ordér a pipe of port from a wine merchant abroad; at what period the property paffes from the merchant to me; whether upon delivery of the wine at the merchant's warehoufe; upon its being put on fhipboard at Oporto; upon the arrival of the fhip in England; at its deftined port; or not till the wine be committed to my fervants, or depofited in my cellar; are all queftions, which admit of no decifion, but what cuftom points out. Whence, in juftice, as well as law, what is called the cuftom of merchants, regulates the conftruction of mercantile concerns.
ought to do, and what a judge or arbitrator would award to be done, may be very different. What the parties ought to do by virtue of their contract, depends upon their confcioufnefs at the time of making it : whereas a third perfon finds it neceffary to found his judgment upon prefumptions, which prefumptions may be falfe, although the moff probable that he could proceed by.

## CHAP. VIII.

## CONTRACTS OF HAZARD.

BY Contracts of Hazard, I mean gaming and infurance.
What fome fay of this kind of contracts, " that one fide ought not to have any advan" tage over the other," is neither practicable nor true. It is not practicable; for that perfect equality of ikill and judgonent, which this rule requires, is feldom to be met with. I might not have it in my power to play with fairnefs a game at cards, billiards, or tennis; lay a wager at a horfe-race; or underwrite a policy of infurance, once in a twelvemonth; if I muft wait till I meet with a perfon, whofe art, ikill, and judgment in thefe matters, is neither greater nor lefs than my own. Nor is this equality requifite to the juftice of the contract. One party may give to the other the whole of the fake, if he pleafe, and the other party may juftly ac-
cept it, if it be given him ; much more therefore may one give to the other a part of the ftake; or, what is exactly the fame thing, an advantage in the chance of winning the whole.

The proper reftriction is, that neither fide have an advantage, by means of which the other is not aware; for this is an advantage taken, without being given. Although the event be ftill an uncertainty, your advantage in the chance has a certain value; and fo much of the ftake, as that value amounts to, is taken from your adverfary without his knowledge, and therefore without his confent. If I fit down to a game at whift, and have an advantage over the adverfary, by means of a better memory, clofer attention, or a fuperior knowledge of the rules and chances of the game, the advantage is fair; becaufe it is obtained by means of which the adverfary is aware : for he is aware, when he fits down with me, that I fhall exert the fkill that I poffers, to the utmoft. But if I gain an advantage by packing the cards, glancing my eye into the adverfaries' hands, or by concerted fignals with my partner, it is a difhoneft advantage; becaufe it depends upon means, which the adverfary never fulpects that I make ufe of.

The fame diftinction holds of all contracts into which chance enters. If I lay a wager at a horfe-race, founded upon the conjecture I form from the appearance, and character, and breed of the horfes, I am juftly entitled to any advantage which my judgment gives me; but, if I carry on a clandeftine correfpondence with the jockies, and find out from them, that a trial has been actually made, or that it is fettled beforehand which horfe thall win the race; all fuch information is fo much fraud, becaufe derived from fources, which the other did not fufpect, when he propofed or accepted the wager.

In fpeculations in trade, or in the focks, if I exercife my judgment upon the general afpect and pofture of public affairs, and deal with a perfon who conducts himfelf by the fame fort of judgment; the contract has all the equality in it which is neceffary: but if I have accefs to fecrets of ftate at home, or private advice of fome decilive meafure or event abroad, I cannot avail myfelf of thefe advantages with juftice, becaule they are excluded by the contract, which proceeded upon the fuppofition, that I had no fuch advantage.

In infurances, in which the underwriter computes his rifk entirely from the account given by the perfon infured, it is abfolutely neceffary to the jutice and validity of the contract, that this account be exact and complete.

## 154 CONTRACTS OF LENDING OF

## CHAP. IX.

## CONTRACTS OF LENDING OF INCONSUMABLE PROPERTY.

WHEN the identical loan is to be returned, as a book, a horfe, a harpfichord, it is called inconfumable, in oppofition to corn, wine, money, and thofe things which perifh, or are parted with in the ufe, and can therefore only be reftored in kind.

The queftions under this head are few and fimple. The firft is, if the thing lent be loft or damaged, who ought to bear the lofs or damage? If it be damaged by the ufe, or by accident in the ufe, for which it was lent, the lender ought to bear it; as if I hire a job coach, the wear, tear, and foiling of the coach muft belong to the lender; or a horfe to go a particular journey, and in going the propofed journey, the horfe die, or be lamed, the lofs mult be the lender's : on the contrary, if the da-
mage be occafioned by the fault of the borrower, or by accident in fome ufe for which it was not lent, then the borrower mult make it good; as if the coach be overturned or broken to pieces by the careleffnefs of your coachman; or the horfe be hired to take a morning's ride upon, and you go a-hunting with him, or leap him over hedges, or put him into your cart, or carriage, and he be ftrained, or ftaked, or galled, or accidentally hurt, or drop down dead, whilft you are thus ufing him; you muft make fatisfaction to the owner.

The two cafes are diftinguifhed by this circumftance, that in one cafe, the owner forefees the damage or rifk, and therefore confents to undertake it; in the other cafe, he does not.

It is poffible that an eftate or a houfe may, during the term of a leafe, be fo increafed or diminifhed in its value, as to become worth much more, or much lefs, than the rent agreed to be paid for it. In fome of which cafes it may be doubted, to whom, of natural right, the advantage or difadvantage belongs. The rule of juftice feems to be this: If the alteration might be expected by the parties, the hirer muft take the confequence; if it could not, the owner. An orchard, or a vineyard, or a mine, or a fifhery,
fifhery, or a decoy, may this year yield nothing or next to nothing, yet the tenant Gall pay his rent ; and if they next year produce tenfold the ufual profit, no more fhall be demanded; becaufe the produce is in its nature precarious, and this variation might be expected. If an eftate in the fens of Lincolnfhire, or the ifle of Ely, be overflowed with water, fo as to be incapable of occupation, the tenant, notwithftanding, is bound by his leafe ; becaufe he entered into it with a knowledge and forefight of this danger. On the other hand, if by the irruption of the fea into a country where it was never known to bave come before, by the change of the courfe of a river, the fall of a rock, the breaking out of a volcano, the burfting of a mofs, the incurfions of an enemy, or by a mortal contagion amongft the cattle; if, by means like there, an eftate change, or lofe its value, the lofs fhall fall upon the owner; that is, the tenant thall either be difcharged from his agreement, or be entitled to an abatement of rent. A houfe in London, by the building of a bridge, the opening of a new road or ftreet, may become of ten times its former value; and, by contrary caufes, may be as much reduced in value : here alio, as before, the owner, not the hirer, Chall be affected by
the
the alteration. The reafon upon which our determination proceeds is this, that changes fuch as thefe, being neither forefeen, nor provided for, by the contracting parties, form no part or condition of the contract; and therefore ought to have the fame effect as if no contract at all had been made (for none was made with refpect to tbem), that is, ought to fall upon the owner.

## C. H A P. X.

## CONTRACTS CONCERNING THE LENDING OF MONEY.

THERE exifts no reafon in the law of nature, why a man fhould not be paid for the lending of his money, as well as of any other property into which the money might be converted.

The fcruples that have been entertained upon this head, and upon the foundation of which, the receiving of intereft or ufury (for they formerly meant the fame thing) was once prohibited in almoft all Chriftian countries *, arofe from a paffage in the law of Moses, Deuteronomy xxiii. 19, 20, "Thou fhalt not lend upon ufury to "thy brother; ufury of money, ufury of vic"tuals, ufury of any thing, that is lent upon

[^9]"ufury:

" ufury : unto a ftranger thou mayeft lend upon "، ufury ; but unto thy brother thou fhalt not lend " upon ufury."

This prohibition is now generally underftood to have been intended for the Jews alone, as part of the civil or political law of that nation, and calculated to preferve amongft themfelves that diftribution of property, to which many of their inftitutions were fubfervient; as the marriage of an heirefs within her own tribe; of a widow, who was left childlefs, to her hufband's brother; the year of jubilee, when alienated eftates reverted to the family of the original proprietor-regulations, which were never thought to be binding upon any but the commonwealth of Ifrael.

This interpretation is confirmed, I think, beyond all controverfy, by the diftinction made in the law, between a Jew and a foreigner-" unto "a Atranger thou mayeft lend upon ufury, but "unto thy brother thou mayeft not lend upon "ufury;" a diftinction which could hardly have been admitted into a law, which the Divine Author intended to be of moral and of univerfal obligation.

The rate of intereft has in moft countries been regulated by law. The Roman law allowed of twelve pounds per cent. which fufinian reduced
at one froke to four pounds. A ftatute of the thirteenth year of Queen Elizabeth, which was the firft that tolerated the receiving of intereft in England at all, reftrained it to ten pounds per cent. ; a ftatute of $\mathscr{F}$ ames the Firft to eight pounds; of Cbarles the Second, to fix pounds; of Queen Anne, to five pounds, on pain of forfeiture of treble the value of the money lent; at which rate and penalty the matter now flands. The policy of thefe regulations is, to check the power of accumulating wealth without induftry; to give encouragement to trade, by enabling adventurers in it to borrow money at a moderate price; and of late years, to enable the fate to borrow the fubject's money itfelf.

Compound intereft, though forbidden by the law of England, is agreeable enough to natural equity; for intereft detained after it is due, becomes, to all intents and purpofes, part of the fum lent.

It is a queftion which fometimes occurs, how money borrowed in one country ought to be paid in another, where the relative value of the precious metals is not the fame. For example, fuppofe I borrow a hundred guineas in London, where each guinea is worth one-and-twenty millings, and meet my creditor in the Eaft Indies,

Where a guinea is worth no more perhaps thanninetcen, is it a fatisfaction of the debt to return a hundred guineas; or muft I make up fo many times one-and-twenty fhillings? I hould think the latter; for it muft be prefumed, that my creditor, had he not lent me his guineas, would have difpofed of them in fuch a manner, as to have now had, in the place of them, fo many one-and-twenty fhillings ; and the queftion fuppofes, that he neither intended, nor ought to be a fufferer, by parting with the poffeffion of his money to me.

When the relative value of coin is altered by an act of the ftate, if the alteration would have extended to the identical pieces which were lent, it is enough to return an equal number of pieces of the fame denomination, or their prefent value in any other. As, if guineas were reduced by act of parliament to twenty dhillings, fo many twenty Shillings, as I borrowed guineas, wouldbe a jult repayment. It would be otherwife, if the reduction was owing to a debafement of the coin; for then refpect ought to be had to the comparative value of the old guinca and the new.

Whoever borrows money is bound in confcience to repay it. This every man can fẹe; yol. $I$.

M
but
but every man cannot fee, or does not however refleit, that he is, in confequence, alfo bound to ufe the means neceflary to enable himfelf to repay it. "If he pay the money when he has " it, or has it to fpare, he does all that an honeft " man can do," and all, he imagines, that is required of him; whilft the previous meafures, which are neceffary to furnifh him with that money, he makes no part of his care, nor obferves to be as much his duty as the other; fuch as felling a family feat, or a family eftate, contracting his plan of expence, laying down his equipage, reducing the number of his fervants, or any of thofe humiliating facrifices, which juftice requires of a man in debt, the moment he perceives that he has no reafonable profpect of paying his debts withour them. An expectation, which depends upon the continuance of his own life, will not fatisfy an honeft man, if a better provifion be in his power; for it is a breach of faith to fubject a creditor; when we can help it, to the rifk of our life, be the event what it will; that not being the fecurity to which credit was given.

I know fow fubjects which have been more mifunderfood than the law which authorizes the imprifonment of infolvent debrors. It has been
keprefented as a gratuitous cruelty, which cons tributed nothing to the reparation of the credi. tor's lofs, or to the advantage of the community. This prejudice arifes principally from conlidering the fending of a debtor to jail, as an act of private fatisfaction to the creditor, inftead of a public punifhment. As an act of fatisfaction or revenge, it is always wrong in the motive, and often intemperate and undiftinguifhing in the exercife. Confider it as a public punifhment, founded upon the fame reafon, and fubject to the fame rules, as other punifhments; and the juftice of $\mathrm{it}_{2}$ together, with the degree to which it : hould be extended, and the objects upon whom it may be inflicted, will: be apparentThere are frauds relating to in olvency, againft which it is as necelfary to provide punifhment, as for any public crimes whatever : as where a man gets your money into his poffeffion, and forthwith runs away with it; or, what is little better, fquanders it in vicious expences; or ftakes it at the gaming-table; in the alley; or upon wild adventures in trade : or is confcious, at the time he borrows it, that he can never repay it ; or wilfully puts it out of his power by profufe living; or conceals his effects, or transfers them by collufion to another: not to mention

IIG4 contracts concerning the the obftinacy of fome debtors, who had rather rot in a jail, than deliver up their eftates; for, to fay the truth, the firft abfurdity is in the law itfelf, which leaves it in a debtor's power to withhold any part of his property from the claim of his creditors. The only queftion is, whether the punifhment be properly placed in the hands of an exafperated creditor: for which it may be faid, that thefe frauds are fo fubtile and verfatile, that nothing but a difcretionary power can overtake them; and that no difcretion is likely to be fo well informed, fo vigilant, or fo active, as that of the creditor.

It muft be remembred, however, that the confinement of a debtor in jail is a puini/bment; and that every punifhment fuppofes a crime. To purfue therefore, with the extremity of legal ri-. gour, a fufferer, whom the fraud or failure of others, his own want of capacity, or the difappointments and mifcarriages to which all human affairs are fubject, have reduced to ruin, merely becaufe we are provoked by our lofs, and feek to relieve the pain we feel by that which we inflia, is repugnant not only to humanity, but to juf'tice; for it is to pervert a provifion of law, defigned for a different and a falutary purpofe, to the gratification of private fpleen and refent-
ment. Any alteration in thefe laws, which could diftinguifh the degrees of guilt, or convert the fervice of the infolvent debtors to fome public profit, might be an improvement; but any confiderable mitigation of their rigour, under colour of relieving the poor, would increafe their hardhips. For, whatever deprives the creditor of his power of coercion, deprives him of his fecurity ; and as this mult add greatly to the difficulty of obtaining credit, the poor, efpecially the lower fort of tradefmen, are the firft who would fuffer by fuch a regulation. As tradefmen mult buy before they fell, you would exclude from trade two thirds of thofe who now carry it on, if none were enabled to enter into. it without a capital fufficient for prompt payment. An advocate, therefore, for the interefts of this important clafs of the community, will deem it more eligible, that one out of a thoufand Ghould be fent to jail by his creditors, than that the nine hundred and ninety-nine fhould be ftraitened and embarraffed, and many of them lie idle, by the want of credit.

## C H A P. XI,

## CONTRACTSOFLABOUR;

## SERVICE,

CERVICE in this country is, as it ought to be, voluntary, and by contract ; and the mafter's authority extends no farther than the terms or equitable conftruction of the contract will juftify.

The treatment of fervants, as to diet, difcipline, and accommodation, the kind and quantity of work to be required of them, the intermiffion, libirty, and indulgence to be allowed them, muft, be determined in a great meafure by cuftom; for, where the contract involves fo many particulars, the contracting parties exprefs a few perhaps of the principal, and by mutual underftanding refer the reft to the known cuftom of the country in like cafes.

A fervant is not bound to obey the unlawful commands of his mafter; to miniter, for inftance,

Eance, to his unlawful pleafures; or to affilt him by unlawful practices in his profeffion; as in fmuggling or adulterating the articles in which he deals. For the fervant is bound by nothing but his own promife; and the obligation of a promife extends not to things unlawful.

For the fame reafon, the mafter's authority is no jufification of the fervant in doing wrong; for the fervant's own promife, upon which that authority is founded, would be none.

Clerks and apprentices ought to be employed entirely in the profeffion or trade which they are intended to learn. Inftruction is their hire; and to deprive them of the opportunities of inftruction, by taking up their time with occupations foreign to their bufinefs, is to defraud them of their wages.

The mafter is refponfible for what a fervant does in the ordinary courfe of his employment; for it is done under a general authority committed to him, which is in jultice equivalent to a fpecific direction. Thus, if I pay money to a banker's clerk, the banker is accountable; but not if I had paid it to his butler or his footman, whofe bufinefs it is not to receive moneg. Upon the fame principle, if I once fend a fervant to take up goods upon cre lit, whatever goods he
afterwards takes up at the the thop, fo long ast he continues in my fervice, are jufty chargeable to my account.

The law of this country goes great lengths in intending a kind of concurrence in the mafter, fo as to charge him with the coufequences of his fervant's conduct. If an inn-keepcr's fervant rob his guefts, the inn-keeper muft nake reflitution ; if a farrier's fervant lame a horle, the farrier mult anfwer for the damage; and ftill farther, if your coachman or carter drive over a paffenger in the road, the paflenger may recover from you a fatisfaction for the hurt he fuffers. But thefe determinations ftand, I think, rather upon the authority of the law, than any principle of natural jultice.

There is a careleffnefs and facility in "giving "characters," as it is called, of Yervants, efpecially when given in writing, or according to fome eftablifhed form, which, to fpeak plainly of it, is a theat upon thofe who accept them. They are given with fo little referve and veracity," that I " Phould as foon depend;" fays the author of the Rambler, " upon an acquittal at the Old Bailey, "by way of recom:aendation of a fervant's ho"nelly, as upon one of thefe characters." It ts Sometimes careleffinefs; and fometimes alfo to
get rid of a bad fervant without the uneafinefs of a difpute: for which nothing can be pleaded, but the moft ungenerous of all excufes, that the perfon whom we deceive is a ftranger.

There is a conduct the reverfe of this, but more injurious, becaufe the injury falls where there is no remedy; I mean the obftructing of a fervant's advancement, becaufe you are unwilling to fpare his fervice. To ftand in the way of your fervant's intereft, is a poor return for his fidelity; and affords flender encouragement for good behaviour, in this numerous and therefore important part of the community. It is a piece of injuftice, which, if practifed towards an equal, the law of honour would lay hold of; as it is, it is neither uncommon nor difreputable.

A mafter of a family is culpable, if he permit any vices among his domeftics, which he might reftrain by due difcipline and a proper interference. This refults from the general obligation to prevent mifery when in our power; and the affurance which we have, that vice and mifery at the long run go together. Care to maintain in his family a fenfe of virtue and religion, received the divine approbation in the perfon of Abraham, Gen. xviii. 19-"I know him, that
"he will command his children; and bis boufe" bold after him; and they thall keep the way " of the Lord, to do juftice and judgment." And indeed no authority feems fo well adapted to this purpofe, as that of mafters of families; becaufe none operates upon the fubjects of it with an influence fo immediate and contlant.

What the Chriftian Scriptures have delivercd concerning the relation and reciprocal duties of mafters and fervants, breathes a fpirit of liberality, very little known in ares when fervitude was flavery; and which flowed from a habit of contemplating mankind under the common relation in which they fland to their Creator, and with refpect to their intereft in another exiftence*. "Servants, be obedient to them that are " your mafters, according to the fleh, with "fear and trembling; in finglenefs of your " heart, as unto Chrift; not with eye-fervice, " as men-pleafers, but as the fervants of Chrift, "doing the will of God from the heart; with "good will, doing fervice as to the Lord, and not "to men: knowing that whafecver good thing "any man doth, the fame tha! he recive of the "Lord, whether lie be band or fice. And, ye

* Fph, ri. s-o.
- maiters
ec mafters, do the fame thing unto them, forbearsc ing threatening; knowing that your mafter alfo «s is in beaven; neither is there refpect of per"fons with him." The idea of referring their fervice to God, of confidering bim as having appointed them their tafk, that they were doing bis will, and were to look to him for their reward, was new ; and affords a greater fecurity to the mafter than any inferior principle, becaufe it tends to produce a fteady and cordial obedience, in the place of that conftrained fervice, which carr never be trufted out of fight, and which is juftly enough called eye-fervice. The exhortation to mafters, to keep in view their own fubjection and accountablenefs, was no lefs feafonable.


## CHAP. XII.

## CONTRACTS OFLABOR

COMMISSIONS.

WHOEVER undertakes another man's bufinefs, makes it his own, that is, promifes to employ upon it the fame care, atrention, and diligence, that he would do if it were actualty his own; for he knows that the bufinefs was committed to him with that expectation. And he promifes nothing more than Wis. Therefore an agent is not obliged to wait, inquire, folicit, ride about the country, toil, or flady, whilft there remains a poffibility of benefiting his employer. If he exert fo much of his activity, and ufe fuch caution, as the value of the bufnefs, in his judgment, deferves; that is, as he would have thought fufficient if the fame intereft of his own had been at flake; he has difcharged his duty, although it fhould afterwards
wards turn out, that by more activity, and longer perfeverance, he might have conduded the bufinefs with greater advantage.

This rule defines the duty of factors, ftewards; attornies, and advocates.

One of the chief difficulties of an agent's fituation is, to know how far he may depart from, his inftructions, when, from fome change or difcovery in the circumftances of his commif; fion, he fees reafon to believe that his emplayer, if he were prefent, would alter his intention. The latitude allowed to agents in this refpeat will be different, according as the commiflion was confidential or minifterial; and according as the general rule and nature of the fervice require a prompt and precife obedience to orders, or not. An attorney fent to treat for an eftate, if he found out a flaw in the title, would deffit from propoling the price he was directed to propofe; and very properly. On the other hand, if the, commander in chief of an army detach an officer under him upon a particular fervice, which fervice turns out more difficult, or lefs expedient, than was fuppofed, in fo murch that the officer is convinced that his commander, if he were acquainted with the true ftate in which the affair is found, would recall his orders; yet
muft this officer, if he cannot wait for frefh directions without prejudice to the expedition he is fent upon, purfue, at all hazards, thofe which he brought out with him.

What is trufted to an agent may be loft or damaged in his hands by misfortune. An agent who acts without pay is clearly not anfwerable for the lofs; for, if he give his labour for nothing, it cannot be prefumed that he gave alfo fecurity for the fuccefs of it. If the agent be hired to the bulinefs, the queftion will depend upon the apprehenfion of the parties at the time of making the contract ; which apprehenfion of theirs mult be collected chiefly from cuftom, by which probably it was guided. Whether a public carrier ought to account for goods fent by him; the owner or mafter of a hhip for the cargo; the poft-office for letters, or biils inclofed in letters, where the lofs is not imputed to any fault or neglect of theirs; are queftions of this fort. Any expreffion, which by implication amounts to a promife, will be binding upon the agent, without cuftom; as where the preprictors of a ftage-coach advertife, that they wiil not be accountable for money, plate or jewels, this makes them accountable for every thing elfe; or where the price is too much for the labour, part
of it may be confidered as a premium for infurance. On the other hand, any caution on the part of the owner to guard againft danger, is evidence that he conliders the rifk to be his; as cutting a bank bill in two, to fend by the poft at different times.

Univerfally, unlefs a promife, either exprefs or tacit, can be proved agaiult the agent, the lofs muft fall upon the owner.

The agent may be a fufferer in his own perfon or property by the buinefs which he undertakes; as where one goes a journey for antother, and lames his horfe, or is hurt himfelf, by a fall upon the road; can the agent in fuch cafe claim a compenfation for the misfortune? Unlefs the fame be provided for by exprefs ftipulation, the agent is not entitled to any compenfation from his employer on that account: for where the danger is not forcfeen, there can be no reafon to believe, that the employer engaged to indemnify the agent againf it: fill lefs where it is forefeen : for whoever knowingly undertakes a dangerous employment, in common conftruction takes upon himfelf the danger and the confequences; as where a fircman undertakes for a reward to refcue a box of writings from the tlames; or a failor to bring off a paffenger frọh a Chip in a ftorm.

CHAP.

## C H A P. XIII.

## CONTRACTS OF LABODR.

## PARTNERSHIP.

IKNOW nothing upon the fubject of partnerfhip that requires explanation, but in what manner the profits are to be divided, where one partner contributes money, and the other labour; which is a common cafe.

Rulc. From the ftock of the partner(hip deduct the fum advanced, and divide the remainder between the monied partner and the labouring partner, in the proportion of the intereft of the money to the wages of the labour, allowing fuch a rate of intereft as money might be borrowed for upon the fame fecurity, and fuch wages as a journeyman would require for the fame labour and truft.

Example. A advances a thoufand pounds, but knows nothing of the bulinefs; B produces
tro money, but has been brought op to the bulinefs, and undertakes to conduct it. At the énd of the year the ftock and the effects of the partnerfhip amount to twelve hundred pounds; confequently there are two hundred pounds to be divided. Now nobody would lend money upont the event of the bufinefs fucceeding, which is $A$ 's fecurity, under fix per cent.-therefore $A$ muft be allowed fixty pounds for the intereft of , his money. B , before he engaged in the partnerfhip, earned thirty pounds a year in the fame employment; his labour, therefore, ought to be valued at thirty pounds; and the two hundred pounds muft be divided between the partners, in the proportion of fixty to thirty; that is, A muft receive one hundred and thirty-three pounds fix fillings and eight-pence, and B fixtyfix pounds thirteen fhillings and four-pence.

If there be nothing gained, A lofes his intereft, and B his labour; which is right. If the original ftock be diminifhed, by this rule $B$ lofes only his labour as before; whereas A lofes his intereft, and part of the principal: for which eventual"difadvantage $A$ is compenfated, by having the intereft of his money computed at fix per cent. in the divifion of the profits, when there are any.
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It is true, that the divifion of the profit is fel dom forgotten in the conflitution of the partnerthip, and is therefore commonly fettled by exprefs agreements : but thefe agreements, to be equitable, fhould purfue the principle of the rule here laid down.

All the partners are bound by what any one of them does in the courfe of the bufinefs; for, quoad boc, each partner is confidered as an authorized agent for the reft.

## CHAP. XIV.

CONTRACTSOF LABOUR.

## OFFICES。

IN many offices, as fchools, fellowhips of colleges, profefforhhips of the univerfities, and the like, there is a twofold contract, one with the founder, the other with the electors.

The contract with the founder obliges the incumbent of the office to difcharge every duty appointed by the charter, ftatutes, deed of gift, or will of the founder; becaufe the endowment was given, and confequently accepted for that purpole, and upon thofe conditions.

The contract with the electors extends this obligation to all duties that have been cuffomarily connetted with and reckoned a part of the office, though not prefcribed by the founder: for the cleCtors expeat from the perfon they choofe all the duties which his predecefiors have dif. .2 charged:
charged; and as the perfon elected cannot be ignorant of their expectation, if he meant to have refufed this condition, he ought to have apprifed them of his objection.

Apd here let it be obferved, that the electors can excufe the confcience of the perfon elected from this laft clals of duties alone ; becaufe this clafs refults from a contract, to which the electors and the perfon elected are the only parties. The other clafs of duties refults from a different contract.

It is a queftion of fome magnitude and diffculty, what offices may be confcientioufly fupplied by a deputy:

We will fate the feveral objections to the fubfitution of a deputy; and then it will be underftood, that a deputy may be allowed in all cales to which thẹfe objections do not apply.

An office may not be difcharged by deputy,
$\therefore$ 1. Where a particular confidence is repofed in the judgment and conduct of the perfon appointed to it; as the office of a fteward, guardian, judge, commander in chief by land or rea.
2. Where the cuftom hinders; as in the cale of fchool-mafters, tutors, and of cominiffions in the army or navy.

[^10]. 3. Where the duty cannot, from its nature, be fo well performed by a deputy; as the de-: puty governor of a province may not poffers the legal authdrity, or the actual influence: of his, priacipat
4. When fome inconveniency would refult to the fervice in general from the permiffion of deputies in fuch cafes: for example, it is probable that millitary merit would be much difcouraged, if the duties belonging to commiffions in the army were generally allowed to be executed by fubftitutes:

The non-refidence of the parochial clergy, who fupply the duty of their benefices by curates, is worthy of a more diftinct confideration. And in order to draw the queftion upon this cafe to a point, we will fuppofe the officiating curate to difcharge every duty which his principal, were he prefent, would be bound to difcharge, and in a manner equally beneficial to the parifh: under which circumftances, the only objection to the abfence of the principal, at leaft the only one of the foregoing objections, is the laft.

And, in my judgment, the force of this ob. jection will be much diminifhed, if the abfent redor or vicar be, in the mean time, engaged in any function or employment of equal, or of
greater importance to the general intereft of reb ligion. For the whole revence of the national church may properly enough be confidered as a common fund for the fupport of the national religion; and if a clergyman be ferving the caufe of Chriftianity and Proteftantifm, it can make little difference, out of what particular portion of this fund, that is, by the tithes and glebe of what particular parifh, his Cervice be requited; ány more than it can prejudice the king's fervice, that an officer who has fignalized his merit in America, thould be rewarded with the government of a fort or caftle in Ireland, which he never faw ; but for the cuftody of which proper provifion is made, and care taken.

Upon the principle thus explained, this indulgence is due to none more than to thofe who are occupied in cultivating or communicating religious knowledge, or the fciences fubfidiary to religion.

This way of confidering the revenues of the church, as a common fund for the fame purpofe, is the more equitable, as the value of particulat preferments bears no proportion to the particular charge or labour.

But when a man draws upon this fund, whofe fuludies and employments bear no relation to the object
object of it; and who is no farther a minifter of the Chriftian religion, than as a cockade makes a foldier, it feems a mifapplication little better than a robbery.

And to thofe who have the management of fuch matters I fubmit this queftion, whether the impoverifhment of the fund, by converting the beft chare of it into annuities for the gay and illiterate youth of great families, threatens not to farve and ftifle the little clerical merit that is left amongtt us?

All legal difpenfations from refidence proceed upon the fuppofition, that the abfentee is detained from his living by fome engagement of equal or of greater public importance. Therefore, if in a cale where no fuch reafon can with truth be pleaded, it be faid that this queftion regards a right of property, and that all right of property awaits the difpofition of law ; that, therefore, if the law, which gives a man the emoluments of a living, excufe him from refiding upon it, he is excufed in confcience; we anfwer, that the law does not excufe him by intention, and that all other excufes are fraudulent.

## CHAP. XV.

LIES.

ALIE is a breach of promife : for whoever ferioully addreffes his difcourfe to another, tacitly promifes to fpeak the truth, becaufe the knows that the truth is expected.

Or the obligation of veracity may be made out from the direct ill confequences of lying to focial happinefs. Which confequences confift, either in fome fpecific injury to particular individuals, or in the deftruction of that confidence, which is effential to the intercourfe of human life: for which latter reafon, a lie may be pernicious in its general tendency, and therefore criminal, though it produce no particular or vifible mifchief to any one.

There are falfehoods which are not lies; that is, which are not criminal: as,

1. Where no one is deceived; which is the cafe in parables, fables, novels, jefts, tales to create mirth, ludicrous embellifhments of a ftory, where'
where the declared defign of the fpeaker is not to inform, but to divert; compliments in the fubfrription of a letter, a fervant's denying his mafter, 3 prifoner's pleading not guilty, an advocate afr ferting the juftice, or his belicf of the juftice, of his client's caufe. In fuch inftances no confidence is deftroyed, becaufe none was repofed; no promife to fpeak the truth is violated, becaule none was given, or underftood to be given.
2. Where the perfon to whom you fpeak has no right to know the truth, or, more properly, where little or no inconveniency refults from the want of confidence.in fuch cafes; as where you tell a falfehood to a madman, for his own advantage; to a robber, to conceal your property; to an affaffin, to defeat, or to divert him from, his purpofe. The particular confequence is by the fuppofition beneficial; and, as to the general confequence, the worft that can happen is, that the madman, the robber, the affaffin, will not truft you again; which (befide that the firft is incapable of deducing regular conclufions from having been once deceived, and the two laft not likely to come a fecond time in your way) is fufficiently compenfated by the immediate benefit which you propofe by the falfehood.

It is upon this principle, that, by the laws of
war, it is allowed to deceive an enemy by feints, falfe colours*, fpies, falfe intelligence, and the like; but by no means in treaties, truces, fignals of capitulation, or furrender : and the difference is, that the former fuppofe hoftilities to continue, the latter are calculated to terminate or fufpend them. In the conduct of war, and whilf the war continues, there is no ufe, or rather no place, for confidence betwixt the contending parties; but in whatever relates to the termination of war, the moft religious fidelity is expected, becaufe without it wars could not ceafe, nor the victors be fecure, but by the entire deftruction of the vanquilhed.

Many people indulge in ferious difcourfe a habit of fiction and exaggeration, in the accounts they give of themfelves, of their acquaintance or of the extraordinary things which they have feen or heard; and fo long as the facts they relate are indifferent, and their narratives, though

* There have been two or three inftances of late, of Englifh Gips decoying an enemy into their power, by counterfeiting fignals of diftrefs; an artifice which ought to be reprobated by the common indignation of mankind : for a few exampics of captures effected by this fratagem, would put an end to that promptitude in affording affiftance to hips in diftrefs, which is the beft virtue in a feafaring character, and by which the perils of navigation are diminified to all, A. D. 1775 .
falfe, are inoffenfive, it may feem a fuperfitious. regard to truth, to cenfure them merely for truth's fake.

In the firt place, it is almof impoffible to prom nounce beforehand, with certainty, concerning any lie, that it is inoffenfive. Volat irrevocabile;
 which entirely change its nature. It may owe poffibly its mifchief to the officioufnefs or mifreprefentation of thofe who circulate it; but the mifchief is, neverthelefs, in fome degree chargeable upon the original editor.

In the next place, this liberty in converfation defeats its own end. Much of the pleafure, and all the benefic of converfation, depends upon our opinion of the fpeaker's veracity ; for which this yule leaves no foundation. The faith indeed of a hearer muft be extremely perplexed, who confiders the fpeaker, or believes that the fpeaker confiders himfelf, as under no obligation to adhere to truth, but according to the particular im. portance of what he relates.

Buit.befide and above beth thefe reafons, wobite lies always introduce others of a darker complexion. I have feldom known any one who deferted truth in trifles, that could be trufted in matters of importance. Nice diftinctions are
cot of the queftion, upon occaffons which, fike thiofe of fpeech, return every hour. The habit, therefore, of lying, when once formed, is eafily oxtended to ferve the defigns of malice or intereft; like all habits, it Spreads indeed of itcelf,

Pious frauds, as they are improperly enough called, pretended infpirations, forged boaks, counterfeit miracles, are impofitions of a more ferious nature. It is poffible that they may fometimes, though feldom, have been fet up and oncouraged, with a defign to do good: bur the good they aim at, requires that the belief of them hould be perpetual, which is hardly poffible; and the detection of the fraud is fure to difparage the credit of all pretenfions of the fame nature. Chriftianity has fuffered more injury from this caufe, than from all other caules put together.

As there may be falfehoods which are not lies, fo there may be lies without literal or direct falfehood. An opening is always left for this fpecies of prevarication, when the literal and grammatical fignification of a fentence is different from the popular and cuftomary meaning. It is the wilful deceit that makes the lie; and we wilfully deceive, when our expreffions are not true in the feafe in which we believe the hearer
to apprehend them: befides that it is abfurd to contend for any fenfe of words, in oppofition to ufage; for all fenfes of all words are founded upon ufage, and upon nothing' elfe.

Or a man may act a lie; as by pointing his finger in a wrong direction, when a traveller inquires of him his road; or when a tradefman Thuts up his windows, to induce his creditors to believe that he is abroad: for to, all notal purpofes, and therefore as to veracity, fpeech and action are the fame; fpeech being only a mode of action.

Or, laftly, there may be lies, of omiffron." A writer of Engligis bittory, who, in bis account of the reign of Charles the Firft, fhould wilfully fupprefs any evidende, of thas prince's defpotic meafures and defligns, might be faid to lie; for, by infitling his book a bifory of England, he ent giiges to relate the whole truth of the hiftory, of, -at leaft; all that he knows of it.

## CHAP. XVI.

> OATHS.

## 1. FORMS of Oatbs.

II. Signification.
III. Lawfulnefs.
IV. Obligation.
V. Wbat oaths do not bind
VI. Is wobat fenfe oaths are to be interpreted.
I. The forms of oaths, like other religious ceremonies, have in all ages been various; confifting however, for the moft part, of fome bodily action*, and of a prefcribed form of words. Among the $\mathcal{F}$ eres, the juror held up his right hand to wards heaven, which explains a paffage in the

* It is commonly thought that oaths are denominated eoso poral oaths from the bodily action which accompanies them of laying the right hand upon a book containing the four gotpele This opinion, however, appears to be a miftake; for the terte is borrowed from the ancient ulage of touching, upgn thefeoon cafions, the corporale, or cloth which covered the confecrated elements.
exilivth Pfalm, "whofe mouth fpeaketh vanity; " and their rigbt-band is a rigbt-band of falfebood." The fame form is retained in Scotland fill. Amongft the fame Jews, an oath of fidelity was taken, by the fervant's putting his hand under the thigh of his lord, as Eliezer did to Abrabam, Gen. xxiv. 2; from whence, with no great variation, is derived perhaps the form of doing homage at this day, by putting the hands between the knees and within the hands of the liege.

Amongt the Greeks and Romans, the form varied with the fubject and occafion of the oath. In private contracts, the parties took hold of each other's hand, whilft they fwore to the performance; or they touched the altar of the god; by whofe divinity they fwore. Upon more folemn occafions, it was the cuftom to llay a viAtim; and the beaft being fruck down, with certain ceremonies and invocations, gave birth to the expreffions $\tau \varepsilon \mu v \varepsilon v$ opxov, ferire pactum; and to our Englif phrafe, tranflated from thefe, of " Atriking a bargain."

The forms of oaths in Chriftian countries are alfe very different; but in no country in the world, I believe, worfe contrived, either to convey the meaning, or imprefs the obligation of an oath, than in our own. The juror with
us, after repeating the promife or affirmation which the oath is intended to confirm, adds, " fo help me God:" or more frequently the fubftance of the oath is repeated to the juror by the officer or magiftrate who adminifters it, adding in the conclufion, "fo help you God." The energy of the fentence refides in the particle $f 0$; $f_{0}$, that is, bác lege, upon condition of my fpeaking the truth, or performing this promife, and not otherwife, may God help me. The juror whilft he hears or repeats the words of the oath, holds his right-hand upon a Bible, or other book containing the four gofpels. The conclufion of the oath fometimes runs, "ita me Deus adjuvet, " et hæc fancta evangelia," or "fo help me God, " and the contents of this book;" which laft claufe forms a connection between the words and action of the juror, that before was wanting. The juror then kiffes the book: the kifs, however, feems rather an act of reverence to the contents of the book, as, in the popifh ritual athe prieft kiffes the gofpel before he reads it, than any part of the dath.
:This obfcure and elliptical form, together with the levity and frequency with which it is adminiftered, bas brought-about a general inadvers tebery to the diligation of oaths; which, both in
a religious and political view, is much to be lamented : and it merits public confideration, whether the requiring of naths on fo many frivolous occafions, efpecially in the cuftoms, and in the qualification for petty iffices, has any other effect, than to make them cheap in the minds of the people. A pound of tea cannot travel regularly from the fhip to the confumer, without cofting half a dozen oaths at the leaft; and the fame fecurity for the due difcharge of their office, namely, that of an oath, is required from a churchwarden and an archbilhop, from a petty conftable and the chief juftice of England. Let the law continue its own fanctions, if they be thought requifite ; but let it fpare the folemnity of an oath. And where, from the want of fomething better to depend upon, it is neceffary to accept men's own word or own account, let it annex to prevarication penalties proportioned to, the public mifchief of the offence.
II. But whatever be the form of an oath, the fignification is the fame. It is "the calling upon " God to witnefs, i.e. to take notice of what we " fay," and it is " invoking his vengeance, or re" nouncing his favour, if what we fay be falfe, or "what we promife be not performed."
III. Quakers and Moravians refufe to fwear
vol. i. $\quad 0 \quad$ upon
upon any occafion; founding their fcruples concerning the lazofulnefs of oaths, upon our Saviour's prohibition, Matth. v. 34, "I fay unto " you, Swear not at all."

The anfwer which "we give to this objéction cannot be underftood, without firft ftating the whole paffage: "Ye have heard that it hath " been faid by them of old time, Thou fhalt not "forfwear thyfelf, but fhalt perform unto the " Lord thine oaths. But I fay unto you', Swear " not at all; neither by heaven, for it is God's " throne; nor by the earth, for it is his foot" ftool; neither by $\mathcal{F}$ erufalem, for it is the city " of the great King. Neither fhalt thou fwear by "thy head, becaufe thou canft not make one " hair white or black. But let your communica"tion be Yea yea, Nay nay; for whatfoever is " more than thefe cometh of evil."

To reconcile with this paffage of Scripture the practice of fwcaring, or of taking oaths, when required by law, the following obfervations mult be attended to:

1. It does not appear, that fwearing " by " heaven," " by the earth," " by ferufolem," or " by their own head," was a form of fwearing ever made ufe of amongit the fores in judicial oaths: and, confequently, it is not probile that
they were judicial oaths, which Chrift had in his mind when he mentioned thofe inftances.
2. As to the feeming univerfality of the prohibition, "Swear not at all," the emphatic claufe " not at all" is io be read in connection with what follows; " not at all," b.e. neither " by " the heaven," nor " by the earth," nor " by " Ferufalem," nor " by thy head:" " not at all" does not mean upon no occafion, but by none of thefe forms. Our Saviour's argument feems to fuppofe, that the people, to whom he fake; made a diftinction between fwearing directly by the " name of God," and fwearing by thofe inferior objects of veneration, "the heavens," " the earth," " Ferufalem," or " their own head." In oppofition to which diftinction he tclls them, that, on account of the relation which thefe things bore to the Supreme Being, to fwear by any of them, was in effect and fubftance to fwear by bim; " by heaven, for it is his throne; by " the earth, for it is his footfool; by Ferufalem, "for it is the city of the great King; by thy " head, for it is bis workmanhip, not thine, "thou canft not make one hair white or black:" for which reafon, he fays, "Swear not at all," that is, neither directly by God, nor indirectly
by any thing related to him. This interpretation is greatly confirmed, by a paffage in the twentythird chapter of the fame gofpel, where a fimilar diftinction, made by the Scribes and Pharifees, is replied to in the fame manner.
3. Our Saviour himfelf being "adjured by "the living God," to declare whether he was the Chrift, the Son of God, or not, condefcended to anfwer the high prieft, without making any objection to the oath (for fuch it was) upon which he examined him. "God is my witnefs," fays St. Paul to the Romans, " that without "ceafing I make mention of you in my pray" ers:" and to the Corintbians fill more ftrongły, "I call God for a record upon my foul, that, to " fpare you, I came not as yet to Corintb." Both thefe expreffions contain the nature of oatho. The epiftle to the Hebrews fpeaks of the cuftom of fwearing judicially, without any mark of cenfure or difapprobation: " Men verily fwear by " the greater; and an oath, for confirmation, is "to them an end of all frife."

Upon the ftrength of thefe reafons, we explain our Saviour's words to relate, not to judicial oaths, but to the practice of vain, wanton, and unauthorifed fwearing, in common difcourfe.

St. James's words, chap. v. 12, are not fo ftrong as our Saviour's, and therefore admit the fame explanation with more eafe.
IV. Oaths are nugatory, that is, carry with them no proper force or obligation, unlefs we believe that God will punifh falfe fwearing with more feverity than a fimple lie, or breach of promife; for which belief there are the following reafons:

1. Perjury is a fin of greater deliberation. The juror has the thought of God and of religion upon his mind at the time; at leaft, there are very few who can thake them off entirely. He offends, therefore, if he do offend, with a high hand; in the face, that is, and in defiance of the fanctions, of religion. His offence implies a difbelief or contempt of God's knowledge, power and juftice; which cannot be faid of a lie where there is nothing to carry the mind to any reflection upon the Deity, or the divine attributes at all.
2. Perjury violates a fuperior confidence. Mankind muft truft to one another; and they have nothing better to truft to than one another's oath. Hence legal adjudications, which govern and affect every right and intereft on this fide of the grave, of neceffity proceed and depend upon
oaths. Perjury, therefore, in its general confequence, frikes at the fecurity of reputation, property, and even of life itfelf. A lie cannot do the fame mifchief, becaufe the fame credit is not given to it *.
3. God directed the Ifraelites to fwear by his name $\dagger$; and was pleafed, "in order to thew "the immutability of his own council $\ddagger$," to confirm his covenant with that people by an oath: neither of which it is probable he would have done, had he not intended to reprefent oaths as having fome meaning and effect, beyond the obligation of a bare promife; which effect muft be owing to the feverer punifhment with which he will vindicate the authority of caths.
V. Promiffory oaths are not binding, where the promife itfelf would not be fo: for the feveral cafes of which, fee the Chaprer of Promifes.
VI. As oaths are defigned for the fecurity of the impofer, it is manifeft that they muft be interpreted and performed in the fenfe in which the impoler intends them; otherwife, they afford no

* Except, indeed, where a Ouaker's or Moravian's aifirmation is accepted in the place of an oath; in which cale, a lie partakes, fofar as this reafon extends, of the nature and guilt of perjury.

$$
\dagger \text { Dcut. vi. 13. x. 2c. } \quad \ddagger \text { Heb. vi. } 17 .
$$

fecurity to bim. And this is the meaning and reafon of the rule, " jurare in animum imponentis;" which rule the reader is defired to carry along with him, whilft we proceed to confider certain particular oaths, which are either of greater importance, or more likely to fall in our way, than others.

## CHAP. XVII.

## OATHINEVIDENCE.

THE witnefs fwears " to fpeak the truth, " the whole truth, and nothing but the " truth, touching the matter in queftion."

Upon which it may be obferved, that the defigned concealment of any truth, which relates to the matter in agitation, is as much a violation of the oath, as to teftify a pofitive falfehood; and this whether the witnels be interrogated to that particular point or not. For, when the perfon to be examined is fworn upon a voir dire, that is, in order to enquire, whether he ought to be admitted to give evidence in the caufe at all, the form runs thus: "You fhall true anfwer "make to all fuch queftions as fhall be afked " you;" but, when he comes to be fworn in cbief, he fwears " to fpeak the whole truth," without reftraining it, as before, to the queftions that hall be afked: which difference fhows, that the law intends, in this latter cafe, to require
quire of the witnefs, that he give a complete and unreferved account of what he knows of the fubject of the trial, whether the queftions propofed to him reach the extent of his knowledge or not. So that if it be enquired of the witnefs afterwards, why he did not inform the court fo and fo, it is not a fufficient, though a very common anfwer to fay, "becaufe it was " never afked me."

I know but one exception to this rule; which is, when a full difcovery of the truth tends to accufe the witnefs himfelf of fome legal crime. The law of England conftrains no man to become his own accufer ; confequently, impofes the oath of teftimony with this tacit refervation. But the exception mult be confined to legal crlmes. A point of honour, of delicacy, or of reputation, may make a witnefs backward to difclofe fome circumftance with which he is acquainted; but will in no wife juftify his concealment of the truth, unlers it could be mewn, that the law 'which impofes the oath intended to allow this indulgence to fuch motives. The exception of which we are fpeaking is alfo withdrawn by a compact between the magiftrate and the witnefs, when an accomplice is admitted to give evidence againt the partners of his crime.

Tender-

Tendernefs to the prifoner, although a fpecious apology for concealment, is no juft excufe; for, if this plea be thought fufficient, it takes the adminiftration of penal juftice out of the hands of judges and juries, and makes it depend upon the temper of profecutors and witneffes.

Queftions may be afked which are irrelative to the caufe, which affect the witnefs himfelf, or fome third perfon; in which, and in all cafes where the witnefs doubts of the pertinency and propriety of the queftion, he ought to refer his doubts to the court. 'The anfwer of the court, in relaxation of the oath, is authority enough to the witnefs; for the law which impofes the oath may remit what it will of the obligation; and it belongs to the court to declare what the mind of the law is. Neverthelefs, it cannot be faid univerfally, that the anfwer of the court is conclufive upon the confcience of the witnefs; for his obligation depends upon what he apprehended, at the time of taking the oath, to be the defign of the law in impofing it; and no after requifition or explanation by the court can carry the obligation beyond that.

## CHAP. XVIII.

## OATHOFAILEGIANCE.

"IDO fincerely promife and fwear, that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to " his Majefty King Grorge." Formerly the oath of allegiance ran thus: "I do promife to " be true and faithful to the King and his heirs, " and truth and faith to bear, of life, and limb, " and terrene honour; and not to know or hear " of any ill or damage intended him, without "defending him therefrom :" and was altered at the Revolution to the prefent form. So that the prefent oath is a relaxation of the old one. And as the oath was intended to afcertain, not fo much the extent of the fubject's obedience, as, the perfon to whom it was due, the legiflature feems to have wrapped up its meaning upon the former point, in a word púrpofely made choice of for its general and indeterminate fignificayion.

It will be moft convenient to confider, firf, what the oath excludes, as inconfiftent with it; fecondly, what it permits.
r. The oath excludes all intention to fupport the claim or pretenfions of any other perfon or perfons to the crown and government, than the reigning fovereign. A facobite, who is perfuaded of the Pretender's right to the crown, and who moreover defigns to join with the adherents of that caufe, to affert this right, whenever a proper opportunity, with a reafonable profpect of fuccefs, prefents itfelf, cannot take the oath of allegiance ; or, if he could, the oath of abjuration follows, which contains an exprefs repunciation of all opinions in favour of the claim of the exiled family.
2. The oath excludes all defign, at the time, of attempting to depofe the reigning prince, for any reafon whatever. Let the juftice of the Revolution be what it would, no honeft man could have taken even the prefent oath of allegiance to fames the Sccond, who entertained at the time of taking it a defign of joining in the meafures which were entered into to dethrone him.
3. The oath forbids the taking up of arms againft the reigning prince, with views of pria
vate advancement, or from motives of perfonal refentment or diflike. It is poffible to happen in this, what frequently happens in defpotic governments, that an ambitious general, at the head of the military force of the nation, might, by a conjuncture of fortunate circumftances, and a great afcendancy over the minds of the foldiery, depofe the prince upon the throne, and make way to it for himfelf, or for fome creature of his own. A perfon in this fituation would be withheld from fuch an attempt by the oath of allegiance, if he paid regard to it. If there were any who engaged in the rebellion of the year forty-five, with the expectation of titles, eftates, or preferment; or becaufe they were difappointed, and thought themfelves neglected and ill ufed at court; or becaufe they entertained a family animolity, or perfonal refentment againft the king, the favourite, or the minifter; if any were induced to take up arms by thefe motives, they added to the many crimes of an unprovoked rebellion, that of wilful and corrupt perjury. If in the late American war the fame motives determined others to connect themfelves with that oppofition; their part in it was chargeable with perfidy and fallehond to their eath, whatever was the juftice of the oppofition

itfelf,

itfelf, or however well founded their own coms plaints might be of private injury.

We are next to confider what the oath of allegiance permits, or cioes not require.

1. It permits refiftance to the king, when his ill behaviour or imbecility is fuch, as to make refiftance beneficial to the community. It may. fairly be prefuned, that the Convention Parliament, which introduced the oath in its prefent form, did not intend, by impoling it, to exclude all refiftance; fince the members of that legiflature had many of them recently taken up arms againft fames the Second: and the very authority by which they fat together, was itfelf the effect of a fuccefsful oppofition to an acknowledged fovercign. Some refifance, therefore, was meant to be alowed; and if any, is muft be that which has the public interelt for its object.
2. The oath does not require cbedicice to fuch commands of the king, as are unathorized by law. No fuch obedience is implied by the terms of the oath: the filclity there promifed, is intended of fidelity in oppolition to his cnemies, and not in oppofition to law ; and allegiance, at the utmolt, can only fignify obedience to lawful commands. Therefure, if the
king fhould iffue a proclamation levying money, or impofing any fervice or reftraint upon the fubject, beyond what the crown is impowered - by law to enjoin, there would exift no fort of obligation to obey fuch a proclamation, in confequence of having taken the oath of allegiance.
3. The oath does not require that we fhould continue our allegiance to the king after he is actually and abfolutely depofed, driven into exile, carried away captive, or otherwife rendered incapable of exercifing the regal office, whether by his fault or without it. The promife of allegiance implies, and is underftood by all parties to fuppofe, that the perfon to whom the promife is made, continues king; continues, that is, to exercife the power, and afford the protection, which belongs to the effice of king; for it is the poffeffion of this power, which makes fuch a particular perfon the object of the oath : without it, why fhould I fwear allegiance to this man, rather thar to any man in the kingdom ? Befide which, the contrary doctrine is burthened with this confequence, that every conqueft, revolution of government, or difafter which befals the perfon of the prince, muft be followed by perpetual and irremediable anarchy.

## CHAP.

## CHAP. XIX.

OATH•AGAINST BRIBERY IN THE ELECTION of members of parliament.
"T DO fwear, I have not received, or had, by myfelf, or any perfon whatfoever in "truit for me, or for my uie and benefit, div " rectly or indirectly, any fum or fums of money, " office, place, or employment, gift, or reward, or " any promife or fecurity, for any money, office, " employment, or gift, in order to give my vote " at this election."

The feveral contrivances to evade this oath, fuch as the electors accepting money under colour of borrowing it, and giving a promiffory note, or other fecurity for it, which is cancelled after the election; receiving money from a ftranger, or a perfon in difguife, or out of a drawer, or purfe, left open for the purpofe; or promifes of money to be paid after the election; or Atipulating for à place, living, or other private ad-

Vantage of any kind; if they efcape the legal penalties of perjury, incur the moral guilt: for they are manifefly within the mifchief and defign of the ftatute which impofes the oath, and within the terms indeed of the oath itfelf; for the word "indireCtly" is inferted on purpofe to comprehend fuch cafes as thefe.

## CHAP. XX.

## OATH AGAINSTESMONY.

FROM an imaginary refemblance between the purchafe of a benefice and Simon Magus's attempt to purchafe the gift of the Holy Ghoft, AEts viii. 19, the obtaining of ecclefiaftical preferment by pecuniary confiderations has been Simony.

The fale of advowfons is infeparable from the allowance of private patronage; as patronage would otherwife devolve to the moft indigent, and for that reafon the moft improper hands it could be placed in. Nor did the law ever intend to prohibit the paffing of advowfons from one patron to another; but to refrain the patron, who poffefles the right of prefenting at the vacancy, from being influenced, in the choice of his prefentee, by a bribe, or benefit to himfelf. It is the fame diftinction with that which obtains in a freeholder's vote for his reprefentative in parliament. The right of voting, that is the freehold,
hold, to which the right pertains, may be bought and fold as freely as any other property; but the exercife of that right, the vote itfelf, may not be purchafed, or influenced by money.

For this purpofe, the law impofes upon the prefentee, who is generally concerned in the fimony, if there be any, the following oath : "I do fwear, that I have made no fimoniacal " payment, cantract, or promife, directly or in" directly, by myfelf, or by any other to my " knowledge, or with my confent, to any per" fon or perfons whatfoever, for or concerning "the procuring and obtaining of this ecclefin " aftical place, \&c. nor will, at any time here" after, perform, or fatisfy, any fuch kind of pay" ment, contract or promife, made by any other " withgut my knowledge or confent: So help " me God, through Jefus Chrift."

It is extraordinary, that Bifhop Gibfon fhould have thought this oath to be againft all promifes. whatfoever, when the terms of the oath exprefsly reftrain it to fmoniacal promifes; and thelaw alone muft pronounce what promifes, as well as what payments and contracts, are fimoniacal, and, con, fequently, come within the oath; and what do not fon
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Now the law adjudges to be fimony,

1. All payments, contracts, or promifes, made by any perfon for a benefice already vacant. The advowfon of a void turn, by law, cannot be transferred from one patron to another : therefore, if the void turn be procured $\cdot$ by money; it muft be by a pecuniary influence upon the then fubfifting patron in the choice of his prefentee; which is the very practice the law condemns.
2. A clergyman's purchafing of the next turn of a benefice for bimfelf, "directly or indirectly," that is, by himfelf, or by another perfon with his money. It does not appear, that the law prohibits a clergyman from purchafing the perpetuity of a patronage, more than any other perfon; but purchafing the perpetuity, and forthwith felling it again, with a refervation of the next turn, and with no other defign than to poffefs himfelf of the next turn, is in fraudem legis, and inconfiftent with the oath.
3. The procuring of a piece of preferment, by ceding to the patron any rights, or probable rights, belonging to it. This is fimony of the worft kind; for it is not only buying preferment, but robbing the fucceffion to pay for it.
4. Pro-
5. Promifes to the patron of a portion of the profit, of a remiffion ${ }^{2}$ of tithes and dues, or other advantage out of the produce of the benefice: which kind of compact is a pernicious condefcenfion in the clergy, independent of the oath; for it tends to introduce a practice, which may very foon become general, of giving the revenue of churches to the lay patrons, and fupplying the duty by indigent ftipendiaries.
6. General bonds of refignation, that is, bonds to refign upon demand.

1 doubt not but that the oath againft fimony is binding upon the confciences of thofe who take it, though I queftion much the expediency of requiring it. It is very fit to debar public patrons, fuch as the king, the lord chancellor, bihops, ecclefiaftical corporations, and the like, from this kind of traffic ; becaufe from them may be expected fome regard to the qualifications of the perfons whom they promote. But the oath lays a fnare for the integrity of the clergy; and I do not perceive, that the requiring of it, in cafes of private patronage, produces any good effect, fufficient to compenfate for this danger.

Where advowfons are holden aloug with
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manors, or other principal eftates, it would bo an cafy regulation to forbid that they fhould ever hereafter be feparated; and would, at leaft, keep church preferment out of the hands of brokers,

CHAP.
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## CHAP. XXI.

OATHS TO OBSERVE LOCAL STATUTES.

MEMBERS of colleges in the univerfities, and of other ancient foundations, are required to ${ }^{\text {w }}$ wear to the obfervance of their refpective ftatutes; which oblervance is become in fome cafes unlawful, in others impracticable, in others ufelefs, in others inconvenient.

Unlawful directions are countermanded by the authority which made them unlawful.

Impracticable directions are difpenfed with by the neceffity of the cafe.

The only queftion is, how far the members of thefe focieties may take upon themfelves to judge of the inconveniency of any particular direction, and make that a reafon for laying afide the obfervation of it.

The animus imponentis, which is the meafure of the juror's duty, feems to be fatisfied, when nothing is omitted, but what, from fome change in the circuimftances under which it was prefcribed, it may fairly be prefumed that the founder himfelf would have difpenfed with.

To bring a cafe within this rule, the inconveniency mult,

1. Be manifeft ; concerning which there is no doubt.
2. It muft arife from fome change in the circumftances of the inftitution; for, let the inconveniency be what it will, if it exifted at the time of the foundation, it mult be prefumed that the founder did not deem the avoiding of it of fufficient importance to aler his plan.
3. The direction of the flatute muft not only be inconvenient in the general, for fo may the inftitution itfelf be, but prejudicial to the particular end propofed by the inftitution; for it is this laft circumftance which proves that the founder would have difpenfed with it in purfuance of his own purpofe.

The ftatutes of fome colleges forbid the feaking of any language but Latin, within the walls of the college; direct that a certain number, and not fewer than that number, be allowed the ufe of an apartment amongft them; that fo mar:y hours of each day be employed in public exercifes, lectures, or difputations; and fome other articles of difcipline adapted to the tender years of the fludents who in former times reforted to univerfities. Were colleges to retain
fuch rules, nobody now-a-days would come near them. They are laid afide, therefore, though parts of the ftatutes, and as fuch included within the oath, not merely becaufe they are inconvenient, but becaufe there is fufficient reafon to believe, that the founders themfelves would have difpenfed with them, as fubverfive of their own defigns.

## CHAP. XXII.

## SUBSCRIPTION TO ARTICLES OF RELIGION.

SUBSCRIPTION to articles of Religion, D. though no more than a declaration of the fubfcriber's affent, may properly enough be confidered in connection with the fubject of oaths, becaufe it is governed by the fame rule of interpretation :

Which rule is the animus imponentis.
The inquiry therefore concerning fubfription will be, quis impofuit, et quo animo.

The bifhop who receives the fubfcription is not the impofer, any more than the cryer of a court, who adminifters the oath to the jury and witneffes, is the perfon that impofes it ; nor confequently is the private opinion or interpretation of the bihop of any lignification to the Jublcriber, one way or other.

The compilers of the thirty-nine articles are not to be confidered as the impofers of fubfription, any more than the framer or drawer up of a law is the perfon that enacts it.

The legiflature of the 13 th Eliz. is the impofer, whofe intention the fubfcriber is bound to fatisfy.

They who contend, that nothing lefs can juftify fublcription to the thirty-nine articles, than the actual'belief of each and every feparate propofition contained in them, muft fuppofe, that the legiflature expected the confent of ten thoufand men, and that in perpetual fucteffion, not to one controverted propofition, but to many hundreds. It is difficult to conceive how this could be expected by any, who obferved the inrcurable diverfity of human opinion upon all fubjects ghort of demonftration.

If the authors of the law did not intend this, what did they intend ?

They intended to exclude from offices in the church,

1. All abettors of popery.
2. Anabaptifts, who were at that time a powerful party on the continent.
3. The Puritans, who were hoftile to an epifcopal conftitution; and in general the members of fuch leading fects or foreign eftablifhments as threatened to overthrow our own.

Whoever finds himfelf comprehended within thefe defcriptions, ought not to fubfcribe. Nor
can a fubfcriber to the articles take advantage of any latitude which our rule may feem to allow, who is not firft convinced that he is truly and fubftantially fatisfying the intention of the legiflator.

During the prefent flate of ecclefiaftical patronage, in which private individuals are permitted to impofe teachers upon parifhes, with which they are often little or not at all connected, fome limitation of the patron's choice may be neceffary, to prevent unedifying contentions between neighbouring teachers, or between the teachers and their refpective congregations. But this danger, if it exift, may be provided againft with equal effect, by converting the articles of faith into articles of peace.

## CHAP. XXIII.

WJLLS.

THE fundamental queftion upon this fubject is, whether Wills are of natural or of adventitious right? that is, whether the right of directing the difpofition of property after his death belongs to a man in a ftate of nature, and by the law of nature, or whether it be given him entirely by the pofitive regulations of the country he lives in ?

The immediate produce of each man's perfonal labour, as the tools, weapons, and utenfils, which he manufactures, the tent or hut that he builds, and perhaps the flocks and herds which he breeds and rears, are as much his own as the labour was which he employed upon them, that is, are his property naturally and abfolutely; and confequently he may give or leave them to whom he pleafes, there being nothing to limit the continuance of bis right, or to reftrain the alienation of it.

But every other fpecies of property, efpecially property
property in land, ftands upon a different foums dation.

We have feen in the Chapter upon Property, that, in a ftate of nature, a man's right to a particular fpot of ground arifes from his ufing it, and his wanting it; confequently ceafes with the ufe and want; fo that at his death the effate reverts to the community, without any regard to the laft owner's will, or even any preference of his family, farther than as they become the firft occupiers after him, and fucceed to the fame want and ufe.

Moreover, as natural rights cannot, like rights created by act of parliament, expire at the end of a certain number of years; if the teftator have a right, by the law of nature, to difpofe of his property one moment after his death, he has the fame right to direct the difpofition of it, for a million of ages after him; which is abfurd.

The ancient apprehenfions of mankind upon the fubject were conformable to this account of it: for wills have been introduced into moft countries by a pofitive act of the ftate, as by the laws of Salon into Grecce, hy the twelve tables into Rome; and that, not till after a confiderable progrefs had been made in legiflation, and in the ceponqmy of civil life. Tacitus relates, that amongft
amongft the Germans they were difallowed; and, what is more remarkable, in this country, finge the Conqueft, lands could not be devifed by will, till within little more than two hundred years ago, when this privilege was reftored to the fubject, by an act of parliament, in the latter end of the reign of Henry the Eighth.

No doubt many beneficial purpofes are atstained by extending the owner's power over his property beyond his life, and beyond his natural right. It invites to induftry ; it encourages marriage; it fecures the dutifulnefs and dependancy of children. But a limit muft be afligned to the duration of tiis power. The utmoft extent to which, in any cafe, entails are allowed by the laws of England to operate, is during the lives in exiftence at the death of the teftator, and one-and-twenty years beyond thefe; after which, there are ways and means of fetting them afide.

From the confideration that wills are the creatures of the municipal law which gives them their efficacy, may be deduced a determination of the queftion, whether the intention of the teftator in an informal will be binding upon the confcience of thofe, who, by operation of law, fucceed to his eftate. By an informal will, I
mean a will void in law, for want of fome res quifite formality, though no doubt be entertained of its meaning or authenticity : as fuppofe a man make his will, devifing his freehold eftate to his fifter's fon, and the will be attefted by two only, inftead of three, fubfcribing witneffes; would the brother's fon, who is heir at law to the teftator, be bound in confcience to refign his claim to the eftate, out of deference to his uncle's intention? Or, on the contrary, would not the devifee under the will be bound, upon difcoverv of this flaw in it, to furrender the eftate, fuppofe he had gained poffeffion of it, to the heir at law?

Generally fpeaking, the heir at law is not bound by the intention of the teftator. For the intention can fignify nothing, unlefs the perfon intending have a right to govern the defcent of the eftate. That is the firf queftion. Now this right the teftator can only derive from the law of the land; but the law confers the right upon certain conditions, with which conditions he has not complied. Therefore, the teftator can lay no claim to the power which he pretends to exercife, as he hath not entitled himfelf to the benefic of that law, by virtue of which alone the eftate ought to attend his difpofal. Confequently, the
devifee under the will, who by concealing this Haw in it, keeps pofleflion of the eftate, is in the fituation of any other perfon, who avails himfelf of his neighbour's ignorance to detaig from him his property. The will is fo much wafte paper, from the defect of right in the perfon who made it. Nor is this catching at an expreflion of law to pervert the fubftantial defign of it ; for I apprehend it to be the deliberate mind of the legillature, that no will hould take effect upon real eftates unlefs authenticated in the precife manner which the Gatute defribes. Had teltamentary difpofitions been founded in any natural right, independent of pofitive conftitutions, I fhould have thought differently of this queftion. For then I fhould have confidered the law, rather as refuffing its affiftance to enforce the right of the devifee, than as extinguifhing, or working any alteration in the right itfelf.

And after all, I thould choofe to propore a cafe, where no confideration of pity to diftrefs, of duty to a parent, or of gratitude to a benefactor, interfered with the general rule of juftice.

The regard due to kindred in the difpofal of our fortune (except the cafe of lineal kindred, which is different) arifes either from the refpect we owe to the prefumed intention of the anceftor
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from whom we received our fortunes, or from the expectations which we have encouraged. The intention of the anceftor is prefumed with greater certainty, as well as entitled to more relpect, the fewer degrees he is removed from us, which makes the difference in the different degrees of kindred. For inftance, it may be prefumed to be a father's intention and defire, that the inheritance which he leaves, after it has ferved the turn and generation of one fon, fhould remain a provifion for the families of his other children, equally related and dear to him as the oldef. Whoever therefore, without caufe, gives away his patrimony from his brother's or fifter's family, is guilty not fo much of an injury to them, as of ingratitude to his parent. The deference due from the poffeffor of a fortune to the prefumed defire of his anceftor will alfo vary with this circumftance, whether the anceftor earned the fortune by his perfonal induftry, acquired it by accidental fucceffes, or only tranfmitted the inheritance which he received.

Where a man's fortune is acquired by himfelf, and he has: done nothing to excite expectation, but rather has refrained from thofe particular attentions which tend to cherilh expectation, he is perfectly difengaged from the force of the
above reafons; and at liberty to leave his fortune to his friends, to charitable or public purpofes, or to whom he will; the fame blood, proximity of blood, and the like, are merely modes of fpeech, implying nothing real, nor any obligation of themfelves.

There is always, however, a reafon for providing for our poor relations, in preference to others who may be equally neceffitous, which is; that if we do not, no one elfe will; mankind, by an eftablifhed confent, leaving the reduced branches of good families to the bounty of their wealthy alliances.

The not making a will is a very culpable omiffion, where it is attended with the following effects; where it leaves daughters or younger childreh at the mercy of the oldeft fon; where it diftributes a perfonal fortune equally amongft the children, although there be no equality in their exigencies or fituations; where it leaves an opening for litigation ; or laftly, and principally, Where it defrauds creditors; for by a defect in our laws, which has been long and ftrangely overlooked, real eftates are not fubject to the payment of debts by fimple contract, unlefs made fo by will ; although credit is in fact generally given to the poffeflion of fuch eftates. Q2 He ,

He, thereffore, who neglects to make the neceflary appointments for the payment of his debts, as far as his effects extend, fins, as it has been juftly faid, in his grave; and if he omits this on purpore to defeat the demands of his creditors, he dies with a deliberate fraud in his - heart.

Anciently, when any one died without a will, the birhop of the diocefe took poffeffion of his perfonal fortune, in order to difpofe of it for uhe benefit of his foul, that is, to pious or charitable ufes. It became neceffary, therefore, that the bifhop fhould be fatisfied of the authenticity of the will, when there was any, before he refigned the right which he bad to take pofferfion of the dead man's fortune, in cafe of inteflacy. In this way, wills, and controverfies relating to wills, came within the cognizance of ecclefiaftical courts; under the jurifdiction of which, wills of perfonals (the only wills that were made formerly) ftill continue, though, in truth, no more now-a-days connected with religion, than any other inftruments of conveyance. This is a peculiarity in the Englifh law.

Succeffion to inteflates muft be regulated by pofitive rules of law, there being no principle of natural juftice whereby to afcertain the proportion
of the different claimants; not to mention that the claim itfelf, efpecially of collateral kindred, feems to have litele foundation in the law of nature. Thefe regulations thould be guided by the dutyand prefumed inctination of the decerfed, fo far as thefe confiderations can be conifulted ty general rales. The flatates of Cbarles the Second, commonty called the fatutes of tiftibution, which adopt the rule of the Roman law in the diffribution of perfonals, are fufficiently equitable. They affign one third to the widow, and trod thirds to the children; in cafe of no children, one half to the widow, and the other half to the next of kin; where meither widow nor lineal defcendants furvive, the whole to the next of kin, and to be equally divided amongit kindred of equal degrees; without diftinction of whole blood and half blood, or of confanguinity by the father's or mother's fide.

The defcent of real eftates, of houfes, that is, and land, having been fettled in more remote and in ruder times, is lefs reafonable. There never can be much to complain of in a rule, which every perfon may avoid by fo eafy a provifion as that of making his will; otherwife, our law in this refpect is chargeable with fome flagrant abfurdities; fuch as that an eftate fhall in
no wife go to the brother or fifter of the half blood, though it came to the deceafed from the common parent; that it thall go to the remoteft relation the inteftate has in the world, rather than to his own father or mother; or even be forfeited for want of an heir, though both parents furvive ; that the moft diftant paternal relation fhall be preferred to an uncle or own . coufin by the mother's fide, notwithftanding the eftate was purchafed and acquired by the inteftate himfelf.

Land not being fo divifible as money, may be a reafon for making a difference in the courfe of inheritance; but there ought to be no difference but what is founded upon that reafon. The Roman law made none.

## MORAL PHILOSOPHY.



QF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH ARE INDETERMINATE.

CHAP. I.

CHARITY.

IUSE the term Charity neither in the common fenfe of bounty to the poor, nor in St. Paul's fenfe of benevolence to all mankind ; but I apply it, at prefent, in a fenfe more commodious to my purpofe, to fignify the promoting the bappinefs of our inferiors.

Charity in this fenfe I take to be the principal e4 province
province of virtue and religion: for, whilf worldly prudence will direct our behaviour towards our fuperiors, and politenefs towards our equals, there is little befide the confideration of duty, or an habitual humanity which comes into the place of confideration, to produce a proper conduct towards thofe who are beneath us, and dependent upon us.

There are three principal methods of promoting the happinefs of our inferiors.
i. By the treatment of our domeftics and dependents.
2. By profeffional affiftance.
3. By pecuniary bounty.

## C H A P. II,

## CHARITY.

## THE TREATMENT OF OUR DOMESTICS AND DEPENDENTS.

APARTY of friends fetting out together upon a journey, foon find it to be the beft for all fides, that while they are upon the road, one of the company fhould wait upon the reft; another ride forward to feek out lodging and entertainment ; a third carry the portmanteau; a fourth take charge of the horfes; a fifth bear the purfe, conduct and direct the route: notforgetting, however, that as they were equal and independent when they fet out, fo they are all to return to a level again at their journey's end. The fame regard and refpect ; the fame forbearance, lenity, and referve in ufing their fervice; the fame mildnefs in delivering commands; the fame ftudy to make their journey comfortable and pleafant, which he, whofe lot it was to direct the reft, would in common decency think himfelf bound
bound to obferve towards them; ought we to fhew to thofe, who, in the cafting of the parts of human fociety, happen to be placed within our power, or to depend upon us.

Another reflection of a like tendency with the former is, that our obligation to them is much greater than theirs to us. It is a miftake to fuppofe, that the rich man maintains his fervants, tradefmen, tenants, and labourers: the truth is, they maintain him. It is their induftry 'which fupplies his table, furnihes his wardrobe, builds his houfes, adorns his equipage, provides his amufements. It is not the eftate, but the labour employed upon it, that pays his rent. All that he does is to diftribute what others produce ; which is the leaft part of the bufinefs.

Nor do I perceive any foundation for an opinion, which is often handed round in genteel company; that good ulage is thrown away upon low and ordinary minds; that they are infenfible of kindnefs, and incapable of gratitude. If by " low and ordinary minds" are meant the minds of men in low and ordinary flations, they feem to be affected by benefits in the fame way that all others are, and to be no lefs ready to requite them : and it would be a very unaccountable law of nature if it were otherwife.

Whatever uneafinefs we occafion to our domeflics, which neither promotes our fervice, nor anfwers the juft ends of punifhinent, is manifeftly wrong; were it only upon the general principle of diminifhing the fum of human happinefs.

By which rule we are forbidden,

1. To enjoin unneceflary labour or confinement, from the mere love and wantonnefs of domination.
2. To infult our fervants by harh, fcornful, or opprobrious language,
3. To refufe them any harmlefs pleafures.

And by the fame principle are alfo forbidden caufelefs or immoderate anger, habitual peevifo nefs, and groundlefs fufpicion.

## C HAP. III. .

## SLAVERY.

THE prohibitions of the laft chapter extend to the treatment of flaves, being founded upon a principle independent of the contract between mafters and fervants.

I define llavery to be "an obligation to labour " for the benefit of the mafter, without the con"t tract or confent of the fervant."

This obligation may arife, confiftently with the law of nature, from three caufes :

1. From crimes.
2. From captivity.
3. From debt.

In the firft cafe, the continuance of the flavery, as of any other punifhment, ought to be proportioned to the crime; in the fecond and third cafes, it ought to ceafe, as foon as the demand of the injured nation or private creditor is fatisfied.

The flave-trade upon the coaft of Africa is not excufed by thefe principles. When llaves in that country are brought to market, no queftions,

I be-

I believe, are afked about the origin or jutice of the vendor's title. It may be prefumed, therefore ${ }_{\text {a }}$ that this title is not always, if it bee ever, founded, in auy of the caufes above affigned.

But defect of right in the firft purcbafe is tha leaft crime, with which this traffic is chargeable. The natives are excited to war and mutual depredation, for the fake of fupplying their cona tracts, or furnilhing the market with flaves, With this the wickednefs begins. The flaves, torn away from parents, wives, children, from their friends and companions, their fields and flocks, their home and country, are tranfported to the European fettlements in America, with no other accemmodation on Chiphoard, than what is provided for brutes. This is the fecond ftage of cruelty ; from which the miferable exiles are delivered, only to be placed, and that for life, in fubjection to a dominion and fyftem of laws, the moft mercilefs and tyrannical that ever were tolerated upon the face of the earth; and from allthatcan be learned by the accounts of the peopleq upon the fpot, the inordinate authority, which the plantation laws confer upon the flave-holder, is exercifed, by the Engli/b lave-holder efpecially, with rigour and brutality.

But necefity is pretended; the name under which
which every enormity is attempted to be juftified. And after all, what is the neceffity? It has never been proved that the land could not be cultivated there, as it is here, by hired fervants. It is faid that it could not be cultivated with quite the fame conveniency and cheapnefs, as by the labour of flaves: by which means, a pound of fugar, which the planter now fells for fixpence, could not be afforded under fixpence halfpenny-and this is the nece/fity.

The great revolution which has taken place in the Weftern world may probably conduce (and who knows but that it was defigned ?) to accelerate the fall of this abominable tyranny : and now that this conteft, and the paffions which attend it, are no more, there may fucceed perhaps a feafon for reflecting, whether a legiflature, which had fo long lent its affiftance to the fupport of an inflitution replete with human mifery, was fit to be trufted with an empire, the moft extenfive that ever obtained in any age or quarter of the world.
' Slavery was a part of the civil conftitution of moft countries, when Chriftianity appeared ; yet no paffage is to be found in the Chriftian fcriptures, by which it is condemned or prohibitcd. This is true ; for Chriftianity, foliciting admiffrom
fion into all nations of the world, abftained, as behoved it, from intermeddling with the civil inftitutions of any. But does it follow, from the filence of feripture concerning them, that all the civil inftitutions which then prevailed were right? or that the bad fhould not be exchanged for better?

Befide this, the difcharging of flaves from all obligation to obcy their mafters; which is the confequence of pronouncing flavery to be unlawful, would have had no better effect, than to let loofe one half of mankind upon the other. Slaves would have been tempted to embrace a religion, which afferted their right to freedom. Mafters would hardly have bien perfuaded to confent to claims founded upon fuch authority. The moft calamitous of all contefts, a bellum fervile, might probably have enfued, to the reproach, if not the extinction of the Chritian name.

The truth is, the emancipation of flaves fhould be gradual; and be carried on by provilions of law, and under the protection of civil government. Chritianity can only operate as an alterative. By the mild diffufion of its light and influence, the minds of men are infenfibly prepared to perceive and correct the enormities,
which
which fully, or wickednefs, or accident, hatc introduced into their public eftablifhments.' In this way the Greck and Roman flavery, and fince thefe the feudal tyranny, has declined before it. And we truit that, as the knowledge and authority of the fame religion advance in the world, they will banifh what remains of this odious inftitution.

## CHAP. IV.

## CHARITY.

## PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE.

THIS kind of beneficence is chiefly to be expected from members of the leginature, magittrates, medical, legal, and facerdotal profeffions.

1. The care of the poor ought to be the principal object of all laws, for this plain reafon, that the rich are able to take care of themfelves.

Much has been, and more might be done, by the laws of this country, towards the relief of the impotent, and the protection and encouragement of the induftrious poor. Whoever applies himfelf to collect obfervations upon the fate and operation of the poor laws, and to contrive remedies for the imperfections and abufes which he oblerves, and digefts thefe remedies into acts of parliament, and conducts them by argument or influence through the two branches of the VOL. 1 .

R
legila-
legiflature, or communicates his ideas to thofe, who are more likely to carry them into effect; deferves well of a clafs of the community fo numerous, that their happinefs forms a principal part of the whole. The ftudy and activity thus employed is charity, in the moft meritoricus fenfe of the word.
2. The application of parochial relief is entrufted in the firft inftance to overfeers and contractors, who have an intereft in oppofition to that of the poor, inafmuch as whatever they atlow them comes in part out of their own pocket. For this reafon, the law has depofited with juftices of the peace, a power of fuperintendence and control ; and the judicious interpofition of this power is a moft ufeful exertion of char rity, and oft-times within the ability of thofe, who have no other way of ferving their gener ration. A country gentleman of very moderate education, and who has little to fpare from his fortune, by learning fo much of the poor law as is to be found in Dr. Burn's Fuffice, and by furnilling himfelf with a knowledge of the prices of labour and provifion, fo as to be able to eftimate the exigencies of a family, and what is to be expected from their induftry, may, in this
way, place out the one talent committed to him, to great account.
3. Of all private profeffions, that of medicine puts it in a man's power to do the moft good at the leaft expence. Health, whick is precious to all, is to the poor invaluable; and their complaints, as agues, rheumatifms, \&c. are often fuch as yirld to medicine. And with refpect to the expence, drugs at firf hand coft little, and advice cofts nothing, where it is only beftowed upon thofe who could not afford to pay for it.
4. The rights of the poor are not fo inportant or intricate as their contentions are violent and ruinous. A Lawyer or Attorney, of tolerable knowledge in his profeffion, has commonly judgment enough to adjuft thefe difputes, with all the effect, and without the expence, of a law-fuit; and he may be faid to give a poor man twenty pounds, who prevents his throwing it away upon law. A legal man, whether of the profeffion or not, who, together with a fpirit of conciliation, poffeffes the confidence of his neighbourhood, will be much reforted to for this purpofe, efpecially fince the great increafe of cofts has produced a general dread of going to law.
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Nor professional assistance.

Nor is this line of beneficence confined to arbitration. Seafonable counfel, coming with the weight which the reputation of the advifer gives it, will often keep or extricate the rafh and uninformed out of great difficulties.

Laftly, I know not a more exalted charity than that which prefents a fhield againft the rapacity or perfecution of a tyrant.
5. Betwixt argument and authority (I mean that authority which flows from voluntary refpect, and attends upon fanctity and difintereftednefs of character) fomething may be done amongtt the lower orders of mankind, towards the regulation of their conduct, and the fatiffaction of their thoughts. This office belongs to the minifters of religion ; or rather whoever undertakes it becomes a minifter of religion. The inferior clergy, who are nearly upon a level with the common fort of their parimioners, and who on that account gain an eafier admiffion to their fociety and confidence, have in this refpect more in their power than their fuperiors: the difcreet ufe of this power conftirutes one of the moft refpectable functions of human nature.

## CHAP. V.

CHARITY.

## PRCUNIARYBOUNTY.

I. The obligation to beftow relief upon the poor.
II. Tbe manner of beftowing it.
H. The pretence by which men excufe themfelves from it.
I. Tbe abligation to before relief upon the poor.

THEY who rank pity amongft the original impulfes of our nature, rightly contend, that, when this principle prompts us to the relief of human mifery, it indicates the divine intention, and our duty. Indeed the fame conclufion is deducible from the exiftence of the paffion, whatever account be given of its origin. Whether it be an inftinct or a habit, it is in fact a property of our nature, which God appointed: and the final caufe, for which it was appointed,
is to afford to the miferable, in the compaffion of their fellow-creatures, a remedy for thofe inequalities and diffreffes which God forefaw that many mult be èxpofèd tô, ûñốr every general rule for the diftribution of property.

Befide this, the poor have a claim founded in the law of natart, Which may be thus explained. All things were originally. common. No one being able to produce a charter from heaven, had ańy betfer title to a particular poffeffion than his next neighbour. There were feafons for mankind's agreeing upon a Teparation of this common fund; and God for thefe reafons is prefumed to have ratified it. But this feparation was made and confented to, upon the expectation and condition, that every one fhould have left a fufficiency for his fubfiftence, or the means of procuring it: and as no fixed laws for the regulation of property can be fo contrived, as to provide for the relief of every cafe and diftrefs which may arife, thefe cafes and diftreffes, when their right and thare in the common ftock was given up or taken from then, were fuppofed to be left to the voluntary bounty of thofe, who might be acquainted with the exigencies of their hituation, and in the way of affording affiltance. And therefore, when the
partition of property is rigidly maintained againft the claims of indigence and diftrefs, it is maintained in oppofition to the intention of thofe who made it, and to bis, who is the Supreme Proprietor of every thing, and who has filled the world with plenteoufnefs for the fuftentation and comfort of all whom he fends into it.

The Chriftian fcriptures are more copious and explicit upon this duty than upon almoft any other. The delcription which Chrift hath left us of the proceedings of the laft day, eftablihes the obligation of bounty, beyond controverfy. "When the Son of man fhall come in his " glory, and all the holy angels with him, then " hall he fit upon the throne of his glory, and " before him fhall be gathered all nations; and " he fhall feparate them one from another." Then fhall the King fay unto them on his right " hand, Come, ye bleffed of my Father, inherit " the kingdom prepared for you from the foun" dation of the world: For I was an hungered, " and ye gave me meat: I was thirty, and ye " gave me drink: I was a Atranger, and ye " took me in: naked, and ye clothed me: I " was fick, and ye vifited me: I was in prifon, 4 and ye came unto me.-And inafmuch as ye ${ }^{4}$ have done it to one of the leaft of there my
" brethren, ye have done it unto me *." It is not neceffary to underftand this paffage as na literal account of what will actually pafs on that day. Suppofing it only a fcenical defcription of the rules and principles, by which the Supreme Arbiter of our deftiny will regulate his decifions, it conveys the fame leffon to us; it equally demonftrates of how great value and importance thefe duties in the fight of God are, and what ftrefs will be laid upon them. The Apoftles alfo defcribe this virtue as propitiating the divine favour in an eminent degree. And thefe recommendations have produced their effect. It does not appear that, before the times of Chriftianity, an infirmary, hofpital, or public charity of any kind, exifted in the world; whereas, moft countries in Chriftendom have long abounded with thefe inftitutions. To which may be added, that a firit of private liberality feems to flourilh amidf the decay of many other virtues: not to mention the legal provifion for the poor, which obtains in this country, and which was unknown and unthought of by the moft humanized nations of antiquity.

St. Paul adds upon the fubject an excellent
*Mathew, xxv. 3 I.
direction; and which is practicable by all who have any thing to give. "Upon the firft day " of the week (or any other ftated time) let " every one of you lay by in ftore, as God hath "profpered him." By which I underftand St. Paul to recommend what is the very thing wanting with moft men, the being' cbaritable upon a plan; that is, from a deliberate comparifon of our fortunes with the reafonable expences and expectation of our families, to compute what we can fpare, and to lay by fo much for charitable purpofes in fome mode or other. The mode will be a confideration afterwards.

The effect which Chriftianity produeed upon fome of its firf converts, was fuch as might be looked for from a divine religion coming with full force and miraculous evidence upon the confciences of mankind. It overwhelmed all worldly confiderations, in the expectation of a more important exiftence. "And the multitude of " them that believed were of one heart and of " one foul; neither faid any of them that aught " of the things which he poffeffed was his " own; but they had all things in common." Neither was there any among them that lacked; "for as many as were poffeffors of lands or "houfes fold them, and brought the prices of " the
" the things that were fold, and laid them down " at the Apoftles feet ; and diftribution was made " unto every man according as he had need" Acts, iv. 32.

Neverthelefs, this community of goods, however it manifefted the fincere zeal of the primitive Chriftians, is no precedent for our imitation. It was confined to the church at ferufalem; continued not long there; was never enjoined upon any (Acts, v. 4) ; and although it might fuit with the particular circumftances of a fmall and felect fociety, is altogether impracticable in a large and mixed community.

The conduct of the Apoftles upon the occafion deferves to be noticed. Their followers laid down their fortunes at their feet: but fo far were they from taking advantage of this unlimited confidence to enrich themfelves, or eftablifh their own authority, that they foon after got rid of this bufinefs, as inconfiftent with the main object of their miffion, and transferred the cuftody and management of the public fund to deacons, elected to that office by the people at large. (Acts, vi.)
II. The manner of beflowing bounty-or the different kinds of charity.

Every queftion between the different kinds of charity
charity fuppofes the fum beftowed to be the fame.

There are three kinds of charity which prefer a claim to attention.

The firit, and in my judgment one of the beft, is to give ftated and confiderable fums, by way of pention or annuity, to individuals or familits, with whofe behaviour and diftrefs we ourfelves are acquainted, When I fpeak of confiderable fums, I mean only that five pounds, or any other fum, given at once, or divided amonglt five or fewer families, will do more good than the fame fum diftributed amongft a greater number in fhillings or half crowns; and that, becaufe it is more likely to be properly applied by the perfons who receive it. A poor fellow, who can find no better ufe for a fhilling than to drink his benefactor's health, and purchaie half an hour's recreation for himfelf, would hardly break into a guinea for any fuch purpofe, or be fo improvident, as not to lay it by for an occafion of importance, e.g. for his rent, his clothing, fuel, or ftock of winter's provifion. It is a ftill greater recommendation of this kind of charity, that penfions and annuities, which are paid regularly, and can be expected at the time, are the only way by which we can prevent one
part of a poor man's fufferings-the dread of want.
2. But as this kind of charity fuppofes that proper objects of fuch expenfive benefactions fall within our private knowledge and obfervation, which does not happen to all, a fecond method of doing good, which is in every one's power who has the money to fpare, is by fubfcription to public charities. Public charities admit of this argument in their favour, that your money goes farther towards attaining the end for which it is given, than it can do by any private and feparate beneficence. A guinea, for example, contributed to an infirmary, becomes the means of providing one patient at leaft with 2 phyfician, furgeon, apothecary, with medicine, ditt, lodging, and fuitable attendance; which is not the tenth part of what the fame affirtance, if it could be procured at all, would coft to a fick perfon or family in:any other fituation.
3. The laft, and, compared with the former, the loweft exertion of benevolence, , is in the relief of beggars. Neverthelefs, I by no means approve the indifcriminate rejection of all who implore our alms in this way. Some may perifh by fuch a conduct. Men are fometimes overtaken by diftrefs, for which all other relief woutd
come too late. Befide which, refolutions of this kind compel us to offer fuch violence to our humanity, as may go near, in a little while, to fuffocate the principle itfelf; which is a very ferious confideration. A good man, if he do not furrender himfelf to his feelings without referve, will at leaft lend an ear to importunities, which come accompanied with outward atteftations of diftrefs ; and after a patient audience of the complaint, will direct himfelf, not fo much by any previous refolution which he may have formed upon the fubject, as by the circumftances and credibility of the account that he receives.

There are other fpecies of charity well contrived to make the money expended $g o$ for; fuch as keeping down the price of fuel or provifion, in cafe of a monopoly or temporary fcarcity, by purcbafing the articles at the beft market, and retailing shem at prime coft, or at a fmall lofs; or the adding of a bounty to particular fpecies of labour, when the price is accidentally depreffed,

The proprietors of large eftates have it in their power to facilitate the maiatainance, and thereby to encourage the eftablifhment of families (which is one of the nobleft purpofes to which the rich and great can convert their endeavours), by build-
ing cottages, fpliting farms, erecting manufactures, cultivating waftes, embanking the fea, draining markes, and other expedients, which the fituation of each eftate points out. If the profits of thefe undertakings do not repay the expence, let the authors of them place the difference to the account of charity. It is true of almoft all fuch projects, that the public is a gainer by them, whatever the owner be. And where the lofs can be fpared, this confideration is fufficient.

It is become a queftion of fome importance, under what circumftances works of charity ought to be done in private, and when they may be made public without detracting from the merit of the action, if indeed they ever may; the Author of our religion having delivered a rule upon this fubject which feems to enjoin univerfal fecrecy? " When thou doeft alms, let not thy " left hand know what thy right hand doth; "that thy alms may be in fecret, and thy Father "" which feeth in fecret, himfelf fhall reward "thee openly." (Matt. vi. 3, 4.) From the preamble to this prohibition I think it, however, plain, that our Saviour's fole defign was to forbid oftentation, and all publifhing of good works which proceeds from that motive. "Take heed
" that ye do not your alms before men, to be feen " of shem; otherwife ye have no reward of your " Father, which is in heaven : therefore, when
" thou doeft thine alms, do not found a trum" pet before thee, as the hypocrites do, in the
" fynagogues and in the Areets, that they may
"bave glory of men. Verily I fay unto thee, they
" have their reward." v. 2. There are motives for the doing our alms in public befide thofe of oftentation; with which therefore our Saviour's rule has no concern : fuch as to teftify our approbation of fome particular fpecies of charity, and to recommend it to others; to take off the prejudice, which the want, or, which is the fame thing, the fuppreffion of our name in the lift of contributors might excite againft the charity, or againft ourfelves. And, fo long as thefe motives are free from any mixture of vanity, they are in no danger of invading our Saviour's prohibition: they rather feem to comply with another direction which he has left us: "Ler your light fo " fline before men, that they may fee your good " works, and glorify your Father which is in " heaven." If it be neceffary to propofe a precife difinction upon the fubject, I can think of none better than the following. When our bounty is beyond our fortune cr ftation, that is,
when it is more than could be expected from us, our charity fhould be private, if privacy be practicable: when it is not more than might be expected, it may be public : for we cannot hope to influence others to the imitation of extraordinary generofity, and therefore want, in the former cafe, the only juftifiable reafon for making it public.

Having thus defcribed feveral different exertions of charity, it may not be improper to take notice of a fpecies of liberality, which is not charity in any fenfe of the word: I mean the giving of entertainments or liquor, for the fake of popularity ; or the rewarding, treating, and maintaining, the companions of our diverfions, as hunters, fhooters, fifhers, and the like. I do not fay that this is criminal; I only fay that it is not charity; and that we are not to fuppofe, becaufe we give, and give to the poor, that it will ftand in the place, or fuperfede the obligation, of more meritorious and difinterefted bounty.
III. The pretences by which men excufe themfelves from giving to the poor.

1. "That they have nothing to fpare," i. e. nothing for which they have not provided fome other ufe; nothing which their plan of ex-
pence, together with the favings they have refolved to lay by, will not exhauft: never reflecting whether it be in their power, or that it is their duty to retrench their expences, and contract their plan, "that they may have to give "to them thak need;" or rather that this ought to have been part of their plan originally.
2. "That they have families of their own, " and that charity begins at home." The extent of this plea will be confidered, when we come to explain the duty of parents.
3. "That chatity does not confift in giving " money, but in benevolence, philanthropy, " love to all mankind, goodnefs of heart, \&cc." Hear St. James. "If a brother or fifter be " naked, and deftitute of daily food, and one of " you fay unto them, Depart in peace, be ye "warmed and filled, notwithitanding ye give " them not thofe tbings wobich are needful to the " body, what doth it profit?" (James ii. . $5,16$. )

4 "That giving to the poor is not mentioned " in St. Paul's defcription of charity, in the " thirteenth chapter of his firf Epifle to the "Corinthians." This is not a defcription of charity, but of good-nature; and it is not neceffary that every duty be mentioned in every place.
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5. "That
5. "That they pay the poor rates." They might as well'alledge that they pay their debts; for the poor have the fame right to that portion of a man's property, which the laws affign to them, that the man himfelf has to the remainder.
6. "That they employ many poor perfons:" -for their own fake, not the poor's-otherwife it is a good plea.
7. "That the poor do not fuffer fo much as " we imagine ; that education and habit have " reconciled them to the evils of their condition, " and make them ealy under it." Habit can never reconcile human nature to the extremities of cold, hunger, and thirf, any more than it can reconcile the hand to the touch of a red-hot iron : befidef, the queftion is not, how unhappy any one is, but how much more happy we can make him.
8. "That thefe people, give them what you " will, will never thank you, or think of you for "it." In the firlt place, this is not true: in the fecond place, it was not for the fake of their thanks that you relieved them.
9. "That we are hiable to be impofed up"on." If a due inquiry be made, our merit is the fame: befide that, the diftrefs is generally real, although the caufe be untruly ftated.
10. "That
to. "That they Chould apply to their pa"rifhes." This is not always practicable: to which we may add, that there are many requifites to a comfortable fubfiftence, which pariih relief does not fupply; and that there are fome, who would fuffer almoft as much from receiving parifh relief, as by the want of it ; and laftly, that there are many modes of charity, to which this anfwer does not relate at all.
11. "That giving money encourages idlenefs " and vagrancy." This is true only of injudicious and indifcriminate generofity.
12. "That we have too many objects of cha-: " rity at home, to beftow any thing upon ftran" gers; or that there are other charities, which " are more ufeful, or ftand in greater need." The value of this excufe depends entirely upon the fact, whether we actually relieve thofe neighbouring objects, and contribute to thofe other charities.

Befide all thefe excufes, pride, or prudery, or delicacy, or love of eafe, keep one half of the world out of the way of obferving what the other half fuffer.
.
C H A. P. VI.

## RESENTMENT.

RESENTMENT may be diftinguifhed into anger and revenge.
By anger, I mean the pain we fuffer upon the receipt of an injury or affront, with the ufual effects of that pain upon ourfelves.

By revenge, the inflicting of pain upon the perfon who has injured or offended us, farther than the juft ends of punifhment or reparation require.

Anger prompts to revenge; but it is polfible to fufpend the effect, when we cannot altogether quell the principle. We are bound alfo to endeavour to qualify and correct the principle itfelf. So that our duty requires two different applications of the mind; and, for that reafon, anger and revenge may be confdered feparately.

## CHAP. VII.

> A N,GER.

"BE ye angry and fin not;" therefore all anger is not finful: I fuppofe, becaufe fome degree of it, and upon fome occafions, is inevitable.

It becomes finful, or contradicts however the rule of fcripture, when it is conceived upon light and inadequate provocations, and when it continues long.

1. When it is conceived upon flight provocations; for " charity fuffereth long, is not " eafily provoked." " Let every man be flow "to anger." Peace, long fuffering, gentlenefs, meeknefs, are enumerated among the fruits of the fpirit, Gal. v. 22, and compofe the true Chriftian temper, as to this article of duty.
2. When it continues long; for "let not the " fun go down upon your wrath."

Thefe precepts, and all reafoning indeed upon the fubject, fuppofe the paffion of anger to be within our power: and this power confifte not fa
much in any faculty we poffefs of appeafing our wrath at the time (for we are paffive under the fmart which an injury or affront occafions, and all we can then do is to prevent its breaking out into action), as in fo mollifying our minds by habits of juft reflection, as to be lefs irritated by impreffions of injury, and to be fooner par cified.

Reflections proper for this purpofe, and which may be called the fedatives of anger, are the following: the poffibility of miftaking the mofives from which the conduct that offends us proceeded; how often our offences have been the effect of inadvertency, when they were conftrued into indications of malice ; the inducement which prompted our adverfary to act as he did, and how powerfully the fame inducement has, at one time or other, operated upon ourfelves; that he is fuffering perhaps under a contrition, which he is afhamed, or wants opportunity, to confefs; and how ungenerous it is to triumph by coldnefs or infult over a fpirit already humbled in fecret; that the returns of kindnefs are fweet, and that there is neither honour, nor virtue, nor ufe in refifting them-for fome perfons think themfelves bound to cherifh and keep alive their indignation, when they find
find it dying away of itfelf. We may remember that others have their paffions, their prejudices, their favourite aims, their fears, their cautions, their interefts, their fudden impulfes, their varieties of apprehenfion, as well as we: we may recollect what hath fometimes paffed in our own minds, when we have got on the wrong fide of a quarrel, and imagine the fame to be paffing in our adverfary's mind now; when we became fenfible of our mifbehaviour, what palliations we perceived in it, and expected others to perceive: how we were affected by the kindnefs, and felt the fuperiority of a generous reception and ready forgivenefs; how perfecution revived our fpirits with our enmity, and feemed to juftify the conduct in ourfelves, which we before blamed. Add to this, the indecency of extravagant anger ; how it renders us, whilit it lafte, the fcorn and fport of all about us, of which it leaves us, when it ceafes, fenfible and athamed; the inconveniences, and irretrievable mifconduct into which our irafcibility has fome* times betrayed us; the friendlhips it has loft us; the diftreffes and embarraffments in which we have been involved by it; and the fore repentance which on one account or other it always cofts us.

But the reflection calculated above all other: to allay the haughtinefs of temper which is ever finding out provocations, and which renders anger fo impetuous, is that which the gofpel propofes; namely, that we ourfelves are, or fhortly thall be, fuppliants for mercy and pardon at the judgment feat of God. Imagine our fecret fins difclofed and brought to light; imagine us thus humbled and expofed; trembling under the hand of God; cafting ourfelves on his compaffion; crying out for mercy-imagine fuch a creature to talk of fatisfaction and revenge; refufing to be entreated, difdaining to forgive; extreme to mark and to refent what is done amifs; imagine I fay this, and you can hardly feign to yourfelf an inftance of more impious and unnatural arrogance.

The point is to habituate ourfelves to thefe reflections,? till they rife up of their own accord when they are wanted, that is, inftantly upon the receipt of an injury or affront, and with fuch force and colouring, as both to mitigate the paroxyfins of our anger at the time, and at length to produce an alteration in the temper and difpo: Gition itfelf, .

## CHAP. VIII,

## REVENR.

ALL pain occafioned to another in confequence of an offence, or injury received from him, farther than what is calculated to procure reparation, or promote the juft ends of punifhment, is fo much revenge.

There can be no difficulty in knowing when we occafion pain to another; nor much in diftinguifhing whether we do fo, with a view only to the ends of punifhment, or from revenge; for in the one cafe we proceed with reluctance, in the other with pleafure.

It is highly probable from the light of nature, that a paffion, which feeks its gratification immediately and exprefsly in giving pain, is difagreeable to the benevolent will and counfels of the Creator. Other paffions and pleafures may, and often do, produce pain to fome one; but then pain is not, as it is here, the objest of the paffion, and
and the dired caufe of the pleafure. This probability is converted into certainty, if we give credis to the authority which diclated the feveral paffages of the Chriftian fcriptures that condemn revenge, or, what is the fame thing, which enjoin forgivenefs.

We will fet down the principal of thefe paffages; and endeavour to collect from them, what conduct upon the whole is allowed towards an enemy, and what is forbidden.
"If ye forgive men their trefpaffes, your " heavenly Father will alfo forgive you; but if " ye forgive not men their trelpaffes, neither " will your Father forgive your trefpaffes." "And his lord was wroth, and delivered him " to the tormentors, till he flould pay all that "was due unto him: fo likewife thall my " heavenly Father do alfo unto you, if ye "from your hearts forgive not cvery one his " brother their trefpaffes." "Put on bowels of " mercy, kindnefs, humblenefs of mind, meek" nefs, long fuffering, forbearing one another, " forgiving one another; if any man have a "quarrel againft any, even as Chrift forgave " you, fo allo do ye." "Be patient towards all " men; fee that none render evil for evil unto "any man.". "Avenge not yourfelves, but " rather

* rather give place unto wrath : for it is written, " Vengeance is mine, I will repay, faith the Lord.
" Therefore, if thine enemy hunger, feed him; * if he thirft, give him drink ; for, in fo doing,世 thou thalt heap coals of fire on his head. Be " not overcome of evil, but overcome evil with " good*."

I think it evident, from fome of thefe paffages taken feparately, and ftill more fo from all of them together, that revenge, as defcribed in the beginning of this chapter, is forbidden in every degree, under all forms, and upon every occafion. We are likewife forbidden to refufe to an enemy even the mot imperfect right ; "if he hunger, " feed him; if he thirf, give him drink $\dagger$," which are examples of imperfect rights. If one wha has offended us, folicit from us a vote to which his qualifications entitle him, we may not refufe it from motives of refentment, or the remembrance of what we have fuffered at his hands.

```
    * Matt. vi. 14, 15 . xviii. 34, 35. Col. iii. 12, 13 . Theff.
``` 7. 14, 15. Rom. बxii: 19, 20, 2.1 .
+ See alfo Exodus xxiii. 4. "If thou meet thine enemy's " ox, or his afs, going aftray, thou fhalt furely lring it back " to him again : if thou fee the afs of him that hatech thee " lying under his burden, and wouldeft forbear to help him, "t thou halt furely help with hịn."

His right, and our obligation which follows the right, are not altered by his enmity to us, or by ours to him.

On the other hand, I do not conceive, that thefe prohibitions were intended to interfere with the punifhment or profecution of public offenders. In the eighteenth chapter of St. Matthew, our Saviour tells his difciples, " If thy " brother who has trefpaffed againft thee neg"s lect to hear the church, let him be unto thee "as an heathen man, and a publican," Immediately after this, when St. Peter afked him, "How of fhall my brother fin againft me, and "I forgive him? till feven times?" Chrift replied, "I fay not unto thee until feven times, " but until feventy times feven;" that is, as often as he repeats the offence. From thefe two adjoining paffages compared together, we are authorized to conclude that the forgivenefs of an enemy is not inconfiftent with the proceeding againft him as a public offender; and that the difcipline eftablifhed in religious or civil focieties, for the reftraint or punithment of criminals, ought to be upheld.

If the magiftrate be not tied down by thefe prohibitions from the execution of his office, neither is the profecutor; for the office of the
profecutor is as neceffiary as that of the magiftrate.

Nor, by parity of reafon, are private perfons withheld from the correction of vice, when it is in their power to exercife it ; provided they be affured that it is the guilt which provokes them, and not the injury; and that their motives are pure from all mixture and every particle of that firit which.delights and triumphs in the humiliation of an adverfary.

Thus, it is no breach of Chrittian charity, to withdraw our company or civility when the fame tends to difcountenance any vicious practice. This is one branch of that extrajudicial difcipline, which fupplies the defects and the remiffuefs of law; and is exprefsly authorized by St. Paul (i Cor. v. is), "But now I have " written unto you, not to keep company, if * any man, that is called a brother be a forni" cator, or covetous, or an idolater, or a railer, " or a drunkard, or an extortioner; with fuch " an one no not to eat." The ufe of this afociation againft vice continues to be experienced in one remarkable inftance, and might be extended with good effect to others. The confederacy amongt women of character, to exclude from their fociety kept miftreffes and proftitutes, con-
tributes more perhaps to difcourage that condítion of life, and prevents greater numbers from entering into it, than all the confiderations of prudence and religion put together.

We are likewife allowed to practife fo much caution, as not to put ourfelves in the way of injury, or invite the repetition of it. If a fervant or tradefman has cheated us, we are not bound to truft him again ; for this is to encourage him in his difhoneft practices, which is doing him much harm.

Where a benefit can be conferred only upon one or few, and the choice of the perfon, upon whom it is conferred, is a proper object of favour, we are at liberty to prefer thofe who have not offended us to thofe who have; the contrary being no where requircd.

Chrift, who, as hath been well demonftrated *, eftimated virtues by their folid utility, and not by their fafhion or popularity, prefers this of the forgivenefs of injuries to every other. He enjoins it oftener; with more earneftnefs; under a greater variety of forms; and with this weighty and peculiar circumftance, that the forgivenefs of others is the condition upon which alone

\footnotetext{
* Sce a View of the Internal Evidence of the Chriftian Religion.
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we are to expect, or even alk, from God, forgivenefs for ourfelves. And this preference is juftified by the fuperior importance of the virtue itfelf. The feuds and animofities in families and between neighbours, which difturb the intercourfe of human life, and collectively compofe half the mifery of it, have their foundation in the want of a forgiving temper; and can never ceale, but by the exercife of this virtue, on one fide, or on both.

\section*{C H A P. IX}

\section*{DUELIING.}

DUELLING as a punifhment is abfurd ; becaufe it is an equal chance, whether the punifhment fall upon the offender, or the perfon offended. Nor is.it much better as a reparation; it being difficult to explain in what the fatisfaction confifte, or how it tends to undo the injury, or to afford a compenfation for the damage already fuftained.

The truth is, it is not confidered as either. A law of honour having annexed the imputation of cowardice to patience under an affront, challenges are given and accepted with to other defign than to prevent or wipe off this fufpicion; without malice againft the adverfary, generally without a wifh to deftroy him, or any other concern than to preferve the duellift's own reputation and reception in the world.

The unreafonablenefs of this rule of manners is one confideration; the duty and conduct of incia
individuals, whilf fuch a rule exitts, is another.

As to which, the proper and fingle queftion is this, whether a regard for our own repatation is or is not fufficient to juftify the taking away the life of another?

Murder is forbidden; and wherever human life is deliberately taken away, otherwife than by public authority, there is murder. The value and fecurity of human life make this rule neceffary; for I do not fee what other idea or definition of murder can be admitted, which will not let in fo much private violence, as to render fociety a fcene of peril and bloodihed.

If unauthorized laws of honour be allowed to create exceptions to divine prohibitions, there is an end of all morality as founded in the will of the Deity ; and the obligation of every duty may at one time or other be difcharged by the caprice and fluctuations of fafhion.
"But a fenfe of thame is fo much torture; " and no relief prefents itfelf otherwife than by " an attempt upon the life of our adverfary." What then? The diftrefs which men fuffer by the want of money is oftentimes extreme, and no refource can be difcovered but that of removing a life, which ftands between the diftreffed
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perfon and his inheritance. The motive in this cafe is as urgent, and the means much the fame, as in the former: yet this cafe finds no advocate.

Take away the circumftance of the duellift's expofing his own life, and it becomes affaffination: add this circumftance, and what difference does it make? None but this, that fewer perhaps will imitate the example, and human life will be fomewhat more fafe, when it cannot be attacked without equal danger to the aggreffor's own. Experience, however, proves that there is fortitude enough in moft men to undertake this hazard; and were it otherwife, the defence, at beft, would be only that which a highwayman or houfebreaker might plead, whofe attempt had been fo daring and defperate, that few were likely to repeat the fame.

In expoftulating with the duellift I all along fuppofe his adverfary to fall. Which fuppofition 1 am at liberty to make, becaufe, if he have no right to kill his adverfary, he has none to attempt it.

In return, I forbear from applying to the care of duelling the Chriftian principle of the forgivenefs of injuries; becaufe it is poffible to fuppore the injury to be forgiven, and the duellift to act entirely
entitely from a concern for his own reputation: where this is not the cafe, the guilt of duelling is manifeft, and is greater.

In this view it feems unneceffary to diftinguifh between him who gives, and him who accepts a challenge : for, on the one hand, they ihcirr an equal hazard of deftroying life; and, on the other, both act upon the fame perfuafion, that what they do is necelfary, in order to recover or prefetve the good opinion of the world.

Public opinion is not eafily controlled by civih itifitutions: for which reafon I queftion whether any regulations can be contrived of fufficient force to fupprefs or change the rule of honour, which ftigmatizes all fcruples about duelling with the reproach of cowardice.

The infufficiency of the redrefs which the law of the land affords, for thole injuries which chiefly affect a man in his fenfibility and reputation, tempts many to redrefs themfelves. Profecutions for fuch offences, by the trifling damages that are recovered, ferve only to make the fufferer more ridiculous.-This ought to be remedied.

For the army, where the point of honour is cultivated with exquifite attention and refinement, I would eftablifh a Court of Honour, with
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a power of awarding thofe fubmiffions and acknowledgments, which it is generally the purpofe of a challenge to ohtain ; and it might grow into a fafhion, with perfons of rank of all profeffions, to refer their quarrels to this tribunal.

Duelling, as the law now ftands, can feldom be overtaken by legal punifhment. The challenge, appointment, and other previous circumftances, which indicate the intention with which the combatants met, being fuppreffed, nothing appears to a court of juftice, but the actual rencounter. And if a perfon be flain when actually fighting with his adverfary, the law deeme his death nothing more than manllaughter.

\section*{C HAP. X.}

\section*{LITIGATION.} which precept contains an indirect confeffion that this is net always poffible.

The inftances* in the fifth chapter of St. Matthew are rather to be underftood as proverbial methods of defcribing the general duties of forgivenefs and benevolence, and the temper which we ought to aim at acquiring, than as directions to be feccifically obferved; or of themfelves of any great importance to be obferved. The firlt of thefe is, "If thine enemy fmite thee on thy "right cheek, turn to him the other alfo;" yer, when one of the officers ftruck Jefus with the palm of his hand, we find Jefus rebuking him

\footnotetext{
* "Whofoever fhall fmite thee on thy right cheek, turn " to him the other alfo; and if any man will fue thee at the " law, and take away thy coat, let him have thy cloak alfo; " and whofoever thall compel thee to go a mile, go with him "twain."
}
for the outrage with becoming indignation : " If I have fpoken evil, bear witnefs of the evil; " but if well, why fmiteft thou me ?" (John xviii. 22.) It may be obferved likewife, that the feveral examples are drawn from inftances of fmall and tolerable injuries. A rule which forbade all oppofition to injury, or defence againft it, could have no other effect, than to put the good in fubjection to the bad, and deliver one half of mankind to the depredation of the other half: which muft be the cafe, fo long as fome confidered themfelves as bound by fuch a rule, whillt others defpifed it. St. Paul, though no one inculcated forgivenefs and forbearance with a deeper fenfe of the value and obligation of thefe virtues, did not interpret either of them to require an unrefifing fubmiffion to every contumely, or a neglect of the means of fafety and felf-defence. He took refuge in the laws of his country, and in the privileges of a Roman citizen, from the confiracy of the ferws, (ACts xxv. ir.) and from the clandeftine violence of the chief captain. (AAts xxii. 25.) And yet this is the fame Apoftle who reproved the litigioufnefs of his Corintbian converts with fo much feverity. "Now therefore, there is ut" terly a fault among you, becaufe ye go to law
c one with another; why do ye not rather take " wrong? why do ye not rather fuffer yourfelves " to be defrauded?"

On the one hand, therefore, Chriftianity excludes all vindictive motives, and all frivolous caufes of profecution; fo that where the injury is fmall, where no good purpofe of public example is anfwered, where forbearance is not likely to invite a repetition of the injury, or where the expence of an action becomes a punifhment too fevere for the offence; there the Chriftian is withholden by the authority of his religion from going to law.

On the other hand, a law-fuit is inconfiftent with no rule of the Gofpel, when it is inftituted,
r. For the eftablißhing of rome important right.
2. For the procuring a compenfation for fome confiderable damage.
3. For the preventing of future injury.

But fince it is fuppofed to be undertaken fimply with a view to the ends of juftice and fafety, the profecutor of the action is bound to confine himfelf to the cheapeft proceff which will accomplinh thefe ends, as well as to confent to any peaceable expedient for the fame purpare; as to
a reference, in which the arbitrators can do, what the law cannot, divide the damage, when the fault is mutual ; or to a compounding of the di/pute, by accepting a compenfation in the grofs, without entering into articles and items which it is often very difficult to adjuft feparately.

As to the reft, the duty of the contending parties may be expreffed in the following directions:

Not by appeals to prolong a fuit againft your own conviction.

Not to undertake or defend a fuit againft a poor adverfary, or render it more dilatory or expenfive thain neceffary, with the hope of intimidating or wearying him out by the expence.

Not to influence evidence by authority or expectation.

Nor to flifle any in your poffeffion, although it make againft you.

Hitherto we have treated of civil actions. In criminal profecutions the private injury thould be forgotten, and the profecutor proceed with the fame temper, and upon the fame motives, as the magiftrate; the one being a neceffary minifter of juftice as well as the other, and both bound to direct their conduct by a difpaffionate care of the public welfare.

In whatever degree the purnifhment of an offender is conducive, or his efcape dangerous, to the intereft of the community, in the fame degree is the party againft whom the crime was committed bound to profecute, becaufe fuch profecutions muft in their nature originate from the fufferer.

Therefore, great public crimes, as robberies, forgeries, and the like, ought not to be fpared, from an apprehenfion of trouble or expence in carrying on the profecution, from falfe fhame, or mifplaced compaffion.

There are many offences, fuch as nuifances, neglect of public roads, foreftalling, engroffing, fmuggling, fabbath-breaking, profanenefs, drunkennefs, proflitution, the keeping of lewd or diforderly houfes, the writing, publihing, or expofing to fale lafcivious books or piflures, with fome others, the profecution of which being of equal concern to the whole neighbourhood, cannot be charged as a peculiar obligation upon any.

Neverthelefs, there is great merit in the perfon who undertakes fuch profecutions upon proper motives; which amounts to the fame thing.

The character of an informer is in this country undefervedly odious. But where any public advantage is likely to be attained by informa-
tions, or other aetivity in promating the execution of the laws, a good man will defpife a prejudice founded in no juft reafon, or will acquit himfelf of the imputation of interefted defigas by giving away his fhare of the penalty.

On the other hand, profecutions for the fake of the reward or for the gratification of private enmity, where the offence produces no public mifchief, or where it arifes from ignorance or inadvertency, are reprobated under the general defcription of applying a rule of law to a purpofe for which it quas nut intended. Under which defcription may be ranked an officious revival of the laws againft popiih priefts, and diffenting teachers.

\section*{C HAP. XI.}

\section*{GRATITUDE.}

EX A MPLES of ingratitude check and difcourage voluntary beneficence : and in this the mifchief of ingratitude confifts. Nor is the mifchief fnall; for after all is done that can be done, towards providing for the public happinefs, by prefcribing rules of juftice, and enforcing the obfervation of them by penalties or compulfion, much mult be left to thofe offices of kindnefs, which men remain at liberty to exert or withhold. Now not only the choice of the objects, but the quantity and even the exiftence of this fort of kindnefs in the world, depends, in a great meafure, upon the return which it receives; and this is a confideration of general importance.

A fecond reafon for cultivating a grateful temper in ourfelves is the following. The fame principle, which is touched with the kindnefs of 2 human benefactor, is capable of being affected
by the divine goodnefs, and of becoming, under the influence of that affection, a fource of the pureft and moft exalted virtue. The love of God is the fublimeft gratitude. It is a miftake, therefore, to imagine, that this virtue is omitted in the Chriftian fcriptures; for every precept, which commands us "to love God, becaufe he " firf loved us," prefuppofes the principle of gratitude, and directs it to its proper object.

It is impoffible to particularize the feveral expreflions of gratitude, in as much as they vary with the character and fituation of the benefactor, and with the opportunities of the perfon obliged ; which variety admits of no bounds.

It may be obferved, however, that gratitude can never oblige a man to do what is wrong, and what by confequence he is previoufly obliged not to do. It is no ingratitude to refufe to do, what we cannot reconcile, to any apprehenfions of our duty; but it is ingratitude and hypocrify together, to pretend this reafon, when it is not the real one: and the frequency of fuch pretences has brought this apology for non-compliance with the will of a benefactor into unmerited difgrace.

It has long been accounted a violation of delicacy and generofity to upbraid men with the favours
favours they have received; but it argues a total deftitution of both thefe'qualities, as well as of moral probity, to take advantage of that afcendancy, which the conferring of benefits juftly creates, to draw or drive thofe whom we have obliged into mean or difhoneft compliances.

\section*{CHAP. XII.}

\section*{SLANDER.}

SPEAKING is acting, both in philofophical ftrictnefs, and as to all moral purpofes; for, if the mifchief and motive of our conduct be the fame, the means which we ufe make no difference.

And this is in effect what our Saviour declares, Matt. xii. 37. "By thy words thou "c fhalt be juftified, and by thy words thou tha!t " be condemned:" by thy words, as well, that is, as by thy actions; the one fhall be taken into the account as well as the other, for they both poffefs the fame property of voluntarily producing good or evil.

Slander may be diftinguikhed into two kinds, malicious flander, and inconfderate flander.

Malicious flander, is the relating of either truth or falfehood, for the purpofe of creating mifery.

I acknowledge that the truth or falfehood of what is related varies the degree of guilt confiderably:
derably; and that flander, in the ordinary acceptation of the term, fignifies the circulation of mifchievous falfeboods: but truth may be made inftrumental to the fuccefs of malicious defigns as well as falrehood; and if the end be bad, the means cannot be innocent.

I think the idea of flander ought to be corrfined to the production of gratuitous mifchief. When we have an end or intereft of our own to ferve, if we attempt to compals it by falfehood, it is fraud; if by a publication of the truth, it is not without fome additional circumftance of breach of promife, betraying of confidence, or the like, to be deemed criminal.

Sometimes the pain is intended for the perfon to whom we are fpeaking; at other times an enmity is to be gratified by the prcjudice or difquiet of a third perion. To infufe fufpicions, to kindle or continue difputes, to avert the favour and efteem of benefactors from their dependants, to render fome one whom we difiike contemptible or obnoxious in the public opinion, are all offices of Iander; of which the guilt mult be meafured by the intenfity and extent of the mifery produced.

The difguifes under which flander is conveyed, whether in a whifper, with injunctions of fecrefy,
by way of caution, or with affected reluctance, are all fo many aggravations of the offence, as they indicate more deliberation and defign.

Inconfiderate flander is a different offence, although the fame mifchief actually follow, and although the mifchief might have been forefeen. -The not being confcious of that defign, which we have hitherto attributed to the nanderer, 'makes the difference.

The guilt here confifts in the want of that regard to the confequences of our conduct, which a juft affection for human happinefs, and concern for our duty, would not have failed to have produced in us. And it is no aniwer to this crimination to fay, that we entertained no evil defign. A fervant may be a very bad fervant, and yet feldom or never defign to act in oppofi'tion to his mafter's intereft or will; and his mafter may juftly punifh fuch fervant for a thoughtleffnefs and neglect nearly as prejudicial as deliberate difobedience. I accufe you not, he may fay, of any exprefs intention to hurt me; but had not the fear of my difpleafure, the care of my intereft, and indeed all the qualities which conflitute the merit of a good fervant, been wanting in you, they would not only have excluded every direct purpofe of giving me uneali-
nefs, but have been fo far prefent to your thoughts; as to have checked that unguarded licentioufnefs, by which I have fuffered fo much, and infpired you in its place with an habitual solicitude about the effects and tendency of what you did or faid. This very much refembles the cafe of all fins of inconfideration; and, amongft the foremoft of thefe, that of inconfiderate Qander.

Information communicated for the real purpofe of warning, or cautioning, is not flander.

Indifcriminate praife is the oppofite of flander, but it is the oppofite extreme; and, however it may affect to be thought excefs of candour, is commonly the effufion of a frivolsus underftanding, or proceeds from a fettled contempt of all moral diftinctions.

\section*{MORAL PHILOSOPHY.}

\section*{0000000000000 \\ B \(\quad \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{K} \quad\) III. \\ \(\mathrm{P} A \mathrm{R} T \mathrm{III}\).}

OF RELATIVE DUTIES WHICH RESULT FROM THR CONBTITUTION OF THE SEXE8.

THE conftitution of the fexes is the foundation of marriage.
Collateral to the fubject of marriage, are fornication, feduction, adultery, inceft, polygamy,
divorce.
Confequential to marriage, is the relation and reciprocal duty of parent and child.

We will treat of thefe fubjects in the following order: firft; of the public ufe of marriage U 2 infti-
inftitutions; fecondly, of the fubjects collateral to marriage, in the order in which we have here propofed them; thirdly, of marriage itfelf; and laftly, of the relation and reciprocal duties of parents and children.

\section*{C H A P. I.}

OF THE PUBLIG USE OF MARRIAGE INSTITUTIONS.

THE public ufe of marriage inftitutions confifts in their promoting the following beneficial effects :
I. The private comfort of individuals, efpecially of the female fex. It may be true, that all are not interefted in this reafon: neverthelefs, it is a reafon to all for abftaining from any conduct which tends in its general confequence to obftruct marriage ; for whatever promotes the happinefs of the majority is binding upon the whole.
2. The production of the greateft number of healthy children, their better education, and the making of due provifion for their fettlement in life.
3. The peace of human fociety, in cutting off a principal fource of contention, by affigning one or more women to one man, and protectU 3 ing
ing his exclufive right by fanctions of morality and law.
4. The better government of fociety, by dif, tributing the community into feparate families, and appointing over each the authority of a mafter of a family, which has more actual influence than all civil authority put together.
5. The fame end, in the additional fecurity which the ftate receives for the good behaviour of its citizens, from the folicitude they feel for the welfare of their children, and from their being confined to permanent habications.
6. The encouragement of induftry,

Some ancient nations appear to have been more fenfible of the importance of marriage inftitutions than we are. The Spartans obliged their citizens to marry by penalties, and the Romans encouraged theirs by the jus trium liberorum. A man who had no child was entitled by the Roman law only to one half of any legacy that fhould be left him, that is, at the moft, could only receive one half of the teftator's fortune.

CHAP.

\section*{CHAP. II.}

\section*{FORNICATION.}

THE firft and great mifchief, and by confequence the guilt, of promifcuous concubinage, confilts in its tendency to diminifh marriages, and thereby to defeat the feveral beneficial purpofes enumerated in the preceding chapter.

Promifcuous concubinage difcourages marriage by abating the chief temptation to it. The male part of the fpecies will not undertake the incumbrance, expence, and reftraint of married life, if they can gratify their paffions at a cheaper price; and they will undertake any thing, rather than not gratify them.

The reader will learn to comprehend the magnitude of this milchief, by attending to the importance and variety of the ufes to which marriage is fubfervient; and by recollecting withal, that the malignity and moral quality of each erime is not to be eftimated by the particular ef-
\[
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fect
fect of onę offence, or of one perfon's offending, but by the general tendency and confequence of crimes of the fame nature. The libertine may not be confcious that thefe irregularities hinder his own marriage, from which he is deterred, he may allege, by different confiderations; much lefs does he perceive how hiis indulgences can hinder other men from marrying: but what will he fay wquld be the confequence, if ; the fame licentioufnefs were univerfal? or what fhould hinder its becoming univerfal, if it be innocent or allowable in him?
2. Fornication fuppoles proftitution; and proftitution brings and leaves the victims of it to almoft certain mifery. It is no fmall quantity of mifery in the aggregate, which, between want, difeafe, and infult, is fuffered by thofe outcalts of human fociety, who infeft populous cities; the whole of which is a general confequence of fornication, and to the increafe and continuance of which, every act and inflance of fornication contributes.
3. Fornication produces habits of ungovernable lewdnefs, which introduce the more aggravated crimes of feduction, adultery, violation, \& c. ** Like-

\footnotetext{
* Of this pafion it has been truly faid, " that irregularity
} " has

Likewife, however it he accounted for, the criminal commerce of the fexes corrupts and depraves the mind and moral character more than any fingle fpecies of vice whatfoever. That ready perception of guilt, that prompt and decifive refolution againft it, which conftitutes a virtuous character, is feldom found in perfons addicted to thefe indulgences. They prepare an ealy admiffion for every fin that feeks it; are, in low life, ufually the firft ftage in men's progrefs to the moft defperate villanies; and, in high life, to that lamented diffolutenefs of principle, which manifefts itfelf in a profligacy of public condua, and a contempt of the obligations of religion and of moral probity. Add to this, that habits of inbertinifm incapacitate and indifpofe the mind for all intellectual, moral, and religious pleafures; which is a great lofs to any man's happinefs.
4. Fornication perpetuates a difeafe, which may be accounted one of the foreft maladies of. human nature ; and the effects of which are faid to vifit the conftitution of even diftant generations.
" has no limits; that one excefs draws on another; that the
" moft eafy, therefore, as well as the moft excellent way of
"being virtuous, is to be fo entirely." Ogden, Ser. xv:. The

The paffion being natural pro:es that it was intended to be gratified; but under what reftrictions, or whether without any, mult be colt lected from different confiderations.
k. The Chrifian fcriptures condemn fornication abfolutely and peremptorily. "Out of the " heart," fays our Saviour, "proceed evilthoughts, " murders, adulteries, fornication, thefts, falfe "witnefs, blafphemies; thefe are the things "which defile a man." Thefe are Chrift's own words; and one word from him upon the fubject is final. It may be oblerved with what fociety fornication is claffed; with murders, thefts, falfe witnefs, blafphemies. I do not mean that tiefe crimes are all equal, becaufe they are alt mentioned together; but it proves that they are all crimes. The Apofles are more full upon this ropic. One well-known paffage in the Epiftle to the Hebrews may.ftand in the place of all others; becaufe, admitting the authority by which the Apofles of Chift fpake and wrote, it is decilive: "Marriage and the bed undefiled " is honourable amongft all men, but whore" mongers and adulterers God will judge;". which was a great dtal to fay, at a time when it was not agreed even amongft philofophers themfelves that fornication was a crime! . 1 wh.....

The friptures give no fanction to thofe aufterities, which have been fince impofed upon the world under the name of Chrift's religion, as the celibacy of the clergy, the praife of perpetual virginity, the probibitio concubitús cum gravidá uxore; but, with a jult knowledge of, and regard to the condition and intereft of the human fecies, have provided, in the marriage of one man with one woman, an adequate gratification for the propenfities of their nature, and bave reftrifted them to that gratification.

The avowed toleration, and in fome countrics the licenfing, taxing, and regulating of public brothels, has appeared to the people an authorizing of fornication; and has contributed, with other caufes, fo far to vitiate the public opinion, that there is no practice of which the immorality is fo little thought of or acknowledged, although there are few, in which it can more plainly be made out. The legillators who have patronized receptacles of profitution ought to have forefeen this effect, as well as confidered, that whatever facilitates fornication diminifhes marriages. And as to the ufual apology for this selaxed difcipline, the danger of greater enormities if accefs to praftitutes were too ftrictly watched and prohibited, it will be time enough
to Jook to that, when the laws and the magifrates have done their utmoft. The greateft vigilance of both will do no more, than oppofe fome bounds and fome difficulties to this intercourfe. And, after all, thefe pretended fears are without foundation in experience. The men are in all refpects the moft virtuous, in countries where the women are moft chafte.

There is a fpecies of cohabitation, diftinguikable, no doubt, from vagrant concubinage, and which, by reafon of its refemblance to marriage, may be thought to participate of the fanctity and innocence of that eftate; I mean the cafe of kept miffreffes, under the favourable circumftance of mutual fidelity. This cafe I have heard defended by fome fuch apclogy as the following : "That the marriage rite being different in " different countries, and in the fame country " amongft different fects, and with fome fcarce " any thing; and, morcover, not being pre" fcribed or even mentioned in fcriprure, can be " accounted of only as of a form: and ceremony. " of human invention : that;' confequently, if a "man and woman betreth and confine them"felves to each other, their intercourfe muft be "the fame, as to all moral purpofes, as if they "wre legally maried: for the addition or " omiffion
" omiffion of that which is a mere form and " ceremony, can make no difference in the fight " of God, or in the actual nature of right.and " wrong."

To all which it may be replied,
I. If the fituation of the parties be the fame thing as marriage, why do they not marry?
2. If the man choofe to have it in his power to:difmifs the woman at his pleafure, or to retain her in a ftate of humiliation and dependance inconfiftent with the rights which marriage would confer upon her, it is not the fame thing.

It, is not at any rate the fame thing to the children.

Again, as to the marriage rite being a mere. farm, and that alfo variable, the fame may be faid of figning and fealing, of bonds, wills, deeds of canveyance, and the like, which yet make a. great difference in the rights and obligations of. the parties concerned in them...

And with refpect to the rite not being appointed in fcripture-the fcriptures forbid fornication, that is, cohabitation without marriage, leaving it to the law of each country to pronounce what is, or what makes, a marriage; in like manner as they forbid thefts, that is, the taking away of another's property, leaving it
to the municipal law to fix what makes the thing property, or whofe it is, which alfo, as well as marriage, depends upion arbitrary and mutable forms.

Laying afide the injunctions of fcripture, the plain account of the queftion feems to be this: It is inmoral, becaufe it is pernicious, that men and women fhould cohabit, without undertaking certain irrevocable obligations, and mutually con ferring certain civil rights; if, therefore, the law has annexed thefe rights and obligations to certain forms, fo that they cannot be fecured or undertaken by any other means, which is the cafe here (for whatever the parties may promife to each other, nothing but the marriage ceremony can make their promife irrevocable), it becomes in the fame degree immoral, that men and women fhould cohabit without the interpofition of thefe forms.

If fornication be criminal, all thofe incentives which lead to it are acceffaries to the crime, as lafcivious converfation, whether expreffed in oblcene or difguifed under modeft phrafes; alfo wanton fongs, pictures, books; the writing, is publifhing, and circulating of which, whether out of frolic, or for fome pitiful profit, is pro-
ductive of fo extenfive a mifchief from fo mean 2 temptation, that few crimes, within the reach of private wickednefs, have more to anfwer for, or lefs to plead in their excufe.

Indecent converfation, and by parity of reafon all the reft, are forbidden by St. Paul, Eph. iv. 29. "Let no corrupt communication proceed " out of your mouth :" And again, Col. iii. 8. "Put off——filthy communication out of your " mouth."

The invitation, or voluntary admiffion, of impure thoughts, or the fuffering them to get poffeffion of the imagination, falls within the fame defcription, and is condemned by Cbrift, Matt. v. 28. "Whofoever looketh on a woman " to luft after her, hath committed adultery with "her already in his heart." Cbrif, by thus enjoining a regulation of the thoughts,? frikes at the root of the evil.


CHAP.

CHAP. III.

> SEDUCTION.

THE feducer practifes the fame firatagems to draw a woman's perfon into his power, that a fwindler does, to get poffeffion of your goods, or money; yet the law of honour, which abhors deceit, applauds the addrefs of a fucceffful intrigue: fo much is this capricious rule gaided by names, and with fuch facility does it accommodate itfelf to the pleafures and conveniency of higher life!

Seduction is feldom accomplifhed without fraud ; and the fraud is by io much more criminal than other frauds, as the injury effected by it is greater, continues longer, and lefs admits of reparation.

This injury is threefold; to the woman, to her family, and to the public.
1. The injury to the woman is made up, of the pain the fuffers from thame, of the \(\mathrm{lo} / \mathrm{s}\) the fuftains in her reputation and profpects of marriage,
riage and of the depravation of ber moral principle.

This pain mult be extreme, if we may judge of it from thofe barbarous endeavours to conceal their difgrace, to which women, under fuch circumftances, fometimes have recourfe; comparing alfo this barbarity with their paffionate fondnefs for their offspring in other cafes. Nothing but an agony of mind the moft infupportable can induce a :woman to forget her nature, and the pity which even a Atranger would Shew to a helplefs and imploring infant.… It is true, that all are not urged to this extremity; but if any are, it affords an indicauon of how much all fuffer from the fame caufe. What fhall we fay to the authors of fucti mifchief?

The lofs which a woman fultains by the ruin of her reputation almof exceeds computation. Every perfon's happinefs depends in part upen' the refpect and reception which they meet with in the world; and it is no inconfiderable mortification, even to the firmeft tempers, to be rejected from the fociety of their equals, or received there with neglect and difdain. But this is not all, nor the wort. By a rule of life, which it vol. I. X
is not eafy to blame, and which it is impolfible to alter, a woman lofes with her chaftity the chance of marrying at all, or in any manner equal to the hopes the had been accuftomed to entertain. Now marriage, whatever it be to a man, is that, from which every woman expects her chief happinefs. And this is ftill more true in low life, of which condition the women are, who are mof expofed to folicitations of this fort. Add to this, that where a woman's maintenance depends upon her character, (as it does, in a great meafure, with thofe who are to fupport themfelves by fervice,) little fometimes is.left to the forfaken fufferer, but to flarve for want of employment, or to have recourfe to proftitution for food and raiment.
3. As a woman collects her virtueinto this point, the lofs of her chaftity is generally the deftruction of ber moral principle; and this confequence is to be apprehended, whether the criminal intercourfe be difcovered or not.
II. The injury to the family may be underftood, by the application of that infallible rule, " of doing to others what we would that others " Arould do unto us." Let a father or a brother fay, for what confideration they would fuffer this
injury a daughter or a fifter; and whether any; or even a total lofs of fortune conld create equal affliction and diftrefs. And when they reflect upon this, let them diftinguifh, if they can, between a robbery committed upon their property by: fraud or forgery, and the ruin of their happinefs by the treachery of a feducer.
III. The public at large lofe the benefit of the woman's fervice in her proper place and deftination, as a wife and parent. This to the whole community may be little; but it is often more than all the good, which the feducer does to the community, can recompenfe. Moreover, proftitution is fupplied by feduction; and in proportion to the danger there is of the woman's betaking herfelf, after her firft facrifice, to a life of public lewdnefs, the feducer is anfwerable for the multiplied evils to which his crime gives birth.

Upon the whole, if we purfue the effects of feduction through the complicated mifery which it occafions; and if it be right to eftimate crimes by the mifchief they knowingly produce, it will appear fomething more than mere invective to affert, that not one half
of the crimes, for which men fuffer death by the laws of England, are fo flagitious as this *.
* Yet the law has provided no punifhment for this offence beyond a pecuniary fatisfaction to the injured family; and this can only be come at, by one of the quaintert fictions in the world, by the father's bringing his action againft the feducer, for the lofs of his daughter's fervice, during her pregnancy and nurturing.

\section*{C H A P. IV.}

\section*{ADULTERY.}

ANEW fufferer is introduced, the injured huiband, who receives a wound in his fenfibility and affections, the moft painful and incurable that human nature knows. In all other refpects, adultery on the part of the man who folicits the chaftity of a married woman, includes the crime of feduction, and is attended with the fame mifchief.

The infidelity of the woman is aggravated by cruelty to her children, who are generally in-1 volved in their parents' fhame, and always made unhappy by their quarrel.

If it be faid that thefe confequences are chargeable not fo much upon the crime, as the difcovery, we anfwer, firf, that the crime could not be difcovered unlefs it were committed, and that the commiffion is never fecure from difcovery; and fecondly, that if we excufe adulterous connections, whenever they can hope to efcape
\[
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\]
detection,
detection, which is the conclufion to which this argument conducts us, we leave the hurband no other fecurity for his wife's chaftity, than in her want of opportunity or temptation; which would probably either deter men from marry, ing, or render marriage a ftate of fuch jealoufy and alarm to the hufband, as mutt end in the flavery and confinement of the wife.

The vow, by which married perfons mutually engage their fidelity, is " witneffed before " God," and accompanied with circumftances of folemnity and religion, which approach to the nature of an oath. The married offender therefore incurs a crime little fhort of perjury, and the feduction of a married woman is little lefs than fubornation of perjury;-and this guilt is independent of the difcovery.

All behaviour, which is defigned, or which knowingly tends to captivate the affection of a married woman, is a barbarous intrufion upon the peace and virtue of a family, though it fall fhort of adultery.

The ufual and only apology for adultery is the prior tranfrreffion of the other party. There are degrees no doubt in this, as in other crimes; and fo far as the bad eficats of adultery are anticipated by the conduct of the hubband or wife
who offends firft, the guilt of the fecond offender is lefs. But this falls very far thort of a juftification; unlefs it could be fhewn that the obligation of the marriage vow depends upon the condition of reciprccal fidelity; for which conftruction, there appears no foundation, either in expediency, or in the terms of the promife, or in the defign of the legiflature which prefcribed the marriage rite. Moreover, the rule contended for by this plea has a manifeft tendency to multiply the offence, but none to reclaim the offender.

The way of conlidering the offence of one party as a provocation to the other, and the other as only retaliating the injury by, repeating the crime, is a childifh trifling with words.
" Thou fhalt not commit adultery," was an interdict delivered by God himfelf. By the Jewifh law adultery was capital to both parties in the crime: "Even he that committeth adul" tery with his neighbour's wife, the adulterer " and adulterefs fhall furely be put to death." Lev. xx. 10 . Which paffages prove, that the divine Legiflator placed a great difference between adultery and fornication. And with this agree the Chriftian fcriptures; for in almoft all the catalogucs they have left us of crimes and cri-
minals,
minals, they enumerate " fornication, adultery," " whoremongers, adulterers," (Matthew xv. 19. I Cor. vi. 9. Gal. v. 9. Heb. xiii. 4.) by which mention of both, they fhew that they did not confider them as the fame; but that the crime of adultery was, in their apprehenfion, diftinct from, and accumulated upon, that of fornication.

The hiftory of the woman taken in adultery, recorded in the eighth chapter 'Jf St. Jobn's Gofpel, has been thought by fome to give countenance to that crime. As Chrift told the woman, "Neither do I condemn thee," we muft believe, it is faid, that he deemed her conduct either not criminal, or not a crime however of the heinous nature which we reprefent it to be. A more attentive examination of the cafe will, I think, convince us, that from it nothing can be concluded as to Chrift's opinion cancerning adultery, either one way or the other. The tranfaction is thus related: "Early in the morn"ing Jefus came again into the temple, and all " the people came unto him; and he fat down " and taught them ; and the Scribes and Pha"rifees brought unto him a woman taken in " adultery; and when they had fet her in the " midf, they fay unto him, Mafter, this wo-
" man
" man was taken in adultery, in the very act : " now Mofes in the law commanded that fuch
" fhould be ftoned; but what fayeft thou? This
" they faid tempting him, that they might have "to accufe him. But Jefus ftooped down, and " with his finger wrote on the ground, as " though he heard them not. So when they "continued afking him, he lift up himfelf, and " faid unto them, He that is without fin amongft " you, let him firft caft a ftone at her; and " again he flooped down and wrote on the " ground : and they which heard it, being con" victed by their own confcience, went out one " by one, beginning at the eldeft, even unto "the laft; and Jefus was left alone, and the "woman ftanding in the midft. When Jefus " had lift up himfelf and faw none but the " woman, he faid unto her, Woman, where are " thofe thine accufers? hath no man condemned " thee? She faid unto him, No man, Lord. And " he faid unto her, Neitber do Icondemn tbee; go " and fin no more."
" This they faid tempting him, that they " might have to accufe him," to draw him, that is, into an exercife of judicial authority, that they might have to accute him betore the Roman governor of ufurping or intermeddling
with the civil government. This was their defign; and Chrift's behaviour throughout the whole affair proceeded from a knowledge of this defign, and a determination to defear it. He gives them at firft a cold and fullen reception, well fuited to the infidious intention with which they came: "He ftooped down, and with his "finger wrote on the ground, as though he "heard them not." "When they continued " alking him," when they teafed him to fpcak, he difmiffed them with a rebuke, which the impertinent malice of their crrand, as well as the fecret character of many of them, deferved: "He " that is without fin (that is, this fin) among "you, let him firft caft a flone at her." This had its effect. Stung with the reproof, and difappointed of their aim, they ftole away one by one, and left Jefus and the woman alone. And then follows the converfation, which is the part of the narrative moft material to our prefent fubject. "Jefus faith unto her, Woman, where " are thofe thine accufers? hath no man con"demned thee? She faid, No man, Lord. And "Jefus faid unto her, Neither do I condemn "thee; go and fin no more." Now, when Chrift afked the woman, "Hath no man con"demned thee ?" he certainly fpoke and was undertood
derftood by the woman to fpeak, of a legal and judicial condemnation; otherwife, her anfwer, "No man, Lord," was not true. In every other fenfe of condemnation, as blame, cenfure, reproof, private judgment, and the like, many had condemned her; all thofe indeed who brought her to Jefus. If then a judicial fentence was what Chrift meant by condemning in the queftion, the common ufe of language requires us to fuppofe that he meant the fame in his reply, "Neither do I condemn thee," i. e. I pretend to no judicial character or authority over thee; it is no office or bufinefs of mine to pronounce or execute the fentence of the law.

When Chrift adds, "Go and fin no more," he in effect tells her, that fhe had finned already; but as to the degree or quality of the fin, or Chrift's opinion concerning it, nothing is declared, or can be inferred, either way.

Adultery, which was punifhed with death during the Ufurpation, is now regarded by the law of England only as a civil injury; for which the imperfect fatisfaction that money can afford, may be recovered by the hufband.

\section*{C H A P. V.}

\section*{INCEST.}

IN order to preferve chaftity in families, and between perfons of different fexes brought up and living together in a ftate of unreferved intimacy, it is neceffary by every method poffible to inculcate an abhorrence of inceftuous conjunctions; which abhorrence can only be upheld by the abfolute reprobation of all commerce of the fexes between near relations. Upon this principle, the marriage as well as other cohabitation of brothers and fifters, of lineal kindred, and of all who ufually live in the fame family, may be faid to be forbidden by the law of nature,

Reflrictions which extend to remoter degrees of kindred than what this realon makes it neceffary to prohibit from intermarriage, are founded in the authority of the pofitive law which ordains
ordains them, and can only be juftified by their tendency to diffufe wealth, to connect families, or to promote fome political advantage.

The Levitical law, which is received in this country, and from which the rule of the Roman law differs very little, prohibits* marriage between relations within tbree degrees of kindred ; computing the generations not from but through the common anceftor, and accounting affinity the fame as confanguinity. The iffue, however, of fuch marriages are not baftardized, unlefs the parents be divorced during their lifetime.

The Egyptians are faid to have allowed of the marriage of brothers and fifters. Amongft the Atbenians a very fingular regulation prevailed; brothers and fifters of the half blood, if related by the father's fide, might marry; if by the mother's fide, they were prohibited from marrying. The fame cuftom alfo probably obtained in Chaldea fo early as the age

\footnotetext{
* The Roman law continued the prohibition to the defeendants of brothers and fifters without limits. In the Lewitical and Englijb law, there is nothing to hinder a man from marrying his great niece.
}
in which Abraham left it; for he and Sarah his wife ftood in this relation to each other. " And yet, indced, the is my fifter, the is the " daughter of my father, but not of my mo"ther, and fhe became my wife." Gen. xx. 12.

\section*{C H A P. VI.}

\section*{POLYGAMY.}

THE equality* in the number of males and females born into the world intimates the intention of God, that one woman hould. be affigned to one man; for, if to one man be allowed an exclufive right to five or more women, four or more men muft be deprived of the exclufive poffeffion of any: which could never be the order intended.

It feems alfo a figrificant indication of the divine will, that he at firft created only one womaia to one man. Had God intended polygamy for the fpecies, it is probable he would have begun with it; efpecially as, by giving to Adam more wives than one, the multiplication

\footnotetext{
*This equality is not exact. The number of male infants exceeds that of females in the proportion of nineteen to eighteen, or thercabouts; which excefs provides for the greater confumption of males by war, feafaring and other dangerous or unhealehy occupations.
}
of the human rate would have proceeded with a quicker progrcis.

Polygamy not only violates the conflitution of nature, and the apparent defign of the Deity, but produces to the parties themfelves, and to the public, the foliowing bad effects : contelts and jealoufies amonglt the wives of the fame hufband ; diftracted affections, or the lofs of all affection in the hufband himfelf; a voluptuournefs in the rich which diffolves the vigour of their intellectual as well as active faculties, producing that indolence and imbecility both of mind and body, which have long characterized the nations of the Eatt; the abalement of one half of the human fpecies, who, in countries where polygamy obtains, are degraded into mere inftruments of phytical pleafure to the other half; neglect of children; and the manifold, and fometimies unnatural mifchiefs, which arife from a fearcity of women. To compenfate for thefe evils, polygamy does not offer a fingle advantage. In the article, of population, which it has been thought to promote, the community gain nothing *: for the quefion is not, whether one man

\footnotetext{
* Nothing, I mean; compared with a'fate in which marriage is nearly univerfal. Where marriasces are lefs general,
}
man will have more children by five or more wives than by one; but whether thefe five wives would not bear the fame, or a greater number of children, to five feparate hufbands. And as to the care of the children when produced, and the fending of them into the world in fituations in which they may be likely to form and bring up families of their own, upon which the increafe and fucceffion of the human fpecies in a great degree depend; this is lefs provided for, and lefs practicable, where twenty or thirty children are to be fupported by the attention and fortunes of
and many women unfruitful from the want of hurbands, polygamy might at firf add a little to population; and but a little : for, as a variety of wives would be fought chiefly from temptations of voluptuoufnefs, it would rather increafe the denand for female beauty, than for the fex at large. And this; little would foon be made lefs by many deductions. For, firf, as none but the opulent can maintain a plurality of wives, where polygamy obtains, the rich indulge in it, while the reft take up with a vague and barren incontinency. And, fecondly, women would grow lefs jealous of their virtue, when they had nothing for which to referre it, but a chamber in the baram ; when their chaftity was no longer to be rewarded with the rights and happinels of a wife, as enjoyed under the marriage of one woman to one man. Thefe consfiderations may be added to what is mentioned in the text, concerning the eafy and early fettlement of children inethy world.
-one father, than if they were divided into five or fix farmilies, to each of which were affigned the indutryand inheritance of two parents.

Whether fimultaneous polygamy was permitted by the law of Mofes, feems doubtful *: but whether permitted or not, it was certainly practifed by the \(\mathfrak{F}\) ewoifl pariarchs, both before that law, and under it. The permiffion, if there was any, might be like that of divorce, "for "t the hardnefs of their heart," in condefcenfion to their eftablifhed indulgencies, rather than from the general rectitude or propriety of the thing itfelf. The ftate of manners in \(\mathcal{F} u d x a\) had probably undergone a reformation in this refpect before the time of Cbrif, for in the New Teftament we meet with no trace or mention of any fuch practice being tolerated.

For which reafon, and becaufe it was likewife forbidden amongt the Greeks and Romans, we cannot expect to find any exprefs law upon the rubject in the Chriftian code. The words of Chrift, + Matt. xix. 9, may be conftrued by an ealy implication to prohibit polygamy; for, if
- See Deut. xvii: 16. xxi.' r 5.
it "I fay unto you, Whofoeter fhall put away his wife, ex4 cept it be for foonication, and faall marry another, com"mitteth adultery."
: i: . \(\because \quad\) whoever
" whoever putteth away his wife, and marrieth " another, committeth adultery," he who marrieth another without putting away the firl, is no lefs guilty of adultery; becaufe the adultery does not confift in the repudiation of the firft wife (for, however unjuft or cruel that may be, it is not adultery), but in entering into a fecond marriage during the legal exiftence and obligation of the firft. The feveral paffages in St. Paul's writings, which fpeak of marriage, aloways fuppofe it to fignify the union of one man with one woman. Upon this fuppoffion he argues, Rom. vii. 2, 3. \({ }^{\text {« Know ye not, brethren, for }}\) "I fpeak to them that know the law, how that "the law hath dominion over a man, as long " as he liveth? For the woman which hath an " hufband, is bound by the law to her hurband "fo long as he liveth; but if the huiband be " dead, the is loofed from the law of her huf"band: fo then, if while her liufband liveth " fhe be married to another man, fhe thall be "called an adulterefs." When the fame Apoftle permits marriage to his Corinthian converts (which, "for the prefent diftrefs," he judges to be inconvenient), he reftrains the permiffion to the marriage of one hurband with one wife: "It is good for a man not to touch a woman;
" neverthelefs, to avoid fornication, let every man " have his own wife, and let every woman have " her own hurband."

The manners of different countries have varied in nothing more than in their domeftic conftitutions. Lefs polifhed and more luxurous nations have either not perceived the bad effects of polygamy, or, if they did perceive them, they who in fuch countries poffeffed the power of reforming the laws have been unwilling to refign their own gratifications. Polygamy is retained at this day among the 'Turks, and throughout every part of \(A f i a\) in which Chriftianity is not profeffed. In Chriftian countries it is univerfally prohibited. In Sweden it is punifhed with death. In England, befide the nullity of the fecond marriage, it fubjects the offender to tranfportation or imprifonment and branding for the firft offence, and to capital punifhment for the fecond. And whatever may be faid in behalf of polygamy, when it is authorized by the law of the land, the marriage of a fecond wife, during the life-time of the firt, in countries where fuch a fecond marriage is void, muft be ranked with the moft dangerous and crucl of thofe frauds, by which a woman is cheated out of her fortune, her perfon, and her happinefs.

The ancient Medes compelled their citizens, in one canton, to take feven wives; in another, each woman to receive five hurbands: according as war had made, in one quarter of their country, an extraordinary havock among the men, or the women had been carried away by an enemy from another. This regulation, fo far as it was adapted to the proportion which fubfifted between the numbers of males and females, was founded in the reafon upon which the moft improved nations of Europe proceed at prefent.

Cafar found amongft the inhabitants of this ifland a fpecies of polygamy, if it may be fo called, which was perfectly fingular. Uxores, fays he, babent deni duodenique inter fe communes, et maxime fratres cum fratribus, parentefque cum liberis: fed fi qui funt ex bis nati, corum babentur kiberi, quo primum virgo quaque deducta eff.

\section*{C H A P. VII,}

> OFDIVORCE.

BI. Divorce, I mean, the diffolution of the marriage contract, by the act, and at the will, of the huiband.

This power was allowed to the hufband, among the fezes, the Grecks, and latter Romans; and is at this day exercifed by the Turks and Perfians.

The congruity of fuch a right with the law of nature, is the queftion before us.

And in the firft place, it is manifeftly inconfiftent with the duty, which the parents owe to their children; which duty can never be fo well fulfilled as by their cohabitation and united care. It is alfo incompatible with the right which the mother poffeffes, as well as the father, to the gratitude of her children and the comfort of their fociety; of both which the is almoft neceffarily deprived, by her difmiffion from her bufband's family:

Where this objection does not finterfere, I know of no principle of the law of nature applicable to the queftion, befide that of getieral expediency.

For, if we fay, that arbitrary divorces are excluded by the terms of the marriage contract, it may be anfwered, that the contratt might be fo framed as to admit of this condition.

If we argue with fome moralifs, that the obligation of a contract naturally continues, fó long as the purpofe, which the contracting parties had in view, requires its continuance ; it will be difficult to fhew what purpofe of the contrati (the care of children excepted) fhould confine a man to a woman, from whom he feeks to be loofe.

If we contend with others, that a contract cannot, by the law of nature, be diffolved, unlefs the parties be replaced in the fituation which each poffeffed before the contraft was' entered into; we hall be called upon to prove this to be an univerfal or indifpenfable property of contracts.

I confefs myfelf unable to affign any circumftance in the marriage contract, which effentially diftinguifhes it from other contracts, or which proves that it contains, what many have afcibed
\[
\text { Y } 4 \quad \text { to }
\]
to it, a natural incapacity of being diffolved by the confent of the parties, at the option of one of them, or either of them. But if we trace the effects of fuch a rule upon the general happinefs of married life, we fhall perceive reafons of expediency, that abundantly jultify the policy of thofe laws which refufe to the hurband the power of divorce, or reftrain it to a few extreme and fpecific provocations: and our principles teach us to pronounce that to be contrary to the law of nature, which can be proved to be detrimental to the common happinefs of the human fpecies.

A lawgiver, whofe counfels are diregted by views of general utility, and obftructed by no local impediment, would make the marriage contract indiffoluble during the joint lives of the parties, for the fake of the following advantages:
I. Becaufe this tends to preferve peace and concord between married perfons, by perpetuating their common intereft, and by indacing a neceffity of mutual compliance.

There is great weight and fubftance in both thefe confelerations. An earlier termination of the union would produce a feparate intereft. The wife would naturally look forward to the diffo-
diffoletion of the partnerfhip, and endeavour to draw to herfelf a fund, againft the time when the was no longer to have accefs to the fame refources. This would beget peculation on one fide, and miftruft on the other; evils which at prefent very little difturb the confidence of married life. The facond effect of making the union determinable only by death, is not lefs beneficial. It neceffarily happens that adverfe tempers, habits, and taftes, oftentimes meet in marriage. In which cafe, each party mult take pains to give up what offends, and practife what may gratify the other. A man and woman in love with each other, do this infenfibly: but love is neither general nor durable; and where that is wanting, no leffons of duty, no delicacy of fentiment, will go half fo far with the generality of mankind and womankind, as this one intelligible reflection, that they muft each make the beft of their bargain; and that feeing they muft either both be miferable, or both fhare in the fame happinefs, neither can find their own comfort but in promoting the pleafure of the other. Thefe compliances, though at firft extorted by neceffity, become in time eafy and mutual ; and though lefs endearing than affiduifies which take their rife from affection, generally
tally procure to the married pair a repofe and fatisfaction fufficient for their happinefs.
II. Becaufe new objects of defire would be continually fought after, if men could, at will; be releafed from their fubfifting engagements. Suppofe the hufband to have once preferred his wife to all other women, the duration of this preference cannot be trufted to. Poffeffion makes a great difference: and there is no other fecurity againft the invitations of novelty, than the known impoffibility of obtaining the object: Did the caufe, which brings the fexes together, hold them together by the fame force with which it firft attracted them to each other, or could the woman be reftored to her perfonal integrity, and to all the advantages of her virgin eftate; the power of divorce might be depofited in the hands of the hurband, with lefs danger of abufe or inconveniency. But conftituted as mankind are, and injured as the repudiated wife generally mult be, it is neceffary to add a ftability to the condition of married women, more fecure than the continuance of their hufbands' affection; and to fupply to both fides, by a fenfe of duty and of obligation, what fatiety has impaired of paffion and of perfonal attachment. Upon the whole, the power of divorce

Ss evidently and greatly to the difadvantage of the woman; and the only queftion appears to be; whether the real and permarent happinefs of one half of the fpecies fhould be furrendered to the caprice and voluptuoufnefs of the other?

We have confidered divorces as depending upon the will of the hufband, becaufe that is the way in which they have actually obtained in many parts of the world: but the fame objecLions apply, in a great degree, to divorces by mutual confent ; efpecially when we confider the indelicate fituation and,fmall profpect of happinefs, which remains to the party, who oppofed his or her diffent to the liberty and defire of the other,

The law of nature admits of an exception in £avour of the injured party, in cafes of adultery, of obftinate defertion, of attempts upon life, of outrageous cruelty, of incurable madnefs, and, perbaps, of perional imbecility; but by no means indulges the fame privilege to mere diflike, to oppofition of humours and inclinations, to contrariety of tafte and temper, to complaints of coldnefs, neglect, feverity, peevilhnefs, jealoufy; not that thefe reafons are trivial, but becaufe fuch objections may always be alledged, and are impoffible by teftimony to be afcertained;
- tained ; fo that to allow implicit credit to them, and to diffolve marriages whenever either party thought fit to pretend them, would lead in its effect to all the licentioufnefs of arbitrary divorces.

Mflton's ftory is well known. Upon a quarrel: with his wife, he paid his addrefles to another woman, and fet forth a public vindication of his conduct, by attempting to prove, that confirmed dinike was as juft a foundation for diffolving the marriage contract, as adultery; to which pofition, and to all the arguments by which it can be fupported, the above confideration affords a fufficient anfwer. And if a married pair, in actual and irreconcileable difcord, complain that their happinefs would be better confulted, by permitting them to determine a connection, which is become odious to both, it may be told them, that the fame permiffion, as a general rule, would produce libertinifm, diffenfion, and mifery, amongft thoufands, who are now virtuous, and quiet, and happy, in their condition : and it ought to fatisfy them to reflect, that when their happinefs is facrificed to the operation of an unrelenting rule, it is facrificed to the happinefs of the community.

The feriptures feem to have drawn the obligation tighter than the law of nature left it.
" Whofoever," faith Chrift, " rhall put away his " wife, except it be for fornication, and fhall " marry another, committeth adultery; and " whofo marrieth her which is put away, doth "commit adultery." Matt. xix. 9. The law of Mofes, for reafons of local expediency, permitted the \(\mathcal{F e}\) ewifb hufband to put away his wife ; but whether for every caufe, or for what caufes, appears to have been controverted amongt the interpreters of thofe times. Cbriff, the pre cepts of whofe religion were calculated for more general ufe and obfervation, revokes this permiffion, as given to the fewes "for the hard" nefs of their hearts," and promulges a law which was thenceforward to confine divorces to the fingle caufe of adultery in the wife. And I fee no fufficient reafon to depart from the plain and ftrict meaning of Cbrif's words. The rule was new. It both furprifed and offended his difciples; yet Cbrift added nothing to relax or explain it.

Inferior caufes may juftify the feparation of huiband and wife, although they will not authorize fuch a diffolution of the marriage contract, as would leave either party at liberty to marry again : for it is that liberty in which the danger and mifchief of divorces principally confift. If
the care of children does not require that the \(y\) fhould live together, and it is become, in the ferious judgment of both, neceflary for their mutual happinefs that they fhould feparate, let them feparate by confent. Neverthelefs this nèceffity can hardly exift, without guilt and mifconduct on one fide or on both. Moreover, cruelty, ill-ufage, extreme violence, or morofenefs of temper, or other great and continued provocations, make it lawful for the party aggrieved to withdraw from the fociety of the offender without his or her confent. The law which impofes the marriage vow, whereby the parties promife to " keep to each other," or, in other words, to live together, muft be underftood to impofe it with a filent refervation of thefe cafes; becaufe the fame law has conftituted a judicial relief from the tyranny of the hufband, by the divorce a menfa et toro, and by the prowifion which it makes for the Ceparate maintenance of the injured wife. St. Paul likewife diftinguifhes between a wife's merely feparating herfelf from the family of her hufband, and her marrying again: "Let net the wife depart " from her hufband; but, and if fie do depart, \({ }^{*}\) let her remain unmarried."

The law of this country, in conformity to our Saviour's injunction, confines the diffolution of the marriage contract to the fingle cafe of aduttery in the wife; and a divorce even in that cafe can only be brought about by the operation of an act of parliament, founded upon a previous fentence in the ecclefiaftical court, and a verdiat agaiult the adukerer at common law : which proceedings taken together compofe as complete an inveftigation of the complaint as a caufe can receive. It has lately been propofed to the leginature to annex a claufe to thefe acts, reftraining the ofending party from marrying with the companion of her crime, who, by the courfe of proceeding, is always known and convicted; for there is reafon to fear, that adulterous connections are often formed with the profpect of bringing them to this conclufion; at leaft, when the feducer has once captivated the affection of a married woman, he may avail himfelf of this tempting argument to fubdue her fcruples, and complete his victory; and the legillature, as the bufinefs is managed at prefent, affifts by its interpofition the criminal defign of the offenders, and confers a privilege where it ought to inflict a punifhment. The propofal deferved an experiment; but fomething more penal will; I apprehend,
prehend, be found neceffary to check the progrefs of this alarming depravity. Whether a law might not be framed directing tbe fortune of the adulterefs to defcend as in cafe of her natural death; referving, however, a certain proportion of the produce of it, by way of annuity, for her fubfiftence (fuch annuity in no cafe to exceed a fixed fum), and alfo fo far fufpending the eftate in the hands of the heir as to preferve the inheritance to any children fhe might bear to a fecond marriage, in cafe there was none to fucceed in the place of their mother by the firf ; whether, I fay; fuch a law would not render female virtue in higher life lefs vincible, as well as the feducers of that virtue lefs urgent in their fuit, we recommend to the deliberation of thofe, who are willing to attempt the reformation of this important, but moft incorrigible clafs of the community. A paffion for fplendour, for expenfive amufements and diftinctions, is commonly found, in that defcription of women who would become the objects of fuch a law, not lefs inordinate than their other appetites. A feverity of the kind we propofe applies immediatcly to that paffion. And there is no room for any complaint of injultice, fince
fince the provifions above fated, with otheds which might be contrived, confine the punilbment, fo far as it is poffible, to the perfon of the offender; fuffering the eftate to remain to the heir, or within the family, of the anceftor from whom it came, or to attend the appointments of his will.

Sentences of the ecclefiaftical courts, which releafe the parties a vinculo matrimonii by reafon of impuberty, frigidity, confanguinity within the prohibited degrees, prior marriage, or want of the requifite confent of parents or guardians, are not diffolutions of the marriage contract, but judicial declarations, that there never was any marriage; fuch impediment fublifting at the time, as rendered the celebration of the marriage rite a mere nullity. And the rite itfelf contains an exception of thefe impediments. The man and woman to be married are charged, "if they know any "impediment why they may not be lawfully " joined together, to confefs it;" and affured "that fo many as are coupled together, other \({ }^{2}\) "wife than God's word doth allow, are not "jugren God, neither is their
by way of folemn notice to the parties that the vow they are about to make will bind their confciences and authorize their cohabitation, only upon the fuppofition that no legal impediment exifts.

\section*{C H A r. V゙iII.}

\author{
MARRIAGE.
}

WHETHER it hath grown out of fome tradition of the divine appointment of marriage in the perfons of our firf parents, or merely from a defign to imprefs the obligation of the marriage contract with a folemnity fuited to its importance, the marriage rite, in almoft all countries of the world, has been made a religious ceremony *; although marriage in its own nature, and abftracted from the rules and declarations which the Jewifh and Chriftian fcriptures deliver concerning it, be prọperly a civil contract, and nothing more.

With refpect to one main article in matrimonial alliances, a total alteration has taken place in

\footnotetext{
* It was not however in Chriftian countries required that marriages fhould be celebrated in churches till the thirteenth century of the Chritian zra. Marriages in England during the Ufurpation were folemnized before juftices of the peace; but for what purpofe this novelty was introduced, except to degrade the clergy, does not appear.
}
the fahion of the world; the wife now brings money to her hufband, whereas anciently the hufband paid money to the family of the wife; as was the cafe among the \(\mathscr{F}\) ewi/d patriarchs, the Greeks, and the old inhabitants of Germany *. This alteration has proved of no fmall adrantage to the female fex; for their importance in point of fortune procures to them, in modern times, that affiduity and refpect, which are always wanted to compenfate for the inferiority of their ftrength ; but which their perfonal attractions would not always fecure.

Our bufinefs is with marriage as it is eftablihed in this country. And in treating thereof, it will be neceffary to flate the terms of the marriage vow, in order to difcover,
1. What duties this vow creates.
2. What a fituation of mind at the time is inconfiftent with it.
3. By what fubfequent behaviour it is violated.

The hufband promifes on his part, " to love, " comfort, honour, and keep his wife ;" the
- The ancient A/yrians fold their beauties by an annual am民tion. The prices were applied by way of portions to the more homely. By this contrivance all of both forts were difpofed of in marriage.
wife on hers, " to obey, ferve, love, honour, " and keep her hufband;" in every variety of health, fortune and condition; and both flipulate " to forlake all others, and to keep only " unto one another, fo long ás they both fhall " live." This promife is called the marriage vow ; is witneffed before God and the congregation; accomponied with prayers to Almighty God for his bleffing upon it ; and attended with fuch circumftances of devotion and folemnity, as place the obligation of it, and the guilt of violating it, nearly upon the fame foundation with that of oaths.

The parties by this vow engage their perfonal fidelity exprefsly and feecifically: they engage likewife to confult and promote each other's happinefs; the wife, moreover, promifes obedicnce to her hufband. Nature may have made and left the fexes of the human fpecies nearly equal in their faculties, and perfeetly fo in their rights; but to guard againft thofe competitions which equality, or a contefted fuperiority, is almof fure to produce, the Chritian fcriptures enjoin upon the wife that obedience which the here promifes, and in terms fo peremptory and abfolute, that it . feems to extend to every thing not criminal, or not entirely inconfiftent with the woman's hap-
pinefs. "Let the wife," fays St. Paul, "be fub" ject to her own huifband in every thing." "The ornament of a meek and quiet fpirit " (fays the fame Apoftle, fpeaking of the duty " of wives) is in the fight of God of great price." No words ever expreffed the true merit of the female character fo well as thefe.

The condition of human life will not permit us to fay, that no one can confcientioully marry who does not prefer the perfon at the altar to all other men or women in the world: but we can have no difficulty in pronouncing (whether we refpect the end of the inftitution, or the plain terms in which the contract is conceived), : that whoever is confcious, at the time of his marriage, of fuch a diflike to the woman he is about to marry, or of fuch a fubfifting attachment to fome other woman, that he cannot reafonably, nor does in fact, expect ever to entertain an affection for his future wife, is guilty, when he pronounces the marriage vow, of a direct and deliberate prevarication ; and that too, aggravated by the prefence of thole ideas of religion, and of the Supreme Being, which the place, the ritual, and the folemnity of the occafion, cannot fail of bringing to his thoughts. The fame likewife of the woman. This charge mult be im.
puted to all, who, from mercenary motives, marry the objects of their averfion and difguft; and likewife to thofe who defert, from any motive whatever, the object of their affection, and, without being able to fubdue that affection, marry another.

The crime of falfehood is alfo incurred by the man, who intends, at the time of his marriage, to commence, renew, or continue a perfonal commerce with any other woman. And the parity of reafon, if a wife be capable of fo much guilt, extends to her.

The marriage vow is violated,
I. By adultery.
II. By any behaviour, which, knowingly, renders the life of the other miferable; as defertion, neglect, prodigality, drunkennefs, peevifhnefs, penurioufnefs, jealoufy, or any levity of conduct, which adminifters occafion of jeaLoufy.

A late regulation in the law of marriages, in this country, has made the confent of the father, if he be living, of the mother, if the far-
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wive the father, and remain unmarried, or of guardians, if both parents be dead, neceffary to the marriage of a perfon under twenty-one years of age. By the Roman law, the confent et avi et patris was required fo long as they lived. In France, the confent of parents is neceffary to the marriage of fons, until they attain to thirty years of age; of daughters, until twenty-five. In Holland, for fons till twenty-five; for daughters, till twenty. And this diftinction between the fexes appears to be well founded, for 2 woman is ufually as properly qualified for the domeftic and interior duties of a wife or mother at eighteen, as a man is for the bufinefs of the world and the more arduous care of providing for a family, at twenty-one.

The conftitution alfo of the human fpecies indicates the fame difinction*.
- Cum vis prolem procreandi diutiùs hæreat in mare quam in formina, populi numerus nequaquam minuetur, \(n\) ferius venerem colere inceperint viri.

\section*{C H A P. IX.}

\section*{OF THE DUTY OF PARENTS.}

THAT virtue, which confines its benw ficence within the walls of a man's own houfe, we have been accuftomed to confider 28 little better than a more refined felfilhnefs; and yet it will be confeffed, that the fubject and mtter of this clafs of duties are inferior to none, \(n\) utility and importance: and where, it may je alked, is virtue the moft valuable, but where it does the moft good? What duty is the moft dligatory, but that, on which the moft depenc ? And where have we happinefs and mifery fo much in our power, or liable to be \(f Q\) affected' \(\operatorname{se}\) our conduct, as in our own families? It vill alfo be acknowledged, that the good order and happinefs of the world are better upheld, whilf each man applies himfelf to his own concerns and the care of his own family, to which he is refent, than if every man, from an excefs of niftaken generofity, thould leave his own bufirefs;
to undertake his neighbour's, which he muit always manage with lefs knowledge, conveniency, and fuccefs. If, therefore, the low eftimation of thefe virtues be well founded, it muft be owing not to their inferior importance, but to fome defect or impurity in the motive. And indeed it cannot be denied, but that it is in the power of affociation, fo to unite our children's intereft with our own, as that we thall often purfue both from the fame motive, place both in the fame *bject, and with as little fenfe of duty in one purfuit as in the other. Where this is the cafe, the judgment above ftated is not far from the tuth. And fo often as we find a folicitous care ơ a man's own family, in a total abfence or exteme penury of every other virtue, or interfring with other duties, or directing its operation folely to the temporal happinefs of the childen, placing that happinefs and amufement in indulgence whilft they are young, or in advancemint of fortune when they grow up, there is refon to believe that this is the cafe. In this way the common opinion concerning thefe dutie may be accounted for and defended. If we lock to the fubject of them, we perceive them to se indifpenfable: if we regard the motive, we fint them often not very meritorious. Where-
fore,
fore, although a man feldom rifes high in our efteem who has nothing to recommend him befide the care of his own family, yet we always condemn the neglect of this duty with the utmoft feverity ; both by reafon of the manifelt and immediate mifchief which we fee arifing from this neglect, and becaufe it argues a want not only of parental affection, but of thofe moral principles, which ought to come in aid of that affection, where it is wanting. And if, on the other hand, our praife and efteem of thefe duties be not proportioned to the good they produce, or to the indignation wifh which we refent the abfence of them, it is for this reafon, that virtue is the moft valuable, not where it produces the moft good, but where it is the moft wanted; which is not the cafe here; becaufe its. place is often fupplied by inftincts, or involuntary affuciations. Neverthelefs, the offices of a parent may be difcharged from a confcioufnels of their obligation, as well as other duties; and a fenfe of this obligation is fometimes neceffary to affift the fimulus of parental affection; efpecially in ftations of life, in which the wants of a family cannot be fupplied without the continual hard labour of the father, nor without his refraining from many indulgencies and re-
creations, which unmarried men of like, condttion are able to purchaíe. Where the parental affection is fufficiently ftrong, or has fewer difficulties to furmount, a principle of duty may ftill be wanted to direct and regulate its exertions; for otherwife, it is apt to fpend and wafte itfelf in a womanifh fondnefs for the perfon of the child; an improvident attention to his prefent eafe and gratification ; a pernicious facility and compliance with his humours; an exceffive and fuperfluous care to provide the externals of happinefs, with little or no attention to the internal fources of virtue and fatisfaction. Univerfally, wherever a parent's conduct is prompted or directed by a fenfe of duty, there is fo much virtue.

Having premifed thus much concerning the place which parental duties hold in the fcale of human virtues, we proceed to ftate and explain the duties themfelves.

When moralifts tell us, that parents are bound to do all tbey can for their children, they tell us more than is true; for, at that rate, every expence which might have been fpared, and every profit omitted which might have been made, would be criminal.

The duty of parents has its limits, like other
duties; and admits, if not of perfect precifion, at leaft of rules definite enough for application.

Thefe rules may be explained under the feveral heads of maintenance, education, and a reafonable provifion for the cbild's bappinefs in refpect of cutward condition.
I. Maintenance.

The wants of children make it neceffary that fome perfon maintain them; and, as no one has a right to burthen others by his act, it follows, that the parents are bound to undertake this charge themfelves. Befide this plain inference, the affection of parents to their children, if it be inftinctive, and the provifion which nature has prepared in the perfon of the mother for the fuftentation of the infant, concerning the exiftence and defign of which there can be no doubt, are manifeft indications of the divine will.

From hence we learn the guilt of thofe, who fun away from their families, or (what is much the fame), in confequence of idlenefs or drunkennels, throw them upon a parifh; or who leave them deftitute at their death, when, by diligence and frugality, they might have laid up a provifion for their fupport: alfo of thofe, who refufe or neglect the care of their baftard offspring,
abandoning them to a condition in which they muft either perifh or become burthenfome te others; for the duty of maintenance, like the reafon upon which it is founded, extends to baftards, as well as to legitimate children.

The Chriftian fcriptures, although they concern themfelves little with maxims of prudence or œconomy, and much lefs authorife worldlymindednefs or avarice, have yet declared in explicit terms their judgment of the obligation of this duty:" If any provide not for his own, ef" pecially for thofe of his own houfehold, he " hath denied the faith, and is worfe than an in" fidel;" ( 1 Tiin. v. 8.) he hath difgraced the Chriftian profeffion, and fallen fhort in a duty which even infidels acknowledge.

\section*{II. Education.}

Education, in the mof extenfive fenfe of the word, may comprehend every preparation that is made in our youth for the fequel of our lives: and in this fenfe I ufe it.

Some fuch preparation is neceflary for chi'dren of all conditions, becauie, without it they muft be miferable, and probably will be vicious, when they grow up, either from want of the means of fubfiftence, or from want of rational and inoffenfive occupation. In civilized life, every thing
thing is effected by art and fkill. Whence a perfon who is provided with neither (and neither can be acquired without exerc̣ife and inftruction) will be ufelefs; and he that is ufelefs will generally be at the fame time mifchievous to the community. So that to fend an uneducated child into the world is injurious to the reft of mankind; it is little better than to turn out a mad dog or a wild beaft into the freets.

In the inferior claffes of the community, this' principle condemns the neglect of parents, who do not inure their children betimes to labour and reftraint, by providing them with apprenticethips, fervices, or other regular employment; but who fuffer them to wafte their youth in idlenefs and vagrancy, or to betake themfelves to fome lazy, trifing, and precarious calling : for the confequence of having thus tafted the fweets of natural liberty, at an age when thei paffion and relifh for it are at the higheft, is, that they become incapable for the remainder of their lives of continued induftry, or of perfevering attention to any thing; fpend their time in a miferable Atruggle between the importunity of want, and the irkfomenefs of regular application; and are prepared to embrace every expedient, which prefents a hope of fupplying their neceffities
without confining them to the plough, the looit; the fhop, or the counting-houfe.

In the middle orders of fociety, thofe parents are moft reprehenfible, who neither qualify their children for a profeffion, nor enable them to live without one \({ }^{*}\); and thofe in the higheft, who, from indolence, indulgence, or avarice, omit to procure their children thofe liberal attainments, which are neceffary to make them ufeful in the ftations to which they are deftined. A man of fortune, who permits his fon to confume the . feafon of education, in hunting, fhooting, or in frequenting horfe-races, affemblies, or other unedifying, if not vicious, diverfions, defrauds the community of a benefactor, and bequeaths them a nuifance.

Some, though not the fame, preparation for the fequel of their lives, is neceffary for youth of every defcription; and therefore for baftards, as well as for children of better expectations. Confequently, they who leave the education of their baftards to chance, contenting themfelves
* Amongft the Athenians, if the parent did not put his child into a way of getting a livelihood, the child was not bound to make provifion for the parent when old and now ceflitous.
with making provifion for their fubfiftence, defert half their duty.
III. A reafonable provifion for the happinefs of a child in refpect of outward condition, requires three things; a fituation fuited to his habits and reafonable expectations; a competent provilion for the exigencies of that fituation; and a probable fecurity for his virtue.

The two firft articles will vary with the condition of the parent: A fituation fomewhat approaching in rank and condition to the parent's own; or, where that is not practicable, fimilar to what other parents of like condition provide for their children, bounds the reafonable, as well 28 (generally fpeaking) the actual expectations of the child, and therefore contains the extent, of the parent's obligation.

Hence, a peafant fatisfies his duty, who fends out his children, properly inftructed for their occupation, to hufbandry, or to any branch of manufacture. Clergymen, lawyers, phyficians, officers in the army or navy, gentlemen poffeffing moderate fortunes of inheritance, or exercifing trade in a large or liberal way, are required by the fame rule to provide their fons with learned profeffions, commiffions in the army or navy, places in public offices, or reputable yol. I. A a branches
branches of merchandife. Providing a child with a fituation, includes a competent fupply for the expences of that fituation, until the profits of it enable the child to fupport himfelf. Noblemen, and gentlemen of high rank and fortune, may be bound to tranfmit an inheritance to the reprefentatives of their family, fufficient for their fupport without the aid of a trade or profeffion, to which there is little hope that a youth, who has been flattered with other expectations, will apply himfelf with diligence or fuccefs. In thefe parts of the world, public opinion has afforted the members of the community into four or five general clafles, each clafs comprifing a great vá: riety of employments and profeffions, the choice of wnich mult be committed to the private difcretion of the parent*. All that can be expected from

\footnotetext{
*The health and virtue of a child's future life are confiderations fo fuperior to all others, that whatever is likely to have the fmalleft influence upon thefe, deferves the parent's firft attention. In refpect of health, agriculture, and all active, rural, and out-of-door employments, are to be preferred to manufactures, and fedentary occupations. In refpect of virtue, a courfe of dealings in which the advantage is mutual, in which the profit on one fide is connected with the benefit of the other (which is the cafe in trade, and all ferviceable art or labour), is more favourable to the moral character, than callings
}
from parents as a duty, and therefore the only rule which a moralift can deliver upon the fubject, is, that they endeavour to preferve their children in the clafs in which they are born, that is to fay, in which others of fimilar expectations are accuftomed to be placed; and that they be careful to confine their hopes and habits of indulgence to objects which will continue to be attainable.
in which one man's gain is another man's lofs 3 in which what you acquire, is acquired without equivalent, and parted with in diftrefs; as in gaming, and whatever partakes of gaming, and in the predatory profits of war. The following diftinctions alfo deferve notice. A bufinefs, like a retail trade, in which the profits are fmall and frequent, and accruing from the employment, furnifhes a moderate and conftant engagement to the mind, and fo far fuits better with the general difpofition of mankind, than profeffions which are fupported by fixed falaries, as ftations in the church, army, navy, revenue, public offices, \&c. or wherein the profits are made in large fums, by a few great concerns, or fortunate adventures: as in many branches of wholefale and foreign merchandife, in which the occupation is neither fo conftant, nor the activity fo kept alive by immediate encouragement. For fecurity, manaal arts exceed merchandifes and fuch as fapply the wants of mankind are better than thofe which minifter to their pleafure. Situations which promife an early fettlement in marriage, are on many accounts to be chofen before thofe which require a donger waiting for a larger eftablifhment.
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It is an ill-judged thrift in fome rich parents, to bring up their fons to mean employments, for the fake of faving the charge of a more expenfive education : for thefe fons, when they become mafters of their liberty and fortune, will hardly continue in occupations by which they think themfelves degraded, and are feldom qualified for any thing better.

An attention, in the firft place, to the exigencies of the children's refpective conditions in the world; and a regard, in the fecond place, to their reafonable expectations, always poftponing the expectations to the exigencies when both cannot be fatisfied, ought to guide parents in the difpofal of their fortunes after their death. And thefe exigencies and expectations muft be meafured by the ftandard which cuftom has eftablifhed; for there is a certain appearance, attendance, eftablifhment, and mode of living, which cuftom has annexed to the feveral ranks and orders of civil life (and which compofe what is called decency), together with a certain fociety, and particular pleafures belonging, to each clafs: and a young perfon, who is withheld from fharing in thefe for want of fortune, can fcarcely be faid to have a fair chance for happinefs; the indignity and mortification of fuch a feclufion
being
being what few tempers can bear, or bear with contentment. And as to the fecond confideration, of what a child may reafonably expect from his parent, he will expect what he fees all or moft others in fimilar circuinftances receive; and we can hardly call expectations unreafonable, which it is impoffible to fupprefs.

By virtue of this rule, a parent is juftified in making a difference between his children according as they ftand in greater or lefs need of the affiftance of his fortune, in confequence of the difference of their age or fex, or of the fituations in, which they are placed, or the various fuccefs which they have met with.

On account of the few lucrative employments which are left to the female fex, and by confequence the little opportunity they have of adding to their income, daughters ought to be the particular objects of a parent's care and forefight: and as an option of marriage, from which they can reafonably expect happinefs, is not prefented to every woman who deferves it, efpecially in times in which a licentious celibacy is in fathion with the men, a father fhould endeavour to enable his daughters to lead a fingle life with ine dependency and decorum, even though he fubtract more for that purpofe from the portions
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\text { A A } 3
\]
of
of his fons, than is agreeable to modern ufage, or than they expect.

But when the exigencies of their feveral fituations are provided for, and not before, a parent ought to admit the fecond confideration, the fatisfaction of his children's expectations; and upon that principle to prefer the eldeft fon to the reft, and fons to daughters: which conftitutes the right, and the whole right, of primogeniture, as well as the only reafon for the preference of one fex to the other. The preference, indeed, of the firft-born has one public good effect, that if the eftate were divided equally amongt the fons, it would probably make them all idle; whereas, by the prefent rule of defcent, it makes only one fo; which is the lefs evil of the two. And it mult farther be obferved on the part of fons, that if the reft of the community make it a rule to prefer fons to daughters, an individual of that community ought to guide himfelf by the fame rule, upon principles of mere equality. For, as the fon fuffers by the rule in the fortune he may expect in marriage, it is but reafonable that he. should receive the advantage of it in his own inheritance. Indeed, whatever the rule be, as to the preference of one fex to the other, marriage reftores the equality. And as money is
generally more convertible to profit, and more likely to promote induftry, in the hands of men than of women, the cuftom of this country may. properly be complied with, when it does not interfere with the weightier reafon explained in the lalt paragraph.

The point of the children's actual expectations, together with the expediency of fubjecting the illicit commerce of the fexes to every difcouragement which it can receive, makes the difference between the claims of legitimate children and of baftards. Bur neither reafon will in any cafe juftify the leaving of baftards to the world, without provifion, education, or profeffion; or, what is more cruel, without the means of continuing in the fituation to which the parent has introduced theem ; which laft, is to leave them to inevitable mifery.

After the firft requifite, namely, a provifion for the exigencies of his fituation, is fatisfied, a parent may diminifh a child's portion, in order to punifh any flagrant crime, or to punifh contumacy and want of filial duty in inftances not otherwife criminal: for a child who is confcious of bad behaviour, or of contempt of his parent's will and happinefs, cannot reafonably expect the fame inftances of his munificence.
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A child's vices may be of that fort, and his vicious habits fo incorrigible, as to afford much the fame reaion for believing that he will wafte or mifemploy the formune put into his power, as if he were mad or idiotilh, in which cafe a parent may treat him as a madman or an idiot; that is, may deem it fufficient to provide for his fupport, by an annuity equal to his wants and innocent enjoyments, and which he may be reftrained from alienating. This feems to be the only cafe in which a difinherifon, nearly abfolute, is juftifiable.

Let not a father hope to excure an inofficious difpofition of his fortune, by alleging, that "every man may do what he will with his " own." All the truth which this expreffion conta:ns, is, that his difcretion is under no control of law ; and that his will, however capricious, will be valid. This by no means abfolves his confcience from the obligations of a parent, or imports that he may neglect, without injuftice, the feveral wants and expectations of his family, in order to gratify a whim or a pique, or indulge a preference founded in no reafonable diftinction of merit or fituation. Although in his intercourfe with his family; and in the leffer endearments of domettic life, a pa-
rent may not always refift his partiality to a favourite child (which, however, fhould be both avoided and concealed, as oftentimes productive of lafting jéaloufies and difcontents); yet, when he fits down to make his will, thefe tendernefles muft give place to more manly deliberations.

A father of a family is bound to adjuft his œconomy with a view to thefe demands upon his fortune; and until a fufficiency for thefe ends is acquired, or in due time probably will be acquired (for in human affairs probability ought to content us), frugality and exertions of induftry are duties. He is alfo juftified in the declining expenfive liberality; for, to take from thofe who want, in order to give to thofe who want, adds nothing to the fock of public happinefs. Thus far, therefore, and no farther, the plea of " children," of " large families," " charity begins at home," \&c. is an excufe for parfimony, and an anfwer to thofe who folicit our bounty. Beyond this point, as the ufe of riches becomes lefs, the defire of laying \(u p\) thould abate proportionably. The truth is, our children gain not fo much as we imagine, in the chance of this world's happinefs, or even of its external profperity, by fetting out in it with arge capitals. Of thofe who have died rich,
a great part began with little. And, in refpect of enjoyment, there is no comparifon between a fortune, which a man acquires by well applied induftry, or by a feries of fucceff \(s\) in his bufinefs, and one found in his poffeffion, or received from another.

A principal part of a parent's duty is fill behind, viz. the ufing of proper precautions and expedients, in order to form and preferve his children's virtue.

To us, who believe that in one ftage or other of our exiftence virtue will conduct to happinefs, and vice terminate in mifery; and who obferve withal, that men's virtues and vices are, to a certain degree, produced or affected by the management of their youth, and the fituations in which they are placed; to all who attend to thefe reafons, the obligation to confult a child's virtue will appear to differ in nothing from that by which the parent is bound to provide for his maintenance or fortune. The child's intereft is concerned in the one means of happinefs as well as in the other; and both means are equally, and almoft exclufively, in the parent's power.

For this purpofe the firft point to be endeavoured after is, to imprefs upon children the idea of accountablencfs, that is, to accuftom them to
took forward to the confequences of their actions in another world; which can only be brought about by the parents vifibly acting with a view to thefe confequences themfelves. Parents, to do them juftice, are feldom fparing in leffons of virtue and religion; in admonitions which coft little, and which profit lefs; whilft their example exhibits a continual contradiction of what they teach. A father, for inftance, will, with much folemnity and apparent earneftnefs, warn his fon againft idlenelis, excefs in drinking, debauchery, and extravagance, who himfelf loiters about all day without employment; comes home every night drunk; is made infamous in his neighbourhood by fome profligate connection; and waftes the fortune which hould fupport or remain a provifion for his family in riot, or luxury, or oftentation. Or he will difcourfe gravely before his children of the obligation and importance of revealed religion, whilf they fee the moft frivolous and oftentimes feigned excufes detain him from its reafonable and folemn ordinances. Or he will fet before them, perhaps, the fupreme and tremendous authority of AL mighty God ; that fuch a being ought not to be named, or even thought upon, without fentiments of profound awe and veneration. This
may be the lecture he delivers to his family one hour; when the next, if an occafion arife to excite his anger, his mirth, or his furprife, they will hear him treat the name of the Deity with the moft irreverent profanation, and fport with the terms and denunciations of the Chriftian religion, as if they were the language of fome ridiculous and long exploded fupertition. Now; even a child is not to be impofed upon by fuch mockery. He fees through the grimace of this counterfeited concern for virtue. He difcovers that his parent is acting a part; and receives his admonitions as he would hear the fame maxims from the mouth of a player. And when once this opinion has taken poffeffion of the child's mind, it has a fatal effect upon the parent's influence in all fubjects; even in thofe, in which he himfelf may be fincere and convinced. Whereas a filent, but obfervable regard to the duties of religion, in the parent's own behaviour, will take a fure and gradual hold of the child's difpofition, much beyond formal reproofs and chidings, which, being generally prompted by fome prefent provocation, difcover more of anger than of principle, and are always received with a temporary alienation and difguft.

A good parent's firft care is to be virtuous himfelf;
himfelf; his fecond, to make his virtues as eafy and engaging to thofe about him, as their nature will admit. Virtue itfelf offends, when coupled with forbidding manners. And fome virtues may be urged to fuch excefs, or brought forward fo unfeafonably, as to difcourage and repel thofe, who obferve and who are acted upon by them; inftead of exciting an inclination to imitate and adopt them. Young minds are particularly liable to thefe unfortunate impreffions. For inftance, if a father's oeconomy degenerate into a minute and teafing parfimony, it is odds but that the fon, who has fuffered under it, fet out a fworn enemy to all rules of order and frugality. If a father's piety be morofe, rigorous, and tinged with melancholy, perpetually breaking in upon the recreation of his family, and furfeiting them with the language of religion upon all occafions, there is danger left the fon carry from home with him a fettled prejudice againft ferioufnefs and religion, as inconfiftent with every plan of a pleafurable life; and turn out, when he mixes with the world, a character of levity or diffolutenefs.

Something likewife may be done towards the correating or improving of thofe early inclinations
tions which children difcover, by difpofing them into fituations the leaft dangerous to their particular characters. Thus, I would make choice of a retired life for young perfons addicted to licentious pleafures; of private ftations for the proud and paffionate ; of liberal profeffions, and a town life, for the mercenary and fottifh : and not, according to the general practice of parents, fend diffolute youths into the army ; penurious tempers to trade; or make a crafty lad an attorney; or flatter a vain and haughty temper with elevated names, or fituations, or callings, to which the fafhion of the world has annexed precedency and diftinction, but in which his difpofition, without at all promoting his fuccefs, will ferve both to multiply and exafperate his difappointments. In the fame way, that is, with a view to the particular frame and tendency of the pupil's character, I would make choice of a public or private education. The referved, timid, ard indolent will have their faculties called forth and their nerves invigorated by a public education. Youths of ftrong fpirits and paffions will be fafer in a private education. At our public fchools, as far as I have obferved, more lite-
rature is acquired, and more vice: quick parts are cultivated, flow ones are neglected. Under private tuition, a moderate proficiency in juvenile learning is feldom exceeded, but with more certainty attained.

CHAP.

\section*{C H A P. X.}

\section*{THERIGHTS OFPARENTS.}

THE Rights of Parents refult from their duties. If it be the duty of a parent to educate his children, to form them for a life of ufefulnefs and virtue, to provide for them fituations needful for their fubfiltence and fuited to their circumftances, and to prepare them for thofe fituations; he has a right to fuch authority, and in fupport of that authority to exercife fuch difcipline, as may be neceffary for thefe purpofes. The law of nature acknowledges no other foundation of a parent's sight over his children, befide his duty towards them (I fpeak now of fuch rights as may be enforced by coercion). This relation confers no property in their perfons, or natural dominion over them, as is commonly fuppofed.

Since it is, in general, neceffary to determine the deftination of children, before they are capable of judging of their own happinefs, parents have a right to elect profeffions for them.

As the mother herfelf owes obedience to the father, her authority muft fubmit to his. In a competition, therefore, of commands, the father is to be obeyed. In cafe of the death of either, the authority, as well as duty, of both parents devolves upon the furvivor.

Thefe rights, al ways following the duty, belong likewife to guardians; and fo much of them as is delegated by the parents or guardians, belongs to tutors, fehool-mafters, \&ec.

From this principle, " that the rights of pa"rents refult from their duty," it follows, that parents have no natural right over the lives of their children, as was abfurdly allowed to Roman fathers; nor any to exercife unprofitable feverities; nor to command the commiffion of crimes: for thefe rights can never be wanted for the purpofes of a parent's duty.

Nor, for the fame realon, have parents any right to fell their children into flavery. Upon which, by the way, we may obferve, that the children of flaves are not; by the law of Nature, born llaves; for, as the mafter's right is derived to him through the parent, it can never be greater than the parent's own.

Hence alfo it appears, that parents not only pervert, but exceed their juft authority, when they vol. 1.

B b
confult
confult their own ambition, intereft, or prejudice, at the manifeft expence of their children's happinefs. Of which abufe of parental power, the following are inftances; the fhutting up of daughters and younger fons in nunneries and monafteries, in order to preferve entire the eftate and dignity of the family; or the ufing of any arts, either of kindnefs or unkindnefs, to induce them to make choice of this way of life themfelves; or, in countries where the clergy are prohibited from marriage, putting fons into the church for the fame end, who are never likely either to do or receive any good in it, fufficient to compenfate for this facrifice; the urging of children to marriages from which they are averfe, with the view of exalting or enriching the family, or for the fake of connecting eftates, parties, or interefts; or the oppofing of a marriage, in which the child would probably find his happinefs, from a motive of pride or avarice, of family hoftility, or perfonal pique.

\section*{C H A P. XI.}

\section*{THEDUTY OF CHILDREN.}

THE Duty of Children may be confidered,
I. During childhood.
II. After they have attained to manhood, but continue in their father's family.
III. After they have attained to manhood, and have left their father's family.
1. During cbildbood.

Children muft be fuppofed to have attained to fome degree of difcretion before they are capable of any duty. There is an interval of eight or nine years between the dawning and the maturity of reafon, in which it is neceflary to fubject the inclination of children to many reftraints, and direct their application to many employments, of the tendency and ufe of which they cannot judge; for which caufe, the fubmiffion of children during this period muft be ready and implicit, with an exception, however, of any в b \(2 \cdots\) manifeft
manifeft crime, which may be commanded them.
II. After they bave attained to manbood, but continue in tbeir father's family.

If children, when they are grown up, voluntarily continue members of their father's family, they are bound, befide the general duty of gratitude to their parents, to obferve fuch regulations of the family as the father fhall appoint; contribute their labour to its fupport, if required; and confine themfelves to fuch expences as he thall allow. The obligation would be the fame, if they were admitted into any other family, or received fupport from any other hand.
III. After they bave attained to manbood, and bave left their father's family.

In this fate of the relation, the duty to parents is fimply the duty of gratitude; not different, in kind, from that which we owe to any other benefactor ; in degree, juft fo much exceeding other obligations, by how much a parent has been a greater benefactor than any other friend. The fervices and attentions, by which filial gratitude may be teflified, can be comprifed within no enumeration. It will fhew itfelf in compliances with the will of the parents, however contrary to the child's own tafte or judgment,
ment, provided it be neither criminal, nor totally inconfiftent with his happinefs; in a conftant endeavour to promote their enjoyments, prevent their wifhes, and foften their anxieties; in fmall matters as well as in great ; in affifting them in their bufinefs; in contributing to their fupport, eafe, or better accommodation, when their circumftances require it; in affording them our company, in preference to more amuling engagements; in waiting upon their ficknefs or decrepitude; in bearing with the infirmities of their health or temper, with the peevihnefs and complaints, the unfafhionable, negligent, auftere manners, and offenfive habits, which often attend upon advanced years : for where mult old age find indulgence, if it do not meet with it in the piety and partiality of children?

The moft ferious contentions between parents and their children, are thofe commonly which relate to marriage, or to the choice of a profeffion.

A parent has, in no cafe, a right to deftroy his child's happinefs. If it be true, therefore, that there exift fuch perfonal and exclufive attachments between individuals of different fexes, that the poffeffion of a particular man or woman in marriage be really neceffary for the child's
\[
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happinels;
happinefs; or if it be true, that an averfion to a particular profeffion may be involuntary and unconquerable; then it will follow, that parents, where this is the cafe, ought not to urge their authority, and that the child is not bound to obey it.

The point is, to difcover how far, in any particular inftance, this is the cafe. Whether the fondnefs of lovers ever'continues with fuch inntenfity, and fo long, that the fuccefs of their defires conftitutes, or the difappointment affects, any confiderable portion of their happinefs, compared with that of their whole life, it is difficult to determine; but there can be no difficulty in pronouncing, that not one half of thofe attachments which young people conceive with fo much hafte and paffion, are of this fort. I believe it alfo to be true, that there are few averfions to a profeffion, which refolution, perfeyerance, activity in going about the duty of it, and, above all, defpair of changing, will not fubdue: yet there are fome fuch. Wherefore, a shild who refpects his parents' judgment, and is, as he ought to be, tender of their happinefs, owes, at leaft, fo much deference to their will, as to try fairly and faithfully, in one cafe, whether time and abfence will not cool an affection
tion which they difapprove; and, in the other, whether a longer continuance in the profeffion which they have chofen, for him, may not reconcile him to it. The whole depends upon the experiment being made on the child's part with fincerity, and not merely with a defign of compaffing his purpofe at laft, by means of a fimulated and temporary compliance. It is the nature of love and hatred, and of all violent affections, to delude the mind with a perfuafion, that we fhall always continue to feel them, as we feel them at prefent : we cannot conceive that they will either change or ceafe. Experience of fimilar or greater changes in ourfelves, or a habit of giving credit to what our parents, or tutors, or books teach us, may control this perfuafion : otherwife it renders youth very untractable; for they fee clearly and truly that it is impoffible they fhould be happy under the circumftances propofed to them, in their prefent ftate of mind. After a fincere but ineffectual endeavour, by the child, to accommodate his inclination to his parent's pleafure, he ought not to fuffer in his rent's affection, or in his fortunes. The parent, when he has reafonable proof of this, fhould acquiefce: at all events, the child is then at liberty to provide for his own happinefs.

Parents bave no right to urge their children upon marriages to which they are averfe; nor ought, in any fhape, to refent the children's difobedience to fuch commands. This is a different cafe from oppofing a match of inclination, becaufe the child's mifery is a much more probable confequence; it being eafier to live without a perfon that we love, than with one whom we hate. Add to this, that compulfion in marriage neceffarily leads to prevarication; as the reluctant party promifes an affection, which neither exifts, nor is expected to take place; and parental, like all human authority, ceafes at the point where obedience becomes criminal.

In the above-mentioned, and in all contefts .between parents and children, it is the parent's duty to reprefent to the child the confequences of his conduct; and it will be found his beft policy to reprefent them with fidelity. It is ufual for parents to exaggerate thefe defcriptions beyond probability, and by exaggeration to lofe all credit with their children; thus, in a great meaAe, defeating their own end.
Farents are forbidden to interfere, where a truft is repofed perfonally in the fon; and where, confequently, the fon was expected, and by virtue of that expectation is obliged, to purfue his
own judgment, and not that of any other: as is the cafe with judicial magiftrates in the execution of their office; with members of the legiflature in their votes; with electors, where preference is to be given to certain preforibed qualifications. The fon may affift his own judgment by the advice of his father, or of any one whom he choofes to confult: bat his own judgment, whether it proceed upon knowledge or autherity, ought finally to determine his conduct.

The duty of children to their parents was thought worthy to be made the fubject of one of the ten commandments; and, as fuch, is recognized by Cbrift, together with the reft of the moral precepts of the decalogue, in various places of the gofpel.

The fane divine teacher's fentiments concerning the relicf of indigent parents, appear fufficiently from that manly and deferved indignation, with which he reprehended the wretched cafuiftry of the Fewijh expofitors, who, under the name of a tradition, had contrived a method of evading this duty, by converting, or pretending to convert, to the treafury of the temple, fo much of their property, as their diftreffed parent might be entitled by their law to demand.
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Agreeably to this law of Nature and Chrifti2nity, children are, by the law of England, bound to fupport, as well their immediate parents, as their grandfather and grandmother, or remoter anceftors, who ftand in need of fupport.

Obedience to parents is enjoined by St. Paul to the Epbefians: "Children, obey your parents in " the Lord, for this is right;" and to the Coo loffians: "Children, obey your parents in all " things, for this is well pleafing unto the "Lord*."

By the \(\mathcal{F}\) ewi/ß law, difobedience to parents.was in fome extreme cafes capital. Deut. xxi. 18.
* Upon which two phrafes, " this is right," and "for " this is well pleafing unto the Lord," being ufed by \(\mathrm{St}^{\text {. }}\) Paul in a fenfe perfectly parallel, we may obferve, that moral rectitude, and conformity to the divine will, were, in his apprehenfion, the fame.
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